

Catalytic Oxidative Coupling of Methane Heterogeneous or Homogeneous Reaction?

Hu, Lingjun; Pinto, Donato; Urakawa, Atsushi

DOI

[10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c02088](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c02088)

Publication date

2023

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering

Citation (APA)

Hu, L., Pinto, D., & Urakawa, A. (2023). Catalytic Oxidative Coupling of Methane: Heterogeneous or Homogeneous Reaction? *ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering*, 11(29), 10835-10844. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c02088>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Catalytic Oxidative Coupling of Methane: Heterogeneous or Homogeneous Reaction?

Lingjun Hu, Donato Pinto, and Atsushi Urakawa*

Cite This: *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 2023, 11, 10835–10844

Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Direct valorization of methane via oxidative coupling of methane (OCM) is an encouraging alternative to conventional oil-based processes for the production of light hydrocarbons (ethane and ethylene). Abundant, inexpensive simple oxides such as MgO and La₂O₃ possess the ability to selectively activate methane. However, during OCM, the selective conversion to ethane and the following dehydrogenation to ethylene are threatened by the thermodynamically favored partial and total oxidation reactions to form CO and CO₂, respectively. With the aid of spatially resolved operando analysis of temperature and gas concentration along the catalytic bed, we demonstrate the relevance of highly exothermic reaction paths developed in the gas phase, i.e., the homogeneous reaction, during OCM conditions at the front of the catalytic bed, largely determining the total C₂ yield obtained on those systems. With the new insights provided by the analysis of temperature and concentration gradients along the bed, we redefine the positive effect of promoters (Li, Sr), which enhance the influence of catalyst surfaces. The effect of promoters is recognized in the suppression of the exothermic oxidation paths leading to undesired CO_x, thus limiting the formation of hotspots and driving the reaction toward the desired C₂ products.

KEYWORDS: oxidative coupling of methane, heterogeneous catalysis, operando spatial analysis, La₂O₃, MgO




INTRODUCTION

Methane, the most abundant hydrocarbon in natural gas, is a potential candidate for the transition toward an oil-free chemical industry. Despite its abundance, natural gas reservoirs are mainly located in remote regions and its price is still subject to multiple variables, including transportation infrastructure and political stability of the producing countries. In addition, until today, natural gas utilization has been limited to the generation of heat or a power source, contributing to global greenhouse gas emissions.¹ However, alternative and more valuable uses of methane are possible since it possesses a high potential to substitute oil in the synthesis of fundamental chemical commodities. Already industrially exploited is the production of hydrogen and syngas (CO + H₂) by steam reforming. Syngas can be further processed to target valuable products such as methanol or higher hydrocarbons through the Fischer–Tropsch process;² however, such multistep processes require higher investments to cope with the cost of transportation and storage.

Substantially more appealing is the opportunity to directly convert methane to added-value chemicals. Proposed strategies envisage the one-step conversion of methane to ethylene, methanol, formaldehyde, halogenated compounds, and aromatics.^{3–6} Among these, the direct conversion of methane to ethylene is one of the most promising solutions, considering the market size of ethylene and its easier transportability in the liquid form. Also, this strategy would help speed up the

decarbonization of the chemical industry. In fact, naphtha and ethane steam cracking are currently the main strategies for ethylene production. Energy demands of these processes are very high, resulting in high CO₂ emissions, up to 1.8–2 ton CO₂ per ton ethylene when naphtha is employed as the source.⁷ The main challenge for the selective activation of methane is represented by the high energy required to break the first C–H bond of the molecule (439 kJ mol^{−1})⁸ and initiate the reaction. The use of oxidants can help overcome the thermodynamic limitations linked to the activation of the stable C–H bonds in the methane molecule, as in the case of oxidative coupling of methane (OCM).⁹

The following reaction (Reaction 1) has attracted a lot of scientific interest with the promise of the one-step valorization of methane to ethylene.^{10,11}




It is commonly agreed that the reaction proceeds via formation of methyl radicals, usually requiring high temperatures of

Received: April 8, 2023

Revised: June 27, 2023

Published: July 14, 2023



operation to be generated (600–800 °C).^{4,12} Such radical species couple in the gas phase to form ethane, which is finally dehydrogenated to ethylene. The oxidative coupling of methane takes place in a complex reaction network where oxygen and highly reactive radical species, formed at high temperatures, are simultaneously present in the reactor.¹³ From a thermodynamic point of view, partial and total oxidation products (CO_x) formation is favored in these conditions, limiting the total C₂ yield. In principle, heterogeneous catalysts can be utilized to kinetically drive the reaction toward the desired products, limiting the unselective routes. However, the minimum C₂ yield of 25–30%, estimated as a threshold to develop an industrially competitive ethylene production via OCM, has been only rarely approached.¹⁴

At the same time, complex catalyst formulations are frequently targeted to address higher catalytic performances, increasing the variables influencing the reaction network and mechanisms.¹⁵ As a result, this complexity threatens the fundamental understanding of factors influencing OCM performances. A first step toward understanding the complexity of the OCM reaction network is to isolate the role of the catalyst in selectively activating CH₄ conversion. To do so, simple metal oxides represent model systems, which conveniently reduce the variables arising from complex catalytic systems. While providing high thermal and structural stability, such materials serve as oxidative templates on which CH₄ can be effectively activated.

