

A stochastic process based reliability prediction method for LED driver

Sun, Bo; Fan, Xuejun; van Driel, Willem; Cui, Chengqiang; Zhang, Guo Qi

DOI

[10.1016/j.ress.2018.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2018.06.001)

Publication date

2018

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Published in

Reliability Engineering and System Safety

Citation (APA)

Sun, B., Fan, X., van Driel, W., Cui, C., & Zhang, G. Q. (2018). A stochastic process based reliability prediction method for LED driver. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 178, 140-146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2018.06.001>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

A Stochastic Process Based Reliability Prediction Method for LED Driver

Bo Sun, Xuejun Fan, Willem van Driel, Chengqiang Cui, Guoqi Zhang

Abstract - In this study, we present a general methodology that combines the reliability theory with physics of failure for reliability prediction of an LED driver. More specifically, an integrated LED lamp, which includes an LED light source with statistical distribution of luminous flux, and a driver with a few critical components, is considered. The Wiener process is introduced to describe the randomness of lumen depreciation. The driver's survival probability is described using a general Markov Chain method. The system compact thermal model (physics of failure model) is developed to couple with the reliability methods used. Two scenarios are studied: Scenario S1 considers constant driver's operation temperature, while Scenario S2 considers driver's temperature rise due to lumen depreciation. It has been found that the wide life distribution of LEDs will lead to a large range of the driver's survival probability. The proposed analysis provides a general approach for an electronic system to integrate the reliability method with physics models.

Key Words — LED Driver, LED Lamp, Lumen Depreciation, Stochastic Process, Reliability Prediction

NOTATION

t	Aging duration;
$\Phi(t)$	Lumen maintenance at time t ;
β	Depreciation rate of the LED light source;
$W(t)$	Stochastic distribution of the lumen depreciation;
α	Increasing rate of the standard deviation;
$p[\Phi(t)]$	Probability density for $\Phi(t)$;
P_{LED}	Total power of the LED light source;
P_{Opt}	Optical power of the LED light source;
$R_D(t)$	Driver's survival probability at time t ;
$h_D(t)$	Hazard rate of the driver's failures;
h_{Di}	Hazard rate of the power diode;
h_{IC}	Hazard rate of the control IC;
$T_{j,Di}$	Junction temperature of power diode;
$T_{j,IC}$	Junction temperature of control IC;
λ_{Di}	Basic hazard rate of power diode;
λ_{IC}	Basic hazard rate of control IC;
$E_{a,Di}$	Activation energy of power diode;
$E_{a,IC}$	Activation energy of the control IC;
κ	Boltzmann constant;
T_A	Ambient temperature;
$T_{A,in}$	Air temperature inside the lamp;
$T_{C,Di}$	Case temperatures of the power diode;
$T_{C,IC}$	Case temperatures of the control IC;
R_{th1}	Thermal resistance between air surrounding driver and lamp's case;
R_{th2}	Thermal resistance between lamp's case and ambient;
$R_{th1,Di}$	Convective thermal resistance of power diode;
$R_{th1,IC}$	Convective thermal resistance of control IC;
$R_{th2,Di}$	Conductive thermal resistance of power diode;
$R_{th2,IC}$	Conductive thermal resistance of control IC;
$P_{th,LED}$	Thermal power of the LED light source;
$P_{th,Di}$	Thermal power of power diode;
$P_{th,IC}$	Thermal power of control IC;

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, physics-of-failure (PoF) models have been integrated with statistics theories for reliability assessment of systems [1, 2]. For example, PoF-based degradation models have been used for modeling common-cause failures [3]. The sensitivity analysis has been integrated with SPICE simulation for tolerance design of circuits [4]. PoF models have been utilized for the life cycle prediction of solder joints [5]. A multi-state physics model has been developed for degradation of components of a system [6]. The physics-based modeling combined with uncertainties propagation has been used as virtual tests to create data [7]. Several PoF models have been integrated with Monte Carlo simulation and Weibull analysis for component reliability analysis [8]. Probabilistic-physics-of-failure (PPoF) based reliability assessment methods have been proposed [9]. A probabilistic PoF-based framework has been used with uncertainty quantification method for life prediction of turbine discs [10].

