Bloemkoolwijken - the New Vernacular?

Exploring the potentials of regional bio-based materials for the facade renovation of Bloemkoolwijken in the Netherlands

> P5 presentation 23/06/2023 Julia Ravensbergen Building Technology Graduation Studio

Mentor: Marcel Bilow Mentor: Andy Jenkins Delegate board of examiners: André Mulder

01. Introduction

02. **Research**

03. Experimentation

> 04. **Look-books**

05. Proof of concept

> 06. **Conclusions**

6%



0.1. Introduction





Preface

Post-war housing 1940s-1950s:

Bloemkoolwijk 1960s-1970s:



Urban Linear streets Private Car



Suburban Organic Social Slow traffic

Preface





A Building's Shearing Layers (after Brand, 1994)

01. | Problem Statement

Structure 50-100y



Need for Renovation

65



01. | Problem Statement



Emissions building sector (after IISD, 2022)

Building construction and operations

12

36%

01. | Problem Statement

Construction Industry 11%



Emissons of the Building Sector

10

01. | Problem Statement



C3

"Most modern buildings exist in a "nowhere"; they are not related to a landscape and not to a coherent, urban whole, but live their abstract life in a kind of mathematical-technological space which hardly distinguishes between up and down"

- Cristian Norberg Schulz



NIKS ZEGGEN - ABCOUDE? - NUKERK? - BARENDRECHT? -LEUSDEN? - JULIANADORP? - GLIMMERVEEN? - HOORN? -WUHE? -



Little to No Sense of Place

85



01. | Problem Statement



"Renovation by using Bio-Based Materials from the Surrounding Landscape"

6%

of 'Bloemkoolwijken'

 \wedge

01. | Design Assignment



Field research

Research-by-design



Vernacular Architecture



Regional Landscapes



Neighborhoods

Vernacular Architecture



"Gain knowledge on the application of bio-based materials in a low-tech manner."

02. | Vernacular Architecture





02. | Vernacular Architecture



02. | Sumbanese Vernacular Indonesia



Dependant on climate, available materials, and crafts Identity still visible today



Under treath





Regional Landscapes



"Gain knowledge on the the different regional landscapes that can be found in the Netherlands."

02. | Research



Data: (PDOK, Dataset: Fysisch Geografische Regio's)





Selection of a case-study in each landscape

Duinpark - Noordwijk

0

Camminghaburen - Leeuwarden

02. | Selection of case-study









Camminghaburen - Leeuwarden





Duinoord - Noordwijk



02. | Landscape model surrounding landscape





De Fazant - Dronten







02. | Peat landscape







Sand

Height differences

Vegetation that is adapted to nutrient-poor soil

Lower vegetation towards beach Patches of pine trees

02. | Sand Landscape









Clay

Agricultural practices

Farmhouses

Monoculture

Rectangular grid

02. | Clay Landscape





02. | Impression



Neighborhoods



"Gain knowledge on the characteristics of the neighborhoods"

02. | Neighborhoods



Noordwijk









Dronten





| 🛸












01. Exterior

--

19%s



Dakraan

Gewapend beton

Stucplafond

Renovation strategies



Facade Renovation Strategies



Renovation strategies

3. Renovation

1

Facade Renovation Strategies



02. | Renovation strategies

10



.03. Experimentation

"Gain knowledge on the application and properties of the different materials"







Reed from a reedcutter Loam from a company in Lekkerkerk

03. | Getting the materials

Flax from Marktplaats







Visiting Oskam in Lekkerkerk, experts in Loam construction

03. | Learning from experts

















03. | Loam experiments



· Very little water necessary, otherwise it becomes brittle

- looks

· Adding straw, flax or hemp gives it more structural strength

· Which of those did not really make a difference, only in







- · Molding, especially first ones
- · Shrinkage by 50%
- · Sides started to curl
- · Smell of the seaweed
- · Very little spirulina necessary
- · Crushed shells work well for aesthetics

cially first ones 0% curl aweed lina necessary work well for





- · Reed can be tight in different ways

- · Clamping it in between a frame could work as cladding

Time consuming
Pushing it down with wood works easiest



Research

Vernacular Architecture

Bio-based materials in vernacular designs

Regional Landscapes

Different regional landscapes & characteristics

Neighborhoods

Characteristics & renovation needs

Translation to

Look-books

Bundled renovation possibilities per landscape

Experimentation

Hands-on knowledge materials



Look Book 1

> PEAT LANDSCAPE

> > 85

Look Book 2

> SAND LANDSCAPE

04. | Three look-books





04. | Peat Landscape

Look

Book

1



CONTRENT On Character Landscape On Information Plant On Product Framework On Product Sketches On Renovation Criteria On Tectonics On Proof of Concept



