



Delft University of Technology

Document Version

Final published version

Licence

CC BY

Citation (APA)

Mi, F. Y., He, Z. J., Pang, J. T., Moulton, O. A., Vlugt, T. J. H., Jiang, G. S., & Ning, F. L. (2026). Molecular insights into the effects of representative organic molecules on spontaneous hydrate nucleation in oceanic sediments. *Petroleum Science*, 23(7), 4430-4440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2026.02.012>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

In case the licence states "Dutch Copyright Act (Article 25fa)", this publication was made available Green Open Access via the TU Delft Institutional Repository pursuant to Dutch Copyright Act (Article 25fa, the Taverne amendment). This provision does not affect copyright ownership.
Unless copyright is transferred by contract or statute, it remains with the copyright holder.


Sharing and reuse

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

This work is downloaded from Delft University of Technology.



Original Paper

Molecular insights into the effects of representative organic molecules on spontaneous hydrate nucleation in oceanic sediments

Feng-Yi Mi^{a,b}, Zhong-Jin He^{a,*}, Jiang-Tao Pang^a, Othonas A. Moulton^c, Thijs J.H. Vlught^c, Guo-Sheng Jiang^a, Fu-Long Ning^a^a National Center for International Research on Deep Earth Drilling and Resource Development, Faculty of Engineering, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, 430074, Hubei, China^b Key Laboratory of Solid Waste Treatment and Resource Reuse, Ministry of Education, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang, 621010, Sichuan, China^c Engineering Thermodynamics, Process & Energy Department, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, 2628CB, Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 September 2025

Received in revised form

30 November 2025

Accepted 9 February 2026

Available online 13 February 2026

Edited by Min Li

Keywords:

Methane hydrate

Molecular dynamics simulation

Hydrate formation

Montmorillonite clay

Organic molecules

NaCl solution

ABSTRACT

Knowledge of the effect of different organic molecules on spontaneous hydrate nucleation is crucial for understanding the formation of gas hydrates in marine reservoirs. Herein, microsecond MD simulations are conducted to investigate the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates in oceanic sediments. The simulation results indicate that hydrate nucleation is influenced by the coupling effects of organic molecules, clay surfaces and salt ions, where organic molecules alter hydrate nucleation by modulating the diffusion fluctuation of CH₄ molecules via controlling the shape and size of CH₄ nanobubbles. Furthermore, CH₄ hydrates are primarily concentrated at a moderate distance away from the nanobubbles, with fewer hydrates located either close or at a more distant from the nanobubbles. In the region about 1.0 nm away from the nanobubbles, the hydrates become more unstable when closer to the nanobubbles, whereas hydrates have better stability when locating above 1.0 nm away from the nanobubbles. Different organic molecules exert distinct effects on spontaneous hydrate nucleation. Specifically, propanol adsorbed to the nanobubble surface kinetically promotes hydrate nucleation, exhibiting a distinct advantage over other organic molecules. These molecular insights expand the understanding of the formation of natural gas hydrate resources and help to effectively utilize this resource.

© 2026 The Authors. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In the context of sustainable development goals, the pursuit of clean energy sources has been a major objective worldwide. Clean energy not only addresses the ever-growing energy demands but also plays a crucial role in mitigating climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Natural gas hydrates have emerged as a promising alternative due to their abundant reserves, world-wide distribution, low CO₂ emissions and so on (Boswell, 2009; Haq, 1999; Koh, 2002). Natural gas hydrates, mainly CH₄ hydrates, are ice-like compounds formed under specific conditions of low

temperature and high pressure, encapsulating CH₄ molecules in the hydrogen-bond network of water molecules (Mi et al., 2025a; Sloan ED, 2008). Notably, the carbon reserves within CH₄ hydrates are estimated to be more than twice of the total reserves of conventional fossil fuels, a figure that may increase substantially in the future (Milkov, 2004; Sloan, 2003). CH₄ hydrates are widespread in permafrost regions and marine sediments and hold the potential to revolutionize the global energy landscape (Makogon et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2022, 2023). Numerous countries have dedicated substantial endeavors to extract CH₄ gas from CH₄ hydrates (Collett et al., 2011; Konno et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). However, high costs, sand production and secondary hydrate formation hinder the commercialization of hydrate exploitation (Li et al., 2016; Ning et al., 2012). One important scientific issue worthy of further exploration is the formation mechanism CH₄ hydrate, particularly in the complex marine environments where

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hezongjin@cug.edu.cn (Z.-J. He).

Peer review under the responsibility of China University of Petroleum (Beijing).

organic matter abounds (Kvenvolden, 1995; Qin et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2019). Understanding how various organic molecules influence the formation of CH₄ hydrates in marine sediments is of paramount importance for efficiently harnessing this unconventional energy source.

Due to the vast expanse of the ocean, it contains many different organic compounds. These organic molecules wield a continuous influence on the formation and decomposition of CH₄ hydrates (Huang et al., 2024; Kvenvolden, 1993). Liu et al. (2021a, 2021b, 2021c) systematically investigated the formation process of gas hydrates in solutions of self-assembled colloids, acid-soluble organic matter, protein macromolecules and metabolic small molecules from the experiments level. Their findings showed that different organic molecules exhibit diverse effects on the formation of gas hydrates. Furthermore, some studies reported eco-friendly hydrate inhibitors derived from natural organic matter (Yun et al., 2023), including cellulose (Jia et al., 2022), natural product pectin (Xu et al., 2016), amino acids (Bavoh et al., 2019), and antifreeze proteins (Zeng et al., 2006). On the other hand, a large number of organic matter molecules are active in hydrate formations, with the organic matter content in hydrate-containing sediments in the Ulleung Basin soaring to levels of up to 10% (Kelleher et al., 2007; Lamorena et al., 2011; Saito and Suzuki, 2007; Wallmann et al., 2006). The coupling effect of sediments with organic matter further complicates hydrate formation (Mi et al., 2024d; Saito and Suzuki, 2007; Zhang et al., 2003). Lamorena et al. (2011) conducted experiments and observed that the induction time of CO₂ hydrate formation in sediment suspensions containing organic matter is approximately 7 times faster than that in suspensions devoid organic matter. The experimental results of Zhao et al. (2021) showcased a 92% reduction in the induction time for hydrate formation owing to the synergy of organic matter and clay minerals. Similarly, Liu et al. (2023a, 2023b) showed that clay minerals and organic matter can synergistically promote the formation kinetics of CO₂ hydrate by controlling the arrangement of water molecules. The experimental results of Park et al. (2014) demonstrate that the influence of organic matter on CO₂ hydrate phase equilibrium conditions can be retained or reduced in layered silicate suspensions. Kyung et al. (2015) observed that the combination of organic matter and mineral matter enhanced the nucleation kinetics of CO₂ hydrate, and pointed out that the type and amount of soil minerals and organic matter can affect CO₂ hydrate formation. However, the diversity of organic matter within experimental samples has obscured the microscopic mechanisms of its influence on hydrate formation. Over the past decade, molecular dynamics (MD) simulation has been rapidly developed in the field of hydrate formation, which allows us to obtain mechanisms and phenomena at the molecular scale that are difficult to be obtained through experimentation alone (Chen et al., 2023; Liao et al., 2023; Mi et al., 2023, 2025b; Walsh et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024). Ji et al. (2016, 2018) by using MD simulation found the inhibitory effect of humic acid and fatty acids in montmorillonite nanopores on the formation of CH₄ hydrate. Based on MD simulations, Fengyi et al. (2023) and Mi et al. (2024a) revealed that lignin and glucose molecules inhibit CH₄ hydrate formation by promoting CH₄ nanobubble formation and inhibiting CH₄ nanobubble decomposition.

