

Burkholder–Davis–Gundy Inequalities in UMD Banach Spaces

Yaroslavtsev, Ivan

DOI

[10.1007/s00220-020-03845-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-020-03845-7)

Publication date

2020

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Communications in Mathematical Physics

Citation (APA)

Yaroslavtsev, I. (2020). Burkholder–Davis–Gundy Inequalities in UMD Banach Spaces. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 379(2), 417–459. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-020-03845-7>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Burkholder–Davis–Gundy Inequalities in UMD Banach Spaces

Ivan Yaroslavtsev^{1,2}

¹ Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Inselstraße 22, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

² Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, Delft University of Technology, P.O. Box 5031, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands. E-mail: yaroslavtsev.i.s@yandex.ru

Received: 8 April 2019 / Accepted: 9 June 2020

© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract: In this paper we prove Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequalities for a general martingale M with values in a UMD Banach space X . Assuming that $M_0 = 0$, we show that the following two-sided inequality holds for all $1 \leq p < \infty$:

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \gamma(\|M\|_t)^p, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (\star)$$

Here $\gamma(\|M\|_t)$ is the L^2 -norm of the unique Gaussian measure on X having $\|M\|_t(x^*, y^*) := [\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle M, y^* \rangle]_t$ as its covariance bilinear form. This extends to general UMD spaces a recent result by Veraar and the author, where a pointwise version of (\star) was proved for UMD Banach function spaces X . We show that for continuous martingales, (\star) holds for all $0 < p < \infty$, and that for purely discontinuous martingales the right-hand side of (\star) can be expressed more explicitly in terms of the jumps of M . For martingales with independent increments, (\star) is shown to hold more generally in reflexive Banach spaces X with finite cotype. In the converse direction, we show that the validity of (\star) for arbitrary martingales implies the UMD property for X . As an application we prove various Itô isomorphisms for vector-valued stochastic integrals with respect to general martingales, which extends earlier results by van Neerven, Veraar, and Weis for vector-valued stochastic integrals with respect to a Brownian motion. We also provide Itô isomorphisms for vector-valued stochastic integrals with respect to compensated Poisson and general random measures.

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Burkholder–Davis–Gundy Inequalities: The Discrete Time Case
3. Gaussian Characteristics
3.1 Basic definitions
3.2 Basic properties of $\gamma(\cdot)$

3.3	$\gamma(\cdot)$ and $\gamma(\cdot)^2$ are not norms
3.4	Finite dimensional case
4.	Preliminaries
4.1	Banach space-valued martingales
4.2	Quadratic variation
5.	Burkholder–Davis–Gundy Inequalities: The Continuous-Time Case
6.	Ramifications of Theorem 5.1
6.1	Continuous and purely discontinuous martingales
6.2	Martingales with independent increments
6.3	One-sided estimates
7.	Applications and Miscellanea
7.1	Itô isomorphism: general martingales
7.2	Itô isomorphism: Poisson and general random measures
7.3	Necessity of the UMD property
7.4	Martingale domination
7.5	Martingale approximations
7.5.1	Quasi-left continuous purely discontinuous martingales
7.5.2	Purely discontinuous martingales with accessible jumps
7.5.3	Proof of theorem 7.11
7.6	The canonical decomposition
7.7	Covariation bilinear forms for pairs of martingales
8.	UMD Banach Function Spaces
	References

1. Introduction

In the celebrated paper [12] Burkholder, Davis, and Gundy proved that if $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a real-valued martingale satisfying $M_0 = 0$, then for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $t \geq 0$ one has the two-sided inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |M_s|^p \approx_p \mathbb{E}[M]_t^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $[M]$ is the quadratic variation of M , i.e.,

$$[M]_t := \mathbb{P} - \lim_{\text{mesh}(\pi) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^N |M(t_n) - M(t_{n-1})|^2, \quad (1.2)$$

where the limit in probability is taken over partitions $\pi = \{0 = t_0 < \dots < t_N = t\}$ whose mesh approaches 0. Later, Burkholder [9, 10] and Kallenberg and Sztencel [39] extended (1.1) to Hilbert space-valued martingales (see also [52]). They showed that if M is a martingale with values in a Hilbert space H satisfying $M_0 = 0$, then for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $t \geq 0$ one has

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \approx_p \mathbb{E}[M]_t^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the quadratic variation $[M]$ is defined as in (1.2) with absolute values replaced by norms in H . A further result along these lines was obtained recently by Veraar and the author [80], who showed that if M is an L^p -bounded martingale, $1 < p < \infty$, with

$M_0 = 0$, that takes values in a UMD Banach function space X over a measure space (S, Σ, μ) (see Sections 2 and 8 for the definition), then for all $t \geq 0$:

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s(\sigma)\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \|[M(\sigma)]_t^\frac{1}{2}\|^p, \tag{1.4}$$

where the quadratic variation $[M(\sigma)]_t$ is considered pointwise in $\sigma \in S$. Although this inequality seems to be particularly useful from a practical point of view, it does not give any hint how to work with a general Banach space since not every (UMD) Banach space has a Banach function space structure (e.g. noncommutative L^q -spaces).

Notice that (1.3)-type inequalities obtained for general Banach spaces could be of big interest in the area of mathematical physics for the following two reasons. First, vector-valued stochastic analysis is closely tied to vector-valued harmonic analysis; in particular, inequalities of the form (1.3) could yield sharp bounds for *Fourier multipliers* (i.e. operators of the form $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m\mathcal{F}f)$, where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform, \mathcal{F}^{-1} is its inverse, and m is a bounded function). Such operators acting on L^p , Sobolev, Hölder, and Besov spaces naturally appear in PDE theory while working with the frequency space (see e.g. [1,32,34,36,41,48]). A notable example of such an interaction was demonstrated by Bourgain [4] and Burkholder [7] in the case of the Hilbert transform (see also [64,65,82]).

Second, as we will show in Section 7, (1.3) [and its Banach space-valued analogue (1.5)] provides us with sharp bounds for Banach space-valued stochastic integrals with respect to a general martingale. This in turn might be helpful for showing solution existence and uniqueness together with basic L^p estimates for SPDEs containing non-gaussian noise regularly exploited in models in physics and economics (such as α -stable or general Lévy processes, see e.g. [21,31]). There is a rich set of instruments (see e.g. those for stochastic evolution equations with Wiener noise explored by van Neerven et al. in [60]) which could help one to convert Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequalities and stochastic integral estimates into the corresponding assertions needed. We refer the reader to Section 7 and [14,30,43,44,59,60,79] for further details on stochastic integration in infinite dimensions and its applications in SPDEs.

In connection with all of the above the following natural question is rising up. *Given a Banach space X . Is there an analogue of (1.3) for a general X -valued local martingale M and how then should the right-hand side of (1.3) look like?* In the current article we present the following complete solution to this problem for local martingales M with values in a UMD Banach space X .

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a UMD Banach space. Then for any local martingale $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ with $M_0 = 0$ and any $t \geq 0$ the covariation bilinear form $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ is well-defined and bounded almost surely, and for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)^p. \tag{1.5}$$

Here $\gamma(V)$, where $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given nonnegative symmetric bilinear form, is the L^2 -norm of an X -valued Gaussian random variable ξ with

$$\mathbb{E} \langle \xi, x^* \rangle^2 = V(x^*, x^*), \quad x^* \in X^*.$$

We call $\gamma(V)$ the *Gaussian characteristic* of V (see Section 3).

Let us explain briefly the main steps of the proof of Theorem 1.1. This discussion will also clarify the meaning of the term on the right-hand side, which is equivalent to

the right-hand side of (1.3) if X is a Hilbert space, and of (1.4) (up to a multiplicative constant) if X is a UMD Banach function space.

In Section 2 we start by proving the discrete-time version of Theorem 1.1, which takes the following simple form

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{1 \leq m \leq N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $(d_n)_{n=1}^N$ is an X -valued martingale difference sequence and $(\gamma_n)_{n=1}^N$ is a sequence of independent standard Gaussian random variables defined on a probability space $(\Omega_\gamma, \mathbb{P}_\gamma)$. (1.6) follows from a decoupling inequality due to Garling [22] and a martingale transform inequality due to Burkholder [8] (each of which holds if and only if X has the UMD property) together with the equivalence of Rademacher and Gaussian random sums with values in spaces with finite cotype due to Maurey and Pisier (see [53]).

Theorem 1.1 is derived from (1.6) by finite-dimensional approximation and discretization. This is a rather intricate procedure and depends on some elementary, but nevertheless important properties of a Gaussian characteristic $\gamma(\cdot)$. In particular in Section 3 we show that for a finite dimensional Banach space X there exists a proper continuous extension of the Gaussian characteristic to all (not necessarily nonnegative) symmetric bilinear forms $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with the bound

$$(\gamma(V))^2 \lesssim_X \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} V(x^*, x^*).$$

Next, in Section 5, under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 we show that M has a well-defined *covariation bilinear form*, i.e. for each $t \geq 0$ and for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ there exists a symmetric bilinear form $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t(\omega) : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ one has

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*) = [\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle M, y^* \rangle]_t \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Existence of such a covariance bilinear form in the nonhilbertian setting used to be an open problem since 1970's (see e.g. Meyer [56, p. 448] and Métivier [54, p. 156]; see also [2, 28, 74, 79]). In Section 5 we show that such a covariation exists in the UMD case. Moreover, in Proposition 5.5 we show that the process $\llbracket M \rrbracket$ has an *increasing adapted càdlàg* version.

Next we prove that the bilinear form $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t(\omega)$ has a finite Gaussian characteristic $\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)$ for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$. After these preparations we prove Theorem 1.1. We also show that the UMD property is necessary for the conclusion of the theorem to hold true (see Subsection 7.3).

In Section 6 we develop three ramifications of our main result:

- If M is continuous, the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 holds for all $0 < p < \infty$.
- If M is purely discontinuous, the theorem can be reformulated in terms of the jumps of M .
- If M has independent increments, the UMD assumption on X can be weakened to reflexivity and finite cotype.

The first two cases are particularly important in view of the fact that any UMD space-valued local martingale has a unique *Meyer–Yoeurp decomposition* into a sum of a continuous local martingale and a purely discontinuous local martingale (see [84, 85]).

A reasonable part of the paper, namely Section 7, is devoted to applications of Theorem 1.1 and results related to Theorem 1.1. Let us outline some of them. In Subsection 7.1 we develop a theory of vector-valued stochastic integration. Our starting point is a result of van Neerven, Veraar, and Weis [59]. They proved that if W_H is a cylindrical Brownian motion in a Hilbert space H and $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ is an elementary predictable process, then for all $0 < p < \infty$ and $t \geq 0$ one has the two-sided inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| \int_0^s \Phi \, dW_H \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|\Phi\|_{\gamma(L^2([0, t]; H), X)}^p. \tag{1.7}$$

Here $\|\Phi\|_{\gamma(L^2([0, t]; H), X)}$ is the γ -radonifying norm of Φ as an operator from a Hilbert space $L^2([0, t]; H)$ into X (see (2.1) for the definition); this norm coincides with the Hilbert–Schmidt norm given X is a Hilbert space. This result was extended to continuous local martingales in [77, 79].

Theorem 1.1 directly implies (1.7). More generally, if $M = \int \Phi \, d\tilde{M}$ for some H -valued martingale \tilde{M} and elementary predictable process $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, X)$, then it follows from Theorem 1.1 that for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $t \geq 0$ one has

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| \int_0^s \Phi \, d\tilde{M} \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(0, t; [\tilde{M}], X))}^p. \tag{1.8}$$

Here $q_{\tilde{M}}$ is the quadratic variation derivative of \tilde{M} and $\gamma(L^2(0, t; [\tilde{M}], X))$ is a suitable space of γ -radonifying operator associated with \tilde{M} (see Subsection 7.1 for details). This represents a significant improvement of (1.7).

In Subsection 7.2 we apply our results to vector-valued stochastic integrals with respect to a compensated Poisson random measure \tilde{N} . We show that if N is a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times J$ for some measurable space (J, \mathcal{J}) , ν is its compensator, $\tilde{N} := N - \nu$ is the corresponding compensated Poisson random measure, then for any UMD Banach space X , for any elementary predictable $F : J \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$, and for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ one has that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| \int_{J \times [0, s]} F \, d\tilde{N} \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|F\|_{\gamma(L^2(J \times [0, t]; N), X)}^p, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{1.9}$$

We also show that (1.9) holds if one considers a general quasi-left continuous random measure μ instead of N .

In Subsection 7.4 we prove the following *martingale domination inequality*: for all local martingales M and N with values in a UMD Banach space X such that

$$\|N_0\| \leq \|M_0\| \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

and

$$[\langle N, x^* \rangle]_\infty \leq [\langle M, x^* \rangle]_\infty \quad \text{almost surely, for all } x^* \in X^*,$$

for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|N_t\|^p \lesssim_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p.$$

This extends *weak differential subordination* L^p -estimates obtained in [82, 84] (which used to be known to hold only for $1 < p < \infty$, see [65, 82, 84]).

Finally, in Section 8, we prove that for any UMD Banach function space X over a measure space (S, Σ, μ) , that any X -valued local martingale M has a pointwise local martingale version $M(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in S$, such that if $1 \leq p < \infty$, then for μ -almost all $\sigma \in S$ one has

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s(\sigma)\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|[M(\sigma)]_t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^p$$

for all $t \geq 0$, which extends (1.4) to the case $p = 1$ and general local martingales.

In conclusion we wish to notice that it remains open whether one can find a *predictable* right-hand side in (1.5): so far such a predictable right-hand side was explored only in the real-valued case and in the case $X = L^q(S)$, $1 < q < \infty$, see *Burkholder–Novikov–Rosenthal inequalities* in the forthcoming paper [18]. This problem might be resolved via using recently discovered *decoupled tangent martingales*, see [83].

2. Burkholder–Davis–Gundy Inequalities: The Discrete Time Case

Let us show discrete Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequalities. First we will provide the reader with the definitions of UMD Banach spaces and γ -radonifying operators. A Banach space X is called a *UMD space* if for some (equivalently, for all) $p \in (1, \infty)$ there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 1$, every martingale difference sequence $(d_j)_{j=1}^n$ in $L^p(\Omega; X)$, and every $\{-1, 1\}$ -valued sequence $(\varepsilon_j)_{j=1}^n$ we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j d_j \right\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \beta \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \right\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The least admissible constant β is denoted by $\beta_{p, X}$ and is called the *UMD constant*. It is well known (see [32, Chapter 4]) that $\beta_{p, X} \geq p^* - 1$ and that $\beta_{p, H} = p^* - 1$ for a Hilbert space H . We refer the reader to [11, 25, 32, 33, 49, 66, 70] for details.

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, X be a Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, X)$. Then T is called *γ -radonifying* if

$$\|T\|_{\gamma(H, X)} := \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n T h_n \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

where $(h_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis of H , and $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of standard Gaussian random variables (otherwise we set $\|T\|_{\gamma(H, X)} := \infty$). Note that $\|T\|_{\gamma(H, X)}$ *does not depend* on the choice of $(h_n)_{n \geq 1}$ (see [33, Section 9.2] and [58] for details). Often we will call $\|T\|_{\gamma(H, X)}$ the *γ -norm* of T . γ -norms are exceptionally important in analysis as they are easily computable and enjoy a number of useful properties such as the ideal property, γ -multiplier theorems, Fubini-type theorems, etc., see [33, 58].

Now we are able state and prove discrete UMD-valued Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequalities.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $(d_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an X -valued martingale difference sequence. Then for any $1 \leq p < \infty$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p. \quad (2.2)$$

For the proof we will need *Rademacher random variables*.

Definition 2.2. A real-valued random variable r is called *Rademacher* if $\mathbb{P}(r = 1) = \mathbb{P}(r = -1) = 1/2$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Without loss of generality we may assume that there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $d_n = 0$ for all $n > N$. Let $(r_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent Rademacher random variables, $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent standard Gaussian random variables. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p &\stackrel{(i)}{\approx}_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_r \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m r_n d_n \right\|^p \stackrel{(ii)}{\approx}_p \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_r \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N r_n d_n \right\|^p \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{\approx}_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n \right\|^p \stackrel{(iv)}{\approx}_p \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where (i) follows from [8, (8.22)], (ii) holds by [33, Proposition 6.1.12], (iii) follows from [33, Corollary 7.2.10 and Proposition 7.3.15], and (iv) follows from [33, Proposition 6.3.1]. \square

Remark 2.3. Note that if we collect all the constants in (2.3), then the final constant will depend only on p and $\beta_{2,X}$ (or $\beta_{q,X}$ for any fixed $1 < q < \infty$).

