

What is good **Architecture**?



Utilitas

Firmitas

Venustas



Function

Firmitas

Venustas





Function

Robustness

Venustas







Function

Robustness

Aesthetics

Robustness

/rə(ʊ)ˈbʌstnəs/

The ability to withstand or overcome adverse conditions or rigorous testing.

Robustness

Design keeping the future in mind !!!





Structural Integrity

Comfort ?

Climate Change



Robustness of Building Envelope

Investigating Robust Design Solutions for Energy Efficient Educational Buildings in Future Climate Scenario

P5 | Prateek Wahi

Problem Statement

Research Questions

Analysis

Results

Conclusions

Designer's Tool



Tichaona Dande, 2018 European Commission, 2019



Climate Agreement, Government.nl

Netherlands Climate Agreement



New construction and renovation in **the Netherlands** must achieve **45-80% energy reduction.**

Reduction of heat consumption in buildings by

80% till 2050.

Climate Agreement, Government.nl Gvozdenovic, Maassen, Zeiler, & Besselink, 2015, p.100



Reduce energy Consumption for space heating as low as possible.

Highly insulated building envelopes :

Reduce heat loss due to transmission.

Increase airtightness :

Reduce heat loss due to ventilation.

Glazing Surface :

Maximize solar gain





In summers, due to high insulations and airtightness of the building envelope, the heat gained during the day is unable to escape.

Risk of Overheating



Attia, 2018b; Barbosa, Bartak, Hensen, Loomans, 2015; Kazanci & Olesen, 2016

Overheating is one of the primary causes of

thermal discomfort , which in worst

case scenario may also lead to

illness or death

In **July 2019** Maximum Temperature reached in the Netherlands was **40°C**,.

2,964 deaths 400 more than average.

Correlation between elevated temperature and increased death rates.

> Hamdy, Carlucci, Hoes, & Hensen, 2017 Garssen, Harmsen, & de Beer, 2005; CBS, n.d.





Climate action tracker.org climate.nasa.gov Stocker et al., 2013, p. 1031

Temperature in the Netherlands



Annual mean temperature of Netherlands

has risen to **1.7°C** since **1906**.

KNMI, 2016



90% of the occupants spend their time indoors,

for students its even MOre.

Heracleous & Michael, 2018; Jenkins et al., 2009



With the increasing risk of climate change and its effects on indoor thermal comfort, it is imperative to study the risk of overheating in an energy efficient educational buildings.

Heracleous & Michael, 2018; Jenkins et al., 2009



How to reduce **overheating**?







Zero Carbon Hub , 2012 Graphics Adapted from Gething&Puckett,2013

Problem Statement

Results





Zero Carbon Hub , 2012 Graphics Adapted from Gething&Puckett,2013

Problem Statement

Results





Zero Carbon Hub , 2012 Graphics Adapted from Gething&Puckett,2013

Problem Statement

Results

"Climate Change is a moving target"



The strategies must adapt to the change in the Climate

Passive strategies provides opportunities to interact and adapt to external climate

Gething&Puckett,2013 Knaack, U., Klein, T., Bilow, M., & Auer, T. ,2014

Can passive strategies reduce overheating in Future?

The buildings we design, will stand for next 50 – 100 years.

With the projected rise in temperature due to climate change, the risk of overheating in the future may become severe in energy efficient educational buildings.

> It is imperative to consider the uncertainties of changing climate in low energy buildings.

Therefore, the buildings we design must be

robust

for future climate change.

Therefore, the buildings we design must

perform as expected in presence of uncertainties

of future climate change.

What are the *adaptive strategies* in a temperate climate, applicable to *building envelope* facilitating *robustness* of *energy efficient educational buildings* by reducing the risk of *overheating* in *future climate change scenario*?



What are the influential parameters corresponding to building envelope design?



What are the factors that contribute to the overheating of space?

How to assess overheating ?

What are the future scenarios to be considered for evaluation?




What are the potential spaces which may overheat in case study buildings?

What is the extent of overheating in present and future climate scenarios?



What are the adaptive design/passive design strategies in temperate climate available for building envelope?

Analysis



How to evaluate the robustness of different design solutions in mitigating overheating problems in the present and future climate scenarios?

How robust are different passive design building envelope solutions?

Physical barrier or interface between the conditioned interior space and the external environment.

Regulate Heat loss or gain to maintain comfort and energy efficiency.

An efficient building envelope design

can reduce up to 60% of

heating or cooling loads.



International Energy Agency, 2013

Analysis

Building Envelope

Overheating

Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies

Robustness Evaluation

Components of Building Envelope



Adaptive Strategies

What is Overheating ?

Accumulation of warmth within a building to an extent where it causes discomfort to the occupants.

Zero Carbon Hub , 2012



Sources of Heat gain

1. External Heat Gain



Zero Carbon Hub , 2012

Analysis

Sources of Heat gain

1. External Heat Gain

2. Internal Heat Gain



Zero Carbon Hub , 2012

Analysis

Sources of Heat gain

1. External Heat Gain

2. Internal Heat Gain

3. Inadequate Ventilation



NHBC, 2012



Building Envelope	Overheating	Case Studies	Adaptive Strategies	Robustness Evaluation
1.Site Context		4.External Heat Gain		6.Building Envelope
2.Urban Heat Island Effect		5.Internal Heat Gain		7. Increase in outdoor air temp.
3. Orientation and Lack of Shac	ding			
				NHBC, 2012

How do you assess **overheating**?



Analytical

TOjuly Method



Dynamic

ATG Method

Tojuly (Analytical)

Temperature overrun in the month of July

TOjuly is a static heat balance method which calculates the need for cooling in the month