However, the mechanism of how various organic matter molecules in hydrate-bearing sediments influence the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates remains obscure. It is worth noting that the coupling effects of some geological factors in hydrate-bearing sediments, including organic molecules, salt ions and clay minerals, further complicate the nucleation process of CH₄ hydrates in marine sediments. However, the mechanism of the coupling effect

on hydrate nucleation has not yet been systematically explored. Thus, this study performs microsecond MD simulation on the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates from organo-clay salt solutions in clay nanopores, to reveal the influence mechanism of different organic molecules. This preliminary study into the microscopic mechanism of the effect of organic molecules on spontaneous hydrate nucleation can help to understand the formation of natural gas hydrate resources, and will catalyze more inventive research, particularly with regard to CH₄ hydrate exploitation, hydrate-based CO₂ sequestration and seawater desalination.

2. Simulation models and methods

Clay minerals are one typical component of hydrate-bearing sediments that can significantly change the kinetics and thermodynamics of hydrate formation (Liu et al., 2023c; Mi et al., 2024b; Ren et al., 2022, 2023; Wang et al., 2025; Zhu et al., 2024). The clay unit cell parameters were sourced from the American Mineral Crystal Database (Downs and Hall-Wallace, 2003). The molecular formula of the yielded Wyoming montmorillonite model used in this work is Na_{0.75}(Si_{7.75}Al_{0.25})(Al_{3.5}Mg_{0.5})O₂₀(OH)₄. The montmorillonite nanopore was created by stacking two identical montmorillonite plates with a defined pore size of 4.0 nm. Earlier MD research underscores the importance of pore size, as overly small pores hinder hydrate formation, while excessively large ones cannot exhibit the role of the clay surface (Mi et al., 2022a). A homogeneous solution containing 5352 H₂O molecules and 931 CH₄ molecules was placed in the montmorillonite nanopore. The water/gas ratio (~5.75:1) was the same as the ideal stoichiometry of a fully occupied sl-type hydrate. Such high gas supersaturation ensures that the rare event of spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrate can be observed within a computationally feasible microsecond timescale in MD simulations, allowing for a comparison of the effects of different organic molecules under the same conditions. Sodium chloride ions with a salinity of 3.5 wt% were randomly placed into the homogeneous solution.

Hydrate-bearing sediments encompass various organic matter molecules, including *n*-alkanes, alcohols, aromatics, isoprenoids, fatty acids, and nitrogen source amino acids, each characterized by distinct functional groups. Six small organic molecules were selected, all sharing a common propyl skeleton structure but differing in their functional groups (methyl, hydroxyl, phenyl, double bond, carboxyl and amino), i.e., the butane, propanol, phenylpropane, pentene, butyric-acid and propylamine. The molecular structures of six small organic molecules are shown in Fig. S1. 50 small organic molecules were randomly inserted into the nanopore. A schematic representation of the final model is shown in Fig. 1. A control system without organic molecules was used for comparison. Therefore, MD simulation of seven different systems was performed, i.e., the systems of MOno-organic, MObutyric-acid, MOPropylamine, MOPropanol, MOPentene, MObutane and MOPhenylpropane. The specific number of molecules and ions in each system is shown in Table S1. The principles of the methods used in this study to analyze the data are provided in the Supporting Information. Hydrate nucleation is fundamentally a stochastic process. While a single, ultra-long 3.0 μs simulation performed for each system allows for the detailed observation of molecular mechanisms and some kinetically-driven trends, some quantitative metrics for hydrate nucleation, such as the induction time and hydrate cage numbers, may be different across independent runs. Nevertheless, this work focuses on the qualitative differences and the underlying molecular mechanisms that are consistently observed over these extended simulation timescales, rather than




Fig. 1. A schematic representation of the simulation model. The molecular structures of the six small organic molecules are shown. Small organic molecules are displayed as cyan (C atom), red (O atom) blue (N atom) and white (H atom). Clay layers are displayed as polyhedral, i.e., yellow (Si atom), cyan (Mg atom) and pink (Al atom). Blue, magenta, and green balls represent Cl^- , Na^+ , and CH_4 , respectively.

some quantitative metrics for hydrate nucleation. Thus, only one simulation run has been performed for each system.

CH_4 , H_2O , and the organic molecules were represented by the OPLS-UA model (Jorgensen et al., 1984), TIP4P-ice model (Abascal et al., 2005) and OPLS-AA force field (Jorgensen et al., 1996), respectively. Montmorillonite and ions were represented by the CLAYFF force field (Cygan et al., 2004), which has been widely used and well-validated in previous MD work (Fang et al., 2024; Li et al., 2022; Mi et al., 2024c). For the short-range nonbonded interactions, Lennard-Jones potentials with a cutoff distance of 1.0 nm were used. Long-range electrostatic interactions were treated using the particle mesh Ewald (PME) (Darden et al., 1993) within a Fourier space extending 1.2 nm. Periodic boundary conditions were assigned in x, y, and z directions, and the rigidity of water molecules was preserved via the SHAKE algorithm. The leap-frog integrator algorithm with a time step of 2.0 fs was used to integrate the equations. The detailed force field parameters for H_2O , CH_4 , ions, montmorillonite, and organic molecules in the system are shown in Tables S2 and S3.

Three simulation stages were performed for each system: initially, energy minimization was performed to relax the initial configuration. Then, a 5 ns equilibration simulation was performed under the isothermal-isobaric (NPT) ensemble, with the temperature (250 K) and pressure (50 MPa) controlled by velocity-rescaling thermostat (Bussi et al., 2007) and Berendsen barostat (Berendsen et al., 1984), respectively. The condition of low temperature and high pressure accelerates hydrate formation and reduces computational cost (Mi et al., 2022b). Finally, an ultra-long 3.0 μs production simulation was carried out under the NPT ensemble, with temperature and pressure controlled by the Nosé-Hoover thermostat (Nosé, 1984) and Parrinello-Rahman barostat (Parrinello and Rahman, 1980), respectively. The pressure coupling was semi-isotropic, allowing independent fluctuations along the normal (z-dimension) and lateral (xy-dimensions) directions. All the MD simulations were performed utilizing the GROMACS package v.5.0.7 (Hess et al., 2008).