CONTENT

O1. Character Landscape
 O2. Information Plant
 O3. Product Framework
 O4. Product Sketches
 O5. Renovation Criteria
 O6. Tectonics
 O7. Proof of Concept



05. Renovation Criteria







04. | Material Information



04. | Material Information

P1 CATTAIL Typha latifolia

Used for: Insulation

Growing time:

24 weeks (1)

Thickness:

144 mm*

Cattail is a perennial herbaceous plant, meaning the part above ground dies each winter but the roots remain alive. It is native to wetlands on wet soils or shallow waters like rivers, marsches and lakes in temperate regions of the world. The plant is tall and slender with long leaves that can grow between 1.5- and 3-meters height. The plant is known for its distinctive brown, cylindrical flower spike, which is actually made up of thousands of small, denselypacked flowers (Flora van Nederland, 2023). Cattails can grow extensively in favorable conditions and are sometimes even considered a pest (Bestman, et al., 2019).

Yield: 20t of dry mass/ha (1)

Insulation properties: Lambda = 0.052 W/mK(2)

1: (Wichtmann, Schröder, & Joosten, 2016) 2: (Frauenhofer Institute, 2013)

P2

ELEPHANT GRASS Miscanthus giganteus

> Miscanthus, also known as elephant grass, is a perennial grass which can grow up to 4 meters high. The crop propagates itself through rhizomes which are horizontal stems that grow underground. It can be harvested yearly for around 20-25 years without the use of herbicides and weed management (Econcreed, n.d.). Miscanthus has been found to have beneficial effects on soil health and has low nutrient requirements making it easy to grow (Bestman, et al., 2019).

Yield: 20 tons dry mass/ ha (1)

Insulation properties: Lambda = 0.04 W/mK(2)

1: (Wichtmann, Schröder, & Joosten, 2016) 2: (Dias, Jayasinghe, & Waldmann, 2021).

04. | Material Information

Used for: Insulation

Growing time: 1 year (1)

> Thickness: 180mm*

P1 CATTAIL Typha latifolia

P2

ELEPHANT GRASS Miscanthus giganteus

native to wetlands on wet soils or shallow waters

rivers, marsches and lakes in temperate regions of the world. The plant is tall and slender with long leaves that

above ground dies each winter but the roots remain alive. It is native to wetlands on wet soils or shallow waters like 1.5- and 3-meters height.

distinctive brown, cylindrical flower spike,

The plant is tall and slender with long leaves

nes even considered a pest (Bestman, et al., 201

Yield: 20t of dry mass/ha (1)

Insulation properties: Lambda = 0.052 W/mK(2)

2: (Frauenhofer Institute, 2013)

1: (Wichtmann, Schröder, & Joosten, 2016)

Thickness:

Insulation properties: Lambda = 0.04 W/mK(2)

(Wichtmann, Schröder, & Joosten, 2016) (Dias, Jayasinghe, & Waldmann, 2021).

04. | Material Information

Used for: Insulation

Miscanthus, also known as elephant grass, is a perennial grass which can grow up to 4 meters high. The crop propagates itself through rhizomes which are horizontal stems that grow underground. It can be harvested yearly for around 20-25 years without the use of herbicides and weed management (Econcreed, n.d.). Miscanthus has been found to have beneficial effects on soil health and has low nutrient requirements making it easy to grow (Bestman, et al., 2019).

> Growing time: 1 year (1)

> > Thickness: 180mm*

P3

Phragmites australis

P4 WILLOW TREE Salix

Yield: 6-24 tons dry mass/ ha (1)

Insulation properties: Lambda = 0.06 W/mK (2)

> 1: (Wichtmann, Schröder 2: (Malheiro, et al., 2021

1: (Wichtmann, Schröder, & Joosten, 2016) 2: (Malheiro, et al., 2021). Growing time: 1 year (1)

> Thickness: 270 mm*

> > 10

Used for: Cladding Binding material

willow belongs to the Salix genus which includes und 400 different species. It has a preference moist soil and is known for its ability to tolerate oding. The willow tree is one of the fastest growing as and can grow up to 30 centimeters per year. branches of willow trees, known as willow res, are slender, flexible and grow rapidly. Annual larding of the willow trees generates large quantities willow withies (Flora van Nederland, 2013).

