Two types of magnetism in the magnetic superconductor TmNi₂B₂C related to the degree of carbon-site occupancy

A. M. Mulders and P. C. M. Gubbens

Interfacultair Reactor Instituut, Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 15, 2629 JB Delft, The Netherlands

U. Gasser

Laboratory for Neutron Scattering, ETH Zurich & PSI, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

C. Baines

Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

K. H. J. Buschow

Van der Waals-Zeeman Instituut, University of Amsterdam, Valckenierstraat 65, 1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands (Received 16 May 1997; revised manuscript received 9 December 1997)

A combined ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectroscopy and μ^+ SR (muon spin rotation) study is presented on a polycrystalline sample of TmNi₂B₂C. The first experimental technique shows the coexistence of two types of Tm 4*f* magnetism at 0.3 K, one related to a magnetic Tm moment of $4.3(1)\mu_B$ and the other to a small Tm moment of $\sim 0.1\mu_B$. This second type of magnetism is suggested to be caused by carbon vacancies present in TmNi₂B₂C. These C vacancies locally modify the crystalline electric field and therefore the Tm 4*f* magnetism. Since these vacancies are ideal cavities in which muons can reside, it is suggested that μ^+ SR only probes this $\sim 0.1\mu_B$ magnetism. [S0163-1829(98)05217-5]

The discovery of the quarternary rare earth nickel boron carbides RNi_2B_2C in 1994 started an intensive study of their combined magnetic and superconducting properties. In some of these compounds (R=Tm, Er, Ho, and Dy) these studies reveal the coexistence of superconductivity and magnetism. As the strength of the exchange interaction between R atoms increases (de Gennes scaling) the transition to the superconducting state occurs at lower temperatures, due to the increase of magnetic Strength, the boron carbides are an ideal system to study the interplay between magnetism and superconductivity and they are therefore the subject of continuing scientific interest.

The magnetically ordered state of RNi₂B₂C shows a variety of magnetic structures.² The nonsuperconducting compounds PrNi₂B₂C and NdNi₂B₂C show a commensurate antiferromagnetic structure with an in-plane easy magnetization axis whereas GdNi₂B₂C and TbNi₂B₂C show a sine modulated incommensurate antiferromagnetic structure with $\delta \sim (0.55, 0, 0)$. Similar magnetic structures are observed in the superconducting RNi_2B_2C . DyNi₂B₂C (T_N) ~10.6, $T_C \sim 6 \text{ K}$) and the low-temperature phase of HoNi₂B₂C ($T \le 5$ K) show a commensurate antiferromagnetic structure but ErNi2B2C and the reentrant phase of HoNi₂B₂C ($5 \le T \le 6.3$ K) show a sine-modulated structure with δ similar to GdNi₂B₂C. In contrast to all these planar magnets, an easy c axis is observed in TmNi₂B₂C. For this compound it is shown that $T_N = 1.52(2)$ K (Ref. 3) and T_C = 10.8 K (Ref. 4). Recent neutron diffraction studies revealed an incommensurate antiferromagnetic structure with $\delta = (0.093, 0.093, 0)$ i.e., a modulation in the [110] direction with a clear onset at 1.5 K.^{2,5} The sine-modulated magnetic moments with a maximum of $3.78\mu_B$ are pointing along the *c* axis and become squared at lower temperature.

 μ^+ SR on HoNi₂B₂C and ErNi₂B₂C (Ref. 6) shows a muon precession frequency of the size expected for the magnetic Ho and Er moments [~10.4 μ_B (Ref. 7) and 8.4 μ_B (Ref. 8)] and confirms the magnetic ordering temperatures of ~8 K and 6.8 K. Surprisingly, μ^+ SR measurements on $TmNi_{2}B_{2}C$ (Refs. 6, 9, and 10) contradict the magnetic behavior of the Tm atoms as measured by neutron techniques. They reveal that a spontaneous internal field is present up to \sim 30 K which is far above T_N . This spontaneous field saturates below ~ 2.5 K and shows an inexplicable 1/T dependence above this temperature. The saturation value of this internal field corresponds to a Tm moment of $\sim 0.1 \mu_B$. Remarkably, this small Tm moment of $\sim 0.1 \mu_B$ is also observed by ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectroscopy.¹¹ Furthermore, the Mössbauer spectra show a line broadening above the magnetic transition temperature up to ~ 40 K which might correspond to the internal field observed by μ^+ SR.

