

Delft University of Technology

Towards a general concentration quenching model of Bi³⁺ luminescence

Awater, Roy H.P.; Dorenbos, Pieter

DOI 10.1016/j.jlumin.2017.05.011

Publication date 2017 **Document Version** Final published version

Published in Journal of Luminescence

Citation (APA) Awater, R. H. P., & Dorenbos, P. (2017). Towards a general concentration quenching model of Bi³⁺ luminescence. *Journal of Luminescence*, *188*, 487-489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2017.05.011

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Journal of Luminescence

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jlumin

Towards a general concentration quenching model of Bi³⁺ luminescence



Roy H.P. Awater*, Pieter Dorenbos

Luminescence Materials Research Group (FAME-LMR), Department of Radiation Science and Technology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Delft University of Technology, 2629 JB Delft, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Bi-pairs Quenching Charge transfer Bi²⁺ Bi³⁺

ABSTRACT

The vacuum referred binding energy of the electron in the Bi^{2+} ground state has been determined in 15 different compounds. This shows that the electron binding energy in the ground state of Bi^{2+} is at lower (more negative) energy compared to the electron binding energy in the excited state of Bi^{3+} . This means that electron transfer from the excited state of one Bi^{3+} to a neighboring Bi^{3+} , forming a $Bi2 + -Bi^{4+}$ pair, acts as a quenching route for the Bi^{3+} emission. Electron back transfer in the $Bi2 + -Bi^{4+}$ pair is then suggested to be the origin for the frequently observed pair emission. This paper shows that vacuum referred electron binding energy diagrams can provide a unique physical insight in the properties of inorganic compounds.

1. Introduction

 ${\rm Bi}^{3+}$ shows a complex temperature and concentration dependent luminescence quenching [1–3]. The luminescence quenching cannot be explained by multi-phonon relaxation because of a too large energy gap between the ${}^{3}P_{1}$ excited state and the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ ground state. A transfer of an electron from the excited state to the conduction band is often used to describe the quenching, like for example in (In,Lu)BO₃ and Ca(Zr,Sn)O₃ compounds [4,5]. Another possibility for luminescence quenching is via the charge transfer (CT) of an electron in the valence band to a bismuth ion as has been proposed for example in LaOBr [6]. These two quenching routes involving CT apply to isolated bismuth centers. A third type of quenching occurs in Bi-pairs, which becomes important at high concentrations of bismuth or in the case of preferential formation of bismuth pairs or clusters [7–9]. The mechanism of such type of quenching has always remained open.

Recently, we determined the vacuum referred electron binding energies (VRBE) in the Bi^{3+} [$^{1}\text{S}_{0}$] and [$^{3}\text{P}_{1}$] levels in 44 different compounds [10]. The VRBE of Bi^{3+} in a selection of 10 of those compounds is shown in Fig. 1. The VRBE in the $^{1}\text{S}_{0}$ ground state varies between – 5 and – 10 eV and in the $^{3}\text{P}_{1}$ excited state between – 2 and – 4 eV in a systematic fashion with the chemical and structural properties of the compound. When Bi^{3+} is doped in compounds with a low lying conduction band, e.g. compounds containing transition metals with d⁰ configuration such as YNbO₄ and YVO₄ in Fig. 1, the $^{3}\text{P}_{1}$ excited state appears above the conduction band (CB) bottom. In these cases photoionization to the CB occurs without generating any Bi^{3+} emission. In stead a metal-to-metal charge transfer (MMCT) emission is often observed in these compounds [11,12]. Besides Bi^{3+} , also lumi-

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2017.05.011 Received 22 March 2017; Accepted 4 May 2017 Available online 05 May 2017 0022-2313/ © 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. nescence from Bi²⁺ has been reported in fluorides, phosphates, sulphates and borates [13–16]. For Li₂BaP₂O₇ and YPO₄, we concluded that the VRBE in the Bi^{2+ 2}P_{1/2} ground state is at approximately – 4 eV, which is below the ³P₁ excited state of Bi³⁺ in those compounds [17,18]. This means that electron transfer from the excited state of one Bi³⁺ ion to a neighboring bismuth ion is energetically favorable. Such electron transfer provides then a mechanism for the quenching of the Bi³⁺ emission.

This mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 2. Two Bi³⁺ ions labeled I and II that form a Bi–Bi pair are shown, and after exciting an electron to the ³P₁ excited state of bismuth ion I (arrow 1), energy will be released in the electron transfer to the neighboring bismuth ion II (arrow 2), effectively forming a Bi²⁺-Bi⁴⁺ pair. Recombination of the Bi²⁺-Bi⁴⁺ electron-hole pair (arrow 3) can be radiately or non-radiatively. If the recombination occurs radiatively, a broad emission band with a large Stokes shift typical for CT transitions can be observed. This also provides a quenching route for the Bi³⁺ ³P₁ → ¹S₀ emission. In this paper we will demonstrate that electron transfer between neighboring bismuth ions is a general phenomenon for Bi³⁺-doped compounds when either Bi enters in pairs or cluster or when the Bi concentration is sufficiently high to generate pairs based on statistical grounds. For that we have collected information on Bi²⁺ in the 15 compounds listed in Table 1.

2. Results and discussion

For almost all compounds, the listed VRBE at the valence band (VB) top and conduction band (CB) bottom were presented earlier, and together with the VRBE in the Bi^{3+} ground and excited states are taken

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: R.H.P.Awater@tudelft.nl (R.H.P. Awater).



Fig. 1. Stacked VRBE diagrams for 10 different Bi^{3+} doped compounds with E_C the binding energy at the conduction band bottom, E_V the binding energy at the valence band top and E_X the binding energy in the exciton state. The blue bars labeled ${}^{1}S_0$ and ${}^{3}P_1$ indicate the electron binding energy in the Bi^{3+} ground and excited state, respectively. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).



Fig. 2. The proposed quenching model of the ${\rm Bi}^{3+}$ luminescence and generation of pair emission via electron transfer between neighboring bismuth ions.

Table 1

Spectroscopic and VRBE data on Bi^{2+} in compounds and on pure Bi compounds. All energies are in eV.

Host compound	E_V	E _C	$E_{em}^{\mathrm{Bi}^{2+}}$	T _{0.9}	$E_{\rm g.s.}^{\rm Bi^{2+}}$	Ref.
BiF ₃	-9.60	-4.42	-	-	-4.42	_
SrF ₂	-12.13	-0.69	2.07	>300 K	-3.66	[13]
CaF ₂	-12.33	-0.34	2.25	>300 K	-3.52	[13]
BaSO ₄	-8.93	-0.12	1.98	>300 K	-2.91	[14]
SrB ₄ O ₇	-9.59	-0.79	2.1	>300 K	-3.70	[16]
SrSO ₄	-8.93	0.12	2.03	>300 K	-2.73	[15]
BaBPO ₅	-8.98	-0.29	1.94	>300 K	-3.03	[21]
SrBPO ₅	-9.08	-0.21	1.94	>300 K	-2.96	[21]
CaBPO ₅	-9.24	-0.01	1.97	>300 K	-2.80	[21]
CaSO ₄	-8.94	0.19	2.1	>300 K	-2.73	[15,14]
Li ₂ BaP ₂ O ₇	-9.23	-0.86	1.81	>600 K	-3.95	[17]
BiPO ₄	-8.73	- 3.83	-	-	-3.83	-
YPO ₄	-9.77	-0.53	1.85	350 K	-3.28	[18]
MgGeO ₃	-8.70	-2.22	n.a.	n.a.	-3.81	[19]
Bi ₂ O ₃	-7.53	-4.18	-	-	-4.18	-

from Ref. [10]. There are several methods to estimate the VRBE in the Bi^{2+} ground and excited state. One may seek for information on the $VB \rightarrow Bi^{3+}$ charge transfer. However, we only found a report on

MgGeO₃ by Katayama et al. from which a Bi²⁺ location at -3.8 eV is derived [19]. Another method is to determine the energy barrier ΔE_q for thermal quenching of Bi²⁺ emission. However, detailed studies on the quenching of Bi²⁺ emission to determine such energy barrier are not available. At best one may find the temperature T_{0.9}, the temperature where the intensity has dropped by 10% and the quenching starts to become significant. Using methods similar as described in Ref. [20], the quenching energy barrier ΔE_q is approximately T_{0.9}/620 eV. The electron does not need to be transferred as high in energy as the conduction band bottom for quenching to occur. We will assume that $\frac{E_C + E_X}{2} - \Delta E_q - E_{em}^{Bi^{2+}}$ provides a fair estimate for the VRBE in the Bi²⁺ ground state ($E_{em}^{Bi^{2+}}$), with E_C the VRBE of the electron at the conduction band bottom and E_X the VRBE of the electron in the exciton state. The relevant values, including the references to the literature we used for $E_{em}^{Bi^{2+}}$, the Bi²⁺ emission energy, can be found in Table 1.