ld: 13 tons dry mass/ ha es 7y of age) (1)

lestman, et al., 2019)

04. | Material Information

Growing time: 1 year (1) **P5**

ALLOLEIR TIRLEE Alnus glutinosa

Used for: Sub-structure Foundation Cabinetry Doors

The Alder tree grows in vari moist and cool conditions such 2022). They have a high-we release a significant amount or n

can grow up to 24m in height, although this is rare. They usually have multiple trunks, and the bark is black-brown and strongly grooved (Flora van Nederland, 2013). The tree is able to host the nitrogen-fixing bacterium Frankia alni in its roots. This enables the plant to grow in otherwise unsuitable, low-nutrient areas such as wastelands; where it can serve as a pioneer species and help improve the quality of the soil over time (Designing Buildings, 2022).

Yield:

2.56-4.75 m3 / ha dry matter (1) Growing time: 15-20 years (1)

125

1: (Aosaar, Varik, & Uri, 2012)

* Estimated thickness based on R= 4.5 m2K/W ** If left blank, no information available

04. | Material Information













The process of converting vegetation into a building material follows a structured approach, which involves three key steps leading to the creation of a final building component.

65

04. | Production Framework

PROCESSING | initial process after harvesting

-		
BAILING	CUTTING	PRESSING
	A PA	
Π	4.4.4	

SUPPORT | putting the products on the facade

The process of converting vegetation into a building material follows a structured approach, which involves three key steps leading to the creation of a final building component.



ATTACHING | attachments that are needed in the process



04. | Production Framework



04. | Production Framework

Miscanthus pressed panel directly on facade

Miscanthus bailed on substructure

Reed in box

Typha pressed panel directly on facade

Typha pressed panel between frame



Miscanthus pressed panel directly on facade

Miscanthus bailed on substructure

Typha pressed panel between frame
Insulation





Miscanthus bailed on substructure

Miscanthus pressed panel directly on facade



Reed in box







Typha pressed panel be-tween frame

63

Cladding





Reed clamped by wooden slat





frame



frame



04. Product Sketches

04. | Product Sketches



Reed clamped in frame

Reed binded together

Reed bundled together

Willow withies weaved on

Willow withies nailed on

Willow withies ramdomly nailed on substructure

Insulation



Miscanthus pressed panel directly on facade



Miscanthus bailed on substructure



Reed in box



Typha pressed panel directly on facade



Typha pressed panel between frame



Cladd

Reed clamped in frame



Reed clamped by wooden slat



Reed binded together



Reed bundled together



- No

Willow withies weaved on frame



Willow withies nailed on frame



Willow withies ramdomly nailed on substructure

04. | Product Sketches





The different options can be evaluated based on factors that are important for sustainable renovation. The Pugh chart method can be employed to assign scores ranging from -1, 0, or 1 to each option. This scoring serves as a rough estimation of their performance in relation to the chosen criteria.

Scoring

1. Demountability (take away from the facade)

- -1. The element can not be taken away from the facade
- 0. The element can be taken away from the facade, but requires more work 1: The element can easily be taken away from the facade

2. Reusability

- -1. It is hard to re-use the element as insulation after use
- 0: It is possible to re-use*the element as insulation after use, but with less quality
- 1: It is possible to re-use the element as insulation after use

3. Repurpose

- -1: It is not possible to find another purpose for the element after use
- 0: It is hard but possible to find another purpose for the element after use
- 1: It is possible to find another purpose for the element after use

4. Recyclability (take apart)

- -1. It is not possible to take the whole element apart to recycle after use
- 0: It is partly possible to take the whole element apart to recycle after use
- 1: It is possile to take the whole element apart to recycle after use

5. Insulating properties

-1: The element preforms worse compared to other insulation materials 0: The element performs average compared to other insulation 1: The element performs better compared to others

6. Abundance

- -1: The material is not abundant in the landscape
- 0: The material is present in the landscape
- 1: The material is abudant in the landscape

7. Lifespan

- -1: The lifespan of the product is short compared to others in the category
- 0: The lifespan of the product is average compared to others in the category
- 1: The lifespan of the product is good compared to others in the category

04. | Renovation Criteria



Scoring

1. Demountability (take away from the facade)

- -1: The element can not be taken away from the facade
- 1: The element can easily be taken away from the facade