Remark 2.4. If we collect all the constants in (2.3) then one can see that those constants behave well as $p \rightarrow 1$, i.e. for any $1 < r < \infty$ there exist positive $C_{r,X}$ and $c_{r,X}$ such that for any $1 \leq p \leq r$

$$c_{r,X} \mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p \leq C_{r,X} \mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p.$$

Remark 2.5. Fix $1 < p < \infty$ and a UMD Banach space X . By Doob's maximal inequality (4.1) and Theorem 2.1 we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty d_n \right\|^p \approx_p \mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p.$$

Let us find the constants in the equivalence

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty d_n \right\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p.$$

Since X is UMD, it has a finite cotype q (see [33, Definition 7.1.1. and Proposition 7.3.15]), and therefore by modifying (2.3) (using decoupling inequalities [32, p. 282] instead of [8, (8.22)] and [33, Proposition 6.1.12]) one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\beta_{p,X} c_{p,X}} \left(\mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 2\beta_{p,X} \kappa_{p,2} \left(\mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{p,X}$ depends on p , the cotype of X , and the Gaussian cotype constant of X (see [33, Proposition 7.3.15]), while $\kappa_{p,q}$ is the Kahane–Khinchin constant (see [33, Section 6.2]).

Remark 2.6. Theorem 2.1 can be extended to general convex functions. Indeed, let X be a UMD Banach space, $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a convex increasing function such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\phi(2\lambda) \leq c\phi(\lambda), \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for some fixed $c > 0$. Then from a standard good- λ inequality argument due to Burkholder (see [8, Remark 8.3], [6, Lemma 7.1], and [7, pp. 1000–1001]) we imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\phi\left(\sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\| \right) &\stackrel{(i)}{\sim}_{\phi,X} \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}_r\phi\left(\sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m r_n d_n \right\| \right) \stackrel{(ii)}{\sim}_{\phi} \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}_r\phi\left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N r_n d_n \right\| \right) \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{\sim}_{\phi,X} \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}_\gamma\phi\left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n \right\| \right) \stackrel{(iv)}{\sim}_{\phi} \mathbb{E}\phi\left(\mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n \right\| \right) \\ &\stackrel{(v)}{\sim}_{\phi} \mathbb{E}\phi\left(\left(\mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n \right\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \mathbb{E}\phi\left(\|d_n\|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^\infty\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where (i) and (iii) follow from good- λ inequalities [8, (8.22)], (ii) follows from [33, Proposition 6.1.12], (iv) holds by [16, Corollary 2.7.9], Doob’s maximal inequality (4.1), and (2.4), and (v) follows from (2.4) and Kahane–Khinchin inequalities [33, Theorem 6.2.6]. Note that as in Remark 2.3 the final constant in (2.5) will depend only on ϕ and $\beta_{2,X}$ (or $\beta_{q,X}$ for any fixed $1 < q < \infty$).

In the following theorem we show that X having the UMD property is necessary for Theorem 2.1 to hold.

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a Banach space and $1 \leq p < \infty$ be such that (2.2) holds for any martingale difference sequence $(d_n)_{n \geq 1}$. Then X is UMD.*

Proof. Note that for any set $(x_n)_{n=1}^N$ of elements of X and for any $[-1, 1]$ -valued sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n=1}^N$ we have that $\|(\varepsilon_n x_n)_{n=1}^N\|_{\gamma(\ell_N^2, X)} \leq \|x_n\|_{\gamma(\ell_N^2, X)}$ by the ideal property (see [33, Theorem 9.1.10]). Therefore if (2.2) holds for any X -valued martingale difference sequence $(d_n)_{n \geq 1}$, then we have that for any $[-1, 1]$ -valued sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \varepsilon_n d_n \right\|^p \lesssim_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p. \quad (2.6)$$

If $p > 1$, then (2.6) together with (4.1) implies the UMD property. If $p = 1$, then (2.6) for $p = 1$ implies (2.6) for any $p > 1$ (see [32, Theorem 3.5.4]), and hence it again implies UMD. \square

Now we turn to the continuous-time case. It turns out that in this case the right-hand side of (2.2) transforms to a so-called *Gaussian characteristic* of a certain bilinear form generated by a quadratic variation of the corresponding martingale. Therefore before proving our main result (Theorem 5.1) we will need to outline some basic properties of a Gaussian characteristic (see Section 3). We will also need some preliminaries concerning continuous-time Banach space-valued martingales (see Section 4).

3. Gaussian Characteristics

The current section is devoted to the definition and some basic properties of one of the main objects of the paper—a Gaussian characteristic of a bilinear form. Many of the statements here might seem to be obvious for the reader. Nevertheless we need to show them before reaching our main Theorem 5.1.

3.1. Basic definitions. Let us first recall some basic facts on Gaussian measures. Let X be a Banach space. An X -valued random variable ξ is called *Gaussian* if $\langle \xi, x^* \rangle$ has a Gaussian distribution for all $x^* \in X^*$. Gaussian random variables enjoy a number of useful properties (see [3, 45]). We will need the following *Gaussian covariance domination inequality* (see [3, Corollary 3.3.7] and [33, Theorem 6.1.25] for the case $\phi = \|\cdot\|^p$).

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a Banach space, ξ, η be centered X -valued Gaussian random variables. Assume that $\mathbb{E}\langle \eta, x^* \rangle^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\langle \xi, x^* \rangle^2$ for all $x^* \in X^*$. Then $\mathbb{E}\phi(\eta) \leq \mathbb{E}\phi(\xi)$ for any convex symmetric continuous function $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.*

Let X be a Banach space. We denote the linear space of all continuous \mathbb{R} -valued bilinear forms on $X \times X$ by $X^* \otimes X^*$. Note that this linear space can be endowed with the following natural norm:

$$\|V\| := \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} |V(x, x)|, \quad (3.1)$$

where the latter expression is finite due to bilinearity and continuity of V . A bilinear form V is called *nonnegative* if $V(x, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$, and V is called *symmetric* if $V(x, y) = V(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Let X be a Banach space, ξ be a centered X -valued Gaussian random variable. Then ξ has a *covariance bilinear form* $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$V(x^*, y^*) = \mathbb{E}\langle \xi, x^* \rangle \langle \xi, y^* \rangle, \quad x^*, y^* \in X^*.$$

Notice that a covariance bilinear form is always continuous, symmetric, and nonnegative. It is worth noticing that one usually considers a *covariance operator* $Q : X^* \rightarrow X^{**}$ defined by

$$\langle Qx^*, y^* \rangle = \mathbb{E}\langle \xi, x^* \rangle \langle \xi, y^* \rangle, \quad x^*, y^* \in X^*.$$

But since there exists a simple one-to-one correspondence between bilinear forms and $\mathcal{L}(X^*, X^{**})$, we will work with covariance bilinear forms instead. We refer the reader to [3, 14, 27, 75] for details.

Let $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear form. Then V is said to have a finite *Gaussian characteristic* $\gamma(V)$ if there exists a centered X -valued Gaussian random variable ξ such that V is the covariance bilinear form of ξ . Then we set $\gamma(V) := (\mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (this value is finite due to the Fernique theorem, see [3, Theorem 2.8.5]). Otherwise we set $\gamma(V) = \infty$. Note that then for all $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ one has the following control of continuity of V :

$$\begin{aligned} |V(x^*, x^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} - V(y^*, y^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}| &= (\mathbb{E}|\langle \xi, x^* \rangle|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\mathbb{E}|\langle \xi, y^* \rangle|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (\mathbb{E}|\langle \xi, x^* - y^* \rangle|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (\mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|x^* - y^*\| = \|x^* - y^*\| \gamma(V). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Remark 3.2. Note that for any V with $\gamma(V) < \infty$ the distribution of the corresponding centered X -valued Gaussian random variable ξ is *uniquely determined* (see [3, Chapter 2]).

Remark 3.3. Note that if X is finite dimensional, then $\gamma(V) < \infty$ for any nonnegative symmetric bilinear form V . Indeed, in this case X is isomorphic to a finite dimensional Hilbert space H , so there exists an eigenbasis $(h_n)_{n=1}^d$ making V diagonal, and then the corresponding Gaussian random variable will be equal to $\xi := \sum_{n=1}^d V(h_n, h_n) \gamma_n h_n$, where $(\gamma_n)_{n=1}^d$ are independent standard Gaussian.

3.2. *Basic properties of $\gamma(\cdot)$.* Later we will need the following technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a reflexive (separable) Banach space, $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear form. Then there exist a (separable) Hilbert space H and $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ such that*

$$V(x^*, y^*) = \langle T^* x^*, T^* y^* \rangle, \quad x^*, y^* \in X^*.$$

Proof. See [5, pp. 57–58] or [45, p. 154]. \square

The following lemma connects Gaussian characteristics and γ -norms [see (2.1)] and it can be found e.g. in [58, Theorem 7.4] or in [5, 61].

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be a separable Banach space, H be a separable Hilbert space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, X)$, $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear form such that $V(x^*, y^*) = \langle T^* x^*, T^* y^* \rangle$ for all $x^*, y^* \in X^*$. Then $\gamma(V) = \|T\|_{\gamma(H, X)}$.*

Remark 3.6. Fix a Hilbert space H and a Banach space X . Note that even though by the lemma above there exists a natural embedding of γ -radonifying operators from $\mathcal{L}(H, X)$ to the space of symmetric nonnegative bilinear forms on $X^* \times X^*$, this embedding is neither *injective* nor *linear*. This also explains why we need to use bilinear forms with finite Gaussian characteristics instead of γ -radonifying operators: in the proof of our main result—Theorem 5.1—we will need various statements (like triangular inequalities and convergence theorems) for *bilinear forms*, not *operators*.

Now we will prove some statements about approximation of nonnegative symmetric bilinear forms by finite dimensional ones in $\gamma(\cdot)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space, $Y \subset X^*$ be a finite dimensional subspace. Let $P : Y \hookrightarrow X^*$ be an inclusion operator. Let $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $V_0 : Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear forms such that $V_0(x_0^*, y_0^*) = V(Px_0^*, Py_0^*)$ for all $x_0^*, y_0^* \in Y$. Then $\gamma(V_0)$ is well-defined and $\gamma(V_0) \leq \gamma(V)$.*

Proof. First of all notice that $\gamma(V_0)$ is well-defined since Y is finite dimensional, hence reflexive, and thus has a predual space coinciding with its dual. Without loss of generality assume that $\|V\|_{\gamma} < \infty$. Let ξ_V be a centered X -valued Gaussian random variable with V as the covariance bilinear form. Define $\xi_{V_0} := P^* \xi_V$ (note that $Y^* \hookrightarrow X$ due to the Hahn-Banach theorem). Then for all $x_0^*, y_0^* \in X_0^*$

$$\mathbb{E} \langle \xi_{V_0}, x_0^* \rangle \langle \xi_{V_0}, y_0^* \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle \xi_V, Px_0^* \rangle \langle \xi_V, Py_0^* \rangle = V(Px_0^*, Py_0^*) = V_0(x_0^*, y_0^*),$$

so V_0 is the covariance bilinear form of ξ_{V_0} and since $\|P^*\| = \|P\| = 1$

$$\gamma(V_0) = (\mathbb{E}\|\xi_{V_0}\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\mathbb{E}\|P^*\xi_V\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (\mathbb{E}\|\xi_V\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \gamma(V). \quad (3.3)$$

□

Proposition 3.8. *Let X be a separable reflexive Banach space, $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear form. Let $Y_1 \subset Y_2 \subset \dots \subset Y_m \subset \dots$ be a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of X^* with $\overline{\cup_m Y_m} = X^*$. Then for each $m \geq 1$ a symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear form $V_m = V|_{Y_m \times Y_m}$ is well-defined and $\gamma(V_m) \rightarrow \gamma(V)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. First of all notice that V_m 's are well-defined since each of the Y_m is finite dimensional, hence reflexive, and thus has a predual space coinciding with its dual (which we will call X_m and which can even be embedded into X due to the Hahn-Banach theorem). Let $P_m : Y_m \hookrightarrow X^*$ be the inclusion operator (thus is particular $\|P_m\| \leq 1$). Let a Hilbert space H and an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ be as constructed in Lemma 3.4. Let $(h_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis of H , and $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of standard Gaussian random variables. For each $N \geq 1$ define a centered Gaussian random variable $\xi_N := \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n T h_n$. Then for each $m \geq 1$ the centered Gaussian random variable $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n P_m^* T h_n$ is well-defined (since $P_m^* T$ has a finite rank, and every finite rank operator has a finite γ -norm, see [33, Section 9.2]), and for any $x^* \in Y_m$ we have that

$$V_m(x^*, x^*) = V(x^*, x^*) = \|T^* x^*\|^2 = \|T^* P_m x^*\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n P_m^* T h_n, x^* \right\rangle^2,$$

so V_m is the covariance bilinear form of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n P_m^* T h_n$, and

$$\gamma(V_m) = \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n P_m^* T h_n \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| P_m^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n T h_n \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The latter expression converges to $\gamma(V)$ by Lemma 3.5 and due to the fact that $\|P_m^* x\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ monotonically for each $x \in X$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. □

The next lemma provides the Gaussian characteristic with the triangular inequality.

Lemma 3.9. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space, $V, W : X^* \times X^*$ be symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear forms. Then $\gamma(V + W) \leq \gamma(V) + \gamma(W)$.*

Proof. If $\max\{\gamma(V), \gamma(W)\} = \infty$ then the lemma is obvious. Let $\gamma(V), \gamma(W) < \infty$. Let ξ_V and ξ_W be X -valued centered Gaussian random variables corresponding to V and W respectively. Without loss of generality we can set ξ_V and ξ_W independent. Let $\xi_{V+W} = \xi_V + \xi_W$. Then ξ_{V+W} is an X -valued centered Gaussian random variable (see [3]) and for any $x^* \in X^*$ due to the independence of ξ_V and ξ_W

$$\mathbb{E} \langle \xi_{V+W}, x^* \rangle^2 = \mathbb{E} \langle \xi_V + \xi_W, x^* \rangle^2 = \mathbb{E} \langle \xi_V, x^* \rangle^2 + \mathbb{E} \langle \xi_W, x^* \rangle^2 = (V + W)(x^*, x^*).$$

So ξ_{V+W} has $V + W$ as the covariation bilinear form, and therefore

$$\gamma(V + W) = (\mathbb{E}\|\xi_{V+W}\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (\mathbb{E}\|\xi_V\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\mathbb{E}\|\xi_W\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \gamma(V) + \gamma(W).$$

□

Now we discuss such important properties of $\gamma(\cdot)$ as monotonicity and monotone continuity.

Lemma 3.10. *Let X be a separable Banach space, $V, W : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear forms such that $W(x^*, x^*) \leq V(x^*, x^*)$ for all $x^* \in X^*$. Then $\gamma(W) \leq \gamma(V)$.*

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma 3.5 and [33, Theorem 9.4.1]. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let X be a separable reflexive Banach space, $Y \subset X^*$ be a dense subset, $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear forms on $X^* \times X^*$ such that $V_n(x^*, x^*) \rightarrow 0$ for any $x^* \in Y$ monotonically as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume additionally that $\gamma(V_n) < \infty$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then $\gamma(V_n) \rightarrow 0$ monotonically as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $\gamma(V_1) < \infty$. Note that by Lemma 3.10 the sequence $(\gamma(V_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is monotone and bounded by $\gamma(V_1)$. First of all notice that $V_n(x^*, x^*) \rightarrow 0$ for any $x^* \in X^*$ monotonically as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, fix $x^* \in X^*$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ fix $x_\varepsilon^* \in Y$ such that $\|x^* - x_\varepsilon^*\| < \varepsilon$. Then $(V_n(x_\varepsilon^*, x_\varepsilon^*))_{n \geq 1}$ vanishes monotonically, and

$$|V_n(x^*, x^*)^{1/2} - V_n(x_\varepsilon^*, x_\varepsilon^*)^{1/2}| \leq \|x^* - x_\varepsilon^*\| \gamma(V_n) \leq \varepsilon \gamma(V_1),$$

by (3.2). Thus $(V_n(x^*, x^*))_{n \geq 1}$ vanishes monotonically if we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

By Lemma 3.4 we may assume that there exists a separable Hilbert space H and a sequence of operators $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ from H to X such that $V_n(x^*, x^*) = \|T_n^* x^*\|^2$ for all $x^* \in X^*$ (note that we are working with one Hilbert space since all the separable Hilbert spaces are isometrically isomorphic). Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ be the zero operator. Then $T_n^* x^* \rightarrow T^* x^* = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x^* \in X^*$, and hence by [33, Theorem 9.4.2], Lemma 3.5, and the fact that $\|T_n x^*\| \leq \|T_1 x^*\|$ for all $x^* \in X^*$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(V_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\|_{\gamma(H, X)} = \|T\|_{\gamma(H, X)} = 0.$$

\square

The following lemma follows for Lemmas 3.9 and 3.11.