Frequently employed along with promoters to enhance the catalytic properties, MgO and La₂O₃ possess a remarkable activity toward coupling products in OCM conditions. It is recognized that they contribute to the OCM reaction path, activating the methane molecule on their surface by abstraction of a hydrogen atom and generating a methyl radical.^{16,17} The addition of alkali (Li) and alkaline-earth (Sr, Ba) metals as promoters is known to significantly enhance the OCM activity of simple metal oxides in terms of C₂ selectivity.^{18,19} Literature reports on their effect on catalytic activity are abundant.^{20,21} In general, it is agreed to correlate the positive trend of C₂ selectivity with properties such as the increased basicity and the increased lattice oxygen diffusivity introduced by the promoters.^{22–25}

However, the fundamental role of simple oxides in promoting the selective conversion of methane into C₂ products is still under question. In OCM reaction conditions, the nature of the interaction of the metal oxides with CH₄ is masked by the presence of gaseous oxygen and the rise of exothermic reaction paths. Isolating the intrinsic activity of MgO and La₂O₃ toward CH₄ activation, in the absence of gaseous oxygen, can help elucidate the catalytic role of these oxides under OCM conditions.²⁶

Great research efforts have been put into the definition of the reactions involved in the catalytic OCM, with the aim of deriving kinetic data and depicting a reaction mechanism. Nonetheless, individuating the fundamental properties governing the selectivity toward C₂ products remains challenging. The accuracy of the proposed kinetic models is threatened by the harsh conditions of OCM, which involve a complex chemical environment with a mixture of radical species, strong oxidants, and high temperatures of operation.^{27,28} As for similar processes involving CH₄/O₂ mixtures, highly exothermic reactions are activated in an OCM reactor, resulting in the formation of hotspots.^{13,29} This unavoidably leads to temper-

ature and concentration gradients along the reactor bed, complicating the identification of the fundamental catalyst role and the individuation of the active sites that guarantee the selective conversion of methane.^{30,31} These observations directly question the conventional approaches for catalytic studies, based on the mere interpretation of the reactor outlet composition and frequently ignoring the presence of temperature gradients. Instead, considering the influence of reaction temperature on the activation of methane³² and oxygen,³³ it appears necessary to investigate the temperature and concentration profiles along the reactor bed in order to derive realistic insights into the OCM reaction.

In this work, we investigate the catalytic OCM by means of temperature and species concentration data spatially resolved along the reactor. Simple metal oxides (MgO, La₂O₃) are employed as basic catalytic systems, evaluating their intrinsic activity toward the selective activation of methane and their role played in OCM conditions. The contribution of the catalyst is discussed in light of the unique information about the temperature and concentration gradients developed in the reactor bed. With the same approach, the catalytic effect of promoters (Li-promoted MgO, Sr-promoted La₂O₃) in the OCM reaction is also investigated in light of the new insights derived from spatially resolved analysis.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Catalyst Preparation. MgO (≥99% trace-metal basis, Sigma-Aldrich) and La₂O₃ (99.99%, Alfa Aesar) were used as simple metal oxide catalysts without any further treatment. The doped catalysts were prepared via a direct solid mixing method. The simple metal oxides and corresponding dopant metal carbonate salts (SrCO₃ for La₂O₃ and Li₂CO₃ for MgO) were mixed in an agate mortar with a certain amount of deionized water (1 mL of water per gram metal oxide). After that, the slurry was dried in an oven at 80 °C for 12 h and then calcined in a furnace at 800 °C for 4 h.

Catalyst Characterization. Power X-ray diffraction (PXRD) was performed on a D8 Advanced powder diffractometer (Bruker), with a germanium monochromator for Cu Kα1 radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$), at a scan step of 0.02°/s from 10 to 80°.

Catalytic Testing. 200 mg of catalyst material (pelletized, crushed, and sieved to the size range of 300–400 μm) was loaded into a quartz tube reactor, fixed between quartz wool layers. La₂O₃ was pretreated in O₂ (50 vol % in He, 50 mL/min) at 700 °C for 30 min before the reaction, considering the high tendency of La₂O₃ to form hydroxides upon exposure to moisture in air (see Figure S1 and Supporting Information S3).³⁴

The reaction setup is illustrated in Scheme S1 (Supporting Information S1). It consists of three parts: gas controlling, reactor part, and gas analysis. For the first part, four different gases (He, O₂, N₂, CH₄) are connected to a system of two 4-way switching valves controlled by software. By changing the position of two 4-way switching valves, two modes of operation are available: steady-state operation and unsteady-state operation. For steady-state operation, a reactant mixture of CH₄ (40 vol %), O₂ (10 vol %), N₂ (13 vol %), and He (rest, 37 vol %) with a total flow rate of 80 mL/min was introduced into the reactor. The temperature of the catalytic bed was controlled by a Watlow heating control with a thermocouple inserted in the reactor and positioned at the end of the bed. The composition of the exhaust gas was analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, Agilent 7890B, equipped with two FID and one TCD) after passing through a small water condenser cooled by water circulation. For the experiment studying the effect of H₂, 1.5 vol % H₂ was added into the feed and the N₂ volume portion was reduced to 11.5%. For the unsteady-state catalytic reaction, alternation of the gaseous mixtures (CH₄, He, and 25 vol % O₂ in He) was implemented by a system of two 4-way switching valves controlled by software. The gas introduced into the reactor follows the following sequence: 25 vol % O₂ in He–pure He–

pure CH₄–pure He, with the flow rate of 50 mL/min for each gas. Multiple cycles were conducted for each catalyst. The exhaust gas was analyzed by a combination of Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Bruker α) and mass spectrometry (Omnistar Pfeiffer Vacuum), and the presented results were the average of multiple stable cycles.

Spatial Analysis and Visual Inspection. For the temperature and catalyst bed visualization, the reactor furnace cover was modified by opening a ca. 3 cm $\times$ 1 cm window and the window was covered with a glass plate to avoid heat loss. A USB digital microscope (800–1000 $\times$ magnification) was used to visually observe color changes of the catalyst bed and particles. An infrared camera (Micro-SWIR 320CSX camera, Sensors Unlimited) was used to take infrared (IR) radiation images that were converted to variations of temperature upon calibration. Details of space-resolved gas sampling and temperature measurements are provided in the [Supporting Information](#).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Unsteady-State Oxidative Coupling of CH₄. The intrinsic activity of MgO and La₂O₃ toward CH₄ activation was investigated by an unsteady-state catalytic reaction performed at different temperatures by alternation of O₂ (25 vol % in He) and pure CH₄ pulses separated by a He purge.