2. Reusability

- -1: It is hard to re-use the element as insulation after use
- 0: It is possible to re-use the element as insulation after use, but with less quality
- 1: It is possible to re-use the element as insulation after use

3. Repurpose

- -1: It is not possible to find another purpose for the element after use
- 0: It is hard but possible to find another purpose for the element after use
- 1: It is possible to find another purpose for the element after use

4. Recyclability (take apart)

- -1: It is not possible to take the whole element apart to recycle after use
- 0: It is partly possible to take the whole element apart to recycle after use
- 1: It is possile to take the whole element apart to recycle after use

5. Insulating properties

- -1: The element preforms worse compared to other insulation materials
- 0: The element performs average compared to other insulation
- 1: The element performs better compared to others

6. Abundance

- -1: The material is not abundant in the landscape
- 0: The material is present in the landscape
- 1: The material is abudant in the landscape

7. Lifespan

- -1: The lifespan of the product is short compared to others in the category
- 0: The lifespan of the product is average compared to others in the category
- 1: The lifespan of the product is good compared to others in the category

04. | Renovation Criteria

0: The element can be taken away from the facade, but requires more work

Once various vegetation options have been identified, they can be combined in different variations on the facade. These combinations can be explored through various layouts and arrangements. This section showcases a range of these possibilities and provides conceptual sketches to illustrate what they could look like.



VERTICAL





05. Tectonics



21-1









Miscanthus bailed on substructure













04. | Proof of concept

3.0 | Miscanthus insulation

6.0 | Window sill



04. | Proof of concept

anthus insulation

Window sill











04. | Sketch Impression - Clay Landscape

.0.5. Proof of concept









05. | Case-study





Wooden casting Wooden frame for louvres Wooden window sill Willow louvers outside window Thatched reed cladding Willow panel Wooden casting bench Wooden panel frame **Reed panel** Reed inside of the bench Willow Reed Wood

05. | Materials within the landscape



Typha insulation



Cattail

- 1. Existing facade

- 6. Willow withies on rail
- 7. Reed bench

05. | Exploded view 6.

2. Prefab miscanthus insulation elements Reed thatched on prefab elements
Reed and willow panels screwed on prefab element
Wooden windowsill



05. | Technical Drawings

Existing roof beam Wooden panel, 8mm Cattail insulation, 140mm Wooden panel, 8mm Thatched reed, 185mm

Existing roof beam
Existing reinforced concrete floor
Existing brick facade, 30 mm
Wooden panel, 8 mm
Wooden beam, 35mm
Cattail insulation, 140 mm
Wooden beam, 35mm
Thatched reed, 185 mm

Existing flooring Existing reinforced concrete floor Existing plaster ceiling







Existing sand-lime brick
Existing cavity (extra insulation if nerestating brick facade
Wooden frame, 8 mm
Wooden beam, 35 mm
Cattail insulation, 140 mm
Wooden beam, 35 mm
Wooden beam, 35 mm
Thatched reed, 185 mm



Wooden window frame Rails for louvres Wooden frame, 20mm Willow withies nailed on frame, 50mm

Wooden frame 50mm Reed filled bench

Pressure resistant (insulation) plate screwed to concrete beam, 100 mm Mold resistant plate, 16 mm

Existing flooring, 35 mm Existing concrete flooring, 145 mm Existing reinforced concrete beam (with insulation), 350x400cm Existing reinforced concrete beam, 270x400cm Pressure resistant insulation, 100mm



Heat transfer coefficient (U) W/(m2K)

U-value without renovation: $R_{t}=2.65 [m^{2}K/W]$ $U = 0.38 W/(m^2K)$

Bio-based renovation Rt=9.70 [m2K/W] U = 0.10 W/(m2K)

Meets passive housing requirements (U<0.15 W/(m2K))

05. | Calculations







Environmental Impact (GWP)* CO2-eq./m2 wall

New Construction

mortar, calvanized steel)

+ 84 kg CO2 eq./m2

Conventional Renovation (mineral wool, PE foil, mortar, calvanized steel)

+ 10.41 kg CO2 eq./m2

Bio-Based Renovation

(cattail insulation, construction wood, reed cladding, screws)

-62,14 kg CO2 eq./m2

*Based on LCA from CINARK, the German database, Ökobaudat and for reet from "Nachwachsende Rohstoffe e.V" (Beim et. al., 2023).

