Appendix

Circular Collaboration in Urbanspace

Master Thesis

Dheebak Odayakulam Balasubramaniam

Strategic Product Design

Table of content

Appendix A: Example circular strategie Appendix B: Barriers and Drivers of co Appendix C: Ecosystem innovation Appendix D: Complete future story Appendix E: System analysis Appendix F: System map Appendix G: Behaviour over time grap Appendix H: Ideation Appendix I: Evaluation questions.... Appendix J: Initial design... Appendix K: Project Brief

es	4
ollaboration	9
	.12
oh	

Appendix A: Example circular strategies

Circular Strategy	Circular Principle and the Required Innovation Perspective: Product (p), Business Model (bm) or Ecosystem (e)	Description and Example
Narrow	Design with low-impact inputs (p)	Design products with 'ingredients' and materials that require less land, energy, water and/or materials to produce. The company Impossible Foods has designed a 'meaty' plant-based burger. Compared to the beef version, it requires ca. 7 m2 less land, 300 L less water and 5 kg less CO2 than the meat-based alternative
Narrow	Eliminate production waste (bm)	Eliminate any type of waste from production processes, for example material scraps, food left- overs or excess heat and electricity. The company Winnow helps professional kitchens to reduce food waste and save cost through a bin with a scale, Artifial intelligence enabled image recognition software and training based on gathered waste data.
Narrow	Maximize capacity use of products (e)	Maximize the degree to which the capacity of a product is used. This is sometimes referred to as 'sharing', where multiple user groups have access to the same product. This can decrease the overall number of products in an ecosystem. The online platform Peerby enables people to share everyday goods like drills or bicycles, which can increase their usage and reduce the overall number of personally owned goods in homes over time

Circular Strategy	Circular Principle and the Required Innovation Perspective: Product (p), Business Model (bm) or Ecosystem (e)	Description and Example
Slow	Repurpose existing products and components (bm)	Take existing products and components and take them out of their context to create new value with them. Ubitricity turns lamp lanterns in cities into charging stations for electric vehicles
Slow	Design for ease of maintenance and repair (p)	Design products that can be easily maintained or repaired. Maintaining means inspecting the product to retain its functional capabilities. Repairing is about restoring a product to a sound/good condition after decay or damage. Fairphone has designed a modular phone that can be easily disassembled to repair and exchange components.
Slow	Turn disposables into a reusable service (e)	Make use of or provide services that replace disposable with durable products. TerraCycle has designed 'Loop', which delivers products like shampoo or ice cream in reusable packaging. The packaging gets picked up, cleaned and used again. Involved actors include retail brands, service providers (e.g., cleaning and transport ser- vice) and end users.

Table 1: Example Narrowing strategies across product, business model and ecosystem level (Konietzko et al., 2020)

Circular Strategy	Circular Principle and the Required Innovation Perspective: Product (p), Business Model (bm) or Ecosystem (e)	Description and Example
Close	Design with recycled inputs (p)	Design with materials that have been recycled from other products and components. The 'Design for Recycled Content Guide' supports firms in opting for more recycled content in their products.
Close	Recycle products in proper facilities (bm)	Make sure that the products you put on the market get recycled in proper facilities. The initiative 'Closing the Loop' supports users and sellers of phones to be material-neutral and waste free. It collects scrap phones on behalf of customers and recycles them.
Close	Build local waste-to-product loops (e)	Create local resource loops by turning the waste of a given facility into new products that can be sold back to the facility. The firm SOOP has designed an ecosystem that collects waste (coffee grounds and orange peels) from offices, processes it, and re-delivers products to the offices that are made from to the waste

Circular Strategy	Circular Principle and the Required Innovation Perspective: Product (p), Business Model (bm) or Ecosystem (e)	Description and Example
Regenerate	Power transportation with renewable energy (bm)	Find ways to power your transportation needs with renewable energy. The company Foodlogica links local food, consumers and businesses in Amsterdam's city center through a light-weight mobility system, powered by renewable energy
Regenerate	Design for ease of maintenance and repair (p)	Design products that can be easily maintained or repaired. Maintaining means inspecting the product to retain its functional capabilities. Repairing is about restoring a product to a sound/good condition after decay or damage. Fairphone has designed a modular phone that can be easily disassembled to repair and exchange components.
Regenerate	Regenerate polluted ecosystems (e)	Contribute to regenerating pol- luted ecosystems that affect your business. The Ocean Clean- up Project develops technology to clean oceans from plastic pollution.