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Interplay between organic molecules, CH_4 , H_2O , ions and clay surface

The interplay of small organic molecules, clay surfaces, CH_4 , H_2O , and ions plays a critical role in altering the spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrates. The MD simulations revealed two interfacial water layers near the montmorillonite surface (Fig. 2(a)). The first interfacial water layer exhibits a high-density peak (Figs. 2(a) and S2(a)–(g)). This can be attributed to an over-adsorption phenomenon, where H_2O molecules densely adhere to the montmorillonite surface, collectively forming a tightly packed interfacial layer. In contrast, the second interfacial water layer shows a lower density peak than the first one. This discrepancy in density arises from the hydration shell formed as ions are hydrated and adsorb to the montmorillonite surface (Figs. 2(a) and S2(a)–(g)). Most of the ions adsorb on the montmorillonite surface to form an interfacial ion layer (Figs. 2(a) and S3(a)–(g)). Na^+ ions swiftly adsorb on the montmorillonite surface to compensate for its negative charges. In stark contrast, CH_4 molecules predominantly locate in the middle region of the montmorillonite nanopores, as observed in Figs. 2(a) and S4(a)–(g). This distribution effectively separates CH_4 molecules from the clay surface by the interfacial water and ion layers, rendering them less prone to adsorption on the montmorillonite surface. This phenomenon is also observed in $\text{MO}_{\text{No-organic}}$, $\text{MO}_{\text{Butyric-acid}}$, $\text{MO}_{\text{Propylamine}}$, $\text{MO}_{\text{Pentene}}$, $\text{MO}_{\text{Butane}}$ and $\text{MO}_{\text{Phenylpropane}}$ systems (Fig. S5(a)–(g)). All six types of organic molecules considered in this work mostly locate in the middle region of the nanopores (Figs. 2(a) and S6(a)–(g)). Even in the presence of functional groups (such as hydroxyl, carboxyl and amino) capable of forming hydrogen bonds with the clay surface, these small organic molecules still encounter the physical barrier posed by the interfacial water and ion layers, which effectively prevents their direct interaction with the clay surface (Fig. S5(a)–(g)).




Fig. 2. (a) Number density distribution of H₂O, CH₄, organic molecules, and ions along the surface normal direction (z-axis) in the MO_{Propanol} system over the 2.5–3.0 μs. (b) Evolution of the diffusion coefficient (k_{DC}) for six small organic molecules during hydrate formation, and (c) the average residence time (τ_{Res}) for CH₄, H₂O and ions near small organic molecules in the MO_{Propanol} system. τ_{Res} and k_{DC} are calculated over an interval of 1 ns, i.e., for each ns. We smoothed the curves in (b)–(c) with large jumps by running block average and then blurred the original curves.

Differences arise in the diffusion of these six types of small organic molecules in the middle region of the nanopores. The diffusion coefficients (k_{DC}) of the organic molecules during hydrate formation are shown in Fig. 2(b). Notably, pentene and butane

molecules exhibit a swift increase in their k_{DC} values at the beginning of the simulation, maintaining a high plateau during the simulation period of 0.5–3.0 μs (Fig. 2(b)). This behavior is ascribed to the weak interaction between their functional groups (methyl and double bond) and H₂O molecules. Consequently, pentene and butane are essentially hydrophobic, enabling them to dissolve into CH₄ nanobubbles and contribute to the formation of mixed nanobubbles (Fig. S6(d)–(e)). Organic molecules in the gas phase diffuse faster compared to those in the liquid phase. Conversely, these hydrophilic functional groups (hydroxyl, carboxyl and amino) of propanol, propylamine and butyric-acid molecules can form hydrogen bonds with H₂O molecules in solution. These hydrophilic organic molecules tend to be distributed along the surfaces of CH₄ nanobubbles, i.e., the gas–liquid interface (Fig. S6(a)–(c)). Therefore, hydrophilic organic molecules generally exhibit slower diffusion during hydrate formation (Fig. 2(b)). In particular, the k_{DC} value of phenylpropane molecules is between that of the hydrophilic and hydrophobic organic molecules (Fig. 2(b)). A noteworthy observation is that, although inherently hydrophobic, phenylpropane molecules preferentially accumulate at the gas–liquid interface (Fig. S6(f)) rather than dissolving into the CH₄ nanobubble. This behavior may be due to the fact that inserting the large and rigid benzene ring into the densely packed nanobubble is energetically unfavorable due to the steric hindrance, consistent with previous studies (Fengyi et al., 2023; He et al., 2022). To gain further insight into the influence of organic molecules on their surroundings, we evaluated the residence time (τ_{Res}) of CH₄, H₂O, and ions close to these molecules. Based on the radial distribution function between the organic molecules and CH₄, H₂O and ions (Fig. S7(a)–(f)), an average cutoff distance of 0.4 nm is applied. It is observed that the τ_{Res} values for CH₄ and H₂O molecules decrease rapidly, eventually converging to 20 and 190 ps, respectively (Fig. 2(c)). This trend aligns with the behavior of the butane, phenylpropane, pentene, butyric-acid, and propylamine molecules (Fig. S8(a)–(f)). In the last stage of the simulation, it is found that the τ_{Res} value of ions around propanol molecules is the largest, followed by H₂O molecules and finally CH₄ molecules (Fig. 2(c)). This indicates that propanol molecules bind surrounding ions and H₂O molecules while exerting a relatively weak interaction with CH₄ molecules. The weaker the binding force of organic molecules to H₂O molecules, the more favorable the conditions for hydrate nucleation. Conversely, a stronger binding of organic molecules with ions tends to facilitate hydrate nucleation.

3.2. Spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrate from organoclay salt solution in clay nanopore

In organo-clay salt solutions, the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates is influenced by a coupling of organic molecules, clay surfaces, and salt ions. The spontaneous nucleation processes of CH₄ hydrate in the MO_{Propanol} system are illustrated in Fig. 3(a)–(i). At the beginning of the simulation, clay surfaces exhibit a distinct affinity for ions while simultaneously repelling CH₄ and organic molecules; CH₄ molecules quickly form several nanobubbles; spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrate forms sporadic 5¹² and 5¹²6² cages (Fig. 3(a) and (b)). As the simulation proceeds, CH₄ nanobubbles gradually merge into a large spherical nanobubble (Fig. 3(c), (d) and (g)). The growth of hydrate cages occurs with the continued spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates, ultimately leading to the formation of CH₄ hydrate clusters (Fig. 3(c) and (d)). Moreover, the CH₄ nanobubble changes in shape from spherical to cylindrical during the simulation period of 1.24–1.25 μs, and the shape of the CH₄ nanobubble remains cylindrical in subsequent simulations (Fig. S9(a)–(d)). In the later stages of the simulation, the shape of the CH₄ nanobubble is spherical in the system without




Fig. 3. Spontaneous nucleation processes of CH₄ hydrate from organoclay salt solutions for the (a)–(f) MO_{Propanol} system. Bottom panels (g)–(i) highlight the distribution of CH₄ hydrate cages, small organic molecules, and CH₄ nanobubble. Organic molecules are displayed as cyan (C atom), red (O atom), blue (N atom), and white (H atom). Clay layers are displayed as polyhedral, i.e., yellow (Si atom), cyan (Mg atom) and pink (Al atom). Blue, magenta, orange, and green balls represent Cl[−], Na⁺, CH₄ in nanobubble and CH₄ in solution, respectively. Hydrate cages are shown as sticks in various colors (green for 5¹², blue for 5¹²6², red for 5¹²6³, orange for 5¹²6⁴, cyan for 4⁵10⁶2, purple for 4⁵10⁶3 and pink for 4⁵10⁶4).

organic molecules, whereas the shape of the CH₄ nanobubbles is cylindrical in the system containing organic molecules (Figs. 3(h)–(i) and S10(a)–(g)). Several hydrate clusters converge to a large hydrate solid, predominantly distributed in the middle region of the nanopore (Fig. 3(e) and (f)). All organic molecules are mainly distributed inside or on the surface of CH₄ nanobubbles (Fig. S10(a)–(g)). To provide a more comprehensive and insightful perspective on these spontaneous nucleation processes of CH₄ hydrates from organoclay salt solutions, visualizations of the MD simulations are provided as Supporting Information for all seven systems in Videos S1–S7.

Supplementary video related to this article can be found at in the Supplementary Information <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2026.02.012>.