Light emitting diode (LED) lamps have become the leading candidate for future lighting in recent years due to advantages such its superior energy efficiency, environmental friendliness, and long lifetime [11-14]. An LED lamp mainly comprises an LED light source, a driver, control gear, secondary optical parts, and heat dissipation components [11, 12]. Although LED's lifetime is up to 25,000 to 100,000 hours, LED lamps may have a much shorter lifetime due to LED driver [15]. The driver is considered as one of the major reliability bottlenecks of LED lamps [16]. It has been found that an elevated operating temperature can accelerate the degradation of drivers [17] and lead to the catastrophic failure [18], which limits the lifetime of the entire lamp.

The randomness of the LED's lumen depreciation has been well studied for LED itself. For instance, a Gamma process based approach has been used to predict LED's degradation in accelerated conditions [19]. The Gamma process together with copula function has been applied for reliability modeling of LED light system [20]. The nonlinear filter [21] and particle filter [22] have been used

for life test for white LEDs. Owing to its capability of minimizing the mean squared estimation error [23] and well-developed implementations [24-28], Wiener process has been utilized for lumen depreciation and color shift [15, 29]. A generalized Wiener process degradation model with two transformed time scales has been proposed [30]. An adaptive Wiener process model has been utilized to predict remaining useful life of LEDs [26]. However, few of these models consider the impact of lumen decay on driver's reliability.

This study presents a general methodology that combines the reliability theory with physics of failure for reliability prediction. More specifically, an integrated LED lamp, which includes an LED light source with statistical distribution of luminous flux, and a driver with a few critical components, is considered. The Wiener process is introduced to describe the randomness of lumen depreciation. The driver's survival probability is described using a general Markov Chain method. As a physics of failure model, a system-level compact thermal model of the LED lamp is developed to couple with the reliability methods used.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the lumen depreciation model of the LED light source using the Wiener process. Section III explains the reliability model of selected LED driver. Section IV introduces the compact thermal model of the LED lamp. Section V defines various scenarios of case studies and discusses the results. Section VI concludes this work.

II. LUMEN DEPRECIATION MODEL

The exponential model has been considered as the most adopted lumen depreciation model in literature [11, 12, 14], owing to its capability in describing the accelerated test results. In the present study, the Wiener process is introduced to describe randomness of the lumen depreciation [15]. Therefore, the exponential Wiener process for lumen maintenance is used. Other Wiener processes or stochastic processes may also be used. The lumen maintenance at time t , the ratio of luminous flux remaining at time t to its initial value, can be described by the following function:

$$\Phi(t) = e^{-\beta t} + W(t) \quad (1)$$

where, $\Phi(t)$ is the lumen maintenance at time t , β is the depreciation rate, $W(t)$ is the stochastic disturbance of the lumen depreciation at time t , which follows the normal distribution [23]:

$$W(t) : N(0, \alpha t) \quad (2)$$

Hence, at any given time t , the lumen maintenance also follows the normal distribution:

$$\Phi(t) : N(e^{-\beta t}, \alpha t) \quad (3)$$

In this model, the mean values of lumen maintenance degrade exponentially, and the standard deviations increase

linearly at a rate of α . Considering the normal distribution of the lumen maintenance, this work defines $\pm 3\alpha t$ as the lower and upper bound. The boundary condition is $\Phi(0) = 1$. Moreover, the probability density function at time t can be obtained by the following equation [23]:

$$p[\Phi(t)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha t}} e^{-\left[\frac{\Phi(t) - e^{-\beta t}}{\sqrt{2\alpha t}}\right]^2} \quad (4)$$

To determine the parameters in the lumen depreciation model by Equation (3), 30 LEDs, as shown in Fig. 1, were tested at 328K (55°C) and the rated input current for 2000 hours. Each light source has 24 LED packages in parallel. The input power and lumen maintenance of each sample was tested by an integrating sphere system.