05. | Calculations

(New bricks, aerated concrete, mineral wool, PE foil,



Costs €/m2 wall

Conventional Renovation (mineral wool, PE foil, mortar, calvanized steel)

€ 76.78/m2

Bio-Based Renovation screws)

€ 128,76 /m2

-Can not only be measured in price -Still a small production -Carbon tax





05. | Calculations



05. | Calculations

Space Requirements One street Based on m3 needed, yield, density crop and hectares/m3



x 10

x 10

0 20 40 m



Heat transfer coefficient (U) 0.10 W/(m2K)

Environmental Impact (GWP) -62,14 kg CO2 eq./m2

Costs € 128,76 /m2

Space requirements 1.2 ha/house

05. | Calculations










"What are the potentials of regional bio-based materials for add-on facade renovation of Bloemkoolwijken in the Netherlands?"





Need for Renovation



Emissons of the Building Sector



Little to No Sense of Place Bio-based materials from the regional landscape can be used to renovate, resulting in minimal to no emissions compared to conventional building materials, while also creating a regionspecific identity. · Every landscape and material has it's own qualities · Important to consider the specific needs of the building, vegetation available and their properties.

- · Look-books provide a comprehensive overview
- · Proof of concept showed more in-depth knowledge
- · Still some obstacles in implementation like costs and uncertainties.
- · But research and pioneers are crucial in making this feasible and successful!

Thanks for listening!

Questions?



Regional bio-based materials

Vernacular architecture

Highly influenced by climate, available materials and craftmanship in the area

Regional landscapes

· Three main categories being: clay, sand and peat landscapes.

Vegetation

- Peat landscape: vegetation that thrives in wet and marschy conditions
- Sand landscape: vegetation that is adapted to nutrient poor soil
- Clay landscape: fertile soil with vegetation often for agricultural practices

Cauliflower neighborhoods

Defining features

- Defining features include: organic shape, 'woonerf' principle, single family homes and aesthetically modest.
- Add-on renovation most suitable because of improvement insulation and aesthetics

Case-studies

影

- 'Camminghaburen' Leeuwarden in peat landscape
- 'Duinoord', Noordwijk in sand landscape
- 'De Fazant', Dronten in clay landscape

configurations regional

teconics

Facade renovation

Key considerations and requirements

· Improving the insulation and aesthetics · Futureproofing by making the design as demountable and circular as possible

Numerous possibilities, therefore organized in a framework of processing, structure, attaching and



x 47 - 191

x 526- 1.667

x 125 - 206

0 20 40 m

Materials (in-out)	Thickness (mm)	Lambda Conductivity (λ) [W/mK]	Isolans Resistivity (R) [m²K/W]	
Brick facade	110	0.8	0.1344	
Cavity (air)	60	0.024-0.026	2.40	Existing R _t =2.65 U= 0.38
Sand-lime brick	110	0.8-1.0	0.1194	
Wooden plate	10	0.02	0.5	S. A.
Typha insulation	210	0.052	4.0384	Bio-base R _t =9.70 U= 0.10
Wooden plate	10	0.02	0.5	
Reed cladding	180	0.08-0.1	2.0 -	
2 Starter				and the second

Total (R_t)

\$3

9.6922



ed renovation:) [m²K/W]) W/(m²K)

Environmental impact (GWP) CO2-eq./m2 wall

Simplified to only wall element Based on the LCA of CINARK using on the basis of LCA phases A1: Extraction & harvesting A2: Transportation to factory A3: Manufacturing product

New construction

New bricks 108 + 34.5 kg **Mineral wool** 200 016 **Aerated concrete** 200 + 39.4 kg **PE foil** 0.05 **Mineral wool** 200 + 2.76 kg Mortar **PE foil** 0.05 + 0.4 kg **Calvanized steel** 5 pcs/m² Mortar + 2.75 kg 5 pcs/m² **Calvanized steel** + 4.5 kg

+ 84 kg CO, eq./m²

Conventional Renovation

1

+ 0.4 kg + 2.75 kg

+ 2.76 kg

+ 4.5 kg

+ 10.41 kg CO, eq./m²

Bio-based renovation

and the first of	Carl Martin	
Plywood	20	-10.3
Typha insulation	210	+ 0.0067*
Reed cladding	180	- 41.2
Construction wood	2	- 13.52
Galvanized steel screws		+ 2.87
	A The survey of the	

<u>-62.14 kg CO₂ eq./m²</u>

10

* by .. for the entire production chain if the long term carbon storage is also considered with 0.31 ton co2-eq./ha