Because of the very large difference in magnetic Tm moments between the different experimental techniques, we extended our ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer study¹¹ to a second sample of TmNi₂B₂C which was used for inelastic neutron scattering (INS) measurements by Gasser *et al.*¹² From these INS measurements crystal field parameters (CFP's) are deduced which describe not only the INS data but also magnetization,⁴ specific heat,³ and neutron diffraction data.^{2,5} These CFP's predict a Tm 4*f* magnetic moment of ~4.3 μ_B in the magnetically ordered state. In this paper we report on the differences between this sample and the sample used for the previous ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectroscopy study¹¹ and the implications of these differences on the Tm 4*f* magnetism.

10 320

× 0

Absorption

0.2

0.4

ο.

0.2

0.4

0.6

-600

Also a possible explanation will be given for the large discrepancy between the results obtained by neutron techniques and μ^+ SR.

The behavior of the asymmetric 4f shell of the *R* atoms in RNi_2B_2C is strongly influenced by crystal field effects. The crystal field Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{cf} for the tetragonal point symmetry (I4/mmm) of the *R* 4*f* shell can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm cf} = B_2^0 O_2^0 + B_4^0 O_4^0 + B_4^4 O_4^4 + B_6^0 O_6^0 + B_6^4 O_6^4, \qquad (1)$$

where B_l^m are the crystal field parameters and O_l^m represent Stevens operators. This Hamiltonian describes the splitting of the rare earth ground multiplet J and therefore the magnetism of the 4f shell.

Both polycrystalline samples of TmNi₂B₂C which were studied by ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer-technique were prepared by arc melting from starting materials of at least 99.9% purity. The initial ratio between the starting materials was different for the two samples. Whereas for the sample of Mulders *et al.*¹¹ a ratio of Tm:Ni:B:C=1.1:2:2:1 was used, the sample of Gasser *et al.*¹² was prepared in a ratio of 1:2:2:1.1. In this paper we refer to these samples as sample A and sample B, respectively. X-ray diffraction on both samples showed the desired crystal structure combined with a second unknown phase. This second phase was different for the two samples and less than 10%. Superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) measurements showed the superconducting transition at T_C =10.3(3) K and T_C =10.8(3) K for samples A and B, respectively.

¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectroscopy uses the ¹⁶⁹Tm nucleus as a probe and its quadrupole splitting is very sensitive to the behavior of the electronic 4f shell of the Tm atom. Since the properties of the conduction electrons are screened by the core electrons, ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectroscopy is not sensitive to the superconducting properties. The ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectra were recorded on an acceleration-type spectrometer in sinusoidal mode, the measured spectra being plotted on a linear scale. An absolute velocity calibration was obtained with a laser Michelson interferometer. The ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer effect was measured using 8.4 keV gamma rays emitted by ¹⁶⁹Er obtained after neutron irradiation of ¹⁶⁸ErAl₃ grains in an Al matrix.

The ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectra of sample A of TmNi₂B₂C were recorded at various temperatures between 0.3 K and 700 K and the results of this study are published in Ref. 11. The spectra of sample B were recorded between 0.3 K and 8 K. The spectrum recorded at 0.3 K and the spectrum of sample A at that temperature are plotted in Fig. 1. The spectra show that the Tm magnetism is different in the two samples. In general, a quadrupole doublet, as is observed in sample A, is due to the electrostatic interaction between the quadrupole moment of the Tm nucleus (O) and the electric field gradient caused by the asymmetric 4f shell and the crystal field of the lattice. If there is also a local magnetic field present at the Tm nucleus, the hyperfine interaction gives rise to six absorption lines in the ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectrum. A small hyperfine field, as, for example, due to a small Tm 4f moment of $\sim 0.1 \mu_B$, just causes a perturbation on the electric quadrupole splitting.

Sample A shows a single quadrupole doublet at 0.3 K and a spectrum measured with a velocity scale of 200 mm/s [see



300

Velocity (mm/s)

600

TmNi₂B₂C

FIG. 1. ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectrum of sample A (Tm_{1.1}Ni₂B₂C) and sample B (TmNi₂B₂C_{1.1}) measured at 0.3 K. Whereas sample A shows a single quadrupole doublet, sample B shows two subspectra, a doublet, and a sextuplet. The sextuplet is analyzed with the spin density wave (SDW) modulation determined by Lynn *et al.* (Ref. 2) (q+3q+5q) and a maximum Tm 4*f* moment of $4.3\mu_B$. Note that the unequal population of the Zeeman-split nuclear ground state is taken into account. The doublets are shown in more detail in Fig. 2.

