

Corrigendum to “Speed characteristics of speed pedelecs, pedelecs and conventional bicycles in naturalistic urban and rural traffic conditions” [Accid. Anal. Prev. 150 (2021) 105940](S0001457520317607)(10.1016/j.aap.2020.105940)

Twisk, Divera; Stelling, Agnieska; Van Gent, Paul; De Groot, Jolieke; Vlakveld, Willem

DOI

[10.1016/j.aap.2022.106680](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2022.106680)

Publication date

2022

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Accident Analysis and Prevention

Citation (APA)

Twisk, D., Stelling, A., Van Gent, P., De Groot, J., & Vlakveld, W. (2022). Corrigendum to “Speed characteristics of speed pedelecs, pedelecs and conventional bicycles in naturalistic urban and rural traffic conditions” [Accid. Anal. Prev. 150 (2021) 105940](S0001457520317607)(10.1016/j.aap.2020.105940). *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 171, Article 106680. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2022.106680>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository

'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project

<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Accident Analysis and Prevention

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aap

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Speed characteristics of speed pedelecs, pedelecs and conventional bicycles in naturalistic urban and rural traffic conditions” [Accid. Anal. Prev. 150 (2021) 105940]

Divera Twisk^{d,*}, Agnieszka Stelling^a, Paul Van Gent^b, Jolieke De Groot^c, Willem Vlakveld^a

^a SWOV Institute for Road Safety Research, PO Box 93113, 2509 AC The Hague, the Netherlands

^b Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Building 23, Stevinweg 1, Room: 4.39, 2628 CN Delft, the Netherlands

^c The Dutch Licensing Authority, PO Box 3012, 2280 GA Rijswijk, the Netherlands

^d Queensland University of Technology, Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety-Queensland (CARRS-Q), K Block, 130 Victoria Park Road, Kelvin Grove, QLD 4059, Australia



The authors regret that a mistake was made in Table 3 of the article. This table should read:

Table 3
Mean speed by bicycle type, gender and section area.

		Mean urban (km/h)	SD	Mean Rural (km/h)	SD	Mean total (SD) (km/h)
Conv. Bicycle	Women	17.0	2.19	18.1	2.73	17.4 (2.46)
	Men	17.8	2.94	18.7	2.71	18.0 (2.89)
	Total	17.3	2.51	18.3	2.73	17.6 (2.63)
Pedelec	Women	19.6	2.63	21.8	3.50	20.6 (3.21)
	Men	20.6	3.25	22.7	2.94	21.5 (3.29)
	Total	20.1	2.99	22.2	3.27	21.0 (3.27)
S-pedelec	Women	22.6	4.12	28.5	5.40	24.2 (5.18)
	Men	28.2	3.80	31.7	4.71	29.9 (4.60)
	Total	26.9	4.57	31.4	4.89	28.8 (5.21)
Total	Women	19.2	3.78	21.1	5.21	19.9 (4.44)
	Men	24.8	5.73	28.9	6.50	26.6 (6.40)
	Total	22.5	5.72	26.2	7.12	24.0 (6.58)

The figures in bold, italic and red differ from the figures in the published article. As a result of these different values, the sixth sentence of the abstract should read: “S-pedelegs were much faster than conventional bicycles, amounting to a speed difference with conventional bicycles of **9.6** km/h in urban areas (M = **26.9** km/h vs. **17.3** km/h) and of **13.1** km/h in rural areas (M = 31.4 km/h vs. **18.3** km/h).” and the seventh sentence of the abstract should read: “The speed differences between pedelecs and conventional bicycles were much smaller: **2.8** km/h in urban areas (20.1 km/h vs **17.3** km/h) and **3.9** km/h in rural areas (22.2 km/h vs. **18.3** km/h).”

In the Discussion, Section 4.1. *Comparison with previous studies on cycling speed characteristics*, the second sentence of the second section should read: “Our study found similar patterns among Dutch riders. S-pedelegs were much faster than conventional bicycles, amounting to a speed difference in mean speeds in urban areas of **9.6** km/h (M = **26.9** km/h vs. **17.3** km/h) and in rural areas of **13.1** km/h (M = 31.4 km/h vs. **18.3** km/h).”

Values different from those in the published text are in bold, italic, and red.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2020.105940>.

* Corresponding author at: Queensland University of Technology, Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety-Queensland (CARRS-Q), K Block, 130 Victoria Park Road, Kelvin Grove, QLD 4059, Australia.

E-mail addresses: twisk2@qut.edu.au (D. Twisk), agnieszka.stelling@swov.nl (A. Stelling), p.vangent@tudelft.nl (P. Van Gent), Jolieke.de.groot@cbr.nl (J. De Groot), willem.vlakveld@swov.nl (W. Vlakveld).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2022.106680>

Available online 29 April 2022

0001-4575/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.