A temperature of 900 °C was necessary to observe C₂ products, while CO and H₂ production could already be detected at 700 °C. [Figure 1](#) reports the product distribution obtained during exposure of La₂O₃ and MgO to the CH₄ pulse at 900 °C. In the absence of gaseous oxygen, the total oxidation of CH₄ to CO₂ was strongly suppressed, as indicated by the absence of the CO₂ signal below the detection limit (order of 100 ppm). At the same time, both catalysts were able

to supply oxygen species from the lattice for the activation of CH₄. This finding indicates a high selectivity of lattice oxygen as an oxidant, in accordance with other transient experiments.^{35,36}

MgO presents an intrinsic ability to activate methane and promote its dehydrogenation reactions, while participation of lattice oxygen species to the partial oxidation of CH₄ to CO takes place at a relatively slow rate compared to rare-earth metal oxides. Constant H₂ production, extensive coking, and formation of C₂H₄ are products of dehydrogenation reactions involving CH₄ and C₂H₆.

The ability of MgO to provide lattice oxygen for reactions was already demonstrated by isotopic transient kinetics studies, which revealed oxygen exchange from the surface layers of MgO.³⁷ This limited reducibility, probably circumscribed to the surface of the oxides, is a key property to balance between CH₄ activation and its selective oxidation. The present results show that MgO possesses a unique intrinsic selectivity toward coupling products ([Figure 1B](#)). This superiority is often correlated to the high basicity of alkaline-earth metal oxides.^{23,38} In contrast, La₂O₃ manifested high initial activity toward the partial oxidation of methane, as indicated by the matching peaks of CO and H₂ produced in the CH₄ pulse ([Figure 1A](#)). La₂O₃ is known for its high activity in producing gas-phase methyl radicals.³⁹ However, in the absence of gaseous oxygen, only limited C–C coupling ability is demonstrated. In rare-earth metal oxides, the prominent selectivity for partial oxidation products may derive from the reducibility of surface oxygen species, which can actively participate in the conversion of methane.⁴⁰ In the case of La₂O₃, the high initial partial oxidation activity is abruptly lost, indicating quick deactivation of the catalytic surface, possibly by carbon deposition, somehow affecting the accessibility of lattice oxygen for the selective oxidation of methane to CO.

To further investigate the different behavior of the MgO and La₂O₃ catalytic system, transient analysis of products evolved during unsteady-state CH₄ oxidation experiments is reported in [Figure 2](#). Besides pure MgO and La₂O₃, two catalytic beds are prepared with a mixture of simple oxides. In one configuration, a mixed bed containing equal amounts of the two simple oxides is loaded (50 wt % La₂O₃, 50 wt % MgO, physical mixture). In the other configuration, a layer of La₂O₃ is placed in front of a MgO catalytic bed (10 wt % La₂O₃, 90 wt % MgO), preventing mixing of the two oxides.

The results obtained for the 50 wt % physical mixture of the two catalysts reveal that CO and H₂ releases are highly enhanced in this configuration. From a mechanistic point of view, these results confirm the intrinsic catalytic behavior observed for the pure catalysts: on the one hand, the ability of MgO in methane activation and dehydrogenation and, on the other hand, the high reactivity of the oxygen species in La₂O₃ to promote partial oxidation to CO. The MgO surface is very active for the activation of CH₄ and CH₃[•] radicals formation. However, in a pure MgO catalytic bed, the absence of highly reactive O species in the lattice limits their further oxidation to CO. The presence of La₂O₃ in the catalytic bed introduces this highly reactive oxygen species, which promotes the oxidation of CH₄, C₂H₆, and the respective methyl and ethyl radicals formed on MgO, resulting in the decrease of C₂ species and a highly increased CO production compared to the pure MgO case.

To investigate the extent of coking, [Figure 3](#) reports the profiles of CO_x species evolved from gasification of the carbon




Figure 1. Concentrations of C₂H₆, C₂H₄, CO₂, and CO and ion intensity of H₂ ($m/z = 2$) in the CH₄ pulse during OCM under unsteady-state operation on La₂O₃ (A) and MgO (B) at 900 °C. C₂H₄, CO₂, and CO concentrations are quantified by IR and the C₂H₆ concentration is quantified by mass spectrometry data, which are calibrated with a simultaneous gas chromatography analysis. The values are averaged over multiple stable cycles of operation.




Figure 2. Transient analysis of products (FTIR + MS) during the CH_4 pulse (300–450 s) in unsteady-state OCM performed at 900 °C. The values are averaged over multiple stable cycles of operation. Results for La_2O_3 (red $\blacktriangle$), La_2O_3 -MgO 50 wt % physical mixture (violet $\bullet$), MgO (green $\blacktriangledown$), and La_2O_3 (10 wt %, front of the bed)-MgO (yellow $\blacksquare$).




Figure 3. Transient analysis of products (FTIR + MS) during the O_2 pulse (0–150 s) in unsteady-state OCM performed at 900 °C. The values are averaged over multiple stable cycles of operation. Results for La_2O_3 (red $\blacktriangle$), La_2O_3 -MgO 50 wt % physical mixture (violet $\bullet$), MgO (green $\blacktriangledown$), and La_2O_3 (10 wt %, front of the bed)-MgO (yellow $\blacksquare$).

deposits during the oxidant pulse (O_2 , 25 vol % in He). Pure La_2O_3 exhibited the lowest C deposition, while the highest accumulation of C deposits was found on MgO. For the 50 wt % mixture of MgO and La_2O_3 , it would be reasonable to expect an amount of C deposited per g of catalyst close to the average value of the pure oxides. Interestingly, for the 50 wt % mixture of MgO and La_2O_3 , CO_x species were released in a comparable amount to the pure MgO catalytic bed. It is clear that, in the presence of La_2O_3 , the mechanism of carbon deposition proceeded unaltered on the MgO surface, confirming the high dehydrogenation activity of the catalyst.