Lemma 3.12. *Let X be a separable reflexive Banach space, $Y \subset X^*$ be a dense subset, $V, (V_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be symmetric continuous nonnegative bilinear forms on $X^* \times X^*$ such that $V_n(x^*, x^*) \nearrow V(x^*, x^*)$ for any $x^* \in Y$ monotonically as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\gamma(V_n) \nearrow \gamma(V)$ monotonically as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

3.3. $\gamma(\cdot)$ and $\gamma(\cdot)^2$ are not norms. Notice that $\gamma(\cdot)$ is not a norm. Indeed, it is easy to see that $\gamma(\alpha V) = \sqrt{\alpha} \gamma(V)$ for any $\alpha \geq 0$ and any nonnegative symmetric bilinear form V : if we fix any X -valued Gaussian random variable ξ having V as its covariance bilinear form, then $\sqrt{\alpha} \xi$ has αV as its covariance bilinear form.

It is a natural question whether $\gamma(\cdot)^2$ satisfies the triangle inequality and hence has the norm properties. It is easy to check the triangle inequality if X is Hilbert: indeed, for any V and W

$$\gamma(V + W)^2 = \mathbb{E} \|\xi_{V+W}\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \|\xi_V\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\xi_W\|^2 + 2\mathbb{E} \langle \xi_V, \xi_W \rangle = \gamma(V)^2 + \gamma(W)^2,$$

where ξ_V, ξ_W , and ξ_{V+W} are as in the latter proof.

It turns out that if such a triangular inequality holds for some Banach space X , then this Banach space must have a *Gaussian type 2* (see [33, Subsection 7.1.d]). Indeed, let X be such that for all nonnegative symmetric bilinear forms V and W on $X^* \times X^*$

$$\gamma(V + W)^2 \leq \gamma(V)^2 + \gamma(W)^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Fix $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \subset X$ and a sequence of independent standard Gaussian random variables $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^n$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ define a symmetric bilinear form $V_i : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $V_i(x^*, y^*) := \langle x_i, x^* \rangle \cdot \langle x_i, y^* \rangle$. Let $V = V_1 + \dots + V_n$. Then by (3.4) and the induction argument

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i \right\|^2 \stackrel{(*)}{=} \gamma(V)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma(V_i)^2 \stackrel{(**)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \|\xi_i x_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2,$$

where $(*)$ follows from the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i$ is a centered Gaussian random variable the fact that for all $x^*, y^* \in X^*$

$$\mathbb{E} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i, x^* \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i, y^* \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, x^* \rangle \cdot \langle x_i, y^* \rangle = V(x^*, y^*),$$

while $(**)$ follows analogously by exploiting the fact that $\xi_i x_i$ is a centered Gaussian random variable with the covariance bilinear form V_i . Therefore by [33, Definition 7.1.17], X has a Gaussian type 2 with the corresponding Gaussian type constant $\tau_{2,X}^\gamma = 1$. In the following proposition we show that this condition yields that X is Hilbert, and thus we conclude that $\gamma(\cdot)^2$ defines a norm if and only if X is a Hilbert space.

Proposition 3.13. *Let X be a Banach space such that its Gaussian type 2 constant equals 1. Then X is Hilbert.*

Proof. Due to the parallelogram identity it is sufficient to show that every two dimensional space of X is Hilbert; consequently, without loss of generality we can assume that X is two dimensional. We need to show that the unit ball of X is an ellipse as any ellipse corresponds to an inner product (see e.g. [15]). Let $B \in X \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit ball of X . Then by [71, Theorem 1] there exists an ellipse $E \in X$ containing B such that ∂B and ∂E intersect in at least two pairs of points. Let us denote these pairs by $(x_1, -x_1)$ and $(x_2, -x_2)$. Notice that both x_1 and x_2 are nonzero and are not collinear. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the norm associated to E . Then

$$\|x\| \leq \|x\|, \quad x \in X \tag{3.5}$$

as $B \subset E$, and $\|x_1\| = \|x_1\| = \|x_2\| = \|x_2\| = 1$ (as both points are in $\partial B \cap \partial E$). Note that X endowed with $\|\cdot\|$ is a Hilbert space by [15], thus it has an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be independent standard Gaussian random variables. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \gamma_1^2 \|x_1\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \gamma_2^2 \|x_2\|^2 + \mathbb{E} 2\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_E \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|\gamma_1 x_1 + \gamma_2 x_2\|^2 \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \mathbb{E} \|\gamma_1 x_1 + \gamma_2 x_2\|^2 \stackrel{(**)}{\leq} \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 = 2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $(*)$ holds by (3.5), and $(**)$ holds since $\tau_{2,X}^\gamma = 1$ (see [33, Definition 7.1.17]). Therefore we have that every inequality in the estimate above is actually an equality, and hence $\mathbb{E} \|\gamma_1 x_1 + \gamma_2 x_2\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \|\gamma_1 x_1 + \gamma_2 x_2\|^2$. Thus by (3.5) $\|\gamma_1 x_1 + \gamma_2 x_2\| =$

$\|\gamma_1 x_1 + \gamma_2 x_2\|$ a.s., and as x_1 and x_2 are not collinear and X is two dimensional, $\gamma_1 x_1 + \gamma_2 x_2$ has a nonzero distribution density on the whole X , so we have that $\|x\| = \|x\|$ for a.e. $x \in X$ (and by continuity for any $x \in X$), and the desired follows. \square

Remark 3.14. Assume that X has a Gaussian *cotype* 2 constant equals 1. Then the same proof will yield that X is Hilbert, but now one needs to find an ellipse E inside B such that ∂B and ∂E intersect in at least two pairs of points. In order to find such an ellipse it is sufficient to find an ellipse $E' \in X^*$ containing the unit ball $B' \subset X^*$ such that $\partial B'$ and $\partial E'$ intersect in at least two pairs of points, and then set B to be the unit ball of a space Y^* , where Y is a Hilbert space having E' as its unit ball. Then (3.6) will hold true but with \geq instead of \leq .

3.4. Finite dimensional case. Even though a Gaussian characteristic is well-defined only for some nonnegative symmetric forms, it can be extended in a proper continuous way to all the symmetric forms given X is finite dimensional. Let X be a finite dimensional Banach space. Notice that in this case $\gamma(V) < \infty$ for any nonnegative symmetric bilinear form V (see Remark 3.3). Let us define $\gamma(V)$ for a general symmetric $V \in X^{**} \otimes X^{**} = X \otimes X$ in the following way:

$$\gamma(V) := \inf\{\gamma(V^+) + \gamma(V^-) : V^+, V^- \text{ are nonnegative and } V = V^+ - V^-\}. \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that $\gamma(V)$ is well-defined and finite for any symmetric V . Indeed, by a well known linear algebra fact (see e.g. [73, Theorem 6.6 and 6.10]) any symmetric bilinear form V has an eigenbasis $(x_n^*)_{n=1}^d$ of X^* that diagonalizes V , i.e. there exists $(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $(a_n)_{n=1}^d, (b_n)_{n=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that for $x^* = \sum_{n=1}^d a_n x_n^*$ and $y^* = \sum_{n=1}^d b_n x_n^*$

$$V(x^*, y^*) = \sum_{n=1}^d \sum_{m=1}^d a_n b_m V(x_n^*, x_m^*) = \sum_{n=1}^d \lambda_n a_n b_n.$$

Therefore it is sufficient to define

$$V^+(x^*, y^*) := \sum_{n=1}^d \mathbf{1}_{\lambda_n \geq 0} \lambda_n a_n b_n, \quad V^-(x^*, y^*) := \sum_{n=1}^d \mathbf{1}_{\lambda_n < 0} (-\lambda_n) a_n b_n$$

and then $\gamma(V) \leq \gamma(V^+) + \gamma(V^-) < \infty$ due to the fact that V^+ and V^- are nonnegative and by Remark 3.3. (In fact, one can check that $\gamma(V) = \gamma(V^+) + \gamma(V^-)$, but we will not need this later, so we leave this fact without a proof).

Now we will develop some basic and *elementary* (but nonetheless important) properties of such a general $\gamma(\cdot)$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative symmetric bilinear form. Then $\gamma(V)$ defined by (3.7) coincides with $\gamma(V)$ defined in Subsection 3.1. In other words, these definitions agree given V is nonnegative.*

Proof. Fix nonnegative V^+ and V^- such that $V = V^+ - V^-$. Then $\gamma(V^+) + \gamma(V^-) = \gamma(V + V^-) + \gamma(V^-) \geq \gamma(V) + \gamma(V^-) \geq \gamma(V)$ by Lemma 3.10, so $\gamma(V)$ does not change. \square

Lemma 3.16. *Let $V, W : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be symmetric bilinear forms. Then $\gamma(V) - \gamma(W) \leq \gamma(V - W)$.*

Proof. Denote $V - W$ by U . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist symmetric nonnegative bilinear forms W^+, W^-, U^+, U^- such that $W = W^+ - W^-, U = U^+ - U^-$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(W) &\geq \gamma(W^+) + \gamma(W^-) - \varepsilon, \\ \gamma(U) &\geq \gamma(U^+) + \gamma(U^-) - \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Then since $V = U + W$ by (3.7) and Lemma 3.9

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(V) - \gamma(W) &= \gamma((W^+ + U^+) - (W^- + U^-)) - \gamma(W^+ - W^-) \\ &\leq \gamma(W^+ + U^+) + \gamma(W^- + U^-) - \gamma(W^+) - \gamma(W^-) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \gamma(U^+) + \gamma(U^-) + \varepsilon \leq \gamma(U) + 2\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

and by sending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we conclude the desired. \square

Lemma 3.17. *Let $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric bilinear form. Then $\gamma(V) = \gamma(-V)$ and $\gamma(\alpha V) = \sqrt{|\alpha|}\gamma(V)$ for any $\alpha \geq 0$.*

Proof. The first part follows directly from (3.7). For the second part we have that due to (3.7) it is enough to justify $\gamma(\alpha V) = \sqrt{\alpha}\gamma(V)$ only for nonnegative V , which was done in Subsection 3.3. \square

Proposition 3.18. *The function $\gamma(\cdot)$ defined by (3.7) is continuous on the linear space of all symmetric bilinear forms endowed with $\|\cdot\|$ defined by (3.1). Moreover, $\gamma(V)^2 \lesssim_X \|V\|$ for any symmetric bilinear form $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Due to Lemmas 3.16 and 3.17 it is sufficient to show that $\gamma(\cdot)$ is bounded on the unit ball with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in order to prove the first part of the proposition. Let us show this boundedness. Let U be a fixed symmetric nonnegative element of $X \otimes X$ such that $U + V$ is nonnegative and such that $U(x^*, x^*) \geq V(x^*, x^*)$ for any symmetric V with $\|V\| \leq 1$ (since X is finite dimensional, one can take $U(x^*) := c\|x^*\|^2$ for some Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X^* and some big enough constant $c > 0$). Fix a symmetric $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|V\| \leq 1$. Then $V = (U + V) - U$, and by (3.7)

$$\gamma(V) \leq \gamma(U + V) + \gamma(U) = \gamma(2U) + \gamma(U),$$

which does not depend on V .

Let us show the second part. Due to the latter consideration there exists a constant C_X depending only on X such that $\gamma(V) \leq C_X$ if $\|V\| \leq 1$. Therefore by Lemma 3.17 we have that for a general symmetric V

$$\gamma(V)^2 = \|V\|\gamma(V/\|V\|)^2 \leq C_X^2\|V\|.$$

\square

Later we will also need the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 3.19. *There exists vectors $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n$ in X^* such that*

$$\|V\| := \sum_{i=1}^n |V(x_i^*, x_i^*)| \tag{3.8}$$

defines a norm on the space of all symmetric bilinear forms on $X^ \times X^*$. In particular we have that $\|V\| \approx_X \|V\|$ for any symmetric bilinear form $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

We will demonstrate here the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Proof. First notice that $\|\cdot\|$ clearly satisfies the triangular inequality. Let us show that there exists a set $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n$ such that $\|V\| = 0$ implies $V = 0$. Let $(y_i^*)_{i=1}^d$ be a basis of X^* . Then there exist $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that

$$0 \neq V(y_i^*, y_j^*) = (V(y_i^* + y_j^*, y_i^* + y_j^*) - V(y_i^* - y_j^*, y_i^* - y_j^*))/4$$

(otherwise $V = 0$). This means that for these i and j

$$|V(y_i^* + y_j^*, y_i^* + y_j^*)| + |V(y_i^* - y_j^*, y_i^* - y_j^*)| \neq 0,$$

so in particular

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d |V(y_i^* + y_j^*, y_i^* + y_j^*)| + |V(y_i^* - y_j^*, y_i^* - y_j^*)| \neq 0.$$

It remains to notice that the latter sum has the form (3.8) for a proper choice of $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n$ independent of V .

In order to show the last part of the lemma we need to notice that the space of symmetric bilinear forms is finite dimensional if X is so, so all the norms on the linear space of symmetric bilinear forms are equivalent, and therefore $\|V\| \approx_X \|V\|$ for any symmetric bilinear form $V : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. \square

4. Preliminaries

We continue with some preliminaries concerning continuous-time martingales.

4.1. Banach space-valued martingales. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ which satisfies the usual conditions. Then \mathbb{F} is right-continuous (see [35,37] for details).

Let X be a Banach space. An adapted process $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called a *martingale* if $M_t \in L^1(\Omega; X)$ and $\mathbb{E}(M_t | \mathcal{F}_s) = M_s$ for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ (we refer the reader to [32] for the details on vector-valued integration and vector-valued conditional expectation). It is well known that in the real-valued case any martingale is *càdlàg* (i.e. has a version which is right-continuous and that has limits from the left-hand side). The same holds for a general X -valued martingale M as well (see [76,82]), so one can define $\Delta M_\tau := M_\tau - \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} M_{0 \vee (\tau - \varepsilon)}$ on $\{\tau < \infty\}$ for any stopping time τ .

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. A martingale $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called an *L^p -bounded martingale* if $M_t \in L^p(\Omega; X)$ for each $t \geq 0$ and there exists a limit $M_\infty := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t \in L^p(\Omega; X)$ in $L^p(\Omega; X)$ -sense. Since $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a convex function, and M is a martingale, $\|M\|$ is a submartingale by Jensen's inequality, and hence by Doob's inequality (see e.g. [40, Theorem 1.3.8(i)]) we have that for all $1 < p \leq \infty$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \approx_p \mathbb{E} \|M_t\|^p, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.1)$$

4.2. *Quadratic variation.* Let H be a Hilbert space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a local martingale. We define a *quadratic variation* of M in the following way:

$$[M]_t := \mathbb{P} - \lim_{\text{mesh} \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^N \|M(t_n) - M(t_{n-1})\|^2, \quad (4.2)$$

where the limit in probability is taken over partitions $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_N = t$. Note that $[M]$ exists and is nondecreasing a.s. The reader can find more on quadratic variations in [54, 55, 79] for the vector-valued setting, and in [37, 55, 67] for the real-valued setting.

As it was shown in [56, Proposition 1] (see also [69, Theorem 2.13] and [79, Example 3.19] for the continuous case) that for any H -valued martingale M there exists an adapted process $q_M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ which we will call a *quadratic variation derivative*, such that the trace of q_M does not exceed 1 on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$, q_M is self-adjoint nonnegative on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$, and for any $h, g \in H$ a.s.

$$[\langle M, h \rangle, \langle M, g \rangle]_t = \int_0^t \langle q_M^{1/2}(s)h, q_M^{1/2}(s)g \rangle d[M]_s, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.3)$$

For any martingales $M, N : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ we can define a *covariation* $[M, N] : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $[M, N] := \frac{1}{4}([M + N] - [M - N])$. Since M and N have càdlàg versions, $[M, N]$ has a càdlàg version as well (see [35, Theorem I.4.47] and [54]).

Let X be a Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a local martingale. Fix $t \geq 0$. Then M is said to have a *covariation bilinear form* from $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ at $t \geq 0$ if there exists a continuous bilinear form-valued random variable $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t : X^* \times X^* \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for any fixed $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ a.s. $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*) = [\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle M, y^* \rangle]_t$.