0

-300

Fig. 2(a)] clearly shows asymmetric broadening of the quadrupole doublet which is interpreted as a Tm 4f moment of $\sim 0.1 \mu_B$. Recent specific heat measurements confirmed a transition of magnetic origin in sample A at 1.15 K. In contrast to this, the spectrum of sample B measured at 0.3 K shows two different subspectra. The sextuplet corresponds to a magnetically ordered Tm 4f moment of $4.3(1)\mu_B$ and in the center of the spectrum a doublet is observed. Since only a small amount of contamination is observed by x rays, we believe these two subspectra both originate from TmNi₂B₂C. Apparently, two types of Tm 4f magnetism coexist in sample B. At 0.7 K the sextuplet shows strongly broadened absorption lines which may be a result of the sine-modulated magnetic structure as measured by neutron diffraction.^{2,5} At 1.7 K the sextuplet is replaced by a second quadrupole doublet in the Mössbauer spectrum, indicating the paramagnetic state. The quadrupole splittings (QS's) of the two doublets above the magnetic ordering temperature differ substantially from each other, which made it possible to separate the two different contributions in the ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectrum of sample B. This analysis showed that the QS of the first doublet subspectrum (present in sample B also below 1.7 K) is identical to the QS of sample A within the experimental error between 0.3 and 4 K. For higher temperatures it was not possible to separate the two contributions in sample B. Because the quadrupole splitting is sensitive to the crystal field levels of the Tm 4f shell, this does suggest that the doublet subspectrum of sample B originates from the same type of

3 K

T = 0.



FIG. 2. ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectrum of sample A (Tm_{1.1}Ni₂B₂C) and sample B (TmNi₂B₂C_{1.1}) measured with a velocity scale of 200 mm/s. These spectra contain the central part of the spectra presented in Fig. 1. The sextuplet is subtracted from the spectrum of sample B. Note that the asymmetric broadened doublet of sample A is also observed in sample B. Since the doublet of sample A is interpreted as a small Tm moment, this suggests that small Tm moments of $0.1\mu_B$ are also present in sample B.

Tm 4*f* magnetism as in sample A. Figure 2(b) shows a spectrum of sample B measured at 0.3 K with a velocity scale of 200 mm/s. The sextuplet is subtracted from the spectrum in order to observe the central doublet in more detail. An asymmetric broadening is visible which resembles the spectrum of sample A [Fig. 2(a)] and confirms the hypothesis that the doublets in samples A and B have the same origin.

For the analysis of the sextuplet we used two different approaches. In Fig. 1(b) we used the moment modulation of the magnetic SDW as determined by Lynn *et al.*² from neutron diffraction experiments at 0.65 K. To calculate the magnetic moment modulation the wave vectors q, 3q, and 5qwere used. Because this modulation was measured at 0.65 K, the possibility exists that the magnetic modulation is more squared at 0.3 K. Therefore we also calculated the Mössbauer spectrum corresponding to a fully squared Tm moment modulation. The sextuplet of sample B is equally well described by either one of these analysis. The percentage of Tm atoms which take part in the modulated magnetic structure varies from $\sim \frac{2}{3}$ in the first analysis to $\sim \frac{1}{2}$ in the last analysis.

Since a different quantity of carbon was used for the preparation of the two samples, differences in the amount of C interstitials can be responsible for the difference in magnetic behavior of the Tm 4*f* shell. Although carbon stabilizes the RNi_2B_2C compounds, the exact amount of carbon necessary for a stable TmNi₂B₂C_x is unknown. A study of DyNi₂B₂C_x (Ref. 13) shows that superconductivity is not influenced drastically for 0.9 < x < 1.1. Since x-ray diffraction is not very sensitive to the amount of C in the sample, a

deficiency in carbon (x < 1) is possible. Because a lower C:Tm ratio is used for the preparation of sample A, there will be more carbon vacancies in this sample. We therefore believe that the $4.3\mu_B$ moment of TmNi₂B₂C corresponds to Tm atoms surrounded by four carbon atoms as is expected for the RNi_2B_2C structure. The $0.1\mu_B$, however, may correspond to Tm atoms situated near a C vacancy. This C vacancy reduces the symmetry at the Tm site and modifies the crystal field and therefore the Tm 4*f* magnetism. The hybridization of the Tm valence electron states with the C valence electrons will decrease when C vacancies are present. This reduction in hybridization is expected to decrease the absolute value of B_2^0 .