A final method to obtain indications on the VRBE in the Bi-levels is from pure Bi- compounds. Usable information was found for BiF₃, BiPO₄, and Bi₂O₃. The top of the VB has here a strong Bi³⁺ ground state component and the CB-bottom a strong Bi²⁺ contribution. For BiF₃ the VRBE at the VB-top is found at -9.6 eV and is derived from the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy studies by Poole et al. [22], and by adding information on the band gap the CB-bottom is found at -4.4 eV. BiPO₄ has been studied for its photo-catalytic activity and from those studies the CB-bottom was found about 0.4 eV above that of TiO₂ [23] which has the CB-bottom at -4.2 eV [24]. Bi₂O₃ has also been studied for its photocatalytic activity and Lin et al. [25] places the CB-bottom at 0.1 eV below the H⁺/H₂ redox potential which translates to a VRBE of -4.5 eV. Combined with band gap information, the data as compiled in Table 1 were obtained.

The data from Table 1 are presented as a stacked VRBE diagram in Fig. 3. The Bi³⁺ ground and excited states are indicated by the blue horizontal bars within the forbidden gap. The VRBE in the Bi²⁺ ground state (g.s.) and excited states are illustrated by the horizontal red bars. In the case when only an upper limit VRBE estimation is available, a small down pointing arrow has been added. The true position, depending on the actual emission quenching temperature, can well be 0.5 eV lower. For the pure Bi-based compounds the top of the valence band is regarded as representative for the VRBE in the Bi³⁺ g.s. and the bottom of the CB for the VRBE in the Bi²⁺ g.s. For example, the electron binding energy in the ground state of Bi²⁺ in YPO₄ is located at -3.28 eV, which is only 0.5 eV different from the binding energy in the conduction band bottom of BiPO₄. Also the electron binding energy in Bi³⁺ ground state located at -8.2 eV in YPO₄ differs only by 0.5 eV from the binding energy in the valence band top of BiPO₄. The different



Fig. 3. Stacked diagram with the VRBE at the VB-top and the CB-bottom of various compounds with the Bi^{3+} (blue horizontal bars) and Bi^{2+} (red horizontal bars) levels. Also the VRBE at the CB-bottom and VB-top in BiF_3 , $BiPO_4$, and Bi_2O_3 is shown. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

crystal structures, but also orbital mixing of Bi-states with that of other host atoms may account for such 0.5 eV difference.

The newly added information in this paper is the VRBE in the ${\rm Bi}^{2+}$ ground state, which appears in the range between -3.5 and -4 eV, and is indeed below the ${\rm Bi}^{3+}$ excited state usually found near -3 eV in fluoride and oxide compounds. The energy difference between the VRBE in the ${\rm Bi}^{3+}$ excited state and ${\rm Bi}^{2+}$ ground state appears to be about 0.7 ± 0.3 eV. If approximately 1 eV lattice relaxation loss is taken into account, the remaining energy for possible pair emission is then expected 1.7 ± 0.5 eV lower than the ${\rm Bi}^{3+}$ emission energy which is indeed the typical Stokes shift observed. For example, in YPO₄:Bi³⁺ the pair emission band at 325 nm is 1.6 eV Stokes shifted from the Bi³⁺ excitation.

Depending on the transition rates, complicated dynamics as in the Bi³⁺ doped garnet systems [26-28] may now occur. It is not the purpose of this paper to enter in too much detail but some general ideas can be launched. The typical luminescence decay rate at room temperature of the $\text{Bi}^{3\,+}$ emission is found near 1 μs and the luminescence decay of the pair emission is typically 100 µs [28,29]. The radiative decay rate of the Bi³⁺ emission (arrow 1 in Fig. 2) has to compete with the electron transfer rate to the neighboring Bi ion (arrow 2 in Fig. 2). In such electron transfer, the above found 0.7 ± 0.3 eV energy difference needs to be emitted as phonons and a temperature dependent rate is to be expected. Once in the Bi4+-Bi2+ pair state, again different rates apply. With only 0.7 eV energy difference, thermally activated electron back transfer to the higher energy $Bi^{3+}[{}^{3}P_{1}] - Bi^{3+}[{}^{1}S_{0}]$ pair state is possible (reverse of arrow 2 in Fig. 2). In addition, there are the luminescence decay rates of Bi-pair emission, and its thermal quenching rate.