Remark 4.1. Let us outline some basic properties of the covariation bilinear forms, which follow directly from [37, Theorem 26.6] (here we presume the existence of $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ and $\llbracket N \rrbracket_t$ for all $t \geq 0$)

- (i) $t \mapsto \llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ is nondecreasing, i.e. $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t(x^*, x^*) \geq \llbracket M \rrbracket_s(x^*, x^*)$ a.s. for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $x^* \in X^*$,
- (ii) $\llbracket M \rrbracket^\tau = \llbracket M^\tau \rrbracket$ a.s. for any stopping time τ ,
- (iii) $\Delta \llbracket M \rrbracket_\tau(x^*, x^*) = |\langle \Delta M_\tau, x^* \rangle|^2$ a.s. for any stopping time τ .

Remark 4.2. If X is finite dimensional, then it is isomorphic to a Hilbert space, and hence existence of $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ follows from existence of $[M]_t$ with the following estimate a.s.

$$\|\llbracket M \rrbracket_t\| = \sup_{x^* \in X^*, \|x^*\| \leq 1} \llbracket M \rrbracket_t(x^*, x^*) = \sup_{x^* \in X^*, \|x^*\| \leq 1} [\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle M, x^* \rangle]_t \lesssim_X [M]_t.$$

For a general infinite dimensional Banach space the existence of $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ remains an open problem. In Theorem 5.1 we show that if X has the UMD property, then existence of $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ follows automatically; moreover, in this case $\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t) < \infty$ a.s. (see Section 3 and Theorem 5.1), which is way stronger than continuity.

5. Burkholder–Davis–Gundy Inequalities: The Continuous-Time Case

The following theorem is the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a UMD Banach space. Then for any local martingale $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ with $M_0 = 0$ and any $t \geq 0$ the covariation bilinear form $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ is well-defined and bounded almost surely, and for all $1 \leq p < \infty$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)^p. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Step 1: finite dimensional case. First note that in this case $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ exists and bounded a.s. due to Remark 4.2. Fix $1 \leq p < \infty$. By multidimensional Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequalities we may assume that both $\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p$ and $\mathbb{E} \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)^p$ are finite. For each $N \geq 1$ fix a partition $0 = t_1^N < \dots < t_{n_N}^N = t$ with the mesh not exceeding $1/N$. For each $\omega \in \Omega$ and $N \geq 1$ define a bilinear form $V_N : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$V_N(x^*, x^*) := \sum_{i=1}^{n_N} \langle M_{t_i^N} - M_{t_{i-1}^N}, x^* \rangle^2, \quad x^* \in X^*. \quad (5.2)$$

Note that $(M_{t_i^N} - M_{t_{i-1}^N})_{i=1}^{n_N}$ is a martingale difference sequence with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_{t_i^N})_{i=1}^{n_N}$, so by Theorem 2.1

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sup_{i=1}^{n_N} M_{t_i^N} \right\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E} \gamma \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_N} \gamma_i (M_{t_i^N} - M_{t_{i-1}^N}) \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = \mathbb{E} \gamma(V_N)^p, \quad (5.3)$$

where $(\gamma_i)_{i=1}^{n_N}$ is a sequence of independent Gaussian standard random variables, and the latter equality holds due to the fact that for any fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ the random variable $\sum_{i=1}^{n_N} \gamma_i (M_{t_i^N} - M_{t_{i-1}^N})(\omega)$ is Gaussian and by (5.2)

$$V_N(x^*, x^*) = \mathbb{E} \gamma \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n_N} \gamma_i (M_{t_i^N} - M_{t_{i-1}^N})(\omega), x^* \right\rangle^2, \quad x^* \in X^*.$$

Therefore it is sufficient to show that $\gamma(V_N - \llbracket M \rrbracket_t) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, if this is the case, then by (5.3) and by Lemma 3.16

$$\mathbb{E} \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)^p = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \gamma(V_N)^p \approx_{p,X} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sup_{i=1}^{n_N} M_{t_i^N} \right\|^p = \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p,$$

where the latter holds by the dominated convergence theorem as any martingale has a càdlàg version (see Subsection 4.1). Let us show this convergence. Note that by Proposition 3.18 and Lemma 3.19 a.s.

$$\gamma(V_N - \llbracket M \rrbracket_t)^2 \lesssim_X \|V_N - \llbracket M \rrbracket_t\| \lesssim_X \|\|V_N - \llbracket M \rrbracket_t\|\|$$

(where $\|\cdot\|$ is as in (3.8)) Therefore we need to show that $\|\|V_N - \llbracket M \rrbracket_t\|\| \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\Omega)$, which follows from the fact that for any x_i^* from Lemma 3.19, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have that

$$V_N(x_i^*, x_i^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_N} \langle M_{t_i^N} - M_{t_{i-1}^N}, x_i^* \rangle^2 \rightarrow [\langle M, x_i^* \rangle]_t$$

in $L^{\frac{p}{2}}$ -sense by [20, Théorème 2] and [12, Theorem 5.1].

Step 2: infinite dimensional case. First assume that M is an L^p -bounded martingale. Without loss of generality we can assume X to be separable. Since X is UMD, X is reflexive, so X^* is separable as well. Let $Y_1 \subset Y_2 \subset \dots \subset Y_n \subset \dots$ be a family of finite dimensional subspaces of X^* such that $\bigcup_n Y_n = X^*$. For each $n \geq 1$ let $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow X^*$ be the inclusion operator. Then $\|P_n^*\| \leq 1$ and P_n^*M is a well-defined Y_n^* -valued L^p -bounded martingale. By Step 1 this martingale a.s. has a covariation bilinear form $\llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t$ acting on $Y_n \times Y_n$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\gamma(\llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t)^p \stackrel{(*)}{\approx}_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|P_n^*M_s\|^p \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p, \quad (5.4)$$

where $(*)$ is independent of n due to [32, Proposition 4.2.17] and Remark 2.3. Note that a.s. $\llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t$ and $\llbracket P_m^*M \rrbracket_t$ agree for all $m \geq n \geq 1$, i.e. a.s.

$$\llbracket P_m^*M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*) = \llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*) = [\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle M, y^* \rangle]_t, \quad x^*, y^* \in Y_n. \quad (5.5)$$

Let $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ be a subset of measure 1 such that (5.5) holds for all $m \geq n \geq 1$. Fix $\omega \in \Omega_0$. Then by (5.5) we can define a bilinear form (not necessarily continuous!) V on $Y \times Y$ (where $Y := \bigcup_n Y_n \subset X^*$) such that $V(x^*, y^*) = \llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*)$ for all $x^*, y^* \in Y_n$ and $n \geq 1$.

Let us show that V is continuous (and hence has a continuous extension to $X^* \times X^*$) and $\gamma(V) < \infty$ a.s. on Ω_0 . Notice that by Lemma 3.7 the sequence $(\gamma(\llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t))_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing a.s. on Ω_0 . Moreover, by the monotone convergence theorem and (5.4) $(\gamma(\llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t))_{n \geq 1}$ has a limit a.s. on Ω_0 . Let $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_0$ be a subset of full measure such that $(\gamma(\llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t))_{n \geq 1}$ has a limit on Ω_1 . Then by (3.2) V is continuous on Ω_1 and hence has a continuous extension to $X^* \times X^*$ (which we will denote by V as well for simplicity). Then by Proposition 3.8 $\gamma(V) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t)$ monotonically on Ω_1 and hence by monotone convergence theorem and the fact that $\|P_n^*x\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ monotonically for all $x \in X$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|P_n^*M_s\|^p \approx_{p,X} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\gamma(\llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t)^p = \mathbb{E}(\gamma(V))^p.$$

It remains to show that $V = \llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ a.s., i.e. $V(x^*, x^*) = [\langle M, x^* \rangle]_t$ a.s. for any $x^* \in X^*$. If $x^* \in Y$, then the desired follows from the construction of V . Fix $x^* \in X^* \setminus Y$. Since Y is dense in X^* , there exists a Cauchy sequence $(x_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$ in Y converging to x^* . Then since $V(x_n^*, x_n^*) = [\langle M, x_n^* \rangle]_t$ a.s. for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |V(x_n^*, x_n^*) - [\langle M, x^* \rangle]_t|^{\frac{p}{2}} &\lesssim_p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\langle M, x^* - x_n^* \rangle]_t^{\frac{p}{2}} \approx_p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|\langle M, x^* - x_n^* \rangle|^p \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\|M\|^p \|x^* - x_n^*\|^p = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so due to a.s. continuity of V , $V(x^*, x^*)$ and $[\langle M, x^* \rangle]_t$ coincide a.s.

Now let M be a general local martingale. By a stopping time argument we can assume that M is an L^1 -bounded martingale, and then the existence of $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ follows from the case $p = 1$.

Let us now show (5.1). If the left-hand side is finite then M is an L^p -bounded martingale and the desired follows from the previous part of the proof. Let the left-hand side be infinite. Then it is sufficient to notice that by Step 1

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|P_n^*M_s\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E}\gamma(\llbracket P_n^*M \rrbracket_t)^p,$$

for any (finite or infinite) left-hand side, and the desired will follow as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by the fact that $\|P_n^* M_s\| \rightarrow \|M_s\|$ and $\gamma(\llbracket P_n^* M \rrbracket_t) \rightarrow \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)$ monotonically a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the monotone convergence theorem. \square

Remark 5.2. Note that X being a UMD Banach space is necessary in Theorem 5.1 (see Theorem 2.7 and [59]).

Remark 5.3. Because of Lemma 3.5 the reader may suggest that if X is a UMD Banach space, then for any X -valued local martingale M , for any $t \geq 0$, and for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$ there exist a natural choice of a Hilbert space $H(\omega)$ and a natural choice of an operator $T(\omega) \in \mathcal{L}(H(\omega), X)$ such that for all $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ a.s.

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*) = \langle T^* x^*, T^* y^* \rangle.$$

If this is the case, then by Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 5.1

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \|T\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^p.$$

Such a natural pair of $H(\omega)$ and $T(\omega)$, $\omega \in \Omega$, is known for purely discontinuous local martingales (see Theorem 6.5) and for stochastic integrals (see Subsection 7.1 and 7.2). Unfortunately, it remains open how such H and T should look like for a general local martingale M .

Remark 5.4. As in Remark 2.6, by a limiting argument shown in the proof of Theorem 5.1 one can prove that for any UMD Banach space X , for any martingale $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$, and for any convex increasing function $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and with $\phi(2\lambda) \leq c\phi(\lambda)$ for some fixed $c > 0$ for any $\lambda > 0$ one has that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(\|M_s\|) \approx_{\phi,X} \mathbb{E} \phi(\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)).$$

To this end, one first needs to prove the finite-dimensional case by using the proof of [12, Theorem 5.1] and the fact that for any convex increasing $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ and with $\psi(2\lambda) \leq c\psi(\lambda)$ one has that $\psi \circ \phi$ satisfies the same properties (perhaps with a different constant c), and then apply the same extending argument.

Let X be a UMD Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a martingale. Then by Theorem 5.1 there exists a process $\llbracket M \rrbracket : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X \otimes X$ such that for any $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ and a.e. $(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*)(\omega) = [\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle M, y^* \rangle]_t(\omega). \quad (5.6)$$

In our final proposition we show that this process is adapted and has a *càdlàg version* (i.e. a version which is right-continuous with left limits).

Proposition 5.5. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a local martingale. Then there exists an increasing adapted càdlàg process $\llbracket M \rrbracket : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X \otimes X$ such that (5.6) holds true. Moreover, if this is the case then $\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket)$ is increasing adapted càdlàg.*

Proof. Existence of such a process follows from the considerations above. Let us show that this process has an increasing, adapted, and càdlàg version. First of all by a stopping time argument assume that M is a martingale (so $\mathbb{E}\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_\infty) < \infty$ and hence $\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_\infty) < \infty$ a.s.) and that there exists $T > 0$ such that $M_t = M_T$ for any $t \geq T$. Let $(Y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(P_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Then $P_n M$ takes values in a finite dimensional space Y_n^* and hence $\llbracket P_n M \rrbracket$ has increasing, adapted, and càdlàg version. Therefore we can fix $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ of full measure which is an intersection of the following sets:

- (1) $\llbracket P_n M \rrbracket$ is increasing càdlàg for any $n \geq 1$,
- (2) $\llbracket M \rrbracket_T(x^*, y^*) = \llbracket P_n M \rrbracket_T(x^*, y^*)$ for any $x^*, y^* \in Y_n$ and for any $n \geq 1$,
- (3) $\llbracket P_m M \rrbracket_r(x^*, y^*) = \llbracket P_n M \rrbracket_r(x^*, y^*)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, for any $x^*, y^* \in Y_{m \wedge n}$, and for any $m, n \geq 1$,
- (4) $\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_T) = \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_\infty) < \infty$.

First notice that since all $\llbracket P_n M \rrbracket, n \geq 1$, are increasing càdlàg on Ω_0 , for any $t \geq 0$ (not necessarily rational) we have that

$$\llbracket P_m M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*) = \llbracket P_n M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*), \quad x^*, y^* \in Y_{m \wedge n}, \quad m, n \geq 1.$$

Let $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X \otimes X$ be a bilinear form-valued process such that

$$F_t(x^*, y^*) = \llbracket P_n M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*), \quad x^*, y^* \in Y_n, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.7)$$

for any $n \geq 1$, which existence can be shown analogously proof of Theorem 5.1.

First note that F is adapted by the definition. Let us show that F is increasing càdlàg on Ω_0 . Fix $\omega \in \Omega_0$. Then $F_t(x^*, x^*) \geq F_s(x^*, x^*)$ for any $t \geq s \geq 0$ and any $x^* \in Y := \cup_n Y_n \subset X^*$, and thus we have the same for any $x^* \in X^*$ by continuity of F_t and F_s and the fact that Y is dense in X^* .

Now let us show that F is right-continuous. By (5.7) and the fact that $\llbracket P_n M \rrbracket$ is càdlàg we have that

$$(F_{t+\varepsilon} - F_t)(x^*, x^*) \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad x^* \in Y,$$

so by Lemma 3.11 and the fact that $\gamma(F_T) = \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_T) < \infty$ we have that $\gamma(F_{t+\varepsilon} - F_t) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and thus the desired right continuity follows from (3.2).

Finally, F has left-hand limits. Indeed, fix $t > 0$ and let F_{t-} be a bilinear form defined by

$$F_{t-}(x^*, y^*) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_{t-\varepsilon}(x^*, y^*), \quad x^*, y^* \in X^*.$$

Then $\|F_{t-} - F_{t-\varepsilon}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ by Lemma 3.11, (3.2), and the fact that $\gamma(F_T) = \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_T) < \infty$, so F has left-hand limits.

Now we need to conclude with the fact that F is a version of $\llbracket M \rrbracket$, which follows from the fact that by (5.7) for any fixed $t \geq 0$ a.s.

$$F_t(x^*, y^*) = \llbracket M \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*), \quad x^*, y^* \in Y,$$

so by a.e. continuity of F_t and $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ on $X^* \times X^*$ we have the same for all $x^*, y^* \in X^*$, and thus $F_t = \llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ a.s.

The process $\gamma(F)$ is finite a.s. by the fact that $\gamma(F_T) < \infty$ a.s., increasing a.s. by the fact that F is increasing a.s. and by Lemma 3.10, and adapted and càdlàg as F is adapted and càdlàg and by the fact that the map $V \mapsto \gamma(V)$ is continuous by (3.2). \square

6. Ramifications of Theorem 5.1

Let us outline some ramifications of Theorem 5.1.

6.1. Continuous and purely discontinuous martingales. In the following theorems we will consider separately the cases of continuous and purely discontinuous martingales. Recall that an X -valued martingale is called *purely discontinuous* if $\llbracket \langle M, x^* \rangle \rrbracket$ is a.s. a pure jump process for any $x^* \in X^*$ (see [35,37,82,84] for details).

First we show that if M is continuous, then Theorem 5.1 holds for the whole range $0 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a continuous local martingale. Then we have that for any $0 < p < \infty$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)^p, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (6.1)$$

For the proof we will need the following technical lemma, which extends Proposition 5.5 in the case of a continuous martingale.

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a continuous local martingale. Then the processes $\llbracket M \rrbracket$ and $\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket)$ have continuous versions.*

Proof. The proof is entirely the same as the proof of Proposition 5.5, one needs only to use the fact that by [37, Theorem 26.6(iv)] we can assume that $\llbracket P_n M \rrbracket$ is increasing *continuous* for any $n \geq 1$ on Ω_0 , so both $\llbracket M \rrbracket$ and $\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket)$ will not just have left-hand limits, but be left continuous, and thus continuous (as these processes are already right continuous). \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. The case $p \geq 1$ follows from Theorem 5.1. Let us treat the case $0 < p < 1$. First we show that $(\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a predictable process: $(\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a monotone limit of processes $(\gamma(\llbracket P_n^* M \rrbracket_t))_{t \geq 0}$ (where P_n 's are as in the proof of Theorem 5.1), which are predictable due to the fact that $(\llbracket P_n^* M \rrbracket_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a $Y_n^* \otimes Y_n^*$ -valued predictable process and $\gamma : Y_n^* \otimes Y_n^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a fixed measurable function. Moreover, by Lemma 6.2 $(\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is continuous a.s., and by Remark 4.1 and Lemma 3.10 $(\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is increasing a.s.

