Master Thesis at SKF:

Lateral force estimation acting at a vehicle wheel using a hub bearing unit equipped with strain gauges and Eddy-current sensors

By: John den Engelse Date: 19 – 6 - 2013



#### Presentation overview

- Introduction
- Research goals
- Strain gauge measurements
- Eddy-current sensor measurements
- Field- / validation measurements
- BETSY calibration method
- Conclusions



#### Introduction



#### Introduction: Load Sensing Bearing (LSB)

- Why would we like to measure forces?
  - Monitoring the mechanical loads of a bearing.
  - Control the active safety systems in vehicles like the ABS (longitudinal force) and ESC (lateral force).
  - Measure the vertical load in, for instance, trucks.



Challenge the future 4

#### Introduction: Load Sensing Bearing (LSB)

• How?  $\rightarrow$  A load sensing hub bearing unit instrumented with:

- 6 strain gauges: deformation of the bearing outer ring.
- 2 Eddy-current sensors: tilting movement of the ABS-ring.





Challenge the future 5

Introduction – Research goals – Strain gauge measurements – Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements - ...



#### Research goals



#### Research goals

- 1) Lateral force estimation, acting at a vehicle wheel, using strain gauges and Eddy-current sensors.
- 2) Calibration of the load sensing bearing using the Bearing Test System (BETSY)





Challenge the future 7



#### Strain gauge measurements



Challenge the future 8

#### Strain gauge measurements

• The strain gauges measure the deformation of the bearing outer ring at six places along the circumference.

• The deformation provides information about the loads acting on the bearing.







Challenge the future 9

Introduction – Research goals – Strain gauge measurements – Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements - ...

#### Strain gauge measurements



**T**UDelft

Challenge the future 10

Introduction – Research goals – Strain gauge measurements – Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements - ...

# Warning

The following slide features a mathematical trick performed by a professional and under the supervision of a professional.

Accordingly, the <u>TU Delft</u> and the students must insist that no one attempt to recreate of re-enact any trick or activity performed on this slide.



#### Strain gauge measurements: MLRA

 Multivariate Linear Regression Analysis (MLRA): The output is assumed to be a linear combination of the input and higher order terms of the input.

• For one single dimension:

$$\longrightarrow$$
  $F_y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \varepsilon + \beta_0 \varepsilon^2 + \dots + \beta_n \varepsilon^n + E$ 

For multi input multi output

**U**Delft

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} F_{y} \\ F_{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{F_{y}} \\ \beta_{F_{z}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon^{2} \\ \cdots \\ \varepsilon^{n} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow [\beta] = [F][\varepsilon]^{T} ([\varepsilon][\varepsilon]^{T})^{-1}$$

The entries of F have dimensions  $[1 \times N]$  with N the number of samples The entries of  $\beta$  have dimensions  $[1 \times (6n+1)]$  with *n* the order the polynomial The entries of  $\varepsilon$  have dimensions  $[(6n+1) \times N]$  with *n* the order the polynomial

Challenge the future 12

#### Strain gauge measurements: MLRA



1) Absolute value problem

Delft

- 2) Difference in sensitivity for  $F_v < 0$  N and  $F_v > 0$  N
- 3) Difference in response for 0 Hz < f < 1 Hz and 1 Hz < f < 10 Hz

Challenge the future 13

Introduction – Research goals – Strain gauge measurements – Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements - ...

## Strain gauge measurements: F<sub>y</sub> estimation block diagram





Challenge the future 14

Introduction – Research goals – Strain gauge measurements – Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements - ...



## Eddy-current sensor measurements



#### Eddy-current sensor measurement

- Why (inductive) Eddy-current sensor measurements?
  - The strain gauges are subject to the absolute value problem.
  - Strain measurements are subject to low frequent thermal influences.



Challenge the future 16

#### Eddy-current sensor measurement

- ABS-ring integrated in the seal of the bearing. 48 holes and 48 spokes.
- The tilting movement of the ABS-ring gives an estimate of the lateral force  $F_v$  acting on the bearing.





Challenge the future 17

#### Eddy-current sensor measurement

• Two Eddy-current sensors are mounted into the knuckle.





Challenge the future 18

Introduction – Research goals – Strain gauge measurements – Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements - ...

#### Eddy-current sensor measurement: Signal

- The change in the lower values provides the information about  $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ 



**T**UDelft



Introduction – Research goals – Strain gauge measurements – Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements - ...