Fig. 1 LED Light Sources in the Selected Lamp

At time $t = 0$, the rated input current of the LED light source is 120mA, the average forward voltage is about 55.42V, total power $P_{LED} = 6.65W$ DC and optical power $P_{Opt} = 2.51W$ DC. Tested lumen maintenance distributions as functions of time are shown in Fig. 2. Normality tests were carried out on the results to check the normality of the lumen maintenance distribution.




Fig. 2 Lumen Maintenance Distributions




Fig. 3 Normality Test Results

The P-value from a normality test is a statistical indicator representing the probability of the observed sample to follow the assumed distribution. A larger P value indicates that the obtained distribution follows the assumed distribution. $P = 0.05$ is the threshold value for the normality test in statistics. The obtained P-values are shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen from the results that the P values of lumen maintenance distribution are much higher than 0.05. Thus, the normal distributions in Equation (3) are confirmed.




Fig. 4 (a) Mean values and (b) Standard Deviations of The Lumen Deprecation

The mean values and standard deviations of lumen maintenance distribution are displayed in Fig. 4. The obtained test results are fitted by functions given by Equation (3) using the least-square method. The parameter of curve fitting α and β are 5.7558×10^{-6} and 3.1371×10^{-5} respectively. The R^2 values of the predicted mean values and standard deviations are 0.9857 and 0.8754 respectively. Hence, the test results show a good agreement with the proposed model.

III. LED DRIVER'S RELIABILITY MODEL

For an electronic system, the catastrophic failure depends on current conditions only, not related to its operation history. Therefore, the probabilities of a system can be obtained by Markov Chain [31, 32]. For a system which has N operation states, probability of the system at time $t + \Delta t$ only relates to the state at time t :

$$X(t + \Delta t) = X(t)P \quad (5)$$

where P is system's transition matrix:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} h_{0 \rightarrow 0} & h_{0 \rightarrow 1} & \dots & h_{0 \rightarrow n-1} \\ h_{1 \rightarrow 0} & h_{1 \rightarrow 1} & \dots & h_{1 \rightarrow n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{n-1 \rightarrow 0} & h_{n-1 \rightarrow 1} & \dots & h_{n-1 \rightarrow n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where $h_{i \rightarrow j}$ means the probability of State i transfers to State j .

Fig. 5 displays the driver circuit used in this paper. This work selects a quasi-resonance driver with two critical components: the power diode D1 and the control IC U1.




Fig. 5 Circuit of LED Driver [33]

Since an overall catastrophic failure of the driver is considered, the driver has only two states: healthy (State 1) and fail (State 0). Fig. 6 gives the diagram of the LED driver.




Fig. 6 Diagram of the LED Driver

The $P_1(t)$ and $P_0(t)$ are probabilities of State 1 and State 0 at time t . The transition matrix P degrades to:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} h_{0 \rightarrow 0} & h_{0 \rightarrow 1} \\ h_{1 \rightarrow 0} & h_{1 \rightarrow 1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

The overall probability of the driver stays unchanged, thus:

$$h_{0 \rightarrow 0} + h_{1 \rightarrow 0} = h_{0 \rightarrow 1} + h_{1 \rightarrow 1} = 0 \quad (8)$$

Meanwhile, the selected driver is an unrecoverable system, hence, $h_{0 \rightarrow 1} = h_{1 \rightarrow 1} = 0$. At time t , the probability of the driver transits from State 1 to State 0 ($h_{1 \rightarrow 0}$) is defined as the hazard rate $h_D(t)$. Finally, the occupational probabilities can be given as follows [31]:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} P_1(t) \\ P_0(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -h_D(t) & 0 \\ h_D(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_1(t) \\ P_0(t) \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Since the survival probability of the driver at time t $R_D(t)$ equals to $P_1(t) - P_0(t)$. Thus:

$$\frac{dR_D(t)}{dt} = -h_D(t) \cdot R_D(t) \quad (10)$$

As boundary conditions $P_1(0) = 1$ and $P_0(0) = 0$, the function $R_D(t)$ is reduced to the basic form

$$R_D(t) = e^{-\int_0^t h_D(x) \cdot dx} \quad (11)$$

Markov Chain provides a general tool to calculate reliability of a system [31]. For the purpose of clarity, this paper focuses on the simplified case study given by Equation (11).