Now since $(\gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is continuous predictable increasing, (6.1) follows from the case $p \geq 1$ and Lenglart's inequality (see [47] and [68, Proposition IV.4.7]). \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $(M^n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of X -valued continuous local martingales such that $M_0^n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t^n\| \rightarrow 0$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\gamma(\llbracket M^n \rrbracket_\infty) \rightarrow 0$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. The proof follows from the classical argument due do Lenglart (see [47]), but we will recall this argument for the convenience of the reader. We will show only one direction, the other direction follows analogously. Fix $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$. For each $n \geq 1$ define a stopping time τ_n in the following way:

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t \geq 0 : M_t^n > \varepsilon\}.$$

Then by (5.1) and Chebyshev’s inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\gamma(\|M^n\|_\infty) > \delta) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\tau_n < \infty) + \mathbb{P}(\gamma(\|M^n\|_{\tau_n}) > \delta) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t^n\| > \varepsilon) + \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \gamma(\|M^n\|_{\tau_n})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim_X \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t^n\| > \varepsilon) + \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \|M_{\tau_n}^n\| \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t^n\| > \varepsilon) + \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and the latter vanishes for any fixed $\delta > 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Remark 6.4. Note that Theorem 6.3 does not hold for general martingales even in the real-valued case, see [37, Exercise 26.5].

For the next theorem recall that $\ell^2([0, t])$ is the *nonseparable* Hilbert space consisting of all functions $f : [0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which support $\{s \in [0, t] : f(s) \neq 0\}$ is countable and $\|f\|_{\ell^2([0, t])} := \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} |f(s)|^2 < \infty$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a purely discontinuous martingale. Then for any $t \geq 0$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \sim_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|(\Delta M_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t}\|_{\gamma(\ell^2([0, t]), X)}^p.$$

(In this case a γ -norm is well-defined. Indeed, if H is nonseparable, then an operator $T : \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ is said to an infinite γ -norm if there exists an uncountable orthonormal system $(h_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ such that $Th_\alpha \neq 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Otherwise, there exists a separable Hilbert subspace $H_0 \subset H$ such that $T|_{H_0^\perp} = 0$, and then we set $\|T\|_{\gamma(H, X)} := \|T|_{H_0}\|_{\gamma(H_0, X)}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to notice that for any $x^* \in X^*$ a.s.

$$[(M, x^*)]_t = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} |(\Delta M_s, x^*)|^2,$$

and apply Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.5. \square

Remark 6.6. Note that martingales in Theorems 6.1 and 6.5 cover all the martingales if X is UMD. More specifically, if X has the UMD property, then any X -valued local martingale M has a unique decomposition $M = M^c + M^d$ into a sum of a continuous local martingale M^c and a purely discontinuous local martingale M^d (such a decomposition is called the *Meyer–Yoeurp decomposition*, and it characterizes the UMD property, see [84, 85]).

6.2. Martingales with independent increments. Here we show that both Theorem 2.1 and 5.1 hold in much more general Banach spaces given the corresponding martingale has independent increments.

Proposition 6.7. *Let X be a Banach space, $(d_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an X -valued martingale difference sequence with independent increments. Then for any $1 < p < \infty$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p \lesssim_p \mathbb{E} \| (d_n)_{n=1}^\infty \|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p.$$

Moreover, if X has a finite cotype, then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \| (d_n)_{n=1}^\infty \|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p.$$

Proof. Let $(r_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent Rademacher random variables, $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent standard Gaussian random variables. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m d_n \right\|^p &\stackrel{(i)}{\approx}_p \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty d_n \right\|^p \stackrel{(ii)}{\approx}_p \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_r \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N r_n d_n \right\|^p \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{\lesssim}_p \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n \right\|^p \stackrel{(iv)}{\approx}_p \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \| (d_n)_{n=1}^\infty \|_{\gamma(\ell^2, X)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from (4.1), (ii) follows from [46, Lemma 6.3], (iii) holds by [33, Proposition 6.3.2], and finally (iv) follows from [33, Proposition 6.3.1].

If X has a finite cotype, then one has $\approx_{p, X}$ instead of \lesssim_p in (iii) (see [33, Corollary 7.2.10]), and the second part of the proposition follows. \square

Based on Proposition 6.7 and the proof of Theorem 5.1 one can show the following assertion. Notice that we presume the reflexivity of X since it was assumed in the whole Section 3.

Proposition 6.8. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be an L^p -bounded martingale with independent increments such that $M_0 = 0$. Let $t \geq 0$. If M has a covariation bilinear form $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ at t , then*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \lesssim_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)^p.$$

Moreover, if X has a finite cotype, then the existence of $\llbracket M \rrbracket_t$ is guaranteed, and

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|M_s\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \gamma(\llbracket M \rrbracket_t)^p.$$

Proof. The proof coincides with the proof of Theorem 5.1, but one needs to use Proposition 6.7 instead of Theorem 2.1. \square

6.3. *One-sided estimates.* In practice one often needs only the upper bound of (2.2). It turns out that existence of such estimates for a fixed Banach space X is equivalent to the fact that X has the UMD^- property.

Definition 6.9. A Banach space X is called a UMD^- space if for some (equivalently, for all) $p \in (1, \infty)$ there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 1$, every martingale difference sequence $(d_j)_{j=1}^n$ in $L^p(\Omega; X)$, and every sequence $(r_j)_{j=1}^n$ of independent Rademachers we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^n d_j\right\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \beta \left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j d_j\right\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The least admissible constant β is denoted by $\beta_{p,X}^-$ and is called the UMD^- constant.

By the definition of the UMD property and a triangular inequality one can show that UMD implies UMD^- . Moreover, UMD^- is a strictly bigger family of Banach spaces and includes nonreflexive Banach spaces such as L^1 . The reader can find more information on UMD^- spaces in [13, 22–24, 32, 78].

The following theorem presents the desired equivalence.

Theorem 6.10. *Let X be a Banach space, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then X has the UMD^- property if and only if one has that for any X -valued martingale difference sequence $(d_n)_{n=1}^m$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\|\sum_{n=1}^m d_n\right\|^p \lesssim_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\mathcal{Y}(\ell^2, X)}^p. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. Assume that X has the UMD^- property. Let $(d_n)_{n=1}^m$ be an X -valued martingale difference sequence. Then we have that for a sequence $(r_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of independent Rademacher random variables and for a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of independent standard Gaussian random variables

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\|\sum_{n=1}^m d_n\right\|^p &\stackrel{(i)}{\lesssim}_p \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_r \sup_{m \geq 1} \left\|\sum_{n=1}^m r_n d_n\right\|^p \stackrel{(ii)}{\approx}_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_r \left\|\sum_{n=1}^N r_n d_n\right\|^p \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{\approx}_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\|\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n\right\|^p \stackrel{(iv)}{\approx}_p \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\|\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n d_n\right\|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{\mathcal{Y}(\ell^2, X)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from [8, (8.22)], (ii) holds by [33, Proposition 6.1.12], (iii) follows from [33, Corollaries 7.2.10 and 7.3.14], and (iv) follows from [33, Proposition 6.3.1].

Let us show the converse. Assume that (6.2) holds for any X -valued martingale difference sequence $(d_n)_{n=1}^m$. Then X has a finite cotype by [33, Corollary 7.3.14.], and the desired UMD^- property follows from [33, Corollary 7.2.10]. \square

Remark 6.11. Unfortunately, it remains open whether one can prove the upper bound of (5.1) given X has the UMD^- property. The problem is in the approximation argument employed in the proof of (5.1): we can not use an increasing sequence $(Y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of finite dimensional subspaces of X^* since we can not guarantee that $\beta_{p, Y_n^*}^-$ does not blow up as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (recall that $\beta_{p, Y_n^*} \leq \beta_{p, X}$ by the duality argument, see [32, Proposition 4.2.17]). Nonetheless, such an upper bound can be shown for $X = L^1$ by an *ad hoc* argument (by using an increasing sequence of projections onto finite-dimensional L^1 -spaces).

7. Applications and Miscellanea

Here we provide further applications of Theorem 5.1.

7.1. Itô isomorphism: general martingales. Let H be a Hilbert space, X be a Banach space. For each $x \in X$ and $h \in H$ we denote the linear operator $g \mapsto \langle g, h \rangle x$, $g \in H$, by $h \otimes x$. The process $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ is called *elementary predictable* with respect to the filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ if it is of the form

$$\Phi(t, \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{1}_{(t_{k-1}, t_k] \times B_{mk}}(t, \omega) \sum_{n=1}^N h_n \otimes x_{kmn}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad (7.1)$$

where $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_K < \infty$, for each $k = 1, \dots, K$ the sets B_{1k}, \dots, B_{Mk} are in $\mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}}$ and the vectors h_1, \dots, h_N are in H . Let $\tilde{M} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a local martingale. Then we define the *stochastic integral* $\Phi \cdot \tilde{M} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ of Φ with respect to \tilde{M} as follows:

$$(\Phi \cdot \tilde{M})_t := \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{1}_{B_{mk}} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle (\tilde{M}(t_k \wedge t) - \tilde{M}(t_{k-1} \wedge t)), h_n \rangle x_{kmn}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (7.2)$$

Notice that for any $t \geq 0$ the stochastic integral $\Phi \cdot \tilde{M}$ obtains a covariation bilinear form $\llbracket \Phi \cdot \tilde{M} \rrbracket_t$ which is a.s. continuous on $X^* \times X^*$ and which has the following form due to (4.3) and (7.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \Phi \cdot \tilde{M} \rrbracket_t(x^*, x^*) &= \left[\left\langle \int_0^\cdot \Phi \, d\tilde{M}, x^* \right\rangle \right]_t = \left[\int_0^\cdot (\Phi^* x^*)^* \, d\tilde{M} \right]_t \\ &= \int_0^t \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}(s) \Phi^*(s) x^*\|^2 \, d[\tilde{M}]_s, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Remark 7.1. If $X = \mathbb{R}$, then by the real-valued Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality and the fact that for any elementary predictable Φ

$$\left[\int_0^\cdot \Phi \, d\tilde{M} \right]_t = \int_0^t \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}(s) \Phi(s)\|^2 \, d[\tilde{M}]_s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

one has an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} |(\Phi \cdot \tilde{M})_t| \approx \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\infty \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}(s) \Phi(s)\|^2 \, d[\tilde{M}]_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

so one can extend the definition of a stochastic integral to *all* predictable $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ with

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\infty \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}(s) \Phi(s)\|^2 \, d[\tilde{M}]_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty, \quad (7.4)$$

by extending the stochastic integral operator from a dense subspace of all elementary predictable processes satisfying (7.4). We refer the reader to [37, 55, 56] for details.

Remark 7.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $d \geq 1$. Then analogously to Remark 7.1 one can extend the definition of a stochastic integral to all predictable processes $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{n=1}^d \int_0^\infty \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}(s) \Phi^*(s) e_n\|^2 d[\tilde{M}]_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathbb{E} \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2} \Phi^*\|_{HS(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; [\tilde{M}]))} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{HS(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; [\tilde{M}]), \mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $(e_n)_{n=1}^d$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^d , $\|T\|_{HS(H_1, H_2)}$ is the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of an operator T acting from a Hilbert space H_1 to a Hilbert space H_2 , and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; A)$ for a given increasing $A : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Hilbert space of all functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \|f(s)\|^2 dA(s) < \infty$.

Now we present the Itô isomorphism for vector-valued stochastic integrals with respect to general martingales, which extends [59, 77, 79].

Theorem 7.3. *Let H be a Hilbert space, X be a UMD Banach space, $\tilde{M} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a local martingale, $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ be elementary predictable. Then for all $1 \leq p < \infty$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| \int_0^s \Phi d\tilde{M} \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2([0, t], [\tilde{M}]; H), X)}^p, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $[\tilde{M}]$ is the quadratic variation of \tilde{M} , $q_{\tilde{M}}$ is the quadratic variation derivative (see Subsection 4.2), and $\|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2([0, t], [\tilde{M}]; H), X)}^p$ is the γ -norm (see (2.1)).

Proof. Fix $t \geq 0$. Then the theorem holds by Theorem 5.1, Lemma 3.5, and the fact that by (7.3) for any fixed $x^* \in X^*$ a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\left\langle \int_0^\cdot \Phi d\tilde{M}, x^* \right\rangle \right]_t &= \left[\int_0^\cdot \langle \Phi, x^* \rangle d\tilde{M} \right]_t = \int_0^t \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi^* x^*\|^2 d[\tilde{M}]_s \\ &= \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi^* x^*\|_{L^2([0, t], [\tilde{M}]; H)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 7.3 allows us to provide the following general stochastic integration result. Recall that a predictable process $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ is called *strongly predictable* if there exists a sequence $(\Phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of elementary predictable $\mathcal{L}(H, X)$ -valued processes such that Φ is a pointwise limit of $(\Phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

Corollary 7.4. *Let H be a Hilbert space, X be a UMD Banach space, $\tilde{M} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a local martingale, $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ be strongly predictable such that $\mathbb{E} \|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; [\tilde{M}]; H), X)} < \infty$. Then there exists a martingale $\Phi \cdot \tilde{M}$ which coincides with the stochastic integral given Φ is elementary predictable such that*

$$\langle \Phi \cdot \tilde{M}, x^* \rangle = (\Phi^* x^*) \cdot \tilde{M}, \quad x^* \in X^*, \quad (7.5)$$

where the latter integral is defined as in Remark 7.1. Moreover, then we have that for any $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|(\Phi \cdot \tilde{M})_t\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; [\tilde{M}]; H), X)}^p \quad (7.6)$$

For the proof we will need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 7.5. *Let X be a reflexive separable Banach space, $Y_1 \subset Y_2 \subset \dots \subset Y_n \subset \dots \subset X^*$ be finite dimensional subspaces such that $\overline{\cup_n Y_n} = X^*$. Let $P_n : Y_n \hookrightarrow X^*$, $n \geq 1$, and $P_{n,m} : Y_n \hookrightarrow Y_m$, $m \geq n \geq 1$, be the inclusion operators. For each $n \geq 1$ let $x_n \in Y_n^*$ be such that $P_{n,m}^* x_m = x_n$ for all $m \geq n \geq 1$. Assume also that $\sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty$. Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $P_n^* x = x_n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|$ monotonically.*

Proof. Set $C = \sup_n \|x_n\|$. First notice that $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ defines a bounded linear functional on $Y = \cup_n Y_n$. Indeed, fix $y \in Y_n$ for some fixed $n \geq 1$ (then automatically $y \in Y_m$ for any $m \geq n$). Define $\ell(y) = \langle x_n, y \rangle$. Then this definition of ℓ agrees for different n 's since for any $m \geq n$ we have that

$$\langle x_m, y_n \rangle = \langle x_m, P_{n,m} y_n \rangle = \langle P_{n,m}^* x_m, y_n \rangle = \langle x_n, y_n \rangle.$$

Moreover, this linear functional is bounded since $|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle| \leq \|x_n\| \|y_n\| \leq C \|y_n\|$. So, it can be continuously extended to the whole space X^* . Since X is reflexive, there exists $x \in X$ such that $\ell(x^*) = \langle x^*, x \rangle$ for any $x^* \in X^*$. Then for any fixed $n \geq 1$ and for any $y \in Y_n$ we have that

$$\langle x_n, y \rangle = \ell(y) = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, P_n y \rangle = \langle P_n^* x, y \rangle,$$

so $P_n^* x = x_n$. The latter follows from the fact that $\|P_n^* x\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ monotonically as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x \in X$. \square

Proof of Corollary 7.4. We will first consider the finite dimensional case and then deduce the infinite dimensional case.

Finite dimensional case. Since X is finite dimensional, it is isomorphic to a finite dimensional Euclidean space, and so the γ -norm is equivalent to the Hilbert–Schmidt norm (see e.g. [33, Proposition 9.1.9]). Then Φ is stochastically integrable with respect to \tilde{M} due to Remark 7.2, so (7.5) clearly holds and we have that for any $x^* \in X^*$ a.s.

$$[(\Phi \cdot \tilde{M}, x^*)]_t = [(\Phi^* x^*) \cdot \tilde{M}]_t = \int_0^t \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}(s) \Phi^*(s) x^*\|^2 d[\tilde{M}]_s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

thus (7.6) follows from Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.5.

