

SATOYAMA 2.0. 里山 2.0.

A New Chapter in the Culturally and Water-Sensitive Satoyama Landscape of Kameoka

文化と水に敏感な亀岡の里山景観の新たな章

katerina pavlou

P5 Presentation June 17th Lab : Designing Resilient Coastal Landscapes (DRCL)

A

Project introduction	Des
Personal fascination & theoretical framework	Lan
Problem analysis	Lan
Context	Reg
Research Questions	Stra
Methodology	
Approach: Analysis	Con

sign goals Idscape strategies

- ndscape principles
- gional application Vision
- ategic interfaces Design

nclusion

B

A

Project introduction

Personal fascination & theoretical framework Problem analysis Context Research Questions Methodology Approach: Analysis

Project introduction Upstream and downstream of the Basin



Upstream - Suzuka Mountain Range Shiga Prefecture - Ōi River Valley

Downstream - Osaka Bay

Chubu water networks

Kansai water networks

Lake Biwa Japan's largest lake

Satoyama · 里山 里 - village 山 - mountain



Aerial photo of Kameoka.

Satoyama - the area between mountain foothills and arable flat land. A mosaic of mixed forests, rice paddy fields, dry rice fields, grasslands, streams, ponds, and reservoirs for irrigation.

Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Understanding the landscape typology





Posed problem Complex Challenges: River Landscapes in Japan within the Anthropocene Epoch

floods environmental degradation and cultural decline

result of human interventions and urbanisation



Nanatani River banks-soft edges are transformed into concrete hard edges.



https://japantoday.com/category/features/opinions/ japan-is-paying-families-¥1-million-to-move-to-countryside---but-it-won't-make-tokyo-any-smaller

Posed problem Complex Challenges: River Landscapes in Japan within the Anthropocene Epoch

Earth's geology ecosystems and river environments



Sky News. (n.d.). Japan typhoon: Deaths and evacuations. Retrieved from https://news.sky.com/story/japan-typhoon-deaths-and-evacuations-10434185

Project introduction

Personal fascination & theoretical framework

Problem analysis Context Research Questions Methodology Approach: Analysis

Personal fascination & theoretical foundations Fascination-Motivation

Entropy is the measure of disorder, and it reveals the steady impact of time effects on landscapes. As we witness the unfolding of geological time, we are confronted with the profound interconnectedness of human activities and natural processes. Our responsibility lies not only in preserving what remains but also in understanding our role within the broader narrative of Earth's history.

An interpretation inspired by Robert Smithson's ideas

. Considering the ethical dimensions of integrating entropy and geological time within the context of landscape management, design, and sustainability.

Personal fascination & theoretical foundations Foundations

Landscape authenticity Landscape - based approach

spatial quality and identity looking at the landscape as an integrated whole: a living system, history and spatial experience

Genius loci - the spirit of the place

The relationship between human and environment

Geologic time -Robert Smithson

Explore the long-term of actions The relationship between human actions and the natural world

Personal fascination & theoretical foundations Geomorphic interractions

1 Am

Geology

- sediments (sedimentary rocks)
- Igneous rock
- Terraced sediment
- mixed rock
- sandstone
- []

 []

 Chart
- sediments (sedimentary rocks)

— Kameoka river basin

Sections

0 2.5 5 km

Dynamic Relationships and processes of: landforms geological forces influence of the river 1.00

0 4

the second

Project introduction Personal fascination & theoretical framework Problem analysis

Context Research Questions Methodology Approach: Analysis

Problem analysis Problem focus

River landscapes in Japan, such us Kameoka and Oi River subsystem, face challenges including floods

- ecosystem degradation,
- water quality decline
- and cultural loss

due to human activities, reflecting the impactful interventions of the Anthropocene epoch.

Problem analysis Problem statement

There is an urgent need to develop comprehensive and sustainable strategies for river landscape management in regions like Kameoka and Oi River, addressing ecological preservation cultural revitalization and flood mitigation to protect the environment and cultural heritage transitioning towards more sustainable practices

Problem analysis Proposition

The project proposes an integrated approach within landscape architecture that establishes an inclusive framework for managing river landscapes, using Japan as a paradigm. Prioritizing sustainable principles and strategies, interventions will focus on understanding and respecting the diverse forms of existence both human and non-human.

Project introduction Personal fascination & theoretical framework Problem analysis Context

Research Questions Methodology Approach: Analysis









Context Water flow dynamics

ALL TRANS

hydrological characteristics

drainage patterns

northword flow

Flow direction



0 2.5 5 km



Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Upstream and downstream of Katsura River



Urban Paddy fields

Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Upstream and downstream of Katsura River



Urban Paddy fields

Project introduction Personal fascination & theoretical framework Problem analysis Context Research Questions Methodology Approach: Analysis

Research question Main research question

How can landscape-based approach play a fundamental role as a key factor for comprehensive and sustainable management of the Ōi River (大井川, Katsura River) watershed? In the context of the Kameoka riverfront community, what landscape-based design strategies and principles can be translated spatialy to address but also make use of the potentials of diverse challenges, including ecological preservation, cultural revitalization, and urban resilience?

