

Guest editorial

Is additive manufacturing evolving into a mainstream manufacturing technology?

Ortt, J. Roland

DOI

[10.1108/JMTM-01-2017-0010](https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-01-2017-0010)

Publication date

2017

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Published in

Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management

Citation (APA)

Ortt, J. R. (2017). Guest editorial: Is additive manufacturing evolving into a mainstream manufacturing technology? *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 28(1), 2-9. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-01-2017-0010>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Is additive manufacturing evolving into a mainstream manufacturing technology?

Introduction to the special issue

J. Roland Ortt, (Faculty of Technology Policy and Management (TPM), Delft University of Technology)

Introduction

Additive manufacturing is seen as a range of technologies with the potential to fundamentally change the way manufacturing is organized (Ford, Mortara and Minshall, 2016; Hyman, 2011; Merrill, 2014; Sung-Won, 2013). Additive manufacturing technologies build up an object layer by layer. The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) distinguishes seven categories of additive manufacturing technologies depending on how these layers are created (ASTM, 2012; Wohlers and Caffrey, 2013). In contrast, subtractive technologies, such as drilling and milling, remove material when making an object. Additive manufacturing technologies are generally more efficient than subtractive technologies in terms of material use. Oettmeier and Hofmann (2016) describe that additive manufacturing technologies apply the same principle: based on a digital blueprint, materials are joined to form 3D objects. As a result of this principle, the manufacturing process becomes more flexible, in terms of batch size and adaptation of objects, than injection moulding and casting technologies. The latter manufacturing technologies use expensive moulds that require large batch sizes to make the manufacturing process cost-effective.

Two special issues are formed to create a vision on the impact of additive manufacturing. The first issue was published in December 2016 in the Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, the second issue is introduced now. Both special issues aim to understand the history of the emergence of additive manufacturing, to analyse current technical and market developments and to explore future consequences of this technology for the structure of manufacturing industries and the economy at large. Before summarizing the contents of the papers in this second special issue, we would like to describe some of the notions that emerged from the first issue (see also Ortt, 2016).

Notions from the first special issue (December 2016)

A potential to disrupt entire industries but an introduction in niche markets only

In our first special issue on additive manufacturing an interesting phenomenon can be witnessed. On the one hand, several articles in this issue convincingly claim that additive manufacturing will fundamentally restructure existing industries. On the other hand, articles in the same issue also reveal that additive manufacturing is mainly adopted in niche markets. Niche markets are defined to comprise a relatively small group of customers with specific wants and demands regarding a product (Dalgic and Leeuw, 1994; Shani and Chalasani, 1993).

Several articles in the first special issue describe that additive manufacturing technology has the potential to restructure existing industries. Kothman and Faber (2016) describe the potentially disruptive changes of additive manufacturing in the construction industry. Oetmeier and Hofmann (2016) describe that additive manufacturing requires a significant redesign of the external supply chain structure around a company and the internal processes within a company. Steenhuis and Pretorius (2016) explore the potentially disruptive effect of additive manufacturing in the consumer market.

While these articles indicate that the potential impact of additive manufacturing can be large and disruptive in a variety of manufacturing industries, articles in the same special issue also show that the application of additive manufacturing is confined to niche applications. Meisel et al. (2016), for example, focus on particular niche applications for additive manufacturing, the production of parts in remote or austere environments. Knofius et al. (2016) also describe a particular niche application: after sales service logistics in high-tech industries. Oetmeier and Hofmann (2016) focus on the effect of additive manufacturing in an engineer-to-order environment, in particular the hearing aid industry. Finally, Rylands et al. (2016) describe how manufacturing processes in two companies were complemented rather than substituted by additive manufacturing technologies. So, in none of these cases additive manufacturing was completely substituting traditional manufacturing technologies in a mainstream market.

Apparently, additive manufacturing is a disruptive technology that, irrespective of its predicted potential, is only diffusing in niche applications. Such a phenomenon is neither new nor unique. Scholars from diverse disciplines have observed that many radically new technologies need a long period of time before large-scale diffusion starts (e.g., Mansfield, 1968; Utterback and Brown, 1972). Agarwal and Bayus (2002) found an average period of twenty-eight years between invention and commercialization for thirty breakthrough innovations from diverse industries. A similar period was found by Ortt (2010) who tracked the time between invention and large-scale diffusion of fifty radically new high-tech products and systems. Several authors describe a generic pattern of development and diffusion (Kemp et al., 1998; Ortt and Schoormans, 2004; Tushman and Rosenkopf, 1992) in which large-scale diffusion is preceded by multiple niche applications. It seems as if the niche applications demarcate a transition period (Kemp et al., 1998) from an emerging technology towards a mature technology.