## Eddy-current measurement: Low value algorithm

- Algorithm to retrieve these lower values.
  - Based signal derivative
  - Maximum change per time sample  $\Delta \gamma_{max}$
  - An initial condition  $y_0$  (the equilibrium value)





 The LSB equipment has been built in a BMW E60 and tests have been performed at the test track at SKF





Challenge the future 22

Eddy-current sensors measurements - Field- / validation measurements - BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

• Movie: Circle run at 30 km/h





Challenge the future 23

...- Eddy-current sensors measurements - Field- / validation measurements - BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

• A Kistler VELOS force measuring wheel is used as reference





Challenge the future 24

...- Eddy-current sensors measurements - Field- / validation measurements - BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

#### Field measurements: Tilt vs F<sub>y</sub>

- Eddy-current sensor measurement.
- Data is approximated by a 2nd and a 6th order polynomial: Accuracy vs extrapolation characteristics



Strain measurement





Challenge the future 26

..- Eddy-current sensors measurements - Field- / validation measurements - BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

#### Field measurements : $F_v$ estimation

- Two force estimation algorithms. Both for an in-situ calibration and a BETSY calibration.
  - 1) Using 4 MLRA on the strain gauges and use the Eddycurrent sensors for the determination of the direction.
  - 2) Using both the Eddy-current sensors and the strain gauges for the force estimation.



Challenge the future 27

Eddy-current sensors measurements – **Field- / validation measurements** – BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

#### Field measurements : Algorithm 1

• Using 4 MLRA on the strain gauges and use the Eddy-current sensors for the determination of the direction.





Challenge the future 28

...– Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

#### Field measurements: Algorithm 2

 Using both the Eddy-current sensors and the strain gauges for the force estimation





Challenge the future 29

...– Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

#### Estimated force vs time for both algorithms.



Challenge the future 30

- Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

**T**UDelft

#### Estimated force vs measured force for both algorithms.



Challenge the future 31

- Eddy-current sensors measurements – <u>Field- / validation measurements</u> – BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

**T**UDelft

#### Estimation errors

| Frequency content   | Method         | RMS Error [N] | Error [%] |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 0 Hz - 10 Hz        | Algorithm 1    | 232,00        | 20,09     |
| <u>0 Hz - 10 Hz</u> | Algorithm 2    | 216,82        | 18,78     |
|                     |                |               |           |
| Frequency content   | Method         | RMS Error [N] | Error [%] |
|                     | MLRA           | 188,82        | 16,46     |
| 0 Hz - 1 Hz         | Tilt 2nd order | 229,84        | 20,04     |
|                     | Tilt 6th order | 174,47        | 15,21     |
|                     |                |               |           |
| Frequency content   | Method         | RMS Error [N] | Error [%] |
| 1 Hz - 10 Hz        | MLRA           | 130,89        | 141,82    |

In-situ calibration



Challenge the future 32

...– Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – BETSY calibration method - Conclusions



#### Strain gauge measurements: BETSY

- What is BETSY and why do we want to use it?
- The Bearing Test System is a 5 Degree of Freedom (DoF) system, where forces and moments are applied in 5 DoF by hydraulic actuators.





Challenge the future 34

Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – **<u>BETSY calibration method</u>** - Conclusions

#### **BETSY** calibration: strain



•  $\varepsilon_{vehicle} = c_1 \cdot F_y + c_2$   $\varepsilon_{BETSY} = c_3 \cdot F_y + c_4$ 

• 
$$F_{y,test} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{BETSY} - C_4}{C_3} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{vehicle} - C_2}{C_1}$$
  
• 
$$\mathcal{E}_{BETSY} = \frac{C_3 \cdot \mathcal{E}_{vehicle} - C_3 \cdot C_2}{C_1} + C_4 \neq C_A \cdot \mathcal{E}_{vehicle} \neq C_B$$



## BETSY calibration: Eddy-current sensors





Challenge the future 36

#### • Estimated $F_v$ vs time for both algorithms



Challenge the future 37

- Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – **BETSY calibration method** - Conclusions

**T**UDelft

#### • Estimated $F_v$ vs measured $F_v$ for both algorithms.



Challenge the future 38

- Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – <u>BETSY calibration method</u> - Conclusions

**T**UDelft

Estimation errors using a BETSY calibration

| Frequency content | Method         | RMS Error [N] | Error [%] |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 0 Hz - 10 Hz      | Algorithm 1    | 335,29        | 29,04     |
| 0 Hz - 10 Hz      | Algorithm 2    | 374,55        | 32,44     |
|                   |                |               |           |
| Frequency content | Method         | RMS Error [N] | Error [%] |
|                   | MLRA           | 191,44        | 16,69     |
| 0 Hz - 1 Hz       | Tilt 2nd order | 261,23        | 22,78     |
|                   | Tilt 6th order | 342,64        | 29,88     |
|                   |                |               |           |
| Frequency content | Method         | RMS Error [N] | Error [%] |
| 1 Hz - 10 Hz      | MLRA           | 265,34        | 287,49    |

So we can use BETSY for calibration?