Srivastava et al. [2] studied the luminescence intensity as function of temperature of the Bi3+ emission at 385 nm (3.22 eV) and observed an additional emission band labeled as VIS-band at 514 nm (2.41 eV) when exciting Bi^{3+} at 290 nm (4.27 eV) in 0.5% Bi^{3+} doped $La_2Zr_2O_7$. From 0 K to 60 K the Bi³⁺ emission quenches whereas the VIS-band grows in intensity, and from 70 K to 120 K the process is reversed and the Bi3+ emission grows again with decreasing VIS-band intensity. Above 120 K both bands quench until at RT no emission remains. Although the origin of the VIS-band was not clear, one may also interpret it as Bi-pair emission. Intensity first grows at the expense of Bi³⁺ emission, above 70 K electron back transfer to the Bi³⁺ excited state reverses the process, and above 120 K the radiation-less electron transfer to the Bi³⁺ ground state starts to quench both emissions. Energetically such processes are well-possible. More detailed studies on the luminescence dynamics as function of temperature and Bi concentration would be required to verify or falsify such dynamics.

3. Conclusion

We have shown that in general the VRBE in the Bi^{2+} ground state is located at lower energy as compared to the VRBE in the $Bi^{3+3}P_1$ excited state. In the presence of Bi-Bi pairs, it is then upon excitation of one Bi^{3+} energetically favorable for electron transfer to occur, and to form a $Bi^{2+}-Bi^{4+}$ pair. Based on the estimated VRBEs in the Bi^{2+} ground state in 15 different compounds, the electron transfer between neighboring Bi ions appears always energetically possible. The recombination of the electron and hole on the $Bi^{2+}-Bi^{4+}$ pair can occur non-radiatively or radiatively, in the later case the resulting visible emission band is often observed and ascribed to pair emission. The proposed mechanism of charge transfer between Bi-pairs can also explain the quenching behavior of Bi^{3+} luminescence when the Bi^{3+} concentration increases.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Dutch Technology Foundation

STW, which is part of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), and which is partly funded by the Ministry of Economic Affairs. This work was partly funded by Saint Gobain Crystals, France.