 Table 4: Example Regenerate strategies across product, business model and ecosystem level (Konietzko et al., 2020)

Table 3: Example Closing strategies across product, business model and ecosystem level (Konietzko et al., 2020)

Circular Strategy	Circular Principle and the Required Innovation Perspective: Product (p), Business Model (bm) or Ecosystem (e)	Description and Example
Inform	Use artificial intelligence to develop new materials with circular properties (p)	Developing a new material requires data about the structure and properties of materials. AI can help analyze the required and available data quickly to inform design decisions based on circular requirements. The 'Accelerated Metallurgy project', run by the European Space Agency, has used AI to produce and test new metal alloys. AI enabled the project to speed up the process of finding new materials
Inform	Recycle products in proper facilities (bm)	Make sure that the products you put on the market get recycled in proper facilities. The initiative 'Closing the Loop' supports users and sellers of phones to be material-neutral and waste free. It collects scrap phones on behalf of customers and recycles them.
Inform	Market circular products, components and materials through online platforms (e)	Online platforms can serve to market circular products, components and materials. Stuffstr buys and collects used products from consumers and sells them in secondhand markets. An AI algorithm helps Stuffstr to set competitive prices for the seller, while offering Stuffstr a good margin on the secondhand market

Table 5: Example Inform strategies across product, business model and ecosystem level (Konietzko et al., 2020)

Appendix B: Barriers and Drivers of collaboration

Table 7. COI drivers assessed within case studies (findings relating to circular oriented innovation (1) and collaboration (2)).

	Drivers	Case	Rela	tes to
	Dirvers	Case	1	2
Hard				
	Increasing proofs of concept, stimulating others actions to test assumptions, experiment and pilot at scale	A/B/D/E/F/I/J	\checkmark	
ical	Accomplishing product improvements generated by CE innovation	A/B/D/E/I/K	\checkmark	
Technical	Increasing material specifications, the exploration of new or altered functional needs for materials within CE innovation	B/C/F/G/H		\checkmark
	Cross-sectoral or common societal challenges, e.g., ocean plastic	C/F/J	\checkmark	
	CE expertise outside core operations, e.g., CE recovery strategies or reverse logistics	C/D/G		
	Innovation potential and the development of CE strategic capabilities and the knowledge for CBM	All	\checkmark	
Market	Anticipation of financial return, new business opportunities and efficiency savings within circular strategies	All	\checkmark	
Μ	Access to new market: sales channels, customers (B2B + B2C) or to forward or reverse integrate product offerings (B2B)	E/G/I/J/K	\checkmark	
	Pursuit of CE-oriented tendering or procurement processes	A/I	\checkmark	
Soft				
П	Enthusiasm and desire to be a CE front-runner to develop new knowledge, attract talent and to realise personal and company motivations	All	\checkmark	
Social/Cultural	Growing sense of urgency and need for networked innovation to develop CE/sustainable transitions: linked to increasingly internal sustainable decision models and processes	All	\checkmark	\checkmark
Socié	Search for and/or creation of credibility and acceptance via CE networks: Aim to find active companies pursuing CE to collaborate with	B/D/E/F/J/H/I	\checkmark	
	Increasing demands from customers (B2B) for sustainable products and experience	E/I	\checkmark	
ional/ tory	Increasing lobbying for CE legislation	A/C/E/H/J	\checkmark	
Institutional/ Regulatory	Need for/awareness of creation and the acceptance of cross-industry standards	D/H/K		

Table 8. COI Barriers assessed within case studies (findings relating to circular oriented innovation (1) and collaboration (2)).

	Barriers	Case	Rela	ates to	
	Daniers	Case	1	2	
Hard					
	Lack of technical knowledge/skills for CE: Current linear dynamics, training and skills stopping CE development	A/B/C/D/E/F/H/I/J	\checkmark		
Technical	Legacy of linear products/material challenge identification for secondary materials	A/B/D/E/F/H/I/J	\checkmark	ν	
	Sourcing materials: quantity, quality, fairly/environmentally produced for both virgin or recovered	A/B/C/F/G/H	\checkmark		
Tec	Complexity to integrate CE knowledge	A/H/I/J		۱	
Te	Sectorial differences in the specification and the variation of material requirements: impacting selection and reuse options	B/F/H/J	\checkmark		
	Position and power within the regional vs global supply network, and pre-existing contracts and distribution, creating lock-in	F/G/K		١	
	Alignment of skills, capabilities and resources to collaborate effectively	A/D/H		١	

Table 8. Cont.