For additive manufacturing, several barriers seem to prevent direct large-scale diffusion. The costs of the emerging technology was initially high, and both the performance and user friendliness of additive manufacturing appliances were low (Ortt, 2016). In some market niches, however, the new additive manufacturing technologies were already cost-effective from the beginning on. In specific market niches, such as the market for hearing aids (Oetmeier and Hofmann, 2016), the market for dedicated parts in remote or austere environments (Meisel et al., 2016), or the market for after sales service logistics in high-tech industries (Knofius et al., 2016), additive manufacturing technologies provided unique benefits that made companies overcome the initial hurdles of low performance, high cost and lack of user friendliness. These market niches can be seen as the precursors of a transitions towards large-scale diffusion (Kemp et al., 1998; Schot and Geels, 2008).

Practical and scientific implications of the emerging nature of additive manufacturing

In our first special issue on additive manufacturing the emerging nature of the additive manufacturing technology is reflected in two ways: the practical results obtained in the articles, and the scientific methodologies adopted in these articles.

From a practical and managerial perspective, the newness and versatility of technologies create a need for decision support to decide how and when to apply this technology. Decision support is offered by two articles in the first special issue. Meisel et al. (2016) describe that many types of additive manufacturing technologies exist, each of which can be applied in specific use contexts and with specific materials. Decision support is highly valuable in such a situation. Knofius et al. (2016) also offer decision support.

From a scientific and methodological perspective, the emerging nature of additive manufacturing technologies is reflected in the exploratory nature of methodologies adopted by authors of papers in our first special issue. Most of the authors adopted an exploratory approach, mostly case-studies describing a singular case or a limited number of cases in one industry only.

A next step: the contents of the second special issue

After the more exploratory and case-based approaches described in the first special issue, we will now take a new step in our exploration of additive manufacturing technologies. One article in this issue will report a systematic literature analysis on additive manufacturing, another will provide an overall picture of the developments in additive manufacturing across countries all over the world. In contrast to several articles in the previous special issue, where singular cases were explored, in this issue articles will explore multiple cases to derive systematic relationships and contingencies. Finally, articles in this issue will explore strategies or business models, that practitioners can adopt in their efforts to create a mainstream market for additive manufacturing. Below we will summarize and introduce the seven articles in this special issue, starting with a practitioner view of one of the pioneers in the field.

Ian Gibson (Gibson, 2017) in the first article with the title “The Changing Face of Additive Manufacturing”, describes that 3d-printing machines were at first, about 25 years ago, slow, inaccurate, difficult to use and expensive. Gibson starts his article by capturing the essence of what additive manufacturing actually is, and why it is so important to product developers and manufacturers. The article then proceeds with an analysis of the major industry sectors. Finally, the discussion explores where the technology is leading us and where the major research pushes this exciting technological field.

The authors of the second article (Jin et al., 2017) with the title “A Scientometric Review of Hotspots and Emerging Trends on Additive Manufacturing” use a systematic literature analysis to indicate emerging trends in additive manufacturing. In doing so they explore new technological developments and they compare additive manufacturing research fields. The paper visualizes the intellectual landscapes of additive manufacturing and identifies where new additive manufacturing research can be witnessed.

The authors of the third article (Bai et al., 2017) with the title “The pattern of technological accumulation: The comparative advantage and relative impact of China in 3D printing technology”, use a systematic patent analysis to track developments in additive manufacturing across countries. The authors show that China, the US, Japan and Germany are the leading countries in 3D printing technology while the patterns of technology accumulation in these countries are fundamentally different. The article explores some other countries where fast growing technology subfields can be witnessed.

The fourth article (Khorram Niaki and Nonino, 2017) has the title “Impact of Additive Manufacturing on Business Competitiveness: A Multiple Case Study”. This paper identifies the impacts of additive manufacturing on business performance. The authors aim to determine the contingent factors driving performance by adopting a multiple case research methodology with a sample of 16 heterogeneous companies. The findings reveal, for example, how the implementation of additive manufacturing in rapid manufacturing metal products has boosted productivity.