Assuming that the failure of each critical component is independent to each other, $h_D(t)$ is approximately the sum of hazard rates of critical components [31]:

$$h_D(t) = h_{Di}(t) + h_{IC}(t) \quad (12)$$

where $h_{Di}(t)$ and $h_{IC}(t)$ are hazard rates of the power diode and the control IC respectively. $h_{Di}(t)$ and $h_{IC}(t)$ can be obtained by [34]:

$$h_{Di}(t) = h_{Di}[T_{j,Di}(t)] = \lambda_{Di} \cdot e^{\frac{-E_{a,Di}}{k \cdot T_{j,Di}(t)}} \quad (13)$$

$$h_{IC}(t) = h_{IC}[T_{j,IC}(t)] = \lambda_{IC} \cdot e^{\frac{-E_{a,IC}}{k \cdot T_{j,IC}(t)}} \quad (14)$$

where, $T_{j,Di}$ and $T_{j,IC}$ are junction temperatures, λ_{Di} and λ_{IC} are basic hazard rates, $E_{a,Di}$ and $E_{a,IC}$ are the activation energy of the power diode and the control IC. In this work, λ_{Di} , λ_{IC} , $E_{a,Di}$ and $E_{a,IC}$ are obtained from the empirical models [34], and $T_{j,Di}$ and $T_{j,IC}$ can be calculated by the compact thermal model of the selected LED lamp. Finally, the MTTF of the driver is a function of $R_D(t)$:

$$MTTF = \int_0^{\infty} R_D(t) \cdot dt \quad (15)$$

IV. LED LAMP'S COMPACT THERMAL MODEL

As a carrier for the purpose of study, a commercial LED bulb lamp, shown in Fig. 7, is selected.




Fig. 7 The Schematic of LED Lamp

A compact thermal model of the LED lamp is used to obtain the relationship between LED's thermal dissipation and junction temperatures of critical components in the driver. For the considered lamp, there are several heat sources: the LEDs and driver components. The heat dissipation from LEDs and driver will lead to an increase in the junction temperature of driver's components. Due the

absence of the potting material, there is an air gap between the driver and the lamp's body.

Fig. 8 displays the compact thermal model. $T_{A,in}$ is the air temperature inside the lamp, T_A is the ambient temperature, $T_{C,Di}$ and $T_{C,IC}$ are the case temperatures of power diode and IC respectively, $T_{j,Di}$ and $T_{j,IC}$ are the junction temperatures of the diode and IC respectively. R_{th1} is the thermal resistance between the air surrounding the driver and the lamp's case, R_{th2} is the thermal resistance between the lamp's case and the ambient, $R_{th1,Di}$ and $R_{th1,IC}$ are convective thermal resistances, $R_{th2,Di}$ and $R_{th2,IC}$ are conductive thermal resistances. $P_{th,LED}$ is the thermal power of the LED light source, $P_{th,Di}$ and $P_{th,IC}$ are thermal power of the diode and the IC respectively. The heat from other components of the driver is considered in the total thermal power of the driver.




Fig. 8(a) The Selected Lamp Structure with (b) the Compact Thermal Model

Once the lamp reaches thermal equilibrium point, for any critical component i , the case temperature $T_{C,i}$ and junction temperature $T_{j,i}$ are functions of the component's thermal power $P_{th,i}$:

$$T_{C,i} = P_{th,i} \cdot (R_{th1,i} + R_{th2,i}) + T_{A,in} \quad (16)$$

In this work, $R_{th1,Di}$ and $R_{th1,IC}$ are obtained experimentally, and $R_{th2,Di}$ and $R_{th2,IC}$ are obtained from data-sheets [33, 35]. The air temperature inside the lamp $T_{A,in}$ is a function of total thermal power of the lamp:

$$T_{A,in} = (R_{th1} + R_{th2}) \cdot (P_{th,LED} + P_{th,D}) + T_A \quad (17)$$

where, $P_{th,D}$ is total thermal power of the driver. As thermal resistances of the lamp, $R_{th1} + R_{th2}$ can be measured experimentally. **Base on the energy conservation law, the $P_{th,LED}$ under influence of the lumen depreciation can be obtained by:**

$$P_{th,LED} = P_{LED} - P_{Opt} \cdot \Phi(t) \quad (18)$$

where, P_{LED} is the input power and P_{Opt} is the initial optical power of the LED light source. **As a result, the stochastic process model is integrated with physics-based models.**

The electrical-optical tests were carried out on the driver to measure its power consumption. The driver was tested in room temperature (298K). The root mean square values of operation voltages and current of the IC and the power diode were measured by a power meter. Meanwhile, the total thermal power of the driver was measured as well. Table I lists the electronic test results.

Table I Electronic Test Results

	Voltage	Current	Power
IC	0.756 V	0.095 A	$P_{th,IC}=0.072$ W
Diode	1.109 V	0.121 A	$P_{th,Di}=0.133$ W
LEDs	55.42 V	0.120 A	$P_{LED}=6.650$ W
Total	-	-	$P_{th,D}=0.640$ W

The thermal tests were carried out to measure the thermal resistances $R_{th1} + R_{th2}$ and validate the compact thermal models and. Firstly, the lamp was placed at room temperature (298K) and natural convection, and the air temperature inside the lamp was measured by thermocouples. Thermocouples were placed inside the air gap, without contact with the lamp body or the driver. The light source and the driver were driven by programmable power supplies respectively. The output wires of the driver were connected to an electronic load outside of the lamp. A 2m integrating sphere system was used to measure optical power of the lamp. As the difference between total input power and optical power, the total thermal power of the lamp, $P_{th,LED} + P_{th,D}$, can be obtained. By adjusting the input power, the thermal resistance $R_{th1} + R_{th2}$ can be calculated using Equation (17).

The temperature difference between the air surrounding the driver and the ambient as a function of the lamp's total thermal power $P_{th,LED} + P_{th,D}$ is shown in Fig. 9. The air temperature inside the lamp increases linearly with the total thermal power. Fitted by Equation (17) via the least-square method, the thermal resistance $R_{th1} + R_{th2}$ is about 8.62 K/W. The R^2 values of the air temperature is 0.9960. The proposed thermal model also shows a good agreement with test results.




Fig. 9 Air Temperature Curve inside the Lamp




Fig. 10 Case Temperature of the Driver

The driver was also tested at room temperature (298K) and convection-free condition to obtain the condition as inside the LED lamp. Case temperatures of the IC and the power diode are measured by an IR camera. As shown in Fig. 10, the temperature differences between the cases of IC and power diode, and IC and ambient are 14.52K and 15.79K respectively. Fitted by Equation (16), $R_{th1,IC}=200$ K/W and $R_{th1,Di}=120$ K/W.

V. CASE STUDIES AND RESULTS

A. Definition of Scenarios

The proposed approach provides a general methodology for an electronic system with distinct failure modes such as degradation and catastrophic failure combined. As a case study, as listed in Table II, two different scenarios are considered in this work. **In Scenario S2, the driver's catastrophic failure and LED's lumen depreciation are both considered; whereas in Scenario S1, only the driver's catastrophic failure is taken into consideration as a comparison.** Table III summarizes the simulation parameters used, which are obtained from the empirical models [34], tests in Section IV and datasheets of critical components [33, 35], respectively.