Costs materials

Conventional Renovation

	Thickness mm	Cost	Source	Total
Mineral wool	200	9.79 (m2)	(Gamma, 2023)	9,79
PE Foil	0.05	39.89 (10m2)	(Gamma, 2023)	3.99
Steel cladding	5 pcs / m2	(Starting at) 50 (m2)	(Gamma, 2023)	50
Screws	25 pcs.	25,99 50 pcs.	(Gamma, 2023)	13
	the start		in the second	
				76.78 /m2

Bio-Based Renovation

	Thickness mm	Cost	Source	Total
Plywood	20	12,99 (1x1x0.01m)	(Gamma, 2023)	25,98
Cattail insulation	210	310	(Frauenhofer,2013)	65,1
		(1x1x1m)	and a straight	1 Section of the
Reed cladding	180	27-36 (1x1m)	(Federatie Rietdekkers, 2023)	30
Construction wood	2	21,49	(Gamma, 2023)	7,16
An Provinsi Conferr	and the second of	(0.045x0.07x3)	3 S. H	
Galvanized steel	5 005	25,99	(Gamma, 2023)	0,52
screws	o pes.	50 pcs.	and the second	
				and the second

128,76 /m2



Material needed



REED

WOOD

Window Sill

Frame Louvres

0.0391 m3

0.182 m3 Frame Insulation

Frame Bench

Frame Facade Panels

24 * 0.00137 = 0.03288 m3

facade: 7.5 * (0.0235 + 2 *

roof: 5.5* 0.479 = 2.63 m3

0.0262) = 0.057m3

total: 3.45 m3

0.0047 + (2*0.0072) + (2*0.01) =

0.888 m3

<u>Thatch</u> facade: 2.36 m3 roof: 4.30 m3 <u>Panels</u> 10*0.004 m3 = 0.04 m3 <u>Bench</u> 0.373 m3

6.66 m³

Stand Inter

0.373 m³

total: 7.073 m3

0.04 m³

0.3288 m³

3.20 m³

WILLOW

ТҮРНА

Facade

4.35 m3

6.37 m3

total: 10.72 m3

Roof

Facade Panels 14 * 0.04 = 0.056 m3 Window Panels 52 * 0.0025 = 0.133 m3

7.5 panels: 7.5 x 0.579 =

roof 11 panels:11 x 0.579 =

total: 0.19 m3

0.056 m³

0.133 m³

5.155 m

Yield Vegetation

Cattail: 20t of dry mass/hectare (Frauenhofer Institute, 2013) Reed: 6 - 24 tons dry mass/ hectare (Wichtmann, Schröder, & Joosten, 2016) Willow: 6 - 13 tons dry mass/ hectare (trees 7y of age) (Bestman, et al., 2019) (Alder)Wood: 17 tons dry mass / hactare / year (Aosaar, Varik, & Uri, 2012)

Density

Cattail: 63 kg / m3 (Material District, 2013) Reed: 583 kg / m3 (Malheiro, et al., 2021) Willow: 400 -600 kg / m3 (Engineering ToolBox, 2004) (Alder)wood: 420 - 680 kg / m3 (Engineering ToolBox, 2004)

Hectares/m3 Material

Cattail: 20.000 kg / hectare -> 31.74 m3 / hectare Reed: 6.000-24.000 kg / hectare -> 10.29 - 41.17 m3 / hectare Willow: 6.000-13.000 kg / hectare -> 10.00 - 32.5 m3 / hectare (Alder)wood: 17.000 kg / hectare -> 25 - 40.48 m3 / hectare

Hectares Needed ((Hectares/m3) / (Material needed))

Cattail: 21.44 m3 -> 0.68 hectare / entire house Reed: 2 * 7.073 m3 / 10.29-41.17 = 0.34 -1.37 hectare / entire house Willow: 2* 0.19 m3 / 10.00 - 32.5 = 0.038 - 0.012 hectare / entire house (Alder)Wood: 2*3.45 m3 / 25-40.48 = 0.17-0.28 hectare / entire house

1 ha = 10.000 m2

Cattail: 0.68* 10.000= <u>6 800 m2</u> Reed: 0.34 -1.37* 10 000 = <u>3 400 - 13 700 m2</u> Willow: 0.038 - 0.012 * 10 000 = <u>120 - 380 m2</u> (Alder)Wood: 0.17-0.28 hectare * 10 0000= <u>1 700 - 2</u> -<u>800 m2</u>