The authors of the fifth article (Holzmann et al., 2017) with the title “User Entrepreneur Business Models in 3D Printing” explore how users of additive manufacturing technology adopt specific business models and become suppliers of additive manufacturing services. In the article, data from eight user entrepreneurs are analysed, applying qualitative content analysis. The results indicate that user entrepreneurs focus primarily on the combination of low opportunity exploitation cost and a large number of potential customers.

The sixth article (Deradjat and Minshall, 2017) with the title “Implementation of Rapid Manufacturing for Mass Customisation”, draws upon the experiences of firms in the dental sector to indicate how additive manufacturing can be used for mass customisation. The article adopts a multiple case-study approach using six companies and reports how these companies implemented additive manufacturing technologies to create mass customization. The results show the type of considerations and challenges that companies face in the process of implementing additive manufacturing technologies. These considerations and challenges are shown to depend on the stage of implementation and the maturity of the specific additive manufacturing technologies.

In the seventh and last article, with the title “The additive manufacturing innovation: a range of implications”, Steenhuis and Pretorius (2017) explore differences in additive manufacturing technology implications across industries. Using a desk research approach, they conclude that The degree impact of additive manufacturing depends on the industrial sector involved. Additive manufacturing can be seen as an incremental innovation in one industry, while it is a radical innovation in another industry. The authors claim that developments in additive manufacturing not only revolutionize specific industries but can also cause fundamental shifts in society.

Is additive manufacturing evolving in a mainstream manufacturing technology?

In the first special issue it was described that additive manufacturing technology is primarily used in niche applications next to, or complementary to mainstream manufacturing technologies.

It was also shown that additive manufacturing has the potential to substitute these mainstream technologies and thereby disrupt traditional markets for manufacturing goods. This second special issue therefore poses the question whether additive manufacturing technology is about to become a mainstream manufacturing technology. How can the shift from an emerging technology to a mature technology be assessed or even previewed? We will start with discussing the literature that addresses this generic question and we will search for variables that this literature proposes to preview an upcoming shift of an emerging technology from niche markets to mainstream markets. These variables will then be applied for the case of additive manufacturing.

A generic pattern of development and diffusion of emerging technologies

In the past several authors have addressed the generic question how to assess or preview the shift of an emerging technology applied in niche markets to a mature technology applied in a mainstream market. These authors envision different types of patterns for the development and diffusion of technological products, each of which has distinct phases (e.g. Agarwal and Bayus, 2002; Moore, 2002; Ortt and Schoormans, 2004; Rogers, 2003; Tushman and Rosenkopf, 1992). In general, after the invention of a new technological principle, three phases can be distinguished (Ortt and Schoormans, 2004; Ortt 2010). The first phase lasts from the invention of the technological principle up to the first introduction of a product version incorporating that principle. After the introduction, instead of a smooth start of a diffusion process that gradually gains momentum, most often an erratic process of diffusion of different product version in multiple market niches can be witnessed. The second phase is therefore seen as the time from the first introduction up to the start of large-scale production and diffusion of a kind of standard product in a mainstream market. The third phase lasts from the start of large-scale production and diffusion to the end of the product life cycle.

How to preview a shift of an emerging technology from niche to mainstream market

If we apply this model of development and diffusion to additive manufacturing technology then it is obvious that the technology has already moved from the first to the second phase. The question is whether the technology is about to move to the third phase i.e., from niche applications to a mainstream application. To answer this question we will go back to the authors envisioning a pattern of development and diffusion and explore what the mechanism are that drive the pattern and hence the phase transition from niche markets towards a mainstream market. Some of these authors reveal indicators allowing us to see where additive manufacturing technology stands.

Summary of indicators in the literature

A first group of authors focuses on the supply-side of the market and indicates the maturity of the technology in terms of reliability and performance. Mankins (1995 and 2009) was working at NASA and proposed a tool to indicate the level of maturity of different technologies in order to track the progress in technology development of various subsystems. We will use performance and reliability aspects to track whether additive manufacturing technology can be used on a large scale.