Research question Main research question + subquestions

How did the Ōi River landscape operate in the past, and what is its current condition?
 DIAGNOSIS

1.1. How do the aspects of the Anthropocene influence the overall ecological health and adaptation to seasonality in the Ōi River watershed? **CHALLENGES + POTENTIALS**

2. What landscape-based principles and strategies are essential for mitigating flood hazards while enhancing the cultural importance of Kameoka?
 DESIGN TOOLBOX: LANDSCAPE PRINCIPLES + NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS

How can **landscape-based approach play a fundamental role as a as a key factor for comprehensive and sustainable management of the Ōi River** (大井川, Katsura River) watershed? In the context of the Kameoka riverfront community, **what landscape-based design strategies and principles** can be **translated spatialy to address** but also make use of the potentials of diverse challenges, including **ecological preservation, cultural revitalization, and urban resilience**?

3. How can they be applied to the context of Kameoka? **SPATIAL DESIGN IMPLEMENTATION**

Project introduction Personal fascination & theoretical framework Problem analysis Context Research Questions Methodology Approach: Analysis

Methodology





Regional Vision

Principles application

Design locations

Site 1

Site 2

Site 3

Reflection

Conclusion

L.A Perspective

Ethical Perspective

Methodology



critical method for gathering experiential data and insights

Methodology





generation.

opportunity for collaboration, cultural exchange, and innovative idea

Project introduction Personal fascination & theoretical framework Problem analysis Context Research Questions Methodology Approach: Analysis

Landscape of Kameoka Before Kameoka Basin was a big lake in the past - Jōmon period



Satoyama 0.0. landscape of Kameoka Before Landscape dynamics - Edo period 1600-1868

Establishing the fundamental relationship between humans and the landscape



Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Before Traditional landscape practise -water connection 1929



Oi river (Katsura river) water transport (Kameoka site)

Oi river (Katsura river) water transport (Kyoto site)

Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Before People used to have a strong connection with the land



cultural relationship
Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Before People used to have a strong connection with the land



spiritual/sacret relationship

Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Before People used to have a strong connection with the land



sense of place





Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Now High-risk flooding area



Flooding situation Yagi Town Typhoon No. 13, 1953





Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Now Forest layer



Tree trunks were used for water transportation, highlighting historical human interference in the forest ecosystem

Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Now

Sediment erosion cause



river edges transformed from soft, natural to hardened concrete structures



Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Past and Present Overview

precipitation

water table

ground water





ground water



Satoyama landscape of Kameoka Limited seasonality adaptation



Satoyama landscape categories Headwaters and Foothills

A terracing system:

- . to maximize arable land
- . prevent soil erosion
- . constant water supply strengthen the

water managemen



paddy fields terracing system (satoyama landscape feature) that creates a harmony between nature and agriculture



Satoyama landscape categories Headwaters and Foothills



settlement fence created from the sediment transport through the river sediment flow

> sediment use as building material and creates infrastructure for flood protection of the cultural-historical layer

stream flow is slower sediment

sediment was used as a natural material for flood protection











Satoyama landscape categories Flatlands - Main river stream



The riparian agriculture practiced in the flatlands benefits from the fertile soil derived from the river's alluvial deposits







This is one of the most important areas where the Ayu Modoki fish thrives



Satoyama landscape categories Flatlands - Main river stream



B

Design goals

Landscape strategies Landscape principles Regional application - Vision Strategic interfaces

Conclusion

Design goals Design with nature





Design goals Landscape strategies

Landscape principles Regional application - Vision Strategic interfaces

Conclusion

Landscape strategies



Flood-Resilient Design and Floodplain Management



Preservation of Natural Habitats





-increase water volumes and depth and flow -restore wetlands

-wood debris - provides habitat shading -increase aquatic organisms

-deep roots to maintain bank structure and reduce erosion -native vegetation

Protect urban layer - flood mitigation

Design goals Landscape strategies Landscape principles Regional application - Vision Strategic interfaces

Conclusion

Landscape principles Flatlands - Main river stream On-line bays creation

Backwaters



Landscape principles

Hillsides - Paddy fields



Landscape principles

Hillsides - Paddy fields



'Sacrifice' paddy fields for irrigation, rotation and biodiversity rise

Landscape principles



flow diversity reduce bank erosion

Reduce runoff, and contribute to groundwater recharge

Design goals Landscape strategies Landscape principles Regional application - Vision Strategic interfaces

Conclusion



Regional application The essential basis layer

The natural context

relief water, soil geological substructure climate





1

~

1. 4

Regional application First layer









Natural processes activation:

green-blue networks green edges



Regional application Second layer









Utilize paddy fields

for agriculture and their sponge capacity



Regional application Third layer











Integration of paddy fields

in water management by storing rainwater and reducing soil erosion



Regional application Fourth layer







Activating the slopes implementation of terraced paddy fields


Regional application Fifth layer







Maintaining Satoyama Forest

Arable land

- Water-Tolerant Land
- Paddy fields
- Paddy fields

Riparian zone







- Red pine community
- Quercus colony
- Bamboo forest
- Deciduous orchards

Urrban Landuse

Urban





Maintaining the satoyama forest using local traditional practices

Landscape strategies	
Landscape principles	
Regional application - Vision	
Strategic interfaces	1. Flatland
	2. Flatland
Conclusion	3. Headwa