Organic molecules alter the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates by modulating the diffusion fluctuations for CH₄ molecules via controlling the shape and size of CH₄ nanobubbles. Organic molecules may promote the shape transformation of CH₄ nanobubbles from spherical to cylindrical. To reveal the effect of organic molecules on the fluctuation of CH₄ molecules, all CH₄ molecules in the system are divided into three types, namely near organic molecules, in the nanobubbles, and in the solution

(Fig. S11(a)–(g)). It is found that the k_{DC} value of CH₄ molecules in nanobubbles rises in the MO_{Propanol} system at 0.09 and 1.24 μs (Fig. 4(a)). These dual surges in k_{DC} curve signify that CH₄ molecules have experienced two large diffusion fluctuations in the organoclay salt solution. The initial surge in k_{DC} curve finds its cause in the formation of CH₄ nanobubbles. A large number of CH₄ molecules in the solution aggregate to form spherical CH₄ nanobubbles, causing a CH₄ liquid to the gas phase transition (Fig. 3(a) and (b)). CH₄ molecules in nanobubbles diffuse faster than those in solution. The second surge in k_{DC} curve is attributed to the shape transformation of the CH₄ nanobubbles. A large number of CH₄ molecules enter the nanobubbles from the solution, and the shape of CH₄ nanobubbles changes from spherical shape to oval and finally to cylindrical shape (Figs. 3(g)–(h) and S9(a)–(d)). It is noted that the formation of this stable, system-spanning cylindrical nanobubble is likely due to the small size of the simulation system, i.e., the nanobubble could interact with its periodic image when growing to a size comparable to the dimensions of the simulation box. CH₄ molecules diffuse freely along the y-axis in the stable cylindrical nanobubble spanning the simulation box, and diffuse much faster than that in the spherical nanobubbles. Organic molecules may play a role in the shape change of CH₄ nanobubbles.




Fig. 4. Evolution of the diffusion coefficient (k_{DC}) for (a) CH₄ and (b) H₂O molecules in the MO_{Propanol} system. (c) CH₄ mole fraction in water (x_{CH_4}) and the number of CH₄ in the nanobubbles (N_{CH_4}) under the hydrate cages (N_{cage}) (0–180) in the MO_{Propanol} system. Probability distribution of the distance between hydrate ((d) CH₄ and (e) H₂O in hydrate and nanobubbles (HBD) in the MO_{Butyric-acid} system over the last 0.05 μs.

The stable cylindrical nanobubble crosses the periodic boundary of the simulation box, thus CH₄ molecules could diffuse freely along y axis and diffuse much faster than those in the spherical nanobubbles. Therefore, the k_{DC} value after the second surge is more than twice that of the first (Fig. 4(a)). In particular, without organic molecules in the solution, the CH₄ molecules in the nanobubbles only experience the first surge in the system (Fig. S12(a)), while with the presence of organic molecules in the solution, two surges

in k_{DC} curve occur in the system (Fig. S12(b)–(g)). The second surge in k_{DC} occurs at different times for different organic molecules (Fig. S12(b)–(g)). These findings indicate the pivotal role played by organic molecules in modulating the diffusion fluctuation of CH₄ molecules, primarily by controlling the size and shape of CH₄ nanobubbles. Furthermore, CH₄ molecules near organic molecules display comparable diffusion as CH₄ molecules in nanobubbles (Figs. 4(a) and S12(b)–(g)). This phenomenon arises from the fact that CH₄ molecules near organic molecules are contained in nanobubbles. Due to the position distribution of water molecules, all the H₂O molecules in the system are divided into three types, i.e., near organic molecules, near the clay surface, and in solution (Fig. S13(a)–(g)). The k_{DC} values of H₂O molecules first increase and then gradually decrease (Figs. 4(b) and S14(a)–(g)). Different organic molecules also have different numbers of CH₄ and H₂O molecules nearby (Fig. S15(a)–(b)).

On the other hand, previous MD studies have shown that the CH₄ and ion concentration in the solution are key factors controlling hydrate formation (Mi et al., 2022c). Organic molecules can affect the residence time of surrounding ions, which changes the local ion concentration and affects hydrate formation (Figs. 2(c) and S8(a)–(f)). In addition, the size of nanobubbles directly affects the CH₄ concentration in the solution (x_{CH_4}). The size of the nanobubbles is quantified by the number of CH₄ molecules in the nanobubbles (N_{CH_4}). As the hydrate cage (N_{cage}) forms, N_{CH_4} increases and x_{CH_4} decreases in the MO_{Propanol} system (Fig. 4(c)). This phenomenon is attributed to the fact that in the early stages of the simulation, the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrate and the formation of nanobubbles are simultaneous and competitive, i.e., hydrate formation requires CH₄ molecules in the solution, while nanobubble formation also requires CH₄ molecules in the solution. As N_{cage} increases, N_{CH_4} decreases and x_{CH_4} increases, indicating that more CH₄ molecules are gradually transferred from the nanobubbles into the solution (Figs. 4(c) and S16(a)–(b)). The high CH₄ concentration promotes further formation of hydrate cages. If the simulation continues, the CH₄ nanobubbles continue to diminish in size until they eventually dissipate, and approximately 443 CH₄ hydrate cages form in the MO_{Propanol} system (Fig. 4(c)). Furthermore, spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrate occurs in the bulk solution away from the clay surfaces owing to the sharp decrease of x_{CH_4} in the clay interfacial region at the beginning of the simulation (Fig. S17(a)–(c)).

To reveal the pivotal role of CH₄ nanobubbles in the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates, a comprehensive statistical analysis of the spatial relationship that exists between these nanobubbles and the hydrate solids is conducted. We define a parameter HBD, which is the distance between hydrates (comprising CH₄ and H₂O molecules) and nanobubbles. Interestingly, the probability distributions of HBD for CH₄ and H₂O molecules conform to a normal distribution with its peak occurring at 2.0 nm (Fig. 4(d) and (e)). This finding shows that CH₄ hydrates are primarily concentrated at a moderate distance away from the nanobubbles, with fewer hydrates located either close or further away from the nanobubbles. This finding is also observed in other systems (Fig. S18(a)–(g) and S19(a)–(g)). The number of CH₄ and H₂O molecules in hydrates under different HBD ranges (0.3–3.3 nm) in the MO_{Butyric-acid} system is calculated, and the results are shown in Fig. 5(a) and (b). These values for the systems containing different organic molecules can be found in Fig. S20(a)–(g) and S21(a)–(g). It is found that in the early stage of simulation, HBD is mainly distributed near 2.0 nm, indicating that CH₄ hydrates are distributed in the region near 2.0 nm from the CH₄ nanobubbles (Fig. 5(a) and (b)). During the simulation process, CH₄ hydrates are gradually distributed in regions closer and farther from the CH₄ nanobubbles (Fig. 5(a) and (b)). The




Fig. 5. Evolution of the number of (a) CH₄ and (b) H₂O in hydrate cages along the distance between hydrate and nanobubbles (HBD) in the MO_{Butyric-acid} System. Average hydrate formation ratio (R_{HF}) of (c) CH₄ and (d) H₂O molecules in hydrate cages in the HBD ranges (0–3.0 nm) for the MO_{No-organic} and MO_{Butyric-acid} systems at 2.0 μs. The R_{HF} is defined as the time duration during which the CH₄ or H₂O molecule retains its hydrate state in subsequent simulations divided by the total subsequent time.