A second group of authors focus on the demand-side of the market and distinguishes typical characteristics of subsequent adopter groups. Rogers (2003), for example distinguishes between

innovators, early adopters, early majority, late majority and laggards. In hindsight, these groups can be distinguished but a review of typical characteristics of such groups reveals that such generalization do not hold across product categories and are not consistently found by different authors investigating the same product categories (see for example Engel, Blackwell & Miniard, 1990, p. 710). Moore therefore broadens Rogers' perspective by distinguishing typical subsequent customer groups and the typical business model or marketing mix for these groups. Moore's first groups of adopters are the technology enthusiasts and the technology visionaries. Technology enthusiasts like to experiment with new technology, such as the first hobbyists that build their own 3d-printer. Technology visionaries adopt the technologies in their company because they have a vision how this technology will entirely change the organization. Both groups of adopters typically represent niche markets. In contrast, the early majority or pragmatists are customers that demand a product that is adopted by and supplied by reputable companies. They demand a product including all complementary products and services, that is easy-to-use. Adoption by this group typically coincides with the start of large-scale production and diffusion. We will use the characteristics of the type of product that they demand as an indicator for the upcoming phase transition.

A third group of authors look at the interaction of market actors and the indicators of an upcoming shift towards large-scale diffusion. Agarwal and Bayus (2009), for example observed that before the start of large-scale diffusion, prices of equipment drop.

In Table 1, indicators as suggested by the three groups of authors are used to explore whether additive manufacturing technology is about to enter a phase transition towards large-scale production of standard products.

Insert Table 1 about here

The conclusion from the table is that additive manufacturing technologies are still scarcely applied by manufacturing companies to produce standard products on a large-scale. Performance and reliability, ease-of-use and user-friendliness still need to be improved. However, the complementary products and services, including education of engineers and even kids on primary schools, 3d-design software and so on, are all widely available. Business models to apply additive manufacturing technologies on a larger scale are known and the price of additive manufacturing technologies are rapidly dropping. As a result, the first companies decided to adopt additive manufacturing for large-scale production of specific parts. Gibson (2017) sees a host of AM technologies, some of which are at a relatively early stage and some at an almost mature stage. We conclude that additive manufacturing is starting to shift from niche applications to a mainstream market.

References

Abernathy, W.J. and Utterback, J.M. (1978), “Patterns of Industrial Innovation”, *Technology Review*, Vol. 80 No. 7, pp. 41-47.

Agarwal, R. and Bayus, B.L. (2002), “The Market Evolution and Sales Takeoff of Product Innovations”, *Management Science*, Vol. 48 No. 8, pp. 1024-1041.

ASTM (2012), *ASTM F2792-12a: Standard Terminology for Additive Manufacturing Technologies*. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.

Bai, X., Liu, Y., Wang, G. and Wen, C. (2017), “The pattern of technological accumulation of 3D printing technology”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. xx-xx.

Dalgic, T. and Leeuw, M. (1994), “Niche Marketing Revisited: Concept, Applications and Some European Cases”, *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 39–55.

Deradjat, D. and Minshall, T. (2017), “Implementation of Rapid Manufacturing for Mass Customisation”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. xx-xx.

Dosi, G. (1982), “Technological paradigms and technological trajectories; A suggested interpretation of the determinants and directions of technical change”, *Research Policy*, Vol. 11 No 3, pp. 147-162.

Engel, J.F., Blackwell, R.D. and Miniard, P.W. (1990), *Consumer Behaviour*, The Dryden Press, Hinsdale (IL).

Ford, S., Mortara, L. and Minshall, T. (2016), “The Emergence of Additive Manufacturing Introduction to the Special Issue”, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 102, pp. 156-159.

Gibson, I. (2017), “The Changing Face of Additive Manufacturing”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. xx-xx.

Holzmann, P., Breitenecker, R.J., Soomro, A.A. and Schwarz, E.J. (2017), “User Entrepreneur Business Models in 3D Printing”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. xx-xx.

Hyman, P. (2011), “Ten disruptive technologies”, *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 54 No. 9, pp. 20.

Jin, Y., Ji, S., Li, X. and Yu, J. (2017), “A Scientometric Review of Hotspots and Emerging Trends on Additive Manufacturing”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. xx-xx.

Kemp, R., Schot, J. and Hoogma, R. (1998), “Regime shifts to sustainability through processes of niche formation: The approach of strategic niche management”, *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 175-198.