A possible explanation for the high value of the CO_x species found in the mixed catalytic bed is the presence of a

concentration gradient, with a preferential accumulation of carbon deposits at the front of the reactor bed. To verify this, a catalytic bed was prepared by loading a limited amount of La_2O_3 at the front of the MgO catalytic bed (La_2O_3 10 wt %, MgO 90 wt %, 200 mg). For this catalytic bed, the synergy observed for the physically mixed bed (50 wt %) disappears. In fact, the separate contribution of the two catalysts can be recognized in the transient product profiles (Figure 2). The small amount of La_2O_3 at the front of the catalytic bed is responsible for the initial higher peak observed in CO and H_2 profiles. The rest of the bed, composed of MgO, is mainly responsible for the C_2 production and the continuous H_2 generation from dehydrogenation reactions. The absence of




Figure 4. Catalytic performance of MgO (black), La₂O₃ (red), and 5 wt % lithium-doped MgO (Li/MgO, blue) in terms of CH₄ conversion (A), CO concentration (B), C₂ yield (C), and H₂ concentration (D) during OCM under steady-state operation (CH₄/O₂ = 4, 80 mL/min, He and N₂ diluted, N₂ is the internal standard for gas chromatography analysis) at different temperatures.

La₂O₃ particles in close vicinity allows the methyl radicals, generated on the MgO surface, to couple in the gas phase and form C₂H₆, without undergoing further oxidation to CO.

The reduced amount of MgO in the catalytic bed was reflected in the lower production of C₂ species, although the reduction clearly exceeded 10%, indicating that the front part of the catalytic bed may be responsible for the high share of the CH₄ conversion. Operando visualization of the catalytic bed (Supporting Information S4 and Figure S2) revealed that, at the end of the CH₄ pulse, the La₂O₃ catalyst placed at the front maintained its white color due to its resistance to carbon deposit formation, while MgO turned into a dark-gray color, indicating formation of carbon deposits. However, the presence of La at the front of the catalytic bed also strongly suppressed the total carbon deposition, as observed in the amount of CO_x species developed in the O₂ pulse (Figure 3). The results suggest the relevance of possible concentration gradients in the conversion of CH₄ and confirm the preferential accumulation of C at the front of the catalytic bed.

Steady-State Oxidative Coupling of CH₄. The unsteady-state investigation of the catalyst interaction with methane indicated peculiar selective conversion paths for the two oxidic systems. However, such prominent differences in the intrinsic catalytic behavior are severely lost in steady-state OCM operation.

The catalytic activity of simple metal oxides, La₂O₃ and MgO, was evaluated by quantifying the gaseous concentration in the reactor outlet during OCM operation at different temperatures. The catalytic activity of La₂O₃ was found to be superior to that of MgO in terms of CH₄ conversion and C₂ yield (Figure 4A,C), more prominently at lower temperatures

(550–650 °C). In such conditions, remarkably higher amounts of partial oxidation products (CO and H₂, Figure 4B,D) were detected for La₂O₃, reflecting what was observed for the unsteady-state catalytic results (Figure 1A). However, the higher activity toward C₂ products compared to MgO contrasts the consideration on the intrinsic selectivity of the oxides.

Even more strikingly, the two materials behaved similarly above 700 °C. The catalytic activities of the two systems were comparable in terms of conversion and selectivity, and a similar trend of decrease in catalytic performance above 850 °C is observed. The concentration of CO₂ also confirmed these trends (Supporting Information S5 and Figure S3).

In this temperature range, O₂ is almost fully consumed and CH₄ total and partial oxidation products are detected at similar levels (Figures 4 and S3). This is an indication that reactions may be driven fully homogeneously and unselective exothermic reaction paths leading to CO_x species formation became predominant irrespective of the catalytic system. This given, it appears crucial to identify the extent of exothermic reaction paths for the two systems by investigating the distribution of heat in the catalytic reactor under reaction conditions.

Temperature and Concentration Gradients. A digital microscope and an infrared camera were used to qualitatively and quantitatively evaluate the temperature distribution along the catalytic bed. Remarkably, clear hotspots were observed (Figure 5A,B) only at the front of the catalyst bed for both catalysts. To evaluate the temperature in the inner part of the reactor, additional spatial temperature measurements were performed using a movable thermocouple inserted in the catalytic bed (Figure 5C). The measurements suggested that the temperature rise starts even before the catalyst bed,




Figure 5. Visualization and quantification of hotspots formed during OCM under steady-state operation on MgO and La₂O₃, respectively, at 800 °C: (A) images of catalyst beds obtained by the digital microscope; (B) images of catalyst beds acquired via the infrared camera; (C) spatial distribution of the differential temperature of the catalyst (ΔT). The temperature rise is calculated by subtracting the temperature measured with 10 vol % O₂ in N₂ at 800 °C from the temperature measured during OCM under steady-state operation (the same feed composition as in Figure 4) at 800 °C at respective positions on corresponding catalysts.

although differences in heat conductivity make a precise delimitation of the hotspot challenging. Nonetheless, the hot zone in La₂O₃ appeared to be shifted more to the front of the catalyst bed compared to that of MgO, indicating that the exothermic reactions might be activated at an earlier position for La₂O₃.

Considering the presence of hotspots in OCM conditions, it is necessary to investigate possible gradients in the product distribution along the catalytic bed. To this end, space-resolved gas sampling was performed for the two catalysts. The profiles obtained during OCM at 700 °C are presented in Figure 6A,B.