Table II Scenario Design

Scenario	Condition
S1	$T_{A,in}(t) = T_{A,in}(0) = 339$ K
S2	$T_{A,in}(t)$ rises with the lumen depreciation:
	Mean: $\Phi(t) = e^{-\beta t}$
	Min: $\Phi(t) = e^{-\beta t} + 3\alpha t$
	Max: $\Phi(t) = e^{-\beta t} - 3\alpha t$

Table III Simulation Parameters

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
α	5.7558×10^{-6}	β	3.1371×10^{-5}
P_{Opt}	2.560 W	P_{LED}	6.650 W
$P_{th,IC}$	0.072 W	$P_{th,Di}$	0.133 W
$P_{th,D}$	0.640 W	$R_{th1}+R_{th2}$	8.62 K/W
$R_{th1,IC}$	200 K/W	$R_{th1,Di}$	120 K/W
$R_{th2,IC}$	50 K/W	$R_{th2,Di}$	34 K/W
$E_{a,IC}$	0.70eV	$E_{a,Di}$	0.23eV
λ_{IC}	0.48	λ_{Di}	0.0038
T_A	298K (25°C)		

B. Results and Discussions

The temperature difference between the air surrounding the driver and the ambient in Scenario S2

obtained by Equation (17) is shown in Fig. 11. During lumen depreciation process, the mean value of the air temperature surrounding the driver increases about 10K in 20,000 hours. In the same time period, the upper bound and lower bound rise about 3K and 18K respectively. The randomness of the lumen depreciation exhibits an important effect on driver's operation condition.




Fig. 11 Temperature Differences between Air Surrounding the Driver and the Ambient

By considering the aforementioned ever-increasing temperature, $h_D(t)$ can be obtained from Equation (12), (13) and (14). As shown in Fig. 12, the mean value of the hazard rate increases from 5.5691×10^{-5} to 1.0800×10^{-4} . Further, the upper bound and lower bound have changed to 1.7241×10^{-4} and 6.6347×10^{-5} respectively, after 20,000 hours aging.




Fig. 12 Hazard Rate Curves of The Driver




Fig. 13 Reliability Curves of The Driver

The survival probability of the driver can be obtained from Equation (11). The survival probability curve of the driver for each scenario is displayed in Fig. 13. For Scenario S1, the driver's survival probability degrades to about 32.8% at 20,000 hours. For Scenario S2, the mean value, upper bound and lower bound of the driver's survival probability drop to about 19.4%, 28.3%, and 11.6% respectively in the same period.

Table IV lists the MTTF of the driver calculated by Equation (15). The MTTF of Scenario S1 is about 16,000 hours. The mean value, upper bound and lower bound of MTTFs of Scenario S2 are 12,600, 14,840 and 10,900 hours respectively. Compared to the mean value of Scenario S2, MTTFs of Scenario S1 is about 26.9% longer, suggesting that the constant temperature assumption may bring significant errors to reliability prediction.

Table IV Mean Time to Failure of the Driver

Scenario	MTTF (Hrs)
S1	16,000
S2-Mean	12,600
S2-Min	10,900
S2-Max	14,840

Compared to Scenario S1, Scenario S2 has shown wide distributions in both survival probability and MTTF. For a more realistic lifetime prediction of LED lamp, LEDs life data should be considered. The proposed analysis provides a general approach for an electronic system to integrate the reliability method with physics models.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

This study presents a general methodology that combines the reliability theory with physics of failure for reliability prediction. More specifically, an integrated LED lamp, which includes an LED light source with statistical distribution of luminous flux, and a driver with two critical components, is considered. The Wiener process is introduced to describe the randomness of lumen depreciation. The driver's survival probability is described using a general Markov Chain method. A compact thermal model is developed to couple with the reliability methods used. Two scenarios are studied: Scenario S1 considers constant driver's operation temperature, while Scenario S2 considers driver's temperature rise due to lumen depreciation.

For Scenario S1, the constant driver's temperature is assumed. After 20,000 hours aging, the survival probability of the driver degrades to about 32.8%. The driver's MTTFs is about 17,300 hours. For Scenario S2, the thermal model is introduced, therefore, the mean value, upper bound and lower bound of air temperature surrounding the driver can be obtained. As a result, the mean value, upper bound and lower bound of the driver's survival probability decrease to about 19.4%, 28.3%, and 11.6% respectively after 20,000 hours. It is found that the wide life distribution of LEDs can lead to a large variation of the driver's survival probability.