majority of CH₄ hydrates tend to be situated within the 1–3 nm ranges from the CH₄ nanobubbles (Fig. 5(a) and (b)). At the end of the simulation, a few CH₄ hydrates are observed within regions that are either within 1 nm of the nanobubbles or more than 3 nm away from them (Fig. 5(a) and (b)). To assess the stability of spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates in different HBD values, we define the hydrate formation ratio (R_{HF}). This metric gauges whether a hydrate (comprising CH₄ and H₂O molecules) will maintain its hydrate state or transition into another state over the course of subsequent simulations. R_{HF} is calculated as the time during which the CH₄ or H₂O molecule retains its hydrate state in subsequent simulations divided by the total subsequent time. R_{HF} of CH₄ and H₂O molecules in hydrates for the MO_{No-organic} and MO_{Butyric-acid} systems at 2 μs is counted, and the results are shown in Fig. 5(c) and (d). With the increase in HBD values, R_{HF} values first gradually increase (Fig. 5(c) and (d)). Beyond an HBD of 1.0 nm, the R_{HF} values for CH₄ and H₂O molecules stabilize at approximately 0.9 and 0.8, respectively (Fig. 5(c) and (d)). This finding indicates that in the region 1.0 nm away from the CH₄ nanobubbles, the closer the CH₄ hydrate is to the nanobubbles, the more unstable it is; in the region above 1.0 nm away from the CH₄ nanobubbles, CH₄ hydrates are not easily dissociated, showing high stability.

3.3. Effect of organic molecules on CH₄ hydrate nucleation from organoclay salt solution

Different organic molecules exert distinct effects on the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates. We analyzed the time distribution of CH₄ molecules (which form hydrates at 1.0 μs) in the nanobubble and near organic molecules during the simulation period of 0–1.0 μs (Fig. 6(a)–(f)). It is observed that the highest density area appears in the lower left corner of the Fig. for the six systems, i.e., a large number of CH₄ molecules are near the nanobubbles and organic molecules for a short time (Fig. 6(a)–(f)). This shows that the CH₄ molecules that form hydrates at 1.0 μs are in the solution for a long time, which also confirms that CH₄ molecules in the solution are more likely to form CH₄ hydrates. In addition, in the MO_{Butyric-acid}, MO_{Propylamine}, MO_{Propanol}, and MO_{Butane} systems, a high-density area appears below the Figure, indicating that CH₄ molecules spend longer periods in the nanobubbles than near organic molecules (Fig. 6(a)–(c) and (e)). In the MO_{Pentene} and MO_{Phenylpropane} systems, a high-density area approaches the median line, which is related to the number of CH₄ molecules near the organic molecules (Figs. 6(d)–(e) and S11(a)–(g)). Notably, in the MO_{Propanol} system, the density in the red circle is larger than that in other systems, indicating that a




Fig. 6. The time distribution of CH₄ molecules (which form hydrate cages at 1.0 μs) in the nanobubble and near organic molecules during the simulation period of 0–1.0 μs in the six systems i.e., (a) MO_{Butyric-acid}, (b) MO_{Propylamine}, (c) MO_{Propanol}, (d) MO_{Pentene}, (e) MO_{Butane} and (f) MO_{Phenylpropane}.

greater number of CH₄ molecules in the nanobubbles transition into the hydrate. This trend underscores that propanol molecules adsorbed to the CH₄ nanobubble surface promote CH₄ hydrate nucleation, exhibiting a distinct advantage over other organic molecules.

The F_4 order parameters and hydrate formation rates for the seven systems are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b). The hydrate formation rate, which quantifies the number of hydrate cages generated per microsecond, offers a reliable metric for evaluating the effect of different organic molecules on the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrate. At the end of the simulation, the F_4 and the number of cages of the MO_{Propanol} system are the highest, followed by the MO_{Butane} and MO_{No-organic} systems (Figs. 7(a) and S22). Additionally, the hydrate formation rates for these three systems are 55, 56, and 42, respectively (Fig. 7(b)). Propanol is known to be a thermodynamic inhibitor of hydrate formation. However, our observations show that propanol can kinetically promote the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrates in oceanic sediments. These observations indicate that propanol and butane molecules kinetically promote the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrate

from organoclay salt solutions. However, propanol and butane molecules employ different mechanisms to enhance CH₄ hydrate nucleation. For propanol molecules, their promoting ability surpasses their inhibiting ability. Specifically, although hydrophilic propanol molecules inhibit the spontaneous nucleation of CH₄ hydrate by forming hydrogen bonds with H₂O molecules, propanol molecules strongly bind surrounding H₂O molecules and repel CH₄ molecules in the early stages of the simulation, increasing the local CH₄ concentration and promoting hydrate formation (Fig. 2(c)). Moreover, propanol molecules can suppress the fluctuations of surrounding ions and delay the nanobubble transition from spherical to cylindrical in shape (Figs. 2(c) and 4(a)). Not only that, propanol molecules facilitate the transfer of CH₄ molecules from the nanobubbles into the hydrates (Fig. 6(c)). In contrast, butane molecules display miscibility with CH₄ molecules, and can serve as guest molecules to form butane hydrates (Figs. S6(d), S23 and S24(a)–(b)). Cage-like structures around the butane molecules adsorbed on the nanobubble surface (Fig. S24(c)). This suggests that the spontaneous nucleation of butane/CH₄ mixed hydrates is more accessible than that of pure CH₄ hydrate. The F_4 values and




Fig. 7. Evolution of (a) F_4 order parameter for water molecules in seven systems. (b) Hydrate formation rate and crystallinity over the last 0.1 μs in seven systems i.e., MO_{No-organic}, MO_{Butyric-acid}, MO_{Propylamine}, MO_{Propanol}, MO_{Pentene}, MO_{Butane}, and MO_{Phenylpropane}.

hydrate formation rates in the $\text{MO}_{\text{Butyric-acid}}$, $\text{MO}_{\text{Propylamine}}$, and $\text{MO}_{\text{Phenylpropane}}$ systems are marginally lower than those in the $\text{MO}_{\text{No-organic}}$ system (Fig. 7(a) and (b)), indicating that butyric-acid, propylamine and phenylpropane molecules exhibit a slight inhibitory effect on spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrates from organoclay salt solutions. This may be due to the strong interaction between butyric-acid and propylamine molecules and H_2O molecules in the solution inhibiting the spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrates. The adsorption of CH_4 nanobubbles by the benzene ring in the phenylpropane molecule inhibits the transition of CH_4 molecules from nanobubbles to hydrates (Fig. S6(f) and S10(g)), in line with previous MD studies (Fengyi et al., 2023). In particular, the F_4 value of the $\text{MO}_{\text{Pentene}}$ system is much lower than that of the other systems, and the hydrate formation rate of the $\text{MO}_{\text{Pentene}}$ system is only around 35, indicating that the pentene molecule exhibits a strong inhibitory ability to spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrates (Fig. 7(a) and (b)). Pentene molecules cannot act as guest molecules for hydrates and are miscible with CH_4 molecules (Fig. S6(d)), which provides a new perspective for the development of new hydrate inhibitors. Interestingly, although 5^{12} and $5^{12}6^2$ cages constitute the majority of hydrate structures (Fig. S25(a)–(g)), organic molecules do not significantly reduce the crystallinity, and may even enhance it (Figs. 7(b) and S26). This may be related to the stochastic nature of the spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrate and the arrangement of organic molecules to surrounding H_2O molecules. For propanol molecules, their promoting ability for hydrate formation surpasses their inhibiting ability, which can be explained by a “balanced interaction” mechanism. A key distinction lies in the different hydrophilic functional groups of these organic molecules: the carboxyl group ($-\text{COOH}$) of butyric acid and the amino group ($-\text{NH}_2$) of propylamine have more hydrogen bond donors and hydrogen bond acceptors than the hydroxyl group ($-\text{OH}$) of propanol. Consequently, butyric acid and propylamine could interact more strongly with their surrounding water molecules by forming more hydrogen bonds, and make these water molecules have configurations incompatible with clathrate cages, thus kinetically hindering their reorientation required for hydrate nucleation. This explains their slight inhibitory effect seen in Fig. 7(a).