The concentration profiles of oxygen clearly indicate that most of the oxygen is consumed within a few mm from the front edge of the catalyst bed of MgO and La₂O₃ (note that the catalyst bed length of La₂O₃ is significantly shorter because of its higher mass density). There is residual oxygen present in the reactor toward the end of the catalyst bed for La₂O₃ (Figure 6B). Nevertheless, only looking at the oxygen profiles, it is evident that the reactions take place mainly at/near the front position of the catalyst bed in accordance with the temperature profiles (Figure 5) and with other spatial gas analyses.^{30,41,42} In practice, the localized reaction zone does not seem to affect the OCM performance positively and rather it can be detrimental, as evidenced by the decay of the C₂H₆




Figure 6. Space-resolved concentration profiles of CO₂, O₂, C₂H₆, C₂H₄, H₂, and formed water between two data points (ΔH_2O) measured during OCM under steady-state operation on (A) MgO at 700 °C, (B) La₂O₃ at 700 °C, (C) MgO at 800 °C, and (D) Li/MgO at 800 °C (same feed composition as in Figure 4).

and C₂H₄ concentrations after the initial peak values near the front of the catalyst bed. When using a lower amount of MgO in the catalytic bed (40 mg instead of 200 mg), no significant change in the catalytic activity toward C₂ products was observed (Supporting Information S5 and Figure S4). This result evidences that only a small portion of the catalyst at the front position positively contributes to OCM. Looking at the spatially resolved concentration profiles of OCM on MgO at 850 °C, the decrease of the C₂H₆ concentration along the bed

is accompanied by the increase of CO and H₂, suggesting that reforming reactions can take place and negatively affect the total C₂ yield along the catalytic bed (Figure S5).

To our great surprise, the reaction products appear in the gas phase even before the catalyst bed, with a more pronounced phenomenon observed in La₂O₃ than MgO at 700 °C. This observation was also reported for MgO when the reaction temperature was increased to 800 °C (Figures 6C and S6A,B). Back-diffusion of products and gas mixing may be at the origin of this phenomenon. In order to check these influences, SiC was added before the catalyst beds. The concentration profiles (Figure S7) confirm an identical observation, with an even more pronounced shift of the products toward the inlet direction, possibly due to the higher gas temperature induced by the presence of heated SiC at the inlet position of the catalyst.

These observations pose fundamental questions on the role of the catalyst in OCM. The catalyst is undoubtedly necessary since inert materials as SiC cannot efficiently catalyze OCM (Figure S8). As noted above, the presence of products before the bed was more prominently observed for La₂O₃. All products reached their maximum concentration values in the catalyst-free zone even at 700 °C. A careful comparison of the gas-phase concentrations of the detected molecules indicates that the H₂ concentration profile was significantly distinct from the others and H₂ was observed at a much earlier point along the flow direction. Given the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution, lighter molecules such as H₂ are in fact expected to back-diffuse faster at higher temperatures, explaining why H₂ was found at earlier positions before the catalytic bed. Here, the copresence of H₂ and unreacted O₂ from the feed created the conditions for additional highly exothermic reactions, such as CH₄ and H₂ oxidation, to take place and to cause the formation of local hotspots. The analysis of the H₂O produced at different positions in the catalyst bed (Figure 6, red bars) suggests that increased H₂O detection was also associated with an increment in the formation of other products, including C₂ species (Figure 6). In fact, the increased temperature is known to enhance the rate of the homogeneous gas-phase reactions in OCM.⁴³ In line with indications from homogeneous gas-phase OCM experiments, also the formation of C₂ products becomes relevant at *T* higher than 800 °C.⁴⁴ Due to the highly exothermic reaction pattern, the reaction mixture was locally heated up significantly, probably at least by a few hundred degrees (the exact determination of the temperature profile measurement is limited by the spatial resolution of the thermocouple or IR camera), and unselective oxidation reactions took place in the gas phase. A complex homogeneous reaction network involving several radical species (H, OH, CH₃) is expected to control the distribution of products.⁴⁵

Still, the production of H₂ itself reflects the role played here by the catalyst. As discussed previously, H₂ can be produced from partial oxidation and the lattice oxygen in the La₂O₃ can more efficiently catalyze the reaction (Figure 1). This is in agreement with the observation from the unsteady-state: at the front edge of the catalyst bed (at 0 mm), 3.6 vol % H₂ was observed for La₂O₃, which was almost double the value observed for MgO (1.9 vol %) at 700 °C (Figure 6). The higher concentration of H₂ produced by La₂O₃ also explains its increased back-diffusion at the front of the bed. A higher concentration gives a larger diffusion driving force, enhancing H₂ back-diffusing further away from the La₂O₃ catalyst bed. Moreover, the exothermicity of the partial oxidation reaction

and the heat generated by concomitant hydrogen oxidation are expected to provoke gas expansion, contributing to the back-diffusion phenomenon. The presence of H₂ and the consequent exothermic oxidation routes developed positively influence the yield of OCM products. Such an effect was confirmed by adding 1.4 vol % H₂ into the OCM feed using SiC, La₂O₃, or MgO as the catalyst (Figure S9). Additional support to those hypotheses was provided by an experiment where a small portion of La₂O₃ was placed in front of MgO. In this case, La₂O₃ would generate more H₂, and MgO may efficiently catalyze OCM. As expected, we could confirm the significant enhancement of catalytic performance in this configuration of the catalyst compared to MgO (Figure S10).

It is clear that the function of the catalyst is to catalytically trigger the reaction by first activating methane on its surface. The local hotspots generated by highly exothermic reactions, including H₂ oxidation, provoke the activation of homogeneous gas-phase reactions, which greatly control the product distribution. In such conditions, the majority of the reactions take place at the front of the catalyst bed, where oxygen is fast depleted. The higher activity of La₂O₃ over MgO at lower temperatures is attributed to the higher amount of H₂ produced by the former. However, the amount of produced H₂ seems to reach a similar level above a certain temperature (700 °C), indicating that, above this temperature, the specific impact of the metal oxide loses relevance in favor of the homogeneous gas-phase reaction steps, explaining the similar activity and trends (Figure 4).