In μ^+ SR the muons localize interstitially in the lattice where they probe the magnetism of their host. C vacancies are an ideal cavity for muons to reside in. It is shown that in CeRu₂Si₂,¹⁴ a compound with the same tetragonal structure but without interstitial carbon, the muons localize at the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ site, i.e., the site of the carbon atoms in RNi_2B_2C . Assuming that all samples of TmNi₂B₂C which were used for μ^+ SR experiments contain some amount of C vacancies, this explains why μ^+ SR spectroscopy only measures $\mu_{Tm} \sim 0.1\mu_B$. Based on these arguments there is a fair chance that the muons localize in the C vacancies. For this reason they only probe Tm atoms that are situated in the modified crystal field of the $0.1\mu_B$ magnetism. The exact muon location site can be verified by μ^+ SR Knight shift measurements on a single crystal of TmNi₂B₂C.

Since sample B is polycrystalline, this experiment could not be done but another way to check this muon location hypothesis is muon spin rotation spectroscopy. Since the ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectrum at 0.3 K of sample B established a magnetic moment of $4.3\mu_B$ for approximately half of the Tm atoms, this moment should be observed by μ^+ SR if the muons localize at the (0,0,0.20) site as expected from Knight shift measurements on HoNi₂B₂C.¹⁵ In that case about half of the muons localize near a $4.3\mu_B$ Tm moment and a partial muon precession signal is then expected which corresponds to this moment size. However, if the muons localize exclusively at the unoccupied C sites $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and the Tm magnetic moments near that C vacancy equal $\sim 0.1\mu_B$, only a single low muon precession frequency is expected.

The result of the μ^+ SR measurement performed at 0.3 K on polycrystalline sample B is plotted in Fig. 3. This spectrum is analyzed with

$$A(t) = A[\frac{1}{3} \exp(-\lambda_1 t) + \frac{2}{3} \exp(-\lambda_2 t) \cos(2\pi\nu_{\mu} t)],$$
(2)

where A is the assymetry, λ the relaxation rate, and ν_{μ} the muon precession frequency. The first and second terms correspond to the relaxation of the muon polarization parallel and perpendicular to the internal field. In the case of a polycrystalline sample the ratio of the amplitudes is 1:2. As is shown in Fig. 3 a single muon precession signal of ~ 1.8 MHz is observed just as in previous μ^+ SR measurements performed on other samples of TmNi₂B₂C.^{6,9,10} Although the internal field probed by μ^+ SR depends on the muon site and on the magnetic size and structure of the rare earth atoms, it is remarkable that the observed muon fre-



FIG. 3. Zero-field μ SR spectrum measured at 0.3 K in polycrystalline sample B. A single muon precession frequency is observed of 1.8 MHz which corresponds to a Tm moment of $\sim 0.1 \mu_B$.

quency in TmNi₂B₂C is about 30–40 times smaller than in ErNi₂B₂C and HoNi₂B₂C.^{6,9,10} The magnetic structure of TmNi₂B₂C as deduced from neutron diffraction experiments^{2.5} predicts a muon precession frequency even larger than observed in the Ho and Er compounds. In fact, dipolar calculations show that the observed muon frequency of ~1.8 MHz is only compatible with muon-site locations at (0,0,0.20) or $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0)$ near Tm moments of ~0.1 μ_B . However, if the muons would occupy the (0,0,0.20) sites near Tm atoms with moments of 4.3 μ_B , the corresponding muon frequency would be equal to 64.5 MHz. The localization of the muon near a small Tm moment is therefore a tempting explanation for the observed muon precession signal. Except