References

- A.M. Srivastava, S.J. Camardello, Concentration dependence of the Bi³⁺ luminescence in LnPO₄ (Ln=Y³⁺, Lu³⁺), Opt. Mater. 39 (2015) 130–133.
- [2] A.M. Srivastava, W.W. Beers, On the impurity trapped exciton luminescence in La₂Zr₂O₇: Bi³⁺, J. Lumin 81 (1999) 293–300.
- [3] A.M. Srivastava, A. Szarowski, On the quenching of Bi³⁺ luminescence in the pyrochlore Gd₂GaSbO₇, J. Solid State Chem. 146 (1999) 494–498.
- [4] V.P. Dotsenko, I.B. Berezovskaya, N.P. Efryushina, Photoionization and luminescence properties of Bi³⁺ in In_{1-x}Lu_xBO₃ solid solutions, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 57 (1995) 437–441.
- [5] A.M. Srivastava, Luminescence of Bi³⁺ in the orthorhombic perovskites CaB⁴⁺O₃(B⁴⁺ = Zr, Sn): crossover from localized to D-state emission, Opt. Mater. 58 (2016) 89–92.
- [6] A. Wolfert, G. Blasse, Luminescence of Bi³⁺-activated LaOBr, a system with emission from different states, J. Lumin 33 (1985) 213–226.
- [7] H. Zhiran, G. Blasse, Energy transfer phenomena in luminescent materials based on GdB₃O₆, Mater. Chem. Phys. 12 (1985) 257–274.
- [8] A.M. Srivastava, On the luminescence of Bi³⁺ in the pyrochlore Y₂Sn₂O₇, Mater. Res. Bull. 37 (2002) 745–751.
- [9] A.M. Srivastava, H.A. Comanzo, The ultraviolet and visible luminescence of Bi³⁺ in the orthorhombic perovskite, GdAlO₃, Opt. Mater. 63 (2017) 118–121.
 [10] R.H.P. Awater, P. Dorenbos, The Bi³⁺6s and 6p electron binding energies in
- [10] R.H.P. Awater, P. Dorenbos, The Bi³⁺6s and 6p electron binding energies in relation to the chemical environment of inorganic compounds, J. Lumin. 184 (2017) 221–231.
- [11] P. Boutinaud, Revisiting the spectroscopy of the Bi³⁺ ion in oxide compounds, Inorg. Chem. 52 (2013) 6028–6038.
- [12] G. Blasse, Optical electron transfer between metal ions and its consequences, Struct. Bond. 76 (1991) 153–187.
- [13] R. Cao, F. Zhang, C. Liao, J. Qiu, Yellow-to-orange emission from Bi²⁺-doped RF₂ (R=Ca and Sr) phosphors, Opt. Express 21 (2013) 15728–15733.
- [14] R. Cao, M. Peng, J. Qiu, Photoluminescence of Bi²⁺-doped BaSO₄ as a red phosphor for white LEDs, Opt. Express 20 (2012) A977–A983.
- [15] M.A. Hamstra, H.F. Folkerts, G. Blasse, Red bismuth emission in alkaline-earth metal sulfates, J. Mater. Chem. 4 (1994) 1349–1350.
- [16] G. Blasse, A. Meijerink, M. Nomes, J. Zuidema, Unusual bismuth luminescence in strontium tetraborate (SrB4O₇:Bi), J. Phys. Chem. Solids 55 (1994) 171–174.
- [17] R.H.P. Awater, P. Dorenbos, X-ray induced valence change and vacuum referred binding energies of Bi³⁺ and Bi²⁺ in Li₂BaP₂O₇, J. Phys. Chem. C 120 (2016) 15114–15118.
- [18] R.H.P. Awater, L.C. Niemeijer-Berghuijs, P. Dorenbos, Luminescence and charge carrier trapping in YPO₄:Bi, Opt. Mater. 66 (2017) 351–355.
- [19] Y. Katayama, J. Ueda, S. Tanabe, Effect of Bi₂O₃ doping on persistent luminescence of MgGeO₃:Mn²⁺ phosphor, Opt. Mater. Express 4 (2014) 613–623.
- [20] P. Dorenbos, Thermal quenching of Eu²⁺5d-4f luminescence in inorganic compounds, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17 (2005) 8103–8111.
- [21] M. Peng, L. Wondraczek, Bi²⁺-doped strontium borates for white-light emitting diodes, Opt. Lett. 34 (2009) 2885–2887.
- [22] R.T. Poole, J. Liesegang, R.C.G. Leckey, J.G. Jenkin, J.B. Peel, Photoelectron valence-band spectra of PbF₂, SbF₃ and BiF₃, Phys. Rev. B 13 (1976) 896–899.
- [23] C. Pan, Y. Zhu, New type of BiPO₄ oxy-acid salt photocatalyst with high photocatalytic activity on degradation of dye, Environ. Sci. Technol. 44 (2010) 5570–5574.
- [24] P. Dorenbos, The electronic structure of lanthanide impurities in TiO₂, ZnO, SnO₂, and related compounds, ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol. 3 (2014) R19–R24.
- [25] X. Lin, J. Xin, W. Wang, Z. Shan, F. Xu, F. Huang, Photocatalytic activities of heterojunction semiconductors Bi₂O₃/BaTiO₃: a strategy for the design of efficient combined photocatalysts, J. Phys. Chem. C 111 (2007) 18288–18293.
- [26] Y. Zorenko, V. Gorbenko, T. Voznyak, V. Jary, M. Nikl, Luminescence spectroscopy of the Bi³⁺ single and dimer centers in Y₃Al₅O₁₂:Bi single crystalline films, J. Lumin 130 (2010) 1963–1969.
- [27] V. Babin, V. Gorbenko, A. Krasnikov, A. Makhov, M. Nikl, K. Polak, S. Zazubovich, Y. Zorenko, Peculiarities of excited state structure and photoluminescence in Bi³⁺doped Lu₃Al₅O₁₂ single-crystalline films, J. Phys.:Condens. Matter 21 (2009) 415502.
- [28] V. Babin, V. Gorbenko, A. Krasnikov, A. Makhov, E. Mihokova, M. Nikl, S. Zazubovich, Y. Zorenko, Origin of Bi³⁺-related luminescence centres in Lu₃Al₅O₁₂ and Y₃Al₅O₁₂ single crystalline films and the structure of their relaxed excited states, Phys. Status Solidi B 249 (2012) 1039–1045.
- [29] G. Blasse, A. Bril, Investigations of Bi³⁺-activated phosphors, J. Chem. Phys. 48 (1968) 217–222.