Catalytic Effect of Promoters. In OCM studies, it is common to add promoter(s)/dopant(s) to simple metal oxides to improve the catalytic activity.¹² In light of the previous insights about the role of simple metal oxides in OCM reaction conditions, it is now possible to investigate the catalytic effect of promoters in terms of the spatial variation of product distribution and temperature. Figure 4 shows the catalytic performance of Li/MgO, which differs significantly from that of MgO. At first sight, the promotional effect of Li seems to be related to the lower activity of the catalyst toward CH₄ conversion in the lower temperature range (550–750 °C). However, CH₄ conversion and C₂ yield increase as the reaction temperature increases, in contrast to the trend observed in MgO (and La₂O₃). At the maximum temperature examined (900 °C), higher CH₄ conversion was observed for the Li-promoted sample compared to MgO, together with enhanced C₂ yield mainly due to the suppression of unselective oxidation reactions (CO and CO₂ formation, Figure S3).

An identical space-resolved concentration analysis was performed for Li/MgO at 800 °C (Figure 6D), clarifying the drastic differences in the spatial profiles of the gas-phase species in the reactor. The amounts of detected H₂ as well as H₂O were negligible, indicating that the addition of lithium greatly suppresses H₂ formation and, consequently, its exothermic oxidation. This is also confirmed by the minor consumption of oxygen in the reactor (ca. 30% conversion). As a consequence, a clear hotspot was not observed for Li/MgO during OCM (Figure 5C). The suppression of highly exothermic reactions at the front of the bed, together with the availability of oxygen throughout the whole catalytic bed, provokes a fundamental change in the catalytic behavior of the Li-promoted system. In fact, while most of the OCM activity was confined to the front or even before the catalyst bed for MgO (Figure 6A–C), the concentration of the C₂ species progressively increased along the Li/MgO catalyst bed.

For the strontium-doped La_2O_3 ($\text{Sr}/\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$) system, which showed significant suppression of the partial oxidation products (CO , H_2) compared to La_2O_3 , the positive effect of the promoter was less prominent compared to Li/MgO . In fact, consistent formation of water was detected together with the fast depletion of oxygen at the front of the bed (Figures S11 and S6C in the Supporting information).

The unicity of the lithium-promoting effect is associated with the enhancement of catalyst basicity.³⁸ Such a property was found to be linked to the increased C_2 selectivity.²⁵ Another effect of Li promotion is the enhancement of the lattice oxygen mobility.⁴⁶ Considering the specific selectivity of the lattice oxygen species observed for MgO , their increased mobility in the presence of Li can positively influence the rate of formation of C_2 products. A closer look at the concentration profiles obtained for Li/MgO (Figure 6D) reveals a much higher selectivity to ethane than ethylene. This confirms that the Li-modified surface facilitates the coupling reaction but at the same time does not promote the subsequent oxidative dehydrogenation of ethane (Figure S12). Also to be remarked, during the gas sampling experiment with Li/MgO , the outer surface of the capillary used to extract gases was coated with a material after the experiment, which was not observed for MgO . Loss of Li from the material in the form of LiOH has been reported for Li/MgO as the main cause of deactivation in OCM.⁴⁷ This implies a high mobility of the Li component over MgO , which was likely in a molten state under the reaction conditions and adhered to the capillary surface during the gas sampling experiment. This molten state of Li and its ability to cover the MgO support are expected to play an important role in reducing the catalyst surface area and its general catalytic activity. However, we observed that the specific effect of Li promoters is to limit the activity of the MgO lattice oxygen for partial and total oxidation, resulting in increased selectivity toward C_2 formation.

CONCLUSIONS

Simple metal oxides such as MgO and La_2O_3 , which possess intrinsically different abilities to selectively convert CH_4 , exhibited similar OCM performances in terms of CH_4 conversion and C_2 selectivity at high temperatures.

Space-resolved operando visualization, temperature measurement, and gas sampling along the catalytic bed helped us demonstrate the relevance of highly exothermic reaction paths developed in OCM conditions over those catalysts. The formation of huge hotspots at the front of the catalyst bed derives from a pattern of highly exothermic reactions, including H_2 oxidation. Such hotspots greatly control the total C_2 yield, driving the selectivity toward partial and total oxidation products (CO_x) and narrowing the role of the catalyst to the mere activation of CH_4 . The use of promoters, in particular Li on MgO , enhances the control on selectivity by avoiding the formation of hotspots, quenching the unselective oxidation paths and activating the selective conversion to C_2 all along the catalytic bed.

These insights demonstrated the importance of temperature and concentration gradients in the catalytic OCM reaction, stressing the value of implementing spatially resolved analysis for a realistic investigation of the catalytic systems under operating conditions.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c02088>.

Schematics of the experimental setup: XRD; additional catalytic activity results, and additional spatial analysis results (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Atsushi Urakawa – Catalysis Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Delft University of Technology, 2629 HZ Delft, The Netherlands; Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 43007 Tarragona, Spain; Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), 102-0076 Tokyo, Japan; orcid.org/0000-0001-7778-4008; Email: A.Urakawa@tudelft.nl

Authors

Lingjun Hu – Catalysis Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Delft University of Technology, 2629 HZ Delft, The Netherlands; Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

Donato Pinto – Catalysis Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Delft University of Technology, 2629 HZ Delft, The Netherlands; Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 43007 Tarragona, Spain; orcid.org/0000-0002-4509-3904

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c02088>

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

Financial support by Japan Science and Technology agency (JST) through the PRESTO program (No. JPMJPR16S3) and Generalitat de Catalunya through the CERCA Programme are greatly acknowledged.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

REFERENCES

- (1) IEA. Global Energy Review: CO₂ Emissions in 2021 International Energy Agency Paris, 2022. <https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/data-product/global-energy-review-CO2-emissions-in-2021#co2-emission-in-2021> (accessed March 01, 2023).
- (2) Aasberg-Petersen, K.; Dybkjær, I.; Ovesen, C. V.; Schjødt, N. C.; Sehested, J.; Thomsen, S. G. Natural gas to synthesis gas – Catalysts and catalytic processes. *J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng.* **2011**, *3*, 423–459.
- (3) Holmen, A. Direct conversion of methane to fuels and chemicals. *Catal. Today* **2009**, *142*, 2–8.
- (4) Schwach, P.; Pan, X.; Bao, X. Direct Conversion of Methane to Value-Added Chemicals over Heterogeneous Catalysts: Challenges and Prospects. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 8497–8520.
- (5) Karakaya, C.; Kee, R. J. Progress in the direct catalytic conversion of methane to fuels and chemicals. *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* **2016**, *55*, 60–97.