Infinite dimensional case. Let now X be general. Since Φ is strongly predictable, it takes values in a separable subspace of X , so we may assume that X is separable. Since X is UMD, it is reflexive, so X^* is separable as well, and there exists a sequence $Y_1 \subset Y_2 \subset \dots \subset Y_n \subset \dots \subset X^*$ of finite dimensional subsets of X^* such that $\overline{\cup_n Y_n} = X^*$. For each $m \geq n \geq 1$ define inclusion operators $P_n : Y_n \hookrightarrow X^*$ and $P_{n,m} : Y_n \hookrightarrow Y_m$. Notice that by the ideal property [33, Theorem 9.1.10] $\mathbb{E} \|P_n^* \Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), Y_n^*)} < \infty$ for any $n \geq 1$, so since Y_n^* is finite dimensional, the stochastic integral $(P_n^* \Phi) \cdot \tilde{M}$ is well-defined by the case above and

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|((P_n^* \Phi) \cdot \tilde{M})_t\| \approx_X \mathbb{E} \|P_n^* \Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), Y_n^*)}, \quad (7.7)$$

where the equivalence is independent of n since $Y_n \subset X^*$ for all $n \geq 1$ and due to [32, Proposition 4.2.17] and Theorem 5.1. Denote the stochastic integral $(P_n^* \Phi) \cdot \tilde{M}$ by Z^n . Note that Z^n is Y_n^* -valued, and since $P_{n,m}^* P_m^* \Phi = P_n^* \Phi$ for all $m \geq n \geq 1$, $P_{m,n}^* Z_t^m = Z_t^n$ a.s. for any $t \geq 0$. Therefore by Lemma 7.5 there exists a process

$Z : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that $P_n^* Z = Z^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let us show that Z is integrable. Fix $t \geq 1$. Notice that by Lemma 7.5 the limit $\|Z_t\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n^* Z_t\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Z_t^n\|$ is monotone, so by the monotone convergence theorem, (7.7), and the ideal property [33, Theorem 9.1.10]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|Z_t\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\|Z_t^n\| \lesssim_X \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\|P_n^* \Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), Y_n^*)} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us show that Z is a martingale. Since Z is integrable, due to [32, Section 2.6] it is sufficient to show that $\mathbb{E}(\langle Z_t, x^* \rangle | \mathcal{F}_s) = \langle Z_s, x^* \rangle$ for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ for all x^* from some dense subspace Y of X^* . Set $Y = \cup_n Y_n$ and $x^* \in Y_n$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then for all $0 \leq s \leq t$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\langle Z_t, x^* \rangle | \mathcal{F}_s) &= \mathbb{E}(\langle Z_t, P_n x^* \rangle | \mathcal{F}_s) = \mathbb{E}(\langle P_n^* Z_t, x^* \rangle | \mathcal{F}_s) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\langle Z_t^n, x^* \rangle | \mathcal{F}_s) = \langle Z_s^n, x^* \rangle = \langle Z_s, x^* \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so Z is a martingale. Finally, let us show (7.6). First notice that for any $n \geq 1$ and $x^* \in Y_n \subset X^*$ a.s.

$$[\langle Z, x^* \rangle]_t = [\langle Z^n, x^* \rangle]_t = \int_0^t \|q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}(s) \Phi^*(s) x^*\|^2 d[\tilde{M}]_s, \quad t \geq 0;$$

the same holds for a general $x^* \in X^*$ by a density argument. Then (7.6) follows from Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.5. \square

Remark 7.6. As the reader can judge, the basic assumptions on Φ in Corollary 7.4 can be weakened by a stopping time argument. Namely, one can assume that $\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}$ is locally in $L^1(\Omega, \gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), X))$ (i.e. there exists an increasing sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of stopping times such that $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}$ is in $L^1(\Omega, \gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), X))$ for all $n \geq 1$). Notice that such an assumption is a natural generalization of classical assumptions for stochastic integration in the real-valued case (see e.g. [37, p. 526]).

In the case when \tilde{M} is continuous by a standard localization argument (since $t \mapsto \|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2([0, t], [\tilde{M}]; H), X)}$ is continuous) one can assume even a weaker assumption, namely that $\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}$ is locally in $\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), X)$, see e.g. [37, 59, 79].

In the theory of stochastic integration one might be interested in one-sided estimates. In the following proposition we show that such type of estimates is possible if X satisfies the UMD^- property (see Subsection 6.3).

Proposition 7.7. *Let H be a Hilbert space, X be a UMD^- Banach space, $\tilde{M} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a local martingale, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, X)$ be strongly predictable such that $\mathbb{E}\|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), X)}^p < \infty$ and such that there exists a sequence $(\Phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of elementary predictable $\mathcal{L}(H, X)$ -valued processes such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\|(\Phi - \Phi_n) q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), X)}^p \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then there exists an L^p -bounded martingale $\Phi \cdot \tilde{M}$ as a strong L^p -limit of $(\Phi_n \cdot \tilde{M})_{n \geq 1}$, and we have that for any $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|(\Phi \cdot \tilde{M})_t\|^p \lesssim_{p, X} \mathbb{E}\|\Phi q_{\tilde{M}}^{1/2}\|_{\gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, [\tilde{M}]; H), X)}^p. \quad (7.8)$$

Proof. Inequality (7.8) for $\Phi = \Phi_n$ follows from Theorem 6.10, while the proposition together with (7.8) for a general Φ follows from a simple limiting argument. \square

7.2. Itô isomorphism: Poisson and general random measures. Let (J, \mathcal{J}) be a measurable space, N be a Poisson random measure on $J \times \mathbb{R}_+$, \tilde{N} be the corresponding compensated Poisson random measure (see e.g. [17, 26, 37, 42, 72] for details). Then by Theorem 6.5 for any UMD Banach space X , for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, and for any elementary predictable $F : J \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| \int_{J \times [0, s]} F d\tilde{N} \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|F\|_{\gamma(L^2(J \times [0, t]; N), X)}^p, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (7.9)$$

The same holds for a general quasi-left continuous random measure (see [19, 29, 35, 38, 51, 62] for the definition and the details): if μ is a general quasi-left continuous random measure on $J \times \mathbb{R}_+$, ν is its compensator, and $\bar{\mu} := \mu - \nu$, then for any $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| \int_{J \times [0, s]} F d\bar{\mu} \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \|F\|_{\gamma(L^2(J \times [0, t]; \mu), X)}^p, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (7.10)$$

The disadvantage of right-hand sides of (7.9) and (7.10) is that both of them are not predictable and do not depend continuously on time a.s. on Ω (therefore they seem not to be useful from the SPDE's point of view since one may not produce a fixed point argument). For example, if $X = L^q$ for some $1 < q < \infty$, then such predictable a.s. continuous in time right-hand sides do exist (see [17, 19]). For general UMD Banach spaces those can be provided so far only by decoupled tangent martingales, see [83].

7.3. Necessity of the UMD property. As it follows from Remark 5.2, Theorem 5.1 holds only in the UMD setting. The natural question is whether there exists an appropriate right-hand side of (5.1) in terms of $([\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle M, y^* \rangle])_{x^*, y^* \in X^*}$ for some non-UMD Banach space X and some $1 \leq p < \infty$. Here we show that this is impossible.

Assume that for some Banach space X and some $1 \leq p < \infty$ there exists a function G acting on families of stochastic processes parametrized by $X^* \times X^*$ (i.e. each family has the form $V = (V_{x^*, y^*})_{x^*, y^* \in X^*}$) taking values in \mathbb{R} such that for any X -valued local martingale M starting in zero we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p \approx_{p, X} G(\llbracket M \rrbracket), \quad (7.11)$$

where we denote $\llbracket M \rrbracket = ([\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle M, y^* \rangle])_{x^*, y^* \in X^*}$ for simplicity (note that the latter might not have a proper bilinear structure). Let us show that then X must have the UMD property.

Fix any X -valued L^p -bounded martingale difference sequence $(d_n)_{n=1}^N$ and any $\{-1, 1\}$ -valued sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n=1}^N$. Let $e_n := \varepsilon_n d_n$ for all $n = 1, \dots, N$. For every $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ define a stochastic process $V_{x^*, y^*} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$V_{x^*}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N \wedge [t]} \langle d_n, x^* \rangle \cdot \langle d_n, y^* \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N \wedge [t]} \langle e_n, x^* \rangle \cdot \langle e_n, y^* \rangle, \quad t \geq 0$$

(recall that $[t]$ is the integer part of t). Let $V := (V_{x^*, y^*})_{x^*, y^* \in X^*}$. Then by (7.11)

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{k \geq 0} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k d_n \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} G(V) \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \sup_{k \geq 0} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k e_n \right\|^p. \quad (7.12)$$

Since N , $(d_n)_{n=1}^N$, and $(\varepsilon_n)_{n=1}^N$ are general, (7.12) implies that X is a UMD Banach space (see the proof of Theorem 2.7).

7.4. Martingale domination. The next theorem shows that under some natural domination assumptions on martingales one gets L^p -estimates.

Theorem 7.8. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $M, N : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be local martingales such that $\|N_0\| \leq \|M_0\|$ a.s. and $[\langle N, x^* \rangle]_\infty \leq [\langle M, x^* \rangle]_\infty$ a.s. for all $x^* \in X^*$. Then for all $1 \leq p < \infty$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|N_t\|^p \lesssim_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p. \quad (7.13)$$

Note that the assumptions in Theorem 7.8 are a way more general than the *weak differential subordination* assumptions (recall that N is weakly differentially subordinate to M if $[\langle M, x^* \rangle] - [\langle N, x^* \rangle]$ is nondecreasing a.s. for any $x^* \in X^*$, see [65, 82, 84]), so Theorem 7.8 significantly improves the L^p -bounds obtained previously for weakly differentially subordinated martingales in [82, 84] and extends the results to the case $p = 1$ as well.

Proof of Theorem 7.8. First notice that by a triangular inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p &\approx_p \mathbb{E} \|M_0\|^p + \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t - M_0\|^p, \\ \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|N_t\|^p &\approx_p \mathbb{E} \|N_0\|^p + \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|N_t - N_0\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we can reduce the statement to the case $M_0 = N_0 = 0$ a.s. (by setting $M := M - M_0$, $N := N - N_0$), and then the proof follows directly from Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.10. \square

Remark 7.9. It is not known what the sharp constant is in (7.13). Nevertheless, sharp inequalities of such type have been discovered in the scalar case by Osękowski in [63]. It was shown there that if M and N are real-valued L^p -bounded martingales such that a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} [N]_t &\leq [M]_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ [N]_\infty - [N]_{t-} &\leq [M]_\infty - [M]_{t-}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(\mathbb{E} |N_\infty|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (p^* - 1) (\mathbb{E} |M_\infty|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

where $p^* := \max\{p, \frac{p}{p-1}\}$.

7.5. Martingale approximations. The current subsection is devoted to approximation of martingales. Namely, we will extend the following lemma by Weisz (see [81, Theorem 6]) to general UMD Banach space-valued martingales.

Lemma 7.10. *Let X be a finite dimensional Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a martingale such that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\| < \infty$. Then there exists a sequence $(M^n)_{n \geq 1}$ of X -valued uniformly bounded martingales such that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t - M_t^n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Here is the main theorem of the current subsection.

Theorem 7.11. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a martingale such that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p < \infty$. Then there exists a sequence $(M^n)_{n \geq 1}$ of X -valued L^∞ -bounded martingales such that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t - M_t^n\|^p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Though this theorem easily follows from Doob's maximal inequality (4.1) in the case $p > 1$, the case $p = 1$ (which is the most important one for the main application of Theorems 7.11 and 8.2) remains problematic and requires some work.

For the proof of the theorem we will need to find its analogues for purely discontinuous martingales. Let us first recall some definitions.

A random variable $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called an *optional stopping time* (or just a *stopping time*) if $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ for each $t \geq 0$. With an optional stopping time τ we associate a σ -field $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty : A \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$. Note that M_τ is strongly \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable for any local martingale M . We refer to [37, Chapter 7] for details.

Recall that due to the existence of a càdlàg version of a martingale $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$, we can define an X -valued random variables $M_{\tau-}$ and ΔM_τ for any stopping time τ in the following way: $M_{\tau-} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_{(\tau-\varepsilon) \vee 0}$, $\Delta M_\tau = M_\tau - M_{\tau-}$.

A stopping time τ is called *predictable* if there exists a sequence of stopping times $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $\tau_n < \tau$ a.s. on $\{\tau > 0\}$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau$ monotonically a.s. A stopping time τ is called *totally inaccessible* if $\mathbb{P}\{\tau = \sigma < \infty\} = 0$ for each predictable stopping time σ .

Definition 7.12. Let X be a Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a local martingale. Then M is called *quasi-left continuous* if $\Delta M_\tau = 0$ a.s. for any predictable stopping time τ . M is called to have *accessible jumps* if $\Delta M_\tau = 0$ a.s. for any totally inaccessible stopping time.

The reader can find more information on quasi-left continuous martingales and martingales with accessible jumps in [19, 35, 37, 84, 85].

In order to prove Theorem 7.11 we will need to show similar approximation results for quasi-left continuous purely discontinuous martingales and purely discontinuous martingales with accessible jumps. Both cases will be considered separately.

7.5.1. Quasi-left continuous purely discontinuous martingales Before stating the corresponding approximation theorem let us show the following proposition.

Proposition 7.13. *Let X be a Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a purely discontinuous quasi-left continuous martingale. Then there exist sequences of positive numbers $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$, and a sequence of X -valued purely discontinuous quasi-left continuous martingales $(M^n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that*

$$\sup_t \|\Delta M_t^n\| \leq a_n, \quad \#\{t \geq 0 : \Delta M_t^n \neq 0\} \leq b_n \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

$$\{t \geq 0 : \Delta M_t^n \neq 0\} \subset \{t \geq 0 : \Delta M_t^m \neq 0\} \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \forall m \geq n \geq 1, \quad (7.14)$$

$$\Delta M_t^n = \Delta M_t \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \Delta M_t^n \neq 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (7.15)$$

and

$$\cup_{n \geq 1} \{t \geq 0 : \Delta M_t^n \neq 0\} = \{t \geq 0 : \Delta M_t \neq 0\} \text{ a.s.} \quad (7.16)$$

Sketch of the proof. The construction of such a family of martingales was essentially provided in the proof of [19, Lemma 5.20]. We will recall the construction here for the convenience of the reader. First of all we refer the reader to [19, 35, 37, 38] for the basic definitions and facts on *random measures*, which presenting we will omit here for the brevity and simplification of the proof. Let μ^M be a random measure defined on $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times X, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{B}(X))$ by

$$\mu^M(A \times B) = \sum_{t \in A} \mathbf{1}_{\Delta M_t \in B \setminus \{0\}}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+), B \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

Let ν^M be the corresponding compensator, $\bar{\mu}^M := \mu^M - \nu^M$. Due to the proof of [19, Lemma 5.20] there exists an a.s. increasing sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of stopping times such $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and such that there exist positive sequences $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}, (b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ being increasing natural and with

$$\#\{t \geq 0 : \|\Delta M_t^{\tau_n}\| \in [1/a_n, a_n]\} \leq b_n.$$

Define a predictable set $A_n := [0, \tau_n] \times B_n \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times X$, where $B_n := \{x \in X : \|x\| \in [1/a_n, a_n]\}$. Then the desired M^n equals the stochastic integral

$$M_t^n := \int_{[0, t] \times X} \mathbf{1}_{A_n}(s, x) x \, d\bar{\mu}^M(ds, dx), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where the latter is a well-defined martingale since by [19, Subsection 5.4] it is sufficient to check that for any $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, t] \times X} \|\mathbf{1}_{A_n}(s, x) x\| \, d\mu^M(ds, dx) &= \int_{A_n \cap [0, t] \times X} \|x\| \, d\mu^M(ds, dx) \\ &= \sum_{t \in [0, \tau_n \wedge t]} \|\Delta M_t^{\tau_n}\| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta M_t^{\tau_n} \in [1/a_n, a_n]} \leq a_n b_n < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

All the properties of the sequence $(M^n)_{n \geq 1}$ then follow from the construction, namely from the fact that A_n are a.s. increasing with $\cup_n A_n = \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \setminus \{0\}$ a.s., and the fact that ν^M is non-atomic in time since M is quasi-left continuous (see [19, Subsection 5.4]).
□

In the next theorem we show that the martingales obtained in Proposition 7.13 approximate M in the strong L^p -sense.

