

Framing Reformulation

Cavallo, R.

Publication date Document Version Final published version Published in CA2RE+ Ljubljana, book of proceedings

Citation (APA)
Cavallo, R. (2022). Framing Reformulation. In T. Zupančič, & M. Damiš (Eds.), CA2RE+ Ljubljana, book of proceedings: Conference for Artistic and Architectural Research & Collective Evaluation of Design-driven Doctoral Training Programme (pp. 48-51). University of Ljubljana.

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright
Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository 'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project

https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.



Re MU

Book of Proceedings



Conference for Artistic and Architectural Research & Collective Evaluation of Design-driven Doctoral Training Programme

Reformulation —Book—of —Proceedings

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture and Academy of Fine Arts and Design

ReformulationBook of Proceedings

Publishers and copyright: University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture and Academy of Fine Arts and Design, Ljubljana, 2022

Editors: Tadeja Zupančič, Marko Damiš

Design concept, layout and typesetting: Marko Damiš

Organizing Team and Scientific Committee: Tadeja Zupančič and Boštjan Botas Kenda (chairs), Matevž Juvančič, Špela Verovšek, Marko Damiš, Ana Belčič, Emilija Meserko, Lucija Sttrojan, Matevž Bedič, Nika Grošelj, Stanka Duša

Text and images: the respective authors (individual papers and articles) and editors, except for quotes, figures and where otherwise noted. All texts and images are solely the responsibility of their authors. If any errors or omissions have been made regarding copyrights, we will be glad to correct them in the forthcoming edition.

Any reproduction of this book, even partially, is prohibited.

Kataložni zapis o publikaciji (CIP) pripravili v Narodni in univerzitetni knjižnici v Ljubljani COBISS.SI-ID 113119491 ISBN 978-961-7032-41-3 (HTML) ISBN 978-961-7032-42-0 (PDF)

Framing Reformulation

Roberto Cavallo, TU Delft

In contradiction to hard scientific disciplines, Architecture is characterized by an epistemic culture (Knorr Cetina, 1999) encompassing various fields of knowledge. Design, management, history, planning, theory, technology, to mention a few, all have their own area of expertise, own methods and inquiry tools as well as their own ways of reasoning and proving. Specific knowledge is defined in each area (Kurath, 2015) along with specific ways of studying. Nevertheless, even though this richness of subjects and positions is really fascinating, it doesn't provide unequivocal disciplinary ways of conducting research and producing knowledge. This is particularly true in the case design is involved. Architectural design is a complex and commonly a cyclical activity, depending in fact on a large number of external factors, some of them being even rapidly shifting. As matter of fact design itself typically deals with wicked problems (Rittel & Webber, 1973), which are nowadays not any more exceptions but part of the 'new normal' we have to face every day. When it comes to the built environment challenges of today and tomorrow, no doubt that complexity and uncertainty have the upper hand while, at the same time, are difficult entities to get a grip on due to the intricate and varying nature of the controversies that the world is made of (Latour, 2005). Complex and sometimes conflicting arguments or requirements stemming from different disciplinary realms or competences need to be joined together through a process of negotiation in which design fulfills a crucial synergetic role. Therefore, designing is getting more and more a tangled but at the same time also a necessary matter. However, although being par excellence a synthetic act, with its often unique and not replicable outcomes is design the field where the epistemic culture of Architecture is most predominant. Moreover, one must deal with the many facets of design, such as tacit knowledge, unspoken personal motives and actions that are an intrinsic part of the process of designing but

are often hidden despite being fundamental to cope with shifting and contradictory conditions (Cross, 2007).

With this preamble in mind, in the framework of the next CA2RE+ project step dedicated to Reformulation, thoughts and consideration are linking back to the last conference organized by the colleagues of the Hafen City University in Hamburg. An issue to point out would be that reflection and reformulation are going somehow hand in hand. Part of the input for the reformulation is likely to stem from a 'reflection on action' (Schön, 1983) about the way the design has been taking place, and on what could have been done differently in relation to the research premises and / or questions. While such a step would certainly be beneficial, during the last CA2RE+ conference I got extra triggered by Pierre Bourdieu's concept of 'reflexivity' mentioned by Margitta Buchert during her lecture. The interesting issue here is that in Bourdieu's work the notion of 'epistemic reflexivity' (Bourdieu & Wacquant, 1992) is central. Although related to social sciences theory, the idea of bringing into question actions and relations strengthening an 'own position' is considered by Bourdieu not merely individualistic or personal but rather a collective matter. It is the structure and position of the field that need to be analyzed, and its relations with the object of study shape knowledge claims (Bourdieu & Wacquant, 1992). Considering this viewpoint, in a design-driven PhD the reformulation can become more than a crucial moment. It goes almost without saving that reformulation is a phase in which the researcher looks back to the initial statements and research questions, pondering about the motives, approach and results so far. In the case of a design-driven research, the additional logical questions would then be about the role of design, the reasons behind that specific design or designs, but also about the process of designing and the controversies and uncertainties that needed to be faced along the way. Meanwhile, one should try to uncover the

features inherent to the personal design research journey connecting them to more generalizing, sharable, and debatable matters recognizable as peculiar characteristics or connotations of the knowledge field of design. This additional line of thought can be helpful to position and refine the specific design-driven research project, simultaneously supporting the clarification of its knowledge contribution and the terms for its transferability.



Figure 1: Landing Studio / Infra-Space 1: Underground at Ink Block, Boston-South. Photograph by Roberto Cavallo

Literature

Bourdieu, P., Wacquant, L. J. D. (1992). *An invitation to reflexive sociology*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Cross, N. (2006). Designerly Ways of Knowing. London: Springer.

Knorr Cetina, K. (1999). *Epistemic Cultures: How the Sciences Make Knowledge.* Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.

Kurath, M. (2015). Architecture as a Science: Boundary Work and the Demarcation of Design Knowledge from Research. *Science & Technology Studies*, 28, 81-100.

Latour, B. (2005). Reassembling the Social. An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Rittel, W.J., Webber, M.M. (1973). Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences*, 4, 155–169.

Schön, D. A. (1983). The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action. New York: Basic Books.