Furthermore, the slight inhibitory effects observed for butyric acid and propylamine are in excellent agreement with some experimental studies on amino acids and carboxylic acids as kinetic hydrate inhibitors (KHIs) (Bavoh et al., 2019). These molecules are known to delay nucleation, and our simulations attribute this to their strong, rigid hydrogen bonding with water, which kinetically hinders the formation of clathrate cage structures. The observation that clay surfaces and organic molecules can work in concert to influence nucleation kinetics aligns well with recent experimental results on the synergistic promotion by clay-organic systems (Liu et al., 2023a; Zhao et al., 2021), for which we now provide a foundational molecular mechanism.

3.4. The dual role of propanol as a kinetic promoter and thermodynamic inhibitor

A key finding of this study is the pronounced kinetic promotion of CH_4 hydrate nucleation by propanol, which warrants a deeper mechanistic explanation, especially given its established role as a thermodynamic inhibitor. This apparent contradiction is resolved by distinguishing its bulk effects from its localized kinetic role at the interface of nanobubbles, which is the primary site for hydrate nucleation. In the bulk aqueous phase, propanol competes with water–water hydrogen bonding, disrupting the water structure required for the highly ordered clathrate lattice. This bulk effect is the basis of its function as a thermodynamic inhibitor. However,

this simulation study reveals that the crucial promoting effect occurs not in the bulk solution but at the interface of CH_4 –water, where propanol molecules preferentially adsorb. At this specific location, their ‘balanced’ hydrogen bonding does not simply disrupt; it pre-organizes the interfacial water molecules into a state structurally more amenable to forming clathrate cages. Propanol may effectively act as a template or a heterogeneous nucleation site, facilitating the formation of cage-like water motifs around the guest CH_4 molecules. This templating effect lowers the kinetic activation energy barrier for forming a critical hydrate nucleus. The process of hydrate nucleation is governed by the specific molecular events at the most favorable site, not by the average properties of the bulk solution. Therefore, the competitive balance between inhibition and promotion of propanol is dictated at the interface. While the inhibitory effect of propanol is a diffuse, bulk thermodynamic property, its promotional effect is a potent, localized kinetic phenomenon concentrated exactly where nucleation begins. At the nucleation stage of CH_4 hydrate, this promoting effect dominates the process, accelerating the hydrate formation rate.

4. Conclusions

Microsecond MD simulations are performed to investigate the spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrates in oceanic sediments, to reveal the effects of representative small organic molecules (such as butane, propanol, phenylpropane, pentene, butyric-acid, and propylamine). The simulation results indicate that the spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrates is influenced by a coupling of organic molecules, clay surfaces and salt ions, where organic molecules alter the spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrates by modulating the diffusion fluctuations of CH_4 molecule via controlling the shape and size of CH_4 nanobubbles. Organic molecules may promote the shape transformation of CH_4 nanobubbles from spherical to cylindrical. CH_4 molecules have experienced two large diffusion fluctuations in the organoclay salt solution, i.e., the formation and shape transformation of CH_4 nanobubbles from spherical to cylindrical. All organic molecules are mainly distributed inside or on the surface of CH_4 nanobubbles to modulate the diffusion fluctuation of CH_4 molecules. Furthermore, the probability distributions of the distance between hydrates and nanobubbles conform to a normal distribution, i.e., CH_4 hydrates are primarily concentrated at a moderate distance away from the nanobubbles, with a lesser number of hydrates located either close or at a more distant remove from the nanobubbles. On the other hand, in the region 1.0 nm away from the CH_4 nanobubbles, the closer the CH_4 hydrate is to the nanobubbles, the more unstable it is; in the region higher from 1.0 nm away from the CH_4 nanobubbles, CH_4 hydrates are not easily dissociated and show high stability.

Different organic molecules exert distinct effects on the spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrates. Specifically, propanol molecules adsorbed to the CH_4 nanobubble surface kinetically promote CH_4 hydrate nucleation, exhibiting a distinct advantage over other organic molecules; the spontaneous nucleation of butane/ CH_4 mixed hydrate is more accessible than that of pure CH_4 hydrate; butyric-acid, propylamine, phenylpropane and pentene molecules exhibit an inhibitory effect on spontaneous nucleation of CH_4 hydrates from organoclay salt solutions. These molecular insights can help to understand the formation of natural gas hydrate resources and effectively utilize this resource. This preliminary study into the microscopic mechanism of the effect of organic molecules on spontaneous hydrate nucleation can help to understand the formation of natural gas hydrate resources, and will catalyze more inventive research, particularly with regard to CH_4 hydrate

exploitation, hydrate-based CO₂ sequestration and seawater desalination.

The results and mechanisms presented herein are derived from MD simulations using an idealized slit nanopore model with a single mineral type (montmorillonite) and representative small organic molecules. Natural marine sediments are vastly more complex, featuring a wide distribution of pore sizes and heterogeneous architectures, diverse mineralogies, and a complex mixture of organic matter. The value of this study does not lie in providing a comprehensive field-scale prediction, but in elucidating the fundamental, molecular-level mechanisms (such as the role of nanobubble morphology and the dual kinetic/thermodynamic nature of inhibitors) that form the essential foundation of these larger, more complex geological processes. These molecular insights provide a basis for the phenomena observed at the macroscale and can help guide the development of future multi-scale models.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Feng-Yi Mi: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. **Zhong-Jin He:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. **Jiang-Tao Pang:** Writing – review & editing. **Othonas A. Moulτος:** Writing – review & editing, Resources. **Thijs J.H. Vlught:** Writing – review & editing, Resources. **Guo-Sheng Jiang:** Writing – review & editing. **Fu-Long Ning:** Writing – review & editing, Resources, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 42376202, 41976203), National Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (42225207), Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province (2021CFA024), and China Scholarship Council (CSC202306410133).

Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2026.02.012>.