Theorem 7.14. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, M be an X -valued martingale, $(M^n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of X -valued martingales constructed in Proposition 7.13. Assume that for some fixed $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p < \infty$. Then $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t^n\|^p < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$ and*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t - M_t^n\|^p \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. First of all notice that by Theorem 6.5, (7.15), and [33, Proposition 6.1.5] for any $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t^n\|^p &\approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\| \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma_s \Delta M_s^n \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_\gamma \left\| \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma_s \Delta M_s \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Let us show the second part of the theorem. Note that by (7.15) a.s. for all $x^* \in X^*$

$$\|M - M^n\|_\infty(x^*, x^*) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \langle \Delta M_t, x^* \rangle^2 \mathbf{1}_{\Delta M_t \neq \Delta M_t^n},$$

which monotonically vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (7.14) and (7.16). Consequently, the desired follows from Theorem 5.1, Lemma 3.11, and the monotone convenience theorem. \square

7.5.2. Purely discontinuous martingales with accessible jumps Now let us turn to purely discontinuous martingales with accessible jumps. First notice that by [37, Proposition 25.4], [37, Theorem 25.14], and by [19, Subsection 5.3] the following lemmas hold.

Lemma 7.15. *Let X be a Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a local martingale with accessible jumps. Then there exists a set $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of predictable stopping times with disjoint graphs (i.e. $\tau_n \neq \tau_m$ a.s. for all $m \neq n$) such that a.s.*

$$\{t \geq 0 : \Delta M_t \neq 0\} \subset \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n, \dots\}. \quad (7.17)$$

Lemma 7.16. *Let X be a Banach, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be an L^1 -bounded martingale, τ be a predictable stopping time. Then*

$$N_t := \Delta M_\tau \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\tau), \quad t \geq 0,$$

defines an L^1 -bounded martingale.

Let X be a Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a purely discontinuous martingale with accessible jumps, $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a set of predictable stopping times with disjoint graphs such that (7.17) holds. Thanks to Lemma 7.16 for each $n \geq 1$ we can define a martingale

$$M_t^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta M_{\tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\tau_i), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (7.18)$$

Does $(M^n)_{n \geq 1}$ converge to M in strong L^p -sense? The following theorem answers this question in the UMD case.

Theorem 7.17. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a martingale with accessible jumps, $(M^n)_{n \geq 1}$ be as in (7.18). Assume that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p < \infty$ for some fixed $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t^n\|^p < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$ and*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t - M_t^n\|^p \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. The proof is fully analogous to the proof of Theorem 7.14. \square

7.5.3. *Proof of theorem 7.11* Let us now prove Theorem 7.11. Since X is a UMD Banach space, M has the *canonical decomposition*, i.e. there exist an X -valued continuous local martingale M^c , an X -valued purely discontinuous quasi-left continuous local martingale M^q , and an X -valued purely discontinuous local martingale M^a with accessible jumps such that $M_0^c = M_0^q = 0$ and $M = M^c + M^q + M^a$ (see Subsection 7.6 for details). Moreover, by (7.20) and a triangle inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\|M_t^c\|^p + \|M_t^q\|^p + \|M_t^a\|^p \right) \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p,$$

so it is sufficient to show Theorem 7.11 for each of these three cases separately. By [32, Theorem 1.3.2 and 3.3.16] M converges a.s., so we can assume that there exists $T > 0$ such that $M_t = M_T$ a.s. for all $t \geq T$.

Case 1: M is continuous. The theorem follows from the fact that every continuous martingale is locally bounded and the fact that $M_t = M_T$ for all $t \geq T$.

Case 2: M is purely discontinuous quasi-left continuous. By Theorem 7.14 one can assume that M has uniformly bounded jumps. Then the theorem follows from the fact that any adapted càdlàg process with uniformly bounded jumps is local uniformly bounded and the fact that $M_t = M_T$ for all $t \geq T$.

Case 3: M is purely discontinuous with accessible jumps. By Theorem 7.17 we can assume that there exist predictable stopping times $(\tau_n)_{n=1}^N$ with disjoint graphs such that

$$M_t = \sum_{n=1}^N \Delta M_{\tau_n} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\tau_n), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the stopping times $(\tau_n)_{n=1}^N$ are bounded a.s. Due to [19, Subsection 5.3] we may additionally assume that $(\tau_n)_{n=1}^N$ is a.s. increasing. Then by [19, Subsection 5.3] (or [37, Lemma 26.18] in the real-valued case) the sequence $(0, \Delta M_{\tau_1}, 0, \Delta M_{\tau_2}, \dots, 0, \Delta M_{\tau_N})$ is a martingale difference sequence with respect to the filtration

$$\mathbb{G} := (\mathcal{F}_{\tau_1-}, \mathcal{F}_{\tau_1}, \mathcal{F}_{\tau_2-}, \mathcal{F}_{\tau_2}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{\tau_N-}, \mathcal{F}_{\tau_N})$$

(see [37, Lemma 25.2] for the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$). As any discrete L^p -bounded martingale difference sequence, $(0, \Delta M_{\tau_1}, 0, \Delta M_{\tau_2}, \dots, 0, \Delta M_{\tau_N})$ can be approximated in a strong L^p -sense by a uniformly bounded X -valued \mathbb{G} -martingale difference sequence $(0, d_1^\varepsilon, 0, d_2^\varepsilon, \dots, 0, d_N^\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{n=1}^N \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta M_{\tau_i} - d_i^\varepsilon \right\|^p < \varepsilon.$$

The martingale difference sequence $(0, d_1^\varepsilon, 0, d_2^\varepsilon, \dots, 0, d_N^\varepsilon)$ can be translated back to a martingale on \mathbb{R}_+ in the same way as it was shown in [19, Subsection 5.3], i.e. one can define a process $N^\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that

$$N_t^\varepsilon := \sum_{n=1}^N d_n \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\tau_n), \quad t \geq 0,$$

which is a martingale by [19, Subsection 5.3] (or see [37, Lemma 26.18] for the real valued version) with

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t - N_t^\varepsilon\|^p = \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \left\| \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \Delta M_s - \Delta N_s^\varepsilon \right\|^p = \mathbb{E} \sup_{n=1}^N \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta M_{\tau_i} - d_i \right\|^p < \varepsilon,$$

which terminates the proof.

Remark 7.18. Clearly Theorem 7.11 holds true if X has a Schauder basis. Therefore it remain open for whether Theorem 7.11 holds true for a general Banach space.

7.6. The canonical decomposition. Let X be a Banach space. Then X has the UMD property if and only if any X -valued local martingale M has the so-called *canonical decomposition*, i.e. there exist an X -valued continuous local martingale M^c (a Wiener-like part), an X -valued purely discontinuous quasi-left continuous local martingale M^q (a compensated Poisson-like part), and an X -valued purely discontinuous local martingale M^a with accessible jumps (a discrete-like part) such that $M_0^c = M_0^q = 0$ and $M = M^c + M^q + M^a$. We refer the reader to [19,37,84,85] for the details on the canonical decomposition.

As it was shown in [19,84,85], the canonical decomposition is unique, and by [84, Section 3] together with (4.1) we have that for any $1 < p < \infty$ and for any $i = c, q, a$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t^i\|^p \lesssim_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p. \quad (7.19)$$

Theorem 7.8 allows us to extend (7.19) to the case $p = 1$. Indeed, it is known due to [84,85] that for any $x^* \in X^*$ a.s.

$$[\langle M, x^* \rangle]_t = [\langle M^c, x^* \rangle]_t + [\langle M^q, x^* \rangle]_t + [\langle M^a, x^* \rangle]_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

so by Theorem 7.8

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t^i\|^p \lesssim_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p, \quad (7.20)$$

for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and any $i = c, q, a$.

7.7. Covariation bilinear forms for pairs of martingales. Let X be a UMD Banach space, $M, N : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be local martingales. Then for any fixed $t \geq 0$ and any $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ we have that by [37, Theorem 26.6(iii)] a.s.

$$[\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle N, y^* \rangle]_t \leq \llbracket M \rrbracket_t(x^*, x^*) \llbracket N \rrbracket_t(y^*, y^*).$$

Thus analogously the proof of Theorem 5.1 (by exploiting a subspace Y of X^* that is a linear span of a countable subset of X^*) there exists a bounded bilinear form-valued random variable $\llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t : \Omega \rightarrow X \otimes X$ such that $[\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle N, y^* \rangle]_t = \llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t(x^*, y^*)$ for any $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ a.s.

Now let X and Y be UMD Banach spaces (perhaps different), $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$, $N : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow Y$ be local martingales. Then we can show that for any $t \geq 0$ there exists a bilinear form-valued process $\llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t : \Omega \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ such that $\llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t = [\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle N, y^* \rangle]_t$ a.s. for any $x^* \in X^*$ and $y^* \in Y^*$. Indeed, one can presume the

Banach space to be $X \times Y$ and extend both M and N to take values in this Banach space. Then by the first part of the present subsection there exists a bilinear form $\llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t$ acting on $(X \times Y)^* \times (X \times Y)^*$ such that for any $x^* \in X^*$ and $y^* \in Y^*$ a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t((x^*, y^*), (x^*, y^*)) &= [\langle M, (x^*, y^*) \rangle, \langle N, (x^*, y^*) \rangle]_t \\ &= [\langle M, x^* \rangle, \langle N, y^* \rangle]_t. \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

It remains to restrict $\llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t$ back to $X \otimes Y$ from $(X \times Y) \otimes (X \times Y)$ which is possible by (7.21).

Interesting things happen given $Y = \mathbb{R}$. In this case $\llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t$ takes values in $X \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq X$, so $\llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t$ is simply X -valued, and it is easy to see that

$$\llbracket M, N \rrbracket_t = \mathbb{P} - \lim_{\text{mesh} \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n (M(t_n) - M(t_{n-1}))(N(t_n) - N(t_{n-1})), \quad (7.22)$$

where the limit in probability is taken over partitions $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = t$, and it is taken in a *weak* sense (i.e. (7.22) holds under action of any linear functional $x^* \in X^*$). It remains open whether (7.22) holds in a strong sense.

8. UMD Banach Function Spaces

Here we are going to extend (1.4) to the case $p = 1$. Let us first recall some basic definitions on Banach function spaces. For a given measure space (S, Σ, μ) , the linear space of all real-valued measurable functions is denoted by $L^0(S)$. We endow $L^0(S)$ with the local convergence in measure topology.

Definition 8.1. Let (S, Σ, μ) be a measure space. Let $n : L^0(S) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a function which satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $n(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (ii) For all $x, y \in L^0(S)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $n(\lambda x) = |\lambda|n(x)$ and $n(x + y) \leq n(x) + n(y)$,
- (iii) If $x \in L^0(S)$, $y \in L^0(S)$, and $|x| \leq |y|$, then $n(x) \leq n(y)$,
- (iv) There exists $\zeta \in L^0(S)$ with $\zeta > 0$ and $n(\zeta) < \infty$,
- (v) If $0 \leq x_n \uparrow x$ with $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ a sequence in $L^0(S)$ and $x \in L^0(S)$, then $n(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n(x_n)$.

Let X denote the space of all $x \in L^0(S)$ for which $\|x\| := n(x) < \infty$. Then X is called a *normed function space associated to n* . It is called a *Banach function space* when $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is complete. We will additionally assume the following natural property of X :

- (vi) X is continuously embedded into $L^0(S)$ with the local convergence in measure topology.

Notice that the condition (vi) holds automatically if one changes the measure on (S, Σ) in an appropriate way (see [50, Theorem 1.b.14]). We refer the reader to [50, 57, 70, 80, 86] for the details on Banach function spaces.

Given a Banach function space X over a measure space S and Banach space E , let $X(E)$ denote the space of all strongly measurable functions $f : S \rightarrow E$ with $\|f\|_E \in X$. The space $X(E)$ becomes a Banach space when equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{X(E)} = \|\sigma \mapsto \|f(\sigma)\|_E\|_X$.

Let X be a UMD Banach function space over a σ -finite measure space (S, Σ, μ) . According to [80] any X -valued L^p -bounded martingale M , $1 < p < \infty$, has a pointwise martingale version, i.e. there exists a process $N : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (i) $N|_{[0,t] \times \Omega \times S}$ is $\mathcal{B}([0, t]) \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \Sigma$ -measurable for all $t \geq 0$,
- (ii) $N(\cdot, \cdot, \sigma)$ is a local martingale for a.e. $\sigma \in S$,
- (iii) $N(\omega, t, \cdot) = M_t(\omega)$ for any $t \geq 0$ for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$.

A process N satisfying (i) and (ii) is called a *local martingale field*. Moreover, it was shown in [80] that for any $1 < p < \infty$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \| [N]_\infty^{1/2} \|^p, \quad (8.1)$$

where $\sigma \mapsto [N(\cdot, \cdot, \sigma)]_\infty^{1/2}$, $\sigma \in S$, defines an element of X a.s. The goal of the present subsection is to show that (8.1) holds for $p = 1$.

Theorem 8.2. *Let X be a UMD Banach function space over a σ -finite measure space (S, Σ, μ) , $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a local martingale. Then there exists a local martingale field $N : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $N(\omega, t, \cdot) = M_t(\omega)$ for all $t \geq 0$ for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$, and for all $1 \leq p < \infty$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M_t\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \| [N]_\infty^{1/2} \|^p, \quad (8.2)$$

Let us first show the discrete version of Theorem 8.2, which was shown in [70, Theorem 3] for the case $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Proposition 8.3. *Let X be a UMD Banach function space over a measure space (S, Σ, μ) , $(d_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an X -valued martingale difference sequence. Then for all $1 \leq p < \infty$*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{N \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N d_n \right\|^p \approx_{p, X} \mathbb{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |d_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^p.$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 and the equivalence [33, (9.26)] between the γ -norm and the square function. \square

Remark 8.4. By Remark 2.4 and [33, (9.26)] one has that for any $r \in (1, \infty)$ there exist positive $C_{r, X}$ and $c_{r, X}$ such that for any $1 \leq p \leq r$

$$c_{r, X} \mathbb{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |d_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^p \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{N \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N d_n \right\|^p \leq C_{r, X} \mathbb{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |d_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^p.$$

We will also need the following technical lemma proved in [80, Section 4]. Recall that $\mathcal{D}_b([0, \infty); X)$ is the Banach space of all bounded X -valued càdlàg functions on \mathbb{R}_+ , which is also known as a *Skorohod space*.

Lemma 8.5. *Let X be a Banach function space over a σ -finite measure space (S, Σ, μ) . Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MQ}^1(X) &:= \{N : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : N \text{ is a local martingale field,} \\ &N_0(\sigma) = 0 \ \forall \sigma \in S, \text{ and } \|N\|_{\text{MQ}^1(X)} < \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|N\|_{\text{MQ}^1(X)} := \| [N]_\infty^{1/2} \|_{L^1(\Omega; X)}. \quad (8.3)$$

Then $(\text{MQ}^1(X), \|\cdot\|_{\text{MQ}^1(X)})$ is a Banach space. Moreover, if $N^n \rightarrow N$ in MQ^1 , then there exists a subsequence $(N^{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ such that pointwise a.e. in S , we have $N^{n_k} \rightarrow N$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathcal{D}_b([0, \infty)))$.

Proof of Theorem 8.2. We will consider separately the cases $p > 1$ and $p = 1$.

Case $p > 1$. This case was covered in [80]. Nevertheless, we wish to notice that by modifying the proof from [80] by using Proposition 8.3 one can obtain better behavior of the equivalence constants in (8.2). Namely, by exploiting the same proof together with Proposition 8.3 and Remark 8.4 one obtains that for any $p' \in (1, \infty)$ there exist positive $C_{p',X}$ and $c_{p',X}$ (the same as in Remark 8.4) such that for any $1 < p \leq p'$

$$c_{p',X} \mathbb{E} \| [N]_{\infty}^{1/2} \|^p \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \| M_t \|^p \leq C_{p',X} \mathbb{E} \| [N]_{\infty}^{1/2} \|^p. \quad (8.4)$$

Case $p = 1$. By Theorem 7.11 there exists a sequence $(M^n)_{n \geq 1}$ of uniformly bounded X -valued martingales such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \| M_t - M_t^n \| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8.5)$$

Since M^n is uniformly bounded for any $n \geq 1$, $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \| M_t^n \|^2 < \infty$, so by Case $p > 1$ there exists a local martingale field N^n such that $N^n(\omega, t, \cdot) = M_t^n(\omega)$ for all $t \geq 0$ for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$. By (8.4) one has that there exist positive constants C_X and c_X such that for all $m, n \geq 1$

$$c_X \mathbb{E} \| [N^n - N^m]_{\infty}^{1/2} \| \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \| M_t^n - M_t^m \| \leq C_X \mathbb{E} \| [N^n - N^m]_{\infty}^{1/2} \|,$$

hence due to (8.5) $(N^n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\text{MQ}^1(X)$. Since by Lemma 8.5 the linear space $\text{MQ}^1(X)$ endowed with the norm (8.3) is Banach, there exists a limit N of $(N^n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\text{MQ}^1(X)$.