- (6) Lunsford, J. H. Catalytic conversion of methane to more useful chemicals and fuels: a challenge for the 21st century. *Catal. Today* **2000**, *63*, 165–174.
- (7) Ren, T.; Patel, M.; Blok, K. Olefins from conventional and heavy feedstocks: Energy use in steam cracking and alternative processes. *Energy* **2006**, *31*, 425–451.
- (8) Blanksby, S. J.; Ellison, G. B. Bond Dissociation Energies of Organic Molecules. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, *36*, 255–263.
- (9) Franz, R.; Uslamin, E. A.; Pidko, E. A. Challenges for the utilization of methane as a chemical feedstock. *Mendeleev Commun.* **2021**, *31*, 584–592.
- (10) Keller, G. E.; Bhasin, M. M. Synthesis of ethylene via oxidative coupling of methane: I. Determination of active catalysts. *J. Catal.* **1982**, *73*, 9–19.
- (11) Lunsford, J. H. The Catalytic Oxidative Coupling of Methane. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1995**, *34*, 970–980.
- (12) Kondratenko, E. V.; Schlüter, M.; Baerns, M.; Linke, D.; Holena, M. Developing catalytic materials for the oxidative coupling of methane through statistical analysis of literature data. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *5*, 1668–1677.
- (13) Hu, L.; Pinto, D.; Urakawa, A. Active Reactions and Spatial Gradients in Oxidative Coupling of Methane. In *Catalysis*; The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2020; Vol. 32, pp 203–223.
- (14) Cruellas, A.; Bakker, J. J.; van Sint Annaland, M.; Medrano, J. A.; Gallucci, F. Techno-economic analysis of oxidative coupling of methane: Current state of the art and future perspectives. *Energy Convers. Manage.* **2019**, *198*, No. 111789.
- (15) Zavyalova, U.; Holena, M.; Schlögl, R.; Baerns, M. Statistical Analysis of Past Catalytic Data on Oxidative Methane Coupling for New Insights into the Composition of High-Performance Catalysts. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 1935–1947.
- (16) Nelson, P. F.; Lukey, C. A.; Cant, N. W. Isotopic evidence for direct methyl coupling and ethane to ethylene conversion during partial oxidation of methane over lithium/magnesium oxide. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1988**, *92*, 6176–6179.
- (17) Ito, T.; Watanabe, T.; Tashiro, T.; Toi, K. Reaction of preadsorbed methane with oxygen over magnesium oxide at low temperatures. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1* **1989**, *85*, 2381–2395.
- (18) DeBoy, J. M.; Hicks, R. F. The oxidative coupling of methane over alkali, alkaline earth, and rare earth oxides. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1988**, *27*, 1577–1582.
- (19) DeBoy, J. M.; Hicks, R. F. Oxidative coupling of methane over alkaline earth promoted La_2O_3 . *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 982–984.
- (20) Choudhary, V. R.; Rane, V. H.; Pandit, M. Y. Comparison of Alkali Metal Promoted MgO Catalysts for Their Surface Acidity/Basicity and Catalytic Activity/Selectivity in the Oxidative Coupling of Methane. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **1997**, *68*, 177–186.
- (21) Choudhary, V. R.; Mulla, S. A. R.; Rane, V. H. Surface basicity and acidity of alkaline earth-promoted La_2O_3 catalysts and their performance in oxidative coupling of methane. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **1998**, *72*, 125–130.
- (22) Choudhary, V. R.; Rane, V. H.; Chaudhari, S. T. Surface properties of rare earth promoted MgO catalysts and their catalytic activity/selectivity in oxidative coupling of methane. *Appl. Catal., A* **1997**, *158*, 121–136.
- (23) Carreiro, J. A. S. P.; Baerns, M. Oxidative coupling of methane: I. Alkaline earth compound catalysts. *J. Catal.* **1989**, *117*, 258–265.
- (24) Kalenik, Z.; Wolf, E. E. Transient and isotopic studies of the oxygen transport and exchange during oxidative coupling of methane on Sr promoted La_2O_3 . *Catal. Lett.* **1991**, *9*, 441–449.
- (25) Arndt, S.; Laugel, G.; Levchenko, S.; Horn, R.; Baerns, M.; Scheffler, M.; Schlögl, R.; Schomäcker, R. A Critical Assessment of Li/MgO-Based Catalysts for the Oxidative Coupling of Methane. *Catal. Rev.* **2011**, *53*, 424–514.
- (26) Buyevskaya, O. V.; Rothaemel, M.; Zanthoff, H. W.; Baerns, M. Transient Studies on Reaction Steps in the Oxidative Coupling of Methane over Catalytic Surfaces of MgO and Sm_2O_3 . *J. Catal.* **1994**, *146*, 346–357.
- (27) Ortiz-Bravo, C. A.; Chagas, C. A.; Toniolo, F. S. Oxidative coupling of methane (OCM): An overview of the challenges and opportunities for developing new technologies. *J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *96*, No. 104254.
- (28) Kondratenko, E. V.; Baerns, M. Oxidative Coupling of Methane. In *Handbook of Heterogeneous Catalysis*; Wiley, 2008; pp 3010–3023.
- (29) Basile, F.; Fornasari, G.; Trifirò, F.; Vaccari, A. Partial oxidation of methane: Effect of reaction parameters and catalyst composition on the thermal profile and heat distribution. *Catal. Today* **2001**, *64*, 21–30.
- (30) Zohour, B.; Noon, D.; Senkan, S. New Insights into the Oxidative Coupling of Methane from Spatially Resolved Concentration and Temperature Profiles. *ChemCatChem* **2013**, *5*, 2809–2812.
- (31) Pak, S.; Qiu, P.; Lunsford, J. H. Elementary Reactions in the Oxidative Coupling of Methane over $\text{Mn}/\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ and $\text{Mn}/\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4/\text{MgO}$ Catalysts. *J. Catal.* **1998**, *179*, 222–230.
- (32) Otsuka, K.; Jinno, K. Kinetic studies on partial oxidation of methane over samarium oxides. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1986**, *121*, 237–241.
- (33) Wang, P.; Zhao, G.; Wang, Y.; Lu, Y. MnTiO_3 -driven low-temperature oxidative coupling of methane over TiO_2 -doped Mn_2O_3 - $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ catalyst. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*, No. e1603180.
- (34) Fleming, P.; Farrell, R. A.; Holmes, J. D.; Morris, M. A. The Rapid Formation of $\text{La}(\text{OH})_3$ from La_2O_3 Powders on Exposure to Water Vapor. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2010**, *93*, 1187–1194.
- (35) Fleischer, V.; Littlewood, P.; Parishan, S.; Schomäcker, R. Chemical looping as reactor concept for the oxidative coupling of methane over a $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4/\text{Mn}/\text{SiO}_2$ catalyst. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2016**, *306*, 646–654.
- (36) Beck, B.; Fleischer, V.; Arndt, S.; Hevia, M. G.; Urakawa, A.; Hugo, P.; Schomäcker, R. Oxidative coupling of methane - A complex surface/gas phase mechanism with strong impact on the reaction engineering. *Catal. Today* **2014**, *228*, 212–218.
- (37) Peil, K. P.; Goodwin, J. G.; Marcelin, G. Surface phenomena during the oxidative coupling of methane over Li/MgO . *J. Catal.* **1991**, *131*, 143–155.
- (38) Carreiro, J. A. S. P.; Baerns, M. Catalytic conversion of methane by oxidative coupling to C_{2+} hydrocarbons. *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.* **1987**, *35*, 349–360.
- (39) Lin, C. H.; Campbell, K. D.; Wang, J. X.; Lunsford, J. H. Oxidative dimerization of methane over lanthanum oxide. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1986**, *90*, 534–537.
- (40) Rosynek, M. P. Catalytic Properties of Rare Earth Oxides. *Catal. Rev.* **1977**, *16*, 111–154.
- (41) Zohour, B.; Noon, D.; Senkan, S. Spatial Concentration and Temperature Profiles in Dual-Packed-Bed Catalytic Reactors: Oxidative Coupling of Methane. *ChemCatChem* **2014**, *6*, 2815–2820.
- (42) Karakaya, C.; Zhu, H.; Zohour, B.; Senkan, S.; Kee, R. J. Detailed Reaction Mechanisms for the Oxidative Coupling of Methane over $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CeO}_2$ Nanofiber Fabric Catalysts. *ChemCatChem* **2017**, *9*, 4538–4551.
- (43) Sekine, Y.; Nishimura, T.; Fujimoto, K. Oxidative Coupling of Methane in the Gas Phase: Simulation and Reaction Mechanism. *Energy Fuels* **1998**, *12*, 828–829.
- (44) Geerts, J. W. M. H.; Chen, Q.; van Kasteren, J. M. N.; van der Wiele, K. Thermodynamics and kinetic modeling of the homogeneous gas phase reactions of the oxidative coupling of methane. *Catal. Today* **1990**, *6*, 519–526.
- (45) Takanabe, K.; Iglesia, E. Rate and Selectivity Enhancements Mediated by OH Radicals in the Oxidative Coupling of Methane Catalyzed by $\text{Mn}/\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4/\text{SiO}_2$. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 7689–7693.
- (46) Peil, K. P.; Marcelin, G.; Goodwin, J. G. The Role of Lattice Oxygen in the Oxidative Coupling of Methane. In *Methane Conversion by Oxidative Processes*; Wolf, E. E., Ed.; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, 1992; pp 138–167.