Design goals

ds - Oi River ds - Hillsides aters



Natural Context as the Basis

On-line Bays

Backwater

Old Meanders Restoration

Braided Streams

Floodplain Activation







Softened River Edges

Restore Riparian-Bio Defenses











Revitalize Vegetation Layer:

Productive Islands

Trees

Wetlands

Tree Lines in the Pasture











Flatlands - Ōi (Katsura) River Landscape state during a controlled flood event Every 10 years



Exisitng river edge





Existing shore line

Softened/ expanded river edge



Flatlands - Ōi (Katsura) River Flood safety and Ecology Flora and Fauna

Softened/ expanded river edge





Upland habitat

| New shore line



Water chestnut Trapa jeholensis



Broadleaf cattail Typha latifolia



Water-chickweed Stellaria aquatica

Flatlands - Ōi (Katsura) River Flood safety and Ecology Flora and Fauna

Softened/ expanded river edge





Cyprinidae



Loanchidae



Goby

Flatlands - Ōi (Katsura) River Flood safety and Ecology Flora and Fauna

Softened/ expanded river edge





Kingfisher



Oriental stork



Cormoran

Water transportation



Satoyama 2.0 landscape taking action 2024



Satoyama 2.0 landscape 2054



Satoyama 2.0 landscape control flood situation



Design goals Landscape strategies Landscape principles Regional application - Vision Strategic interfaces

Conclusion

Flatlands - Oi River
Flatlands - Hillsides
Headwaters

Flatlands - Hillsides Agricultural, Cultural, and Ecological Aspects



Flatlands - Hillsides Agricultural, Cultural, and Ecological Aspects



traditional agricultural practice of 'tanada' - sustainable farming and water managemen

Flatlands - Hillsides Agricultural, Cultural, and Ecological Aspects











Flatlands - Hillsides Agriculture in the past



Flatlands - Hillsides Agriculture in 15 years

promoting groundwater recharge

recreation

community engagement



Design goals	
Landscape strategies	
Landscape principles	
Regional application - Vision	
Strategic interfaces	
Conclusion	

Flatlands - Oi River
Flatlands - Hillsides
Headwaters

Headwaters and Forest Knitting Together- Restoring the Satoyama Forest







2024

knit forest together

implementing contour planting design

Knitting Together- Restoring the Satoyama Forest

Current situation



Knitting Together- Restoring the Satoyama Forest

Creating Dikes



Knitting Together- Restoring the Satoyama Forest

Planting Indigenous tree species



Knitting Together- Restoring the Satoyama Forest

Wet Season: Collecting runoff water & infiltration



Headwaters Knitting Together- Restoring the Satoyama Forest

Ecology



Mammals: Japanese Marten

Forest Garden









Cultural/sacred Spaces







Birds: Japanese Pheasant

Reptiles: Japanese Rat Snake

Insects: Giant Japanese Hornet

Groundwater recharge

Headwaters Knitting Together- Restoring the Satoyama Forest

embracing the sacred significance of Shintoism



Headwaters and Forest Knitting Together- Restoring the Satoyama Forest

Shiiba-Style Forestry -selective logging and planting to maintain forest health continuous regeneration biodiversity 1 2

0...0..0..0.

W. North



Design goals	
Landscape strategies	
Landscape principles	
Regional application - Vision	
Strategic interfaces	1. Flatland
	2. Flatland

SYNOPSIS - CONCLUSION

Flatlands - Oi River
Flatlands - Hillsides
Headwaters

Satoyama 2.0. principles Across the region of Kameoka



Flatlands - Hillsides



Satoyama 2.0. principles Exploring the long-term of actions







Water transportion

Satoyama 2.0 taking action 2024



Satoyama 2.0 landscape 2054

Satoyama 2.0 landscape control flood situation



Workshop and field visit, Kameoka, Japan Design goals Landscape strategies Landscape principles Regional application - Vision Strategic interfaces

Conclusion

Conclusion

Explored ecological preservation, cultural revitalization, and flood mitigation as interconnected challenges in river landscapes

Addressed the urgent need to manage river landscapes in water-sensitive territories like Kameoka and the Oi River watershed

Planning and design face **limitations** in addressing complex river landscape challenges in places like Kameoka and the Oi River watershed due to their interdisciplinary nature, requiring collaboration across diverse fields

Biases in design often favor modernization and infrastructure-centric solutions, overlooking the cultural and ecological values of traditional landscape practices

Questions posed are complex and cannot be fully answered using current tools and approaches in landscape and design.

Such us how to incorporate diverse cultural and ecological values into landscape management and how to balance modernization with the preservation of traditional landscapes.

Eembrace ongoing reflection and adaptation to address evolving challenges, ensuring that designs remain relevant, responsive, and resilient in an ever-changing world