	Barriers	Case	Relates	
	Durites	Cuse	1	2
Hard				
	Financial assessment and accounting based on linear concepts of rapid returns vs longer-term returns—CBMs challenged by short-term profitability or generating split incentives	A/B/C/D/E/H/J/K	\checkmark	
Ŧ	Contracting for collaborative actions to align incentives, risk vs reward across the value chain	A/B/D/E/G/H/J/K		
Market	Balance formal vs informal. Flexibility and adaptability within contracting and project management procedures	A/B/G/H/J		
Z	Reverse logistics costs for closed loops + low virgin material and product prices, creating unfair competition	B/C/F/J	\checkmark	
	Higher administrative costs and investment required. e.g., time, money and resources to collaborate	A/B/H/I		
Soft				
	Balancing company culture, mindset and sustainable value internally or externally, for opening up to create the right environment for collaboration.	A/B/E/F/H/J/K		
1	Trust and transparency of information flows, motivations and goals to collaborate freely with partners—especially pre-competitive vs competitive collaboration with regards to knowledge sharing	A/B/F/G/H/J		
Social/Cultural	Finding and selecting partners—how, where and who to start collaborations with that are feasible and scalable	A/D/E/H/I/J		
ocial/C	Demand side (B2C) limited perception, education, the desire or access to information for sustainable or circular BMs	B/C/H/J/K	\checkmark	
S	Lack of desire, fear of change or blocking activities by supply chain members to maintain the linear status quo or the preference for incremental changes	A/C/H/J/K		
	Lack of a common language across sectors/life cycle stages	A/B/D/E/I		
	Generating sufficient commitment to CE collaborative innovation	B/H/J/K		
	Common/shared understanding for CE vision across collaborating partners and internal motivations	A/B/J		
Institutional/ Regulatory	Lack of certifications, standards, taxes regulation across life-cycle stages	A/D/H/J	\checkmark	

Granting access to wider sources of knowledge	\leftarrow	Dilemma of process	\rightarrow	Compromising speed, flexibility and control over the strategy development process
Creating commitment by inviting contributions	←	Dilemma of commitment	\longrightarrow	Undermining commitment due to unmet expectations about impact of contributions
Responding to expectations about disclosure of strategy information	←	Dilemma of disclosure	\rightarrow	Undermining competitiveness, trust and understanding
Granting wider audiences a say in strategy development	←	Dilemma of empowerment	\rightarrow	Burdening wider audiences with the pressures of strategy
Realizing benefits of openness in selected areas of strategy	←	Dilemma of escalation	\rightarrow	Creating escalating expectations about increasing openness
	Figure 2 · I	Dilemmas present in open strategy (Hau	itz et al. 2	2017)

Figure 2 : Dilemmas present in open strategy (Hautz et al., 2017)

Figure 1 : Barriers and drivers of collaboration (Brown et al., 2019)

Appendix C: Ecosystem innovation

Appendix D: Complete future story

Ecosystems can be clustered in three broad groups: business ecosystems, which focus on a firm and its environment; innovation ecosystems, that focus more on a particular innovation or new value propositions and the group of companies

that support it; and platform ecosystems, which concentrate on how different actors organize around a certain platform (Jacobides, Cennamo, & Gawer, 2018)

The term 'innovation ecosystem' draws upon the term 'business ecosystem'. Both types of ecosystem are networks of independent actors (De Vasconcelos Gomes, Facin, Salerno, & Ikenami, 2018) in which coopetition and competition are present (Moore, 1993; Jansiti and Levien, 2004; Adner, 2006; Adner and Kapoor, 2010) as are common goals and objectives (Nambisan and Baron, 2013). Furthermore, both of the ecosystems are mostly lead by one keystone actor (Gawer and Cusumano, 2008) and are build upon a platform (lansiti and Levien, 2004; Gawer and Cusumano, 2008; Li, 2009). The main difference is that business ecosystems focus on value capture while innovation ecosystems focus on value creation. Value creation is described as 'the collaborative processes and activities of creating value for customers and other stakeholders', while value capture refers to 'the individual firm-level actualized profit-taking; that is, how firms eventually pursue to reach their own competitive advantages and to reap related profit' (Ritala et al., 2013).