(47) Korf, S. J.; Roos, J. A.; De Bruijn, N. A.; Van Ommen, J. G.; Ross, J. R. H. Lithium chemistry of lithium doped magnesium oxide catalysts used in the oxidative coupling of methane. *Appl. Catal.* **1990**, *58*, 131–146.

Recommended by ACS

Boosting Conversion of CO₂ to Light Olefins over MgO-Promoted ZnZrO/SAPO-34 Bifunctional Catalyst

Lizhi Zhang, Honggen Peng, *et al.*

JUNE 01, 2023

INDUSTRIAL & ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY RESEARCH

READ 

Regulating the C–H Bond Activation Pathway over ZrO₂ via Doping Engineering for Propane Dehydrogenation

Yuchen Zhang, Hansheng Li, *et al.*

MAY 05, 2023

ACS CATALYSIS

READ 

Probing the Actual Role and Activity of Oxygen Vacancies in Toluene Catalytic Oxidation: Evidence from In Situ XPS/NEXAFS and DFT + *U* Calculation

Ziang Su, Junhua Li, *et al.*

FEBRUARY 23, 2023

ACS CATALYSIS

READ 

Uncovering the CO₂ Capture Mechanism of NaNO₃-Promoted MgO by ¹⁸O Isotope Labeling

Annelies Landuyt, Christoph R. Müller, *et al.*

DECEMBER 01, 2022

JACS AU

READ 

Get More Suggestions >