References

- Abascal, J.L., Sanz, E., Garcia Fernandez, R., et al., 2005. A potential model for the study of ices and amorphous water: TIP4P/Ice. *J. Chem. Phys.* 122, 234511. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1931662>.
- Bavoh, C.B., Lal, B., Osei, H., et al., 2019. A review on the role of amino acids in gas hydrate inhibition, CO₂ capture and sequestration, and natural gas storage. *J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng.* 64, 52–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2019.01.020>.
- Berendsen, H.J.C., Postma, J.P.M., Vangunsteren, W.F., et al., 1984. Molecular-dynamics with coupling to an external Bath. *J. Chem. Phys.* 81, 3684–3690. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.448118>.
- Boswell, R., 2009. Engineering. Is gas hydrate energy within reach? *Science* 325, 957–958. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1175074>.
- Bussi, G., Donadio, D., Parrinello, M., 2007. Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. *J. Chem. Phys.* 126, 014101. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2408420>.
- Chen, Y., Takeya, S., Sum, A.K., 2023. Topological dual and extended relations between networks of clathrate hydrates and Frank-Kasper phases. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 596. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36242-4>.
- Collett, T.S., Lee, M.W., Agena, W.F., et al., 2011. Permafrost-associated natural gas hydrate occurrences on the Alaska North Slope. *Mar. Petrol. Geol.* 28, 279–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2009.12.001>.
- Cygan, R.T., Liang, J.J., Kalinichev, A.G., 2004. Molecular models of hydroxide, oxyhydroxide, and clay phases and the development of a general force field. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 108, 1255–1266. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0363287>.
- Darden, T., York, D., Pedersen, L., 1993. Particle mesh Ewald: an N-log(N) method for Ewald sums in large systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* 98, 10089–10092. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.464397>.
- Downs, R.T., Hall-Wallace, M., 2003. The crystal structure database. *Am. Mineral.* 88, 247–250.
- Fang, B., Lü, T., Li, W., et al., 2024. Microscopic insights into poly-and mono-crystalline methane hydrate dissociation in Na-montmorillonite pores at static and dynamic fluid conditions. *Energy* 288, 129755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2023.129755>.
- Fengyi, M., Zhongjin, H., Guosheng, J., et al., 2023. Molecular insights into the effects of lignin on methane hydrate formation in clay nanopores. *Energy* 276, 127496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2023.127496>.
- Haq, B.U., 1999. Natural gas deposits – methane in the deep blue sea. *Science* 285, 543–544. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.285.5427.543>.
- He, Z., Ning, F., Mi, F., et al., 2022. Molecular dynamics study on the spontaneous adsorption of aromatic carboxylic acids to methane hydrate surfaces: implications for hydrate antiagglomeration. *Energy Fuels* 36, 3628–3639. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.2c00347>.
- Hess, B., Kutzner, C., van der Spoel, D., et al., 2008. GROMACS 4: algorithms for highly efficient, load-balanced, and scalable molecular simulation. *J. Chem. Theor. Comput.* 4, 435–447. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct700301q>.
- Huang, X., Zhu, Y.-J., Wang, X.-H., et al., 2024. The development of high-performance kinetic hydrate inhibitors by introducing N-vinyl caprolactam and vinyl ether homopolymers into PVCap. *Pet. Sci.* 21, 4454–4463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2024.09.001>.
- Ji, H., Chen, D., Zhao, C., et al., 2018. Molecular dynamics simulation of methane hydrate formation and dissociation in the clay pores with fatty acids. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 122, 1318–1325. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b08808>.
- Ji, H.Q., Wu, G.Z., Zi, M.C., et al., 2016. Microsecond molecular dynamics simulation of methane hydrate formation in humic-acid-amended sodium montmorillonite. *Energy Fuels* 30, 7206–7213. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b01544>.
- Jia, Y.X., Zhao, Y., Li, M., et al., 2022. Biodegradable organics as a multisystem-compatible low-dose green kinetic hydrate inhibitor. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 10, 11320–11329. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c03342>.
- Jorgensen, W.L., Madura, J.D., Swenson, C.J., 1984. Optimized intermolecular potential functions for liquid hydrocarbons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 106, 6638–6646. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00334a030>.
- Jorgensen, W.L., Maxwell, D.S., TiradoRives, J., 1996. Development and testing of the OPLS all-atom force field on conformational energetics and properties of organic liquids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 118, 11225–11236. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja9621760>.
- Kelleher, B.P., Simpson, A.J., Rogers, R.E., et al., 2007. Effects of natural organic matter from sediments on the growth of marine gas hydrates. *Mar. Chem.* 103, 237–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2006.09.002>.
- Koh, C.A., 2002. Towards a fundamental understanding of natural gas hydrates. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 31, 157–167. <https://doi.org/10.1039/b008672j>.
- Konno, Y., Fujii, T., Sato, A., et al., 2017. Key findings of the world's first offshore methane hydrate production test off the Coast of Japan: toward future commercial production. *Energy Fuels* 31, 2607–2616. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b03143>.
- Kvenvolden, K.A., 1993. Gas hydrates – geological perspective and global change. *Rev. Geophys.* 31, 173–187. <https://doi.org/10.1029/93rg00268>.
- Kvenvolden, K.A., 1995. A review of the geochemistry of methane in natural gas hydrate. *Org. Geochem.* 23, 997–1008. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380\(96\)00002-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(96)00002-2).
- Kyung, D., Lim, H.-K., Kim, H., et al., 2015. CO₂ hydrate nucleation kinetics enhanced by an organo-mineral complex formed at the montmorillonite-water interface. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 1197–1205. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es504450x>.
- Lamarena, R.B., Kyung, D., Lee, W., 2011. Effect of organic matters on CO₂ hydrate formation in Ullung basin sediment suspensions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 6196–6203. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es201261y>.
- Li, J., Ye, J., Qin, X., et al., 2018. The first offshore natural gas hydrate production test in South China Sea. *China Geol.* 1, 5–16. <https://doi.org/10.31035/cg2018003>.
- Li, X.S., Xu, C.G., Zhang, Y., et al., 2016. Investigation into gas production from natural gas hydrate: a review. *Appl. Energy* 172, 286–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.03.101>.
- Li, Y., Chen, M., Tang, H., et al., 2022. Insights into carbon dioxide hydrate nucleation on the external basal surface of clay minerals from molecular dynamics simulations. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 10, 6358–6369. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c01046>.
- Liao, B., Wang, J.T., Sun, J.S., et al., 2023. Microscopic insights into synergism effect of different hydrate inhibitors on methane hydrate formation: experiments and molecular dynamics simulations. *Fuel* 340, 127488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2023.127488>.
- Liu, H., Shi, C., Wang, S., et al., 2023a. Clay nanoflakes and organic molecules synergistically promoting CO₂ hydrate formation. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 641, 812–819. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2023.03.118>.