This part introduces the complete future story that was created to enable the transition from research to design

The city has become circular where every product has inherent value in them for another person and nothing goes to waste, regular people have become part of the supply chain. Customers are no longer customers and this has caused them to take different roles in the supply chain - making it more of a social economy in addition to a circular economy of material flow. Organisations played a key role in this transition, they created artifacts which helped the push towards a circular economy but also informed how the community can contribute towards it. They did this through focusing on engagement with the various people and organisations they want to be involved with, they focused beyond the artifact and focused on the core values they were offering and were always questioning how more people can be a part of their organisation. This mindset came because they realized that becoming a big organisation in a CE does not mean taking more materials or creating more artifacts but creating ways of engagement with the various people, they understood and adapted their business towards impacting the community they wished to serve and creating ways of engagement to in the domain they were working on. Due to this, the visibility of these organisations increased within their domain and community, as they created possibilities for collaboration, other organizations with similar values sought to do collaborations with them and create new ways of working in the domain as well.

As a circular start-up:

Being a circular start-up in the urbanspace has been different and how I grow as an organisation is also different from the traditional ways of growing an organisation. I actively create and inform for a circular economy which would help the organisations to increase the number of collaborations across a network and change behaviors of the people who I am creating things for. I ever more try to increase the ways of engagement with the various collaborators and how i could contribute towards the various ways in which i engage with them, the ways i engage with them has changed from a singular focus on me making a product and trying to get them to use to how else can they be part of the journey? What are the ways in which I could collaborate with them? The core value of organisation has moved from scaling by taking and making more to creating ways of engagement and collaboration.

A completely circular urbanspace has been created, circular start-ups are now actively

creating and informing for a circular economy, they are creating new connections through the various ways they are engaging with the various collaborators and also are aware that what they require from various people is a behavioural change in how they interact with their everyday products. Circular organisations realise that circular organisations scale now in ways that are different, they now scale in terms of scaling out and scaling deep because of the inherent ways in which they operate in a circular economy and they are also able to take strategies accordingly while creating and informing for a circular economy. They embrace the dual role that they need to take to ensure a more circular future.

As a consumer:

It's amazing how little I use my recycling bin anymore, it's never been easier to be part of a circular economy and contribute towards it, the ways of me engaging with the various organisations is quite diverse -- things get delivered to me sometimes but it doesn't stop there -- I return things when i get them as well. I use things longer and even if something is wrong, I don't need to keep them anymore-there are ways of dealing with them beyond throwing them away, my role isn't a consumer anymore - I grow things, i store things, I return things, i create things. It has been quite a change to my lifestyle and how I act in everyday life with the various products I use. I am no longer a consumer of goods but an active part of the supply chain creating value for the people after me who use it. I see that all goods I hold have value and I know how to pass on the value in the products I use.

As a collaborator:

The visibility of the circular start-ups has never been higher in the local urbanspace and the number of people involved in it is also growing day by day. This is due to how they approached their business by increasing their ways of engagement. I as a collaborator am able to see what are the ways in which I could contribute towards a particular initiative in the urbanspace, there are platforms which help these start-ups to gain recognition in the local community and across the cause in which they are trying to contribute towards.

Steps and tools used in system analysis

To perform the systems analysis various different tools were employed throughout the analysis, depending on the need during the whole process. Each step signifies a different tool and each tool was used in order.

Step 1: Setting the system boundary

Activity:

Setting a limit to the scope of exploration with the information

Purpose:

Without a clear system boundary, there are infinite interconnected possibilities, which often seems like an endless vast ocean with no end. The scope of the research was set in the initial research itself but the information received from the interviews and literature might not be bounded by the initial scope, so in order to have a boundary to hang on to, a system boundary was consciously set.

Outcome:

" Circular collaboration in the cities from the perspective of start-ups/initiatives contributing towards a circular economy"

Step 2: Braindump

Activity:

Brain-dump map

The information from the interviews were all jotted down in a sheet in the form of a brain dump.