Challenge the future 39

- Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – **<u>BETSY calibration method</u>** - Conclusions

#### Reproducibility: strain

 Reproducibility is necessary for calibration of a whole production line of LSB







Challenge the future 40

..- Eddy-current sensors measurements - Field- / validation measurements - BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

## Reproducibility: Eddy-current sensors

 Reproducibility is necessary for calibration of a whole production line of LSB



..- Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – **BETSY calibration method** - Conclusions

# Conclusions



Challenge the future 42

#### Conclusions: lateral force estimation

- In the semi-static frequency range the strain gauges as well as the Eddy-current sensors can be used for force estimation with errors ranging from 15 % - 20 %.
- In the dynamic frequency range the lateral force is not correlated with the strain and ABS-ring deflection.
- Regarding the Eddy-current sensors BETSY can be used for calibration.
- Regarding the strain gauges sensors BETSY cannot be used for calibration.



Challenge the future 43

· Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

## Questions?

#### Thank you for your attention !

#### Backup slides



#### Introduction: Load Sensing Bearing

- What forces act on a vehicle?
- 3 forces:
  - $F_x =$  longitudinal force
  - $F_v = lateral force$
  - $F'_z$  = vertical force



- 3 moments:
  - $M_x$  = moment around the x-axis
  - $M_v =$  moment around the y-axis
  - $M_z^{\prime}$  = moment around the z-axis



## Strain gauge measurements: Applied loads

- Cycle consisting of 24 load steps.
- Load steps with 10 seconds of duration.
- Between each load step a 10 seconds interval of running without load is inserted.
- Combinations of static and dynamic forces are applied.





#### Strain gauge measurements: Signal conditioning process

- Filtering
- Static offset and drift
- Inverted signal strain gauge 3
- No-load intervals
- Scaling to physical dimensions



BETSY



• Field



BETSY and field



- Some differences are present
- How can we compensate for those differences.



Challenge the future 49

..- Eddy-current sensors measurements – Field- / validation measurements – **BETSY calibration method** - Conclusions

By describing both sides of the V-shape by a 1st order polynomial two coefficients,  $C_a$  and  $C_b$ , can be derived for both positive and negative  $F_y$  to transform te one to the other. (So 4 coefficients in total).

**BETSY**  

$$\varepsilon_{right,BETSY} = c_{1,right} \cdot F_{y,right} + c_{2,right}$$

$$E_{left,BETSY} = c_{1,left} \cdot F_{y,left} + c_{2,left}$$
Field
$$\varepsilon_{right,Field} = c_{3,right} \cdot F_{y,right} + c_{4,right}$$

$$E_{left,field} = c_{3,left} \cdot F_{y,left} + c_{4,left}$$

$$F_{y,right} = \frac{\varepsilon_{right,BETSY} - c_2}{c_1} = \frac{\varepsilon_{right,field} - c_4}{c_3}$$

$$\varepsilon_{BETSY} = \frac{c_1 \cdot (\varepsilon_{vehicle} - c_4)}{c_3} + c_2 = \frac{c_1 \cdot \varepsilon_{vehicle} - c_1 \cdot c_4}{c_3} + c_2 = \frac{c_{A,right}}{c_3} \cdot \varepsilon_{vehicle} + c_{B,right}$$



Delft

• By describing both sides of the V-shape by a 1st order polynomial two coefficients,  $C_a$  and  $C_b$ , can be derived for both positive and negative  $F_v$  to transform te one to the other. (So 4 coefficients in



## BETSY calibration: Eddy-current sensors





#### Estimation errors distributions





#### Summary: lateral force estimation

#### • F<sub>v</sub> estimation summary:

| 0 Hz – 1 Hz |                 |                                |                                |  |  |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Calibration | Strain          | Tilt 2 <sup>nd</sup> order fit | Tilt 6 <sup>th</sup> order fit |  |  |
| In-situ     | 16.5 %          | 20.0 %                         | 15.2 %                         |  |  |
| BETSY       | 16.7 % <b>*</b> | 22.8 %                         | 29.9 %                         |  |  |



Challenge the future 54

...- Eddy-current sensors measurements - Field- / validation measurements - BETSY calibration method - Conclusions

#### Recommendations

- Errors obtained with estimation using the Eddy-current sensor are mainly caused by the rubber seal and low value algorithm.
- This research focused on  $F_y$ . Next:  $F_x$ ,  $F_z$
- Online testing
- Excite with higher amount of dynamic forces



## Questions?

Thank you for your attention !

## Questions?



#### Thank you for your attention !