The proposed analysis provides a general approach for an electronic system to integrate the reliability method with physics models. In addition to the exponential Wiener

process, the proposed approach can also consider other Wiener processes or stochastic processes to describe the degradation. The Markov Chain provides a general method to calculate reliability of an electronic circuit according to operation conditions. As for the physics-based model, the physics other than thermal theory, such as fatigue, creep, or diffusion based model, can be combined in the proposed approach for many applications in an electronic system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the National High-Tech Research and Development Program of China (863 Program, 2015AA03A101). We also would like to thank the editor and anonymous reviewers for their time and efforts in reviewing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Hendricks, E. George, M. Osterman, and M. Pecht, "3 - Physics-of-failure (PoF) methodology for electronic reliability A2 - Swingler, Jonathan," in *Reliability Characterisation of Electrical and Electronic Systems*, ed Oxford: Woodhead Publishing, 2015, pp. 27-42.
- [2] Y. Chen, L. Yang, C. Ye, and R. Kang, "Failure mechanism dependence and reliability evaluation of non-repairable system," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 138, pp. 273-283, 2015/06/01/ 2015.
- [3] M. Fan, Z. Zeng, E. Zio, R. Kang, and Y. Chen, "A stochastic hybrid systems model of common-cause failures of degrading components," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 172, pp. 159-170, 2018/04/01/ 2018.
- [4] G. Zhai, Y. Zhou, and X. Ye, "A tolerance design method for electronic circuits based on performance degradation," *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, vol. 31, pp. 635-643, 2015.
- [5] E. E. Kostandyan and J. D. Sørensen, "Physics of failure as a basis for solder elements reliability assessment in wind turbines," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 108, pp. 100-107, 2012/12/01/ 2012.
- [6] Y. F. Li, E. Zio, and Y. H. Lin, "A Multistate Physics Model of Component Degradation Based on Stochastic Petri Nets and Simulation," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 61, pp. 921-931, 2012.
- [7] B. Lamoureux, N. Mechbal, and J.-R. Massé, "A combined sensitivity analysis and kriging surrogate modeling for early validation of health indicators," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 130, pp. 12-26, 2014/10/01/ 2014.
- [8] P. L. Hall and J. E. Strutt, "Probabilistic physics-of-failure models for component reliabilities using Monte Carlo simulation and Weibull analysis: a parametric study," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 80, pp. 233-242, 2003/06/01/ 2003.
- [9] M. M. †, M. A. ‡, and C. J. §, *I. Overview of Probabilistic Physics-of-Failure Approach to Reliability*: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2017.
- [10] S.-P. Zhu, H.-Z. Huang, W. Peng, H.-K. Wang, and S. Mahadevan, "Probabilistic Physics of Failure-based framework for fatigue life prediction of aircraft gas turbine discs under uncertainty," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 146, pp. 1-12, 2016/02/01/ 2016.
- [11] W. D. van Driel and X. J. Fan, *Solid state lighting reliability: components to systems* vol. 1: Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [12] W. D. van Driel, X. J. Fan, and G. Q. Zhang, *Solid State Lighting Reliability Part 2*: Springer, 2017.
- [13] U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). (2013). *Hammer Testing Findings for Solid-State Lighting Luminaires*. Available: http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/ssl/news_detail.html?news_id=21168
- [14] C. Qian, X. J. Fan, J. Fan, C. A. Yuan, and G. Q. Zhang, "An Accelerated Test Method of Luminous Flux Depreciation for LED Luminaires and Lamps," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 147, pp. 84-92, 2016.
- [15] J. Huang, D. S. Golubović, S. Koh, D. Yang, X. Li, X. Fan, and G. Zhang, "Degradation modeling of mid-power white-light LEDs by using Wiener process," *Optics express*, vol. 23, pp. A966-A978, 2015.
- [16] B. Sun, X. J. Fan, C. Qian, and G. Q. Zhang, "PoF-Simulation-Assisted Reliability Prediction for Electrolytic Capacitor in LED Drivers," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 63, pp. 6726-6735, 2016.
- [17] B. Sun, X. J. Fan, H. Ye, J. Fan, C. Qian, W. van Driel, and G. Q. Zhang, "A Novel Lifetime Prediction for Integrated LED Lamps by Electronic-Thermal Simulation," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 163, pp. 14-21, 2017.
- [18] B. Sun, X. J. Fan, L. Li, H. Ye, W. van Driel, and G. Q. Zhang, "A Reliability Prediction for Integrated LED Lamp with Electrolytic Capacitor-Free Driver," *IEEE Transactions on Components, Packaging and Manufacturing Technology*, 2016.
- [19] C. Zhang, X. Lu, Y. Tan, and Y. Wang, "Reliability demonstration methodology for products with Gamma Process by optimal accelerated degradation testing," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 142, pp. 369-377, 2015.
- [20] H. Hao, C. Su, and C. Li, "LED Lighting System Reliability Modeling and Inference via Random Effects Gamma Process and Copula Function," *International Journal of Photoenergy*, vol. 2015, 2015.
- [21] J. Fan, K. C. Yung, and M. Pecht, "Prognostics of lumen maintenance for High power white light emitting diodes using a nonlinear filter-based approach," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 123, pp. 63-72, 2014.
- [22] J. Fan, K.-C. Yung, and M. Pecht, "Predicting long-term lumen maintenance life of LED light sources using a particle filter-based prognostic approach," *Expert systems with applications*, vol. 42, pp. 2411-2420, 2015.
- [23] K. Itô, *Diffusion Processes*: Wiley Online Library, 1974.