Purpose:

The purpose of the exercise was to get into the systems mindset and think in terms of relationships, which was necessary to prepare for the next step of creating feedback loops to get some preliminary insights on the context of circular collaborations

Outcome:

This helped prepare for the next step of creating feedback loops



Activity:

Looking at the relations between the various parts of the research and seeing how they influence each other. This is done through feedback loops. Many feedback loops based on the research information were created. **Purpose:**

Feedback loop diagrams help visualize how variables within a system influence each other. Various different parts of the information from the research were seen as to how they influence each other and do they influence the other activity positively or negatively

The feedback loops were also created as a preparation for the creation of the system map. They created the base for the system map in the next step.

Outcome:

The outcome of doing this activity was the creation of a system map.

Figure: Brain dump map



Figure: Feedback loops

Step 4: System map

Activity:

The interrelations between the various feedback loops were used as starting points of creating the system map, the system map provided a more holistic view of the system and helped to see how each activity influenced the other.

Purpose:

The purpose of the exercise was to get a holistic view of the insights gathered and analyse the information, apart from analysis of the information it also acts as a synthesis tool.

Outcome:

The outcome were key insights which informed the design (see key insights in chapter 4)

Step 5: Behaviour over-time graph

Activity:

The types of partners were mapped out according to their type and the relations between them were created to see how they overlap and what are the various roles they could play when being part of the organisation.

Purpose:

The information in the system map only gave information in a static manner and did not inform how these collaborations take place over a period of time and how they change over time. To do this the initial collaborators were clustered and then mapped to each based on their changing and evolving roles. They were then mapped across time based on the activities they perform.

See figure of system map in appendix

The types of partners were mapped out according to their type and the relations between them were created to see how they overlap and what are the various roles they could play when being part of the organisation.

Outcome:

The outcome were key insights which informed the design (see key insights in chapter 4). The outcomes however did not yield specific patterns in the types of partners but just overarching insights.

See appendix for the graph and clustering by types

Step 6: Ice-berg model

Activity:

The Iceberg Model is a systems thinking tool that shows how the most obvious part of the system, the tip of the iceberg, is held up by the non-obvious weight of the iceberg that is hidden under the waterline.

Purpose:

The insights that were obtained from the previous steps were at many different steps of influence and abstraction and the iceberg model helped to arrange the information according to the various levels to get a better idea of what types of influences each one has on the other.

The ice-berg model serves both as a communication as well as a synthesis tool, where it helped in arranging the info as well as creating information.

Outcome:

See section 4.4 for outcomes

Step 7: leverage point

Activity:

Various parts of the ice-berg model and system map were explored to identify possible leverage point

Purpose

The end of a system analysis is to identify a possible leverage point as a point of intervention, there are multiple possible leverage points across the system to intervene but the leverage points have an increasing order of effect (see figure)

Outcome:

See section 4.5 in main report for outcomes





Appendix F: System map

The complete system map with various insights gathered over the research (Zoom in to get a clearer view of the connections)



Appendix G: Behaviour over time graph





Figure 3: Mapping the various actors across the various phases to see how the collaborations change over time

Figure 4: Clustering of partner types

Deth-Chil Engineering	Feasibility Partners VOCtese Tuv Rheinland	
Could Be Schools gardens Could Be Brighten Designer Fablabs Kotug Matemate	Partner Boxe Zove Zove City Image: Signal Si	
Design	Implementation	Scaling

Appendix H: Ideation

Snippets from ideation





creating connections between various elements of tool-kit



Exploring example strategies for scaling through engagement



Appendix I: Evaluation questions

Feedback Form: Toolkit for scaling through engagement

Hello.

Thank you for using the toolkit.

This is a feedback session for the various tools used in the tool and the overall process as well.

The whole feedback session would take between 15-20 mins to complete.

The information will remain confidential. This means that the Participant's sensitive data that will be

mentioned in the feedback form will remain anonymous. * Required

Feedback for Step 1: Watch the animation video

The initial video in Step 1 showcased how circular start-ups scale in a circular economy, this section is only for the initial video displayed.

Instructions: Step 1 1. The brief animation video explains on how circular start-ups in the urban space Watch the scale. animation 2. It introduces key ideas video and concepts on the roles of start in the urban space and what kind of activities 5 mins they perform.

1. How helpful was the information in the video in understanding the concepts scaling through engagement, creating and informing?*

Mark only one oval.



The following were the evaluation questions asked with regard to the testing of the tool

Were the ratings of the tool used for analysis?