- Liu, H.Q., Shi, C.R., Chen, Z.R., et al., 2023b. Turning gas hydrate nucleation with oxygen-containing groups on size- selected graphene oxide flakes. *J. Energy Chem.* 87, 351–358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2023.08.029>.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, L., Yang, L., et al., 2021a. Behaviors of CO₂ hydrate formation in the presence of acid-dissolvable organic matters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 55, 6206–6213. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06407>.
- Liu, Y.Z., Feng, Y., Zhang, L.X., et al., 2021b. Effects of protein macromolecules and metabolic small molecules on kinetics of methane hydrate formation in marine clay. *Chem. Eng. J.* 412, 128496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.128496>.
- Liu, Y.Z., Feng, Y., Zhao, Y., et al., 2021c. Self-organized colloids thermodynamically weaken the effect of salt on methane hydrate formation. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 9, 11323–11330. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c02179>.
- Liu, Z., Zheng, J.J., Wang, Z.Y., et al., 2023c. Effect of clay on methane hydrate formation and dissociation in sediment: implications for energy recovery from clayey-sandy hydrate reservoirs. *Appl. Energy* 341, 121064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2023.121064>.
- Makogon, Y.F., Holditch, S.A., Makogon, T.Y., 2007. Natural gas-hydrates - a potential energy source for the 21st century. *J. Petrol. Sci. Eng.* 56, 14–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2005.10.009>.
- Mi, F., He, Z., Fang, B., et al., 2022a. Molecular insights into the effects of surface property and pore size of non-swelling clay on methane hydrate formation. *Fuel* 311, 122607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.122607>.
- Mi, F., He, Z., Jiang, G., et al., 2022b. Effects of marine environments on methane hydrate formation in clay nanopores: a molecular dynamics study. *Sci. Total Environ.* 852, 158454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158454>.
- Mi, F., He, Z., Jiang, G., et al., 2024a. Effect of glucose on CH₄ hydrate formation in clay nanopores and bulk solution: insights from microsecond molecular dynamics simulations. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 12, 4644–4654. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c08280>.
- Mi, F., He, Z., Jiang, G., et al., 2024b. What roles do interlayer cations (K⁺) and salt ions (Na⁺ and Cl[−]) play in methane hydrate formation in illite nanopore? *Appl. Clay Sci.* 256, 107428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2024.107428>.
- Mi, F., He, Z., Ning, F., 2025a. Molecular dynamics simulation on CO₂ hydrate growth and CH₄-CO₂ replacement in various clay nanopores. *Energy* 314, 134282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2024.134282>.
- Mi, F., He, Z., Ning, F., 2025b. Molecular insight on CO₂/C₃H₈ mixed hydrate formation from the brine for sustainable hydrate-based desalination. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 353, 128244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2024.128244>.
- Mi, F., He, Z., Pang, J., et al., 2024c. Molecular insights into hybrid CH₄ physisorption-hydrate formation in spiral halloysite nanotubes: implications for energy storage. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 16, 67587–67596. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsam.4c11288>.
- Mi, F., He, Z., Zhao, Y., et al., 2022c. Effects of surface property of mixed clays on methane hydrate formation in nanopores: a molecular dynamics study. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 627, 681–691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2022.07.101>.
- Mi, F., Li, W., Pang, J., et al., 2024d. Molecular insights into the microscopic behavior of CO₂ hydrates in Oceanic sediments: implications for carbon sequestration. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 128, 18588–18597. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.4c05413>.
- Mi, F.Y., He, Z.J., Cheng, L.W., et al., 2023. Molecular dynamics simulation on methane hydrate formation in clay nanopores of edge surfaces. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 243, 107069. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2023.107069>.
- Milkov, A.V., 2004. Global estimates of hydrate-bound gas in marine sediments: how much is really out there? *Earth Sci. Rev.* 66, 183–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2003.11.002>.
- Ning, F., Yu, Y., Kjelstrup, S., et al., 2012. Mechanical properties of clathrate hydrates: status and perspectives. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 5, 6779–6795. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee03435b>.
- Nosé, S., 1984. A molecular-dynamics method for simulations in the canonical ensemble. *Mol. Phys.* 52, 255–268. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00268978400101201>.
- Park, T., Kyung, D., Lee, W., 2014. Effect of organic matter on CO₂ hydrate phase equilibrium in phyllosilicate suspensions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 6597–6603. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es405099z>.
- Parrinello, M., Rahman, A., 1980. Crystal-structure and pair potentials - a molecular-dynamics study. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 45, 1196–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.45.1196>.
- Qin, Y., Shang, L.Y., Lv, Z.B., et al., 2022. Methane hydrate formation in porous media: overview and perspectives. *J. Energy Chem.* 74, 454–480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2022.07.019>.
- Ren, J., Liu, X., Niu, M., et al., 2022. Effect of sodium montmorillonite clay on the kinetics of CH₄ hydrate - implication for energy recovery. *Chem. Eng. J.* 437, 135368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.135368>.
- Ren, J.J., Zeng, S.Y., Chen, D.Y., et al., 2023. Roles of montmorillonite clay on the kinetics and morphology of CO₂ hydrate in hydrate-based CO₂ sequestration. *Appl. Energy* 340, 120997. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2023.120997>.
- Saito, H., Suzuki, N., 2007. Terrestrial organic matter controlling gas hydrate formation in the Nankai trough accretionary prism, offshore Shikoku, Japan. *J. Geochem. Explor.* 95, 88–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2007.05.007>.
- Sloan Jr., E.D., 2003. Fundamental principles and applications of natural gas hydrates. *Nature* 426, 353–363. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02135>.
- Sloan ED, K.C., 2008. *Clathrate Hydrates of Natural Gases*, third ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Wallmann, K., Aloisi, G., Haeckel, M., et al., 2006. Kinetics of organic matter degradation, microbial methane generation, and gas hydrate formation in anoxic marine sediments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 70, 3905–3927. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.06.003>.
- Walsh, M.R., Koh, C.A., Sloan, E.D., et al., 2009. Microsecond simulations of spontaneous methane hydrate nucleation and growth. *Science* 326, 1095–1098. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1174010>.
- Wang, R., Liu, T.L., Ning, F.L., et al., 2019. Effect of hydrophilic silica nanoparticles on hydrate formation: insight from the experimental study. *J. Energy Chem.* 30, 90–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2018.02.021>.
- Wang, X., Yuan, Y., Du, Z., et al., 2025. Study on the effect of clay minerals on phase transition of methane hydrate in sand sediments: kinetic behavior and microstructural observation. *Pet. Sci.* 22, 3029–3041. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2025.03.047>.
- Xu, P., Lang, X.M., Fan, S.S., et al., 2016. Molecular dynamics simulation of methane hydrate growth in the presence of the natural product pectin. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 120, 5392–5397. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b10342>.
- Yan, K.-F., Zhao, J.-Y., Chen, H., et al., 2023. Exploring hydration mechanism of salt ions on the methane hydrate formation: insights from experiments, QM calculations and MD simulations. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 276, 118829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2023.118829>.
- Yun, S., Jo, I., Go, W., et al., 2023. Water-soluble cellulose as a new class of green CH₄ hydrate inhibitors: insights from experiments and molecular dynamics simulations. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 11, 6153–6162. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c06242>.
- Zeng, H., Wilson, L.D., Walker, V.K., et al., 2006. Effect of antifreeze proteins on the nucleation, growth, and the memory effect during tetrahydrofuran clathrate hydrate formation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128, 2844–2850. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0548182>.
- Zhang, C.L., Pancost, R.D., Sassen, R., et al., 2003. Archaeal lipid biomarkers and isotopic evidence of anaerobic methane oxidation associated with gas hydrates in the Gulf of Mexico. *Org. Geochem.* 34, 827–836. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380\(03\)00003-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380(03)00003-2).
- Zhang, J., Fu, H.-Q., Guo, M.-Z., et al., 2024. New insights into the deposition of natural gas hydrate on pipeline surfaces: a molecular dynamics simulation study. *Pet. Sci.* 21, 694–704. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2023.08.027>.
- Zhang, Z., Kuslik, P.G., Wu, N., et al., 2022. Molecular insights into the impacts of calcite nanoparticles on methane hydrate formation. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 10, 11597–11605. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c03428>.
- Zhang, Z., Liu, L.L., Lu, W.J., et al., 2023. Permeability of hydrate-bearing fine-grained sediments: research status, challenges and perspectives. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 244, 104517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104517>.
- Zhao, J., Liu, Y., Yang, L., et al., 2021. Organics-coated nanoclays further promote hydrate formation kinetics. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 12, 3464–3467. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c00010>.
- Zhu, Y.-J., Yang, X.-M., Huang, X., et al., 2024. Acoustic characterization of hydrate formation and decomposition in clay-bearing sediments. *Pet. Sci.* 21, 2830–2838. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2024.06.008>.