Let us show that N is the desired local martingale field. Fix $t \geq 0$. We need to show that $N(\cdot, t, \cdot) = M_t$ a.s. on Ω . First notice that by the last part of Lemma 8.5 there exists a subsequence of $(N^n)_{n \geq 1}$ which we will denote by $(N^n)_{n \geq 1}$ as well such that $N^n(\cdot, t, \sigma) \rightarrow N(\cdot, t, \sigma)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ for a.e. $\sigma \in S$. On the other hand by Jensen's inequality

$$\| \mathbb{E} | N^n(\cdot, t, \cdot) - M_t | \| = \| \mathbb{E} | M_t^n - M_t | \| \leq \mathbb{E} \| M_t^n - M_t \| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $N^n(\cdot, t, \cdot) \rightarrow M_t$ in $X(L^1(\Omega))$, and thus by Definition 8.1(vi) in $L^0(S; L^1(\Omega))$. Therefore we can find a subsequence of $(N^n)_{n \geq 1}$ (which we will again denote by $(N^n)_{n \geq 1}$) such that $N^n(\cdot, t, \sigma) \rightarrow M_t(\sigma)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ for a.e. $\sigma \in S$ (here we use that fact that μ is σ -finite), so $N(\cdot, t, \cdot) = M_t$ a.s. on $\Omega \times S$, and consequently by Definition 8.1(iii), $N(\omega, t, \cdot) = M_t(\omega)$ for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$.

Let us finally show (8.2). Since $N^n \rightarrow N$ in $\text{MQ}^1(X)$ and by (8.5)

$$\mathbb{E} \| [N]_{\infty}^{1/2} \| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \| [N^n]_{\infty}^{1/2} \| \approx_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \| M_t^n \| = \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \| M_t \|,$$

which terminates the proof. \square

Remark 8.6. It was shown in [80] that in the case $p > 1$ the equivalence (8.2) can be strengthened. Namely, in this case one can show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sup_{t \geq 0} | M_t | \right\|^p \approx_{p,X} \mathbb{E} \| [N]_{\infty}^{1/2} \|^p, \quad (8.6)$$

i.e. one has the same equivalence with a pointwise supremum in S . The techniques that provide such an improvement were discovered by Rubio de Francia in [70]. Unfortunately, it remains open whether (8.6) holds for $p = 1$. Surprisingly, (8.6) holds for $p = 1$ and for $X = L^1(S)$ by a simple Fubini-type argument, so it might be that (8.6) holds for $p = 1$ even for other nonreflexive Banach spaces.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Mark Veraar and Jan van Neerven for inspiring conversations, careful reading of the paper, and useful suggestions. The author thanks Adam Osękowski for discussing inequality (7.13). We also thank Stanisław Kwapien for his hint on Proposition 3.13 and Emiel Lorist for his helpful comments on Banach function spaces (see Section 8). The author thanks Ben Goldys, Carlo Marinelli, and the anonymous referees for their useful suggestions.

Funding Open Access funding provided by Projekt DEAL.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

1. Bahouri, H., Chemin, J.-Y., Danchin, R.: Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Volume 343 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
2. Björk, T., Di Masi, G., Kabanov, Y., Runggaldier, W.: Towards a general theory of bond markets. *Finance Stoch.* **1**(2), 141–174 (1997)
3. Bogachev, V.L.: Gaussian measures. Volume 62 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1998)
4. Bourgain, J.: Some remarks on Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional. *Ark. Mat.* **21**(2), 163–168 (1983)
5. Brzeźniak, Z., van Neerven, J.M.A.M.: Stochastic convolution in separable Banach spaces and the stochastic linear Cauchy problem. *Studia Math.* **143**(1), 43–74 (2000)
6. Burkholder, D.L.: Distribution function inequalities for martingales. *Ann. Probab.* **1**, 19–42 (1973)
7. Burkholder, D.L.: A geometrical characterization of Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional. *Ann. Probab.* **9**(6), 997–1011 (1981)
8. Burkholder, D.L.: Martingales and Fourier Analysis in Banach Spaces. In *Probability and Analysis (Varenna, 1985)*, Volume 1206 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pp. 61–108. Springer, Berlin (1986)
9. Burkholder, D.L.: Sharp inequalities for martingales and stochastic integrals. *Astérisque*, (157–158):75–94, 1988. Colloque Paul Lévy sur les Processus Stochastiques (Palaiseau, 1987)
10. Burkholder, D.L.: Explorations in Martingale Theory and Its Applications. In *École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIX—1989*, Volume 1464 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pp. 1–66. Springer, Berlin (1991)
11. Burkholder, D.L.: Martingales and Singular Integrals in Banach Spaces. In *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach spaces*, Vol. I, pp. 233–269. North-Holland, Amsterdam (2001)
12. Burkholder, D.L., Davis, B.J., Gundy, R.F.: Integral inequalities for convex functions of operators on martingales. In: *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971)*, Vol. II: Probability Theory, pages 223–240. University California Press, Berkeley, California (1972)
13. Cox, S.G., Geiss, S.: On decoupling in Banach spaces (2018). [arXiv:1805.12377](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.12377)
14. Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J.: Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions. Volume 152 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014)
15. Day, M.M.: Some characterizations of inner-product spaces. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **62**, 320–337 (1947)

16. de la Peña, V.H., Giné, E.: Decoupling. Probability and its Applications (New York). From dependence to independence, Randomly stopped processes. *U*-statistics and processes. Martingales and beyond. Springer, New York (1999)
17. Dirksen, S.: Itô isomorphisms for L^p -valued Poisson stochastic integrals. *Ann. Probab.* **42**(6), 2595–2643 (2014)
18. Dirksen, S., Marinelli, C., Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: Stochastic evolution equations in L^p -spaces driven by jump noise. **In preparation**
19. Dirksen, S., Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: L^q -valued Burkholder–Rosenthal inequalities and sharp estimates for stochastic integrals. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **119**(6), 1633–1693 (2019)
20. Doléans, C.: Variation quadratique des martingales continues à droite. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **40**, 284–289 (1969)
21. Fama, E.F.: Mandelbrot and the stable Paretian hypothesis. *J. Bus.* **36**(4), 420–429 (1963)
22. Garling, D.J.H.: Brownian motion and UMD-spaces. In Probability and Banach spaces (Zaragoza, 1985), volume 1221 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 36–49. Springer, Berlin (1986)
23. Garling, D.J.H.: Random martingale transform inequalities. In *Probability in Banach Spaces 6* (Sandbjerg, 1986), Volume 20 of *Progress in Probability*, pp. 101–119. Birkhäuser, Boston (1990)
24. Geiss, S.: A counterexample concerning the relation between decoupling constants and UMD-constants. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **351**(4), 1355–1375 (1999)
25. Geiss, S., Montgomery-Smith, S., Saksman, E.: On singular integral and martingale transforms. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **362**(2), 553–575 (2010)
26. Geiss, S., Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: Dyadic and stochastic shifts and Volterra-type operators. *In preparation*
27. Goldys, B., van Neerven, J.M.A.M.: Transition semigroups of Banach space-valued Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes. *Acta Appl. Math.* **76**(3), 283–330 (2003)
28. Gravereaux, J.B., Pellaumail, J.: Formule de Ito pour des processus non continus à valeurs dans des espaces de Banach. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.)* **10**(399–422), 1974 (1975)
29. Grigelionis, B.: The representation of integer-valued random measures as stochastic integrals over the Poisson measure. *Litovsk. Mat. Sb.* **11**, 93–108 (1971)
30. Gyöngy, I., Krylov, N.V.: On stochastic equations with respect to semimartingales. I. *Stochastics* **4**(1):1–21 (1980/81)
31. Halpin-Healy, T., Zhang, Y-Ch.: Kinetic roughening phenomena, stochastic growth, directed polymers and all that. Aspects of multidisciplinary statistical mechanics. *Phys Rep* **254**(4–6), 215–414 (1995)
32. Hytönen, T.P., van Neerven, J.M.A.M., Veraar, M.C., Weis, L.: *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. I. Martingales and Littlewood–Paley theory*, volume 63 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer, (2016)
33. Hytönen, T.P., van Neerven, J.M.A.M., Veraar, M.C., Weis, L.: *Analysis in Banach Spaces. Vol. II. Probabilistic Methods and Operator Theory*, Volume 67 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer, Cham (2017)
34. Hytönen, T.P., van Neerven, J.M.A.M., Veraar, M.C., Weis, L.: *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. III. Harmonic and Spectral Theory*. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer, in preparation
35. Jacod, J., Shiryaev, A.N.: Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes, Volume 288 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. 2nd edn, Springer, Berlin (2003)
36. Kak, S.C.: The discrete Hilbert transform. *Proc. IEEE* **58**(4), 585–586 (1970)
37. Kallenberg, O.: *Foundations of Modern Probability*. Probability and its Applications (New York). 2nd edn, Springer, New York (2002)
38. Kallenberg, O.: *Random Measures, Theory and Applications*, Volume 77 of *Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling*. Springer, Cham (2017)
39. Kallenberg, O., Sztencel, R.: Some dimension-free features of vector-valued martingales. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **88**(2), 215–247 (1991)
40. Karatzas, I., Shreve, S.E.: *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Volume 113 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. 2nd edn, Springer, New York (1991)
41. Keyantuo, V., Lizama, C.: Fourier multipliers and integro-differential equations in Banach spaces. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **69**(3), 737–750 (2004)
42. Kingman, J.F.C.: *Poisson Processes*, Volume 3 of *Oxford Studies in Probability*. Oxford University Press, New York (1993)
43. Krylov, N.V.: On SPDE’s and superdiffusions. *Ann. Probab.* **25**(4), 1789–1809 (1997)
44. Krylov, N.V.: An Analytic Approach to SPDEs. In *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: Six Perspectives*, Volume 64 of *Mathematics Surveys Monograph*, pp. 185–242. American Mathematics Society, Providence (1999)
45. Kuo, H.H.: *Gaussian Measures in Banach Spaces*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 463. Springer, Berlin (1975)
46. Ledoux, M., Talagrand, M.: *Probability in Banach Spaces*, Volume 23 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* (3). Springer, Berlin (1991)

47. Lenglar, E.: Relation de domination entre deux processus. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.)* **13**(2), 171–179 (1977)
48. Lindemulder, N.: *Weighted Function Spaces with Applications to Boundary Value Problems*. Ph.D. thesis, Delft University of Technology (2019)
49. Lindemulder, N., Veraar, M.C., Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: The UMD property for Musielak–Orlicz Spaces. In *Positivity and Noncommutative Analysis*, Trends Math., pp. 349–363. Springer, Cham (2019)
50. Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L.: *Classical Banach Spaces. II*, Volume 97 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer, Berlin (1979)
51. Marinelli, C.: On maximal inequalities for purely discontinuous L_q -valued martingales (2013). [arXiv:1311.7120](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.7120)
52. Marinelli, C., Röckner, M.: On the maximal inequalities of Burkholder, Davis and Gundy. *Expo. Math.* **34**(1), 1–26 (2016)
53. Maurey, B., Pisier, G.: Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach. *Studia Math.* **58**(1), 45–90 (1976)
54. Métivier, M.: *Semimartingales*, volume 2 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin (1982)
55. Métivier, M., Pellaumail, J.: *Stochastic Integration. Probability and Mathematical Statistics*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York (1980)
56. Meyer, P.A.: Notes sur les intégrales stochastiques. I. Intégrales hilbertiennes. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 581, pp. 446–462 (1977)
57. Meyer-Nieberg, P.: *Banach Lattices*. Universitext. Springer, Berlin (1991)
58. van Neerven, J.M.A.M.: γ -radonifying operators—a survey. In: *The AMSI-ANU Workshop on Spectral Theory and Harmonic Analysis*, Volume 44 of *Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematics and its Applications*, Australian National University, pp. 1–61 (2010)
59. van Neerven, J.M.A.M., Veraar, M.C., Weis, L.W.: Stochastic integration in UMD Banach spaces. *Ann. Probab.* **35**(4), 1438–1478 (2007)
60. van Neerven, J.M.A.M., Veraar, M.C., Weis, L.W.: Stochastic evolution equations in UMD Banach spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **255**(4), 940–993 (2008)
61. van Neerven, J.M.A.M., Weis, L.W.: Stochastic integration of functions with values in a Banach space. *Studia Math.* **166**(2), 131–170 (2005)
62. Novikov, A.A.: Discontinuous martingales. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **20**, 13–28 (1975)
63. Osękowski, A.: On relaxing the assumption of differential subordination in some martingale inequalities. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **16**, 9–21 (2011)
64. Osękowski, A.: *Sharp Martingale and Semimartingale Inequalities*, Volume 72 of *Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk. Monografie Matematyczne (New Series)*. Springer, Basel (2012)
65. Osękowski, A., Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: The Hilbert transform and orthogonal martingales in Banach spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Notices IMRN* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnz187>
66. Pisier, G.: *Martingales in Banach Spaces*, vol. 155. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016)
67. Protter, P.E.: *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, Volume 21 of *Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability*. 2nd edn, Springer, Berlin (Version 2.1, Corrected third printing) (2005)
68. Revuz, D., Yor, M.: *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Volume 293 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. 3rd edn, Springer, Berlin (1999)
69. Rozovskii, B.L.: *Stochastic Evolution Systems*, Volume 35 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, (1990). Linear theory and applications to nonlinear filtering, Translated from the Russian by A. Yarkho
70. Rubio de Francia, J.L.: Martingale and integral transforms of Banach space valued functions. In *Probability and Banach spaces (Zaragoza, 1985)*, Volume 1221 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 195–222. Springer, Berlin (1986)
71. Santos, F.: Inscribing a symmetric body in an ellipse. *Inform. Process. Lett.* **59**(4), 175–178 (1996)
72. Sato, K.-i.: *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Volume 68 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2013). Translated from the 1990 Japanese original. Revised edition of the 1999 English translation
73. Shafarevich, I.R., Remizov, A.O.: *Linear Algebra and Geometry*. Springer, Heidelberg, (2013). Translated from the 2009 Russian original by David Kramer and Lena Nekludova
74. Shiryaev, A.N., Chernyĭ, A.S.: A vector stochastic integral and the fundamental theorem of asset pricing. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 237(Stokhast. Finans. Mat.):12–56 (2002)
75. van Neerven, J.M.A.M.: Nonsymmetric Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups in Banach spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **155**(2), 495–535 (1998)
76. Veraar, M.C.: *Stochastic integration in Banach spaces and applications to parabolic evolution equations*. Ph.D. thesis, TU Delft, Delft University of Technology (2006)
77. Veraar, M.C.: Continuous local martingales and stochastic integration in UMD Banach spaces. *Stochastics* **79**(6), 601–618 (2007)

78. Veraar, M.C.: Randomized UMD Banach spaces and decoupling inequalities for stochastic integrals. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **135**(5), 1477–1486 (2007)
79. Veraar, M.C., Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: Cylindrical continuous martingales and stochastic integration in infinite dimensions. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 21: Paper No. 59, 53 (2016)
80. Veraar, M.C., Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: Pointwise properties of martingales with values in Banach function spaces. In: *High Dimensional Probability VIII*, pp. 321–340. Springer, Berlin (2019)
81. Weisz, F.: Martingale Hardy Spaces with Continuous Time. In *Probability Theory and Applications*, Volume 80 of *Mathematics Application*, pp. 47–75. Kluwer Academic Publication, Dordrecht (1992)
82. Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: Fourier multipliers and weak differential subordination of martingales in UMD Banach spaces. *Studia Math.* **243**(3), 269–301 (2018)
83. Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: Local characteristics and tangency of vector-valued martingales (2019). [arXiv:1907.11588](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11588)
84. Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: Martingale decompositions and weak differential subordination in UMD Banach spaces. *Bernoulli* **25**(3), 1659–1689 (2019)
85. Yaroslavtsev, I.S.: On the martingale decompositions of Gundy, Meyer, and Yoeurp in infinite dimensions. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **55**(4), 1988–2018 (2019)
86. Zaanen, A.C.: *Integration*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Interscience Publishers Wiley, New York (1967)

Communicated by M. Hairer