The questions related to rating of the tool was intended to give a general idea regarding the ratings of each step of the tool gave a general idea of how people perceived the tool, it did not itself provide any insights into improvements but just a general sense of how they perceived the usefulness and ease of use of the tool and the tool-kit.

Also, initially the testing was planned for six different organisations and to make the analysis of the information easier an evaluation form was decided but since only three organisations were tested with; the outcomes of the ratings were not analysed with regard to the tool but only their feedback.



				Instructions:		Step 2: Work	Area	
3.	The information in the video is easily understandable * Mark only one oval. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7		Step 2 Define your rganisation's activities	 Start with defining your organisation's Wby -you core circular purpose. Move on to the How - th direction you take towar- solving your what Finally write your What - name of the end product /service you create that contribute to your activit Use the yellow sticky not to write down the above points 	e ds The : : ies es			
		🏲 Step	2: Understanding you	6@pa2:Rolatructions				
	Do not agree at all							
	c c	9.	How useful	was the tool i	n exp	blaining	your (orga
4.	Please explain the above rating		Mark only one	e oval.				
				1	2	3	4	
			Do not agree	e at all	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	(
5.	The key learnings from the video are							
		10.	Please exp	lain the abov	e rati	ng		
						•		
6.	Did your understanding change of how circular organizations scale in cities? Pls explain your answer							
		11.	How easy	was the tool t	o use	€? *		
			Mark only o	ne oval.				
7.	Any remarks on improving the video			1	2	3	4	
			Do not agre	ee at all				
0								
8.	Any other remarks?	12.	Please exp	lain the abov	e rati	ng		
F	eedback for Step 2: Defining Step 2 was in defining organizations' activities through questions of Why? How? and What?							
У	our organization's activities	13.	The key lea	arning and ins	ights	; from (using t	he t

What ?		
How ?		
Why?		
	What actions do you take to pr realize your cause ?	What are the end oducts/services do you intend to create ?
	How ? Why ?	How ? Why ?

ur organizational activities? *





ng the tool are

14. Any remarks on improving the tool

18. How easy was it to mark the various activities as creating or informing? *



Step 4 was about creating ideas around scaling through engagement



23.	How useful was the tool in creating ideas around engagement? * Mark only one oval.	Feedba Alignme organiza		Step 5 was about che your organization's c
24.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Do not agree at all Image: Constraint of the straint o	Step Alignm with y organise	1. Select the ideas from 1 previous steps and pla them in the matrix. our ation	
25.	How easy was the tool to use? * Mark only one oval. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Do not agree at all Fully agree	30. Hov goa	ng desirabilitytep&feastbilityons v useful was the to ls and capabilities? k only one oval.	
26.	Please explain the above rating	Do	1 not agree at all	2 3 4
27.	The key learning and insights from using the tool are	31. Plea	ase explain the abo	ve rating
28.	Any remarks on improving the step		v easy was the tool k only one oval.	to use? *
29.	Any other remarks	Do	1 not agree at all	2 3 4

checking the alignment of the various ideas with s capabilities and long term purpose and goals



ideas according to your organization's



33.

Please explain the above rating idea? * Mark only one oval. 1 34. The key learning and insights from using the tool are Do not agree at all 35. Any remarks on improving the tool 38. Please explain the above rating 36. Any other remarks 39. How easy was the tool to use? * Mark only one oval. 1 Step 6 was about checking desirability and feasibility and think Feedback for Step 6: about future implementation intentions beyond the idea Checking Desirability and Do not agree at all feasibility Please explain the above rating 40. Step 6: Work Area Step 6 1. Select an idea from the How do you make it happen previous step. 2. Copy and paste the selected idea in the grey block below. 3. Start with why would people engage with the idea, answer Checking desirability the questions below it. Move on to how you would make the idea a reality, 41. The key learning and insights from using the tool are answer the questions below it.5. Do you think the idea needs to be changed or use a different one, then change it





2

43. Any remarks on improving the step

42. Did your idea change/develop after using the tool? Pls, explain your answer.

50. The key learning and insights from using the toolkit are

Thank you for your response !!