- ¹H. Eisaki, H. Takagi, R. J. Cava, B. Batlogg, J. J. Krajewski, W. F. Peck, Jr., K. Mizuhashi, J. O. Lee, and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 647 (1994).
- ²J. W. Lynn, S. Skanthakumar, Q. Huang, S. K. Sinha, Z. Hossain, L. C. Gupta, R. Nagarajan, and C. Godart, Phys. Rev. B 55, 6584 (1997).
- ³R. Movshovich, M. F. Hundley, J. D. Thompson, P. C. Canfield, B. K. Cho, and A. V. Chubukov, Physica C 227, 381 (1994).
- ⁴B. K. Cho, Ming Xu, P. C. Canfield, L. L. Miller, and D. C. Johnston, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 3676 (1995).
- ⁵L. J. Chang, C. V. Tomy, D. McK. Paul, and C. Ritter, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 9031 (1996).
- ⁶L. P. Le, R. H. Heffner, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, P. C. Canfield, B. K. Cho, A. Amato, R. Feyerherm, F. N. Gygax, D. E. MacLaughlin, and A. Schenk, Physica B **206&207**, 552 (1995).
- ⁷A. I. Goldman, C. Stassis, P. C. Canfield, J. Zarestky, P. Dervenagas, B. K. Cho, D. C. Johnston, and B. Sternlieb, Phys. Rev. B 50, 9668 (1994).
- ⁸P. Bonville, J. A. Hodges, C. Vaast, E. Alleno, C. Godart, L. C. Gupta, Z. Hossain, R. Nagarajan, G. Hilscher, and H. Michor, Z. Phys. B **101**, 511 (1996).

for ¹⁶⁹Tm Mössbauer spectroscopy there are no other experimental results to support this small moment. On the other hand, the amount of C vacancies will depend strongly on the sample preparation and small quantities of $\sim 0.1 \mu_B$ Tm moments magnetism are easily disregarded.

In a ¹⁶⁶Er Mössbauer spectroscopy study on ErNi₂B₂C,⁸ a "secondary phase" of ~10% is observed which the authors attribute to the ErNi₂B₂C itself. This also could be due to the presence of vacancies in the sample. From the μ SR point of view described above, this is possible because the Er moment of the secondary phase is similar to the moment of the Kramers ion Er in the rest of the sample. Therefore it may pass unnoticed if the muons probe the Er magnetism distorted by vacancies.

It would be interesting to determine the crystal fields of both types of Tm magnetism to see if it is indeed possible that C vacancies are responsible for the observed small Tm moment. The QS is related to the angular momentum (J, J_z) and population of the different crystal field levels of the Tm 4f shell. From its temperature dependence different sets of CFP's can be deduced that are able to describe the experimental results.¹¹ Because more sets of CFP's describe the same OS(T), there is no unique solution. The same argument holds for INS, specific heat, and susceptibility. Furthermore, the abundance of the small Tm moment phase depends strongly on the sample preparation. Therefore the deduction of the crystal field for both types of Tm 4f magnetism is not simple. A future study where the carbon content of the TmNi₂B₂C is varied systematically might elucidate this problem.

We thank B. Becker of Leiden University for performing specific heat measurements.

- ⁹D. W. Cooke, J. L. Smith, S. J. Blundell, K. H. Chow, P. A. Pattenden, F. L. Pratt, S. F. J. Cox, S. R. Brown, A. Morrobel-Sosa, R. L. Lichti, L. C. Gupta, R. Nagarajan, Z. Hossain, C. Mazumdar, and C. Godart, Phys. Rev. B **52**, R3864 (1995).
- ¹⁰A. Amato, P. C. Canfield, B. K. Cho, R. Feyerherm, F. N. Gygax, R. H. Heffner, L. P. Le, D. E. MacLaughlin, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, and A. Schenck (unpublished).
- ¹¹A. M. Mulders, P. C. M. Gubbens, and K. H. J. Buschow, Phys. Rev. B 54, 14 963 (1996).
- ¹²U. Gasser, P. Allenspach, F. Fauth, W. Henggeler, J. Mesot, A. Furrer, S. Rosenkranz, P. Vorderwisch, and M. Buchgeister, Z. Phys. B **101**, 345 (1996).
- ¹³C. V. Tomy, G. Balakrishnan, and D. McK. Paul, Physica C 248, 349 (1995).
- ¹⁴A. Amato, R. Feyerherm, F. N. Gygax, and A. Schenck, Hyperfine Interact. **104**, 115 (1997).
- ¹⁵L. P. Le, R. H. Heffner, J. D. Thompson, D. E. MacLaughlin, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, A. Amato, R. Feyerherm, F. N. Gygax, A. Schenck, P. C. Canfield, and B. K. Cho, Phys. Rev. B **53**, R510 (1996).