Khorram Niaki, M. and Nonino, F. (2017), “Impact of Additive Manufacturing on Business Competitiveness: A Multiple Case Study”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. xx-xx.

Knofius, N., van der Heijden, M.C. and Zijm, W.H.M. (2016), “Selecting parts for additive manufacturing in service logistics”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 27 No. 7, pp. 915-931.

Kothman, I. and Faber, N. (2016), “How 3d printing technology changes the rules of the game Insights from the construction sector”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 27 No. 7, pp. 932-943.

Mankins, J.C. (1995), *Technology readiness levels*, available at: <http://fellowships.teiemt.gr/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/trl.pdf> (accessed 17 January 2017)

Mankins, J.C. (2009), “Technology readiness assessments: A retrospective”, *Acta Astronautica*, Vol. 65 No. 9, pp. 1216-1223.

Mansfield, E. (1968), *Industrial Research and Technological Innovation; An Econometric Analysis*, Longmans, Green & Co, London.

Meisel, N., Williams, C., Ellis, K. and Taylor, D. (2016), “Decision Support for Additive Manufacturing Deployment in Remote or Austere Environments”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 27 No. 7, pp. 898-914.

Merrill, P. (2014), “The new revolution, 3-D printing is changing manufacturing and the world as we know it”, *Quality Progress*, January, pp. 50-52.

Moore, G.A. (2002), *Crossing the Chasm. Marketing and Selling Disruptive Products to Mainstream Customers*, HarperCollins Publishers, New York.

Murmann, J.P. and Frenken, K. (2006), “Toward a systematic framework for research on dominant designs, technological innovations, and industrial change”, *Research Policy*, Vol. 35 No. 7, pp. 925-952.

Oettmeier, K. and Hofmann, E. (2016), “Impact of Additive Manufacturing Technology Adoption on Supply Chain Management Processes and Components”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 27 No. 7, pp. 944-968.

Ortt, J.R. (2010), “Understanding the Pre-diffusion Phases”, Tidd, J., *Gaining Momentum Managing the Diffusion of Innovations*, Imperial College Press, London, pp. 47-80

Ortt, J.R. (2016), “Exploring the impact of additive manufacturing in different industries, Introduction to the special issue” (Guest editorial), *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 27 No. 7, pp. 890-897.

Ortt, J. R. and Schoormans, J.P.L. (2004), “The Pattern of Development and Diffusion of Breakthrough Communication Technologies”, *European Journal of Innovation Management*, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 292-302.

Rogers, E.M. (2003), *Diffusion of Innovations*, Free Press, New York.

Rylands, B., Böhme, T., Gorkin III, R., Fan, J. and Birtchnell, T. (2016), “The adoption process and impact of Additive Manufacturing on manufacturing systems”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 27 No. 7, pp. 969-989.

Schot, J. and Geels, F.W. (2008), “Strategic niche management and sustainable innovation journeys: theory, findings, research agenda, and policy”, *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, Vol. 20 No. 5 537-554.

Shani, D. and Chalasani, S. (1993), “Exploiting Niches Using Relationship Marketing”, *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 8 No. 4, pp. 58 – 66.

Steenhuis, H. and Pretorius, L. (2016), “Consumer additive manufacturing or 3D printing adoption: an exploratory study”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 27 No. 7, pp. 990-1012.

Steenhuis, H.J. and Pretorius, L. (2017), “The additive manufacturing innovation: a range of implications”, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. xx-xx.

Suarez, F.F. (2004), “Battles for Technological Dominance: an Integrative Framework”, *Research Policy*, Vol. 33, pp. 271-286.

Sung-Won, J. (2013), “Seven disruptive innovations for future industries”, *SERI Quarterly*, July, pp. 94-98.

Tushman, M.L. and Rosenkopf, L. (1992), “Organizational Determinants of Technological Change. Towards a Sociology of Technological Evolution”, *Research in Organization Behavior*, Vol. 14, pp. 311-347.

Utterback, J.M. and Brown, J.W. (1972), “Monitoring for Technological Opportunities”, *Business Horizons*, Vol. 15 No. October, pp. 5-15.

Wohlers, T. and Caffrey, T. (2013), *Wohlers Report 2013: Additive Manufacturing and 3D Printing State of the Industry*, Wohlers Associates, Inc., Fort Collins, CO, USA.