Feedback for Overall Toolkit and	The overall toolkit was used to create awareness on how circular organizations, help organizations in thinking about how they create and inform, create ideas around scaling through engagement and create implementation intentions.	51.	Any remarks on improving the overall pro
the process		52.	Any other remarks

45. How useful was the toolkit for the above purpose? *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Do not agree at all	\bigcirc	Fully agree						

- 46. Please explain the above rating
- 47. How easy was the tool to use? *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Do not agree at all	\bigcirc	Fully agree						

- 48. Please explain the above rating
- 49. Would you able to use the toolkit without guidance? Please, explain

process of the toolkit

Appendix J: Initial design

1. Start Here

	What's the soulid about?
	This is a sector which helps constan argumations in the urban space in two different weys.
	It helps them became aware of how circular organizations in office scale in a circular economy.
olkit for scaling	In addition to becoming aware cheips them in creating cleas for scaling through engagement in a circular economy.
through	The statist heips you to think beyond convertional scaling by making more sounds scaling through engagement, more than just creating datas is also
engagement	helps in understanding the implementation intentions of the output.
	and state and st
	ibunitation (ibunitation parameters
	POLINE

2. Warm Up



3. The toolkit



Toolkit for scaling through What's the toolkit about? Toolkit for scaling through This is a toolkit which helps circular organisations in the urban-space in two different ways. It helps them become aware of how circular organisations in citles scale in a circular economy. It helps them become aware of how circular organisations in citles scale in a circular economy. In addition to becoming aware it helps them in circuing closes for scaling through engagement in a circuinar economy. In addition to becoming aware it helps them in circuinar economy. The toolkit helps you to think beyond conventional scaling by making more towards scaling through The toolkit helps you to think beyond conventional

engagement

enga help		thar ding	i just the ir	cre	ating ideas it also ementation
Fro	m scaling by making more)		→[towards scaling through engagement
	om thinking within anisations activities	}		→[To thinking beyond organisations activities
	From Ideas	}-•		→	To implementation intention



🏷 Step 2: Defining your organ်န်းetiတာဖားစား



Step 3: Understanding yStep 2: ah & olections

1. Bring sticket: Sell a Set about your sell	2. thing dots to water which meme is the function?"	
		That's it! Go for the too below ! @





> Step 4: Ideation: Scaling thrStughtenrestgentients

	Instructions:	Step 4: Wor
Step 4	 You're now going to create ideas which could help your organisation scale better. There are two ways you're going to create ideas, impacting 	" Impacting s Think of your p include more p
Ideation: scaling through engagement isms	 greater numbers or impacting cultural roots. Place your ideas on the sticky notes Read the description and examples to get an overview If you need insipiration look to the examples strategies on right end Add a timer from Miro for 15 minutes 	purpose. Eg: Precious pl they have by o they produces a making machir

Step 4: Work Area		
" Impacting greater numbers"	Copy and paste	" Impactin
Think of your purpose and see how you can include more people to be actively part of your purpose.	your Why(s) here (from Step 1)	Think of your purpose and see the number of ways you can people to be a
Eg: Precious plastic has increased the number of engagements they have by creating an open-source blueprint of the machines they produce so that other people who are enthusiastic about making machines can join the movement against plastic pollution.		Eg: Rotterzwam engages with its custom creating food (bitterballen, croquettes) with th with coffee grounds, Soap made from r continued material for the grow
	- A combination of both -	



+

•

• •

Pick the dots and mark

direction/end products-

services in step 1 as

creating or informing

your various

activities

	Instructions:	Step 6: Work A	rea
Checking desirability	 Select an idea from the previous step. Copy and paste the selected idea in the grey block below. Start with why would people engage with the idea, answer the questions below it. Move on to how you would 	Select an idea from step 4, copy and paste it here	Why w User/Cu: Define th to engag different
and feasibility ð 13mins	 Move on to now you would make the idea a reality, answer the questions below it. Do you think the idea needs to be changed or use a different one, then change it Add a timer from Miro for 15 minutes 	B	Reason t Why wor with you

Step 7: Repeat, if necessary

Step 5: Alignment wit**St@rfaihisation**tions





Step 6: Checking desisterpility lanstructure

Why would people engage ?	How do you make it ha	ppen ?	
Jser/Customer: Define the user whom you intend o engage ? (could be multiple different people)	Resources: What resources do you already have for executing the idea? (Expertise, finance, etc.)	Partners: Based on the required resources, What partners do you need to collaborate with ? Could you collaborate with	Partners: What's the incentive for the collaborators to be a part of it ?
	Resources: What resources do you not have and is required?	existing partners ?	
Reason to Engage: Mhy would the user(s) engage with your organisation ?			