- [24] H. Lim, Y. S. Kim, S. J. Bae, and S.-I. Sung, "Partial accelerated degradation test plans for Wiener degradation processes," *Quality Technology & Quantitative Management*, pp. 1-15, 2017.
- [25] Z. Huang, Z. Xu, X. Ke, W. Wang, and Y. Sun, "Remaining useful life prediction for an adaptive skew-Wiener process model," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 87, pp. 294-306, 2017/03/15/ 2017.
- [26] Q. Zhai and Z.-S. Ye, "RUL Prediction of Deteriorating Products Using An Adaptive Wiener Process Model," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 2017.
- [27] J. Li, Z. Wang, X. Liu, Y. Zhang, H. Fu, and C. Liu, "A Wiener process model for accelerated degradation analysis considering measurement errors," *Microelectronics Reliability*, vol. 65, pp. 8-15, 2016/10/01/ 2016.
- [28] D. Pan, J.-B. Liu, F. Huang, J. Cao, and A. Alsaedi, "A Wiener process model with truncated normal distribution for reliability analysis," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 50, pp. 333-346, 2017/10/01/ 2017.
- [29] T.-R. Tsai, Y. Lio, N. Jiang, H. K. T. Ng, and D.-G. Chen, "Optimal Designs for LED Degradation Modeling," in *Statistical Modeling for Degradation Data*, D.-G. Chen, Y. Lio, H. K. T. Ng, and T.-R. Tsai, Eds., ed Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2017, pp. 149-170.
- [30] Z. Wang, J. Li, X. Ma, Y. Zhang, H. Fu, and S. Krishnaswamy, "A Generalized Wiener Process Degradation Model with Two Transformed Time Scales," *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, vol. 33, pp. 693-708, 2017.
- [31] A. Khosroshahi, M. Abapour, and M. Sabahi, "Reliability Evaluation of Conventional and Interleaved DC-DC Boost Converters," *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 30, pp. 5821-5828, 2015.
- [32] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of stochastic methods* vol. 3: Springer Berlin, 1985.
- [33] Duty-Cycle Semiconductor, "DU8671 Constant Current LED Driver in QS Mode," ed, 2015.
- [34] U.S. Department of Defense (DoD), "MIL-HDBK-217 Reliability Prediction of Electronic Equipment," ed, 1991.
- [35] L.G.E Semiconductor, "ES1A-ES1J Surface Mount Rectifiers," ed, 2012.