

CNN architectures for GRAPH data

Gama, Fernando; Marques, Antonio G.; Leus, Geert; Ribeiro, Alejandro

DOI

[10.1109/GlobalSIP.2018.8646348](https://doi.org/10.1109/GlobalSIP.2018.8646348)

Publication date

2019

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

2018 IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing (GlobalSIP)

Citation (APA)

Gama, F., Marques, A. G., Leus, G., & Ribeiro, A. (2019). CNN architectures for GRAPH data. In *2018 IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing (GlobalSIP): Proceedings* (pp. 723-724). Article 8646348 IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GlobalSIP.2018.8646348>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository

'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project

<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.

CNN ARCHITECTURES FOR GRAPH DATA

Fernando Gama[†], Antonio G. Marques[‡], Geert Leus^{*} and Alejandro Ribeiro[†]

[†] Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA

[‡] Department of Signal Theory and Communications, King Juan Carlos University, Madrid, Spain

^{*} Department of Microelectronics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

In this ongoing work, we describe several architectures that generalize convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to process signals supported on graphs. The general idea of the replace time invariant filters with graph filters to generate convolutional features and to replace pooling with sampling schemes for graph signals. The different architectures are compared and the key trade offs are identified. Numerical simulations with both synthetic and real-world data are used to illustrate the advantages of the proposed approaches.

Index Terms— Convolutional neural networks, deep learning, graph signal processing, geometric learning

1. INTRODUCTION

Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have shown remarkable performance in a wide array of inference and reconstruction tasks [1], in fields as diverse as pattern recognition, computer vision and medicine [2–4]. The objective of CNNs is to find a computationally feasible architecture capable of reproducing the behavior of a certain unknown function. Typically, CNNs consist of a succession of layers, each of which performs three simple operations – usually on the output of the previous layer – and feed the result into the next layer. These three operations are: 1) convolution, 2) application of a nonlinearity, and 3) pooling or downsampling. Because the classical convolution and downsampling operations are defined for regular (grid-based) domains, CNNs have been applied to act on data modeled by such a regular structure, like time or images.

However, an accurate description of modern datasets such as those in social networks or genetics [5, 6] calls for more general irregular structures. A framework that has been gaining traction to tackle these problems is that of graph signal processing (GSP) [7–9]. GSP postulates that data can be modeled as a collection of values associated with the nodes of a graph, whose edges describe pairwise relationships between the data. By exploiting the interplay between the data and the graph, traditional signal processing concepts such as the Fourier transform, sampling and filtering have been generalized under the GSP framework to operate on a broader array of datasets [10–12].

Motivated by the success of CNNs and the need to deal with irregular domains, recent efforts have been made to extend CNNs to work with data (signals) defined on manifolds and graphs [13]. Since in the GSP literature the notion of convolution is generalized to that of node-invariant graph filters (GFs) –matrix polynomials of the graph Laplacian–, existing CNN works operating on graph signals have replaced classical convolutions with such node-invariant GFs [14]. Nonetheless, how to generalize pooling remains elusive. Attempts using hierarchical multilayer clustering algorithms have

been made [15], but clustering is usually a computationally intensive operation [16].

This ongoing work presents several new architectures for CNNs operating on graph signals. To that end we replace: a) linear time invariant filters with (different types of) graph filters, and b) pooling (and possibly the subsequent nonlinearity) with sampling schemes tailored for graph data. The different architectures, which can be implemented using local exchanges, are compared and the key trade offs are identified. Numerical simulations with both synthetic and real-world data are used to illustrate the advantages of the proposed approaches.

2. REFERENCES

- [1] Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton, “Deep learning,” *Nature*, vol. 521, no. 7553, pp. 85–117, 2015.
- [2] J. Bruna and S. Mallat, “Invariant scattering convolution networks,” *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1872–1886, Aug. 2013.
- [3] Y. LeCun, K. Kavukcuoglu, and C. Farabet, “Convolutional networks and applications in vision,” in *2010 IEEE Int. Symp. Circuits and Syst.*, Paris, France, 30 May–2 June 2010, IEEE.
- [4] H. Greenspan, B. van Ginneken, and R. M. Summers, “Deep learning in medical imaging: Overview and future promise of an exciting new technique,” *IEEE Trans. Med. Imag.*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1153–1159, May 2016.
- [5] D. Lazer et al., “Life in the network: The coming age of computational social science,” *Science*, vol. 323, no. 5915, pp. 721–723, Feb. 2009.
- [6] E. H. Davidson et al., “A genomic regulatory network for development,” *Science*, vol. 295, no. 5560, pp. 1669–1678, Feb. 2002.
- [7] A. Sandryhaila and J. M. F. Moura, “Discrete signal processing on graphs,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 61, no. 7, pp. 1644–1656, Apr. 2013.
- [8] A. Sandryhaila and J. M. F. Moura, “Discrete signal processing on graphs: Frequency analysis,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 3042–3054, June 2014.
- [9] D. I. Shuman, S. K. Narang, P. Frossard, A. Ortega, and P. Vandergheynst, “The emerging field of signal processing on graphs: Extending high-dimensional data analysis to networks and other irregular domains,” *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 83–98, May 2013.
- [10] S. Chen, R. Varma, A. Sandryhaila, and J. Kovačević, “Discrete signal processing on graphs: Sampling theory,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 63, no. 24, pp. 6510–6523, Dec. 2015.

Supported by USA NSF CCF 1717120 and ARO W911NF1710438, and Spanish MINECO TEC2013-41604-R and TEC2016-75361-R.

- [11] A. G. Marques, S. Segarra, G. Leus, and A. Ribeiro, "Sampling of graph signals with successive local aggregations," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 1832–1843, Apr. 2016.
- [12] S. Segarra, A. G. Marques, G. Leus, and A. Ribeiro, "Reconstruction of Graph Signals Through Percolation from Seeding Nodes," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 64, no. 16, pp. 4363–4378, Aug. 2016.
- [13] M. M. Bronstein, J. Bruna, Y. LeCun, A. Szlam, and P. Vandergheynst, "Geometric Deep Learning: Going Beyond Euclidean Data," *arXiv:1611.08097v1 [cs.CV]*, 24 Nov. 2016.
- [14] J. Bruna, W. Zaremba, A. Szlam, and Y. LeCun, "Spectral networks and deep locally connected networks on graphs," *arXiv:1312.6203v3 [cs.LG]*, 21 May 2014.
- [15] M. Defferrard, X. Bresson, and P. Vandergheynst, "Convolutional neural networks on graphs with fast localized spectral filtering," *arXiv:1606.09375v3 [cs.LG]*, 5 Feb. 2017.
- [16] G. Carlsson and F. Mémoli, "Characterization, stability and convergence of hierarchical clustering methods," *J. Mach. Learning Res.*, vol. 11, pp. 1425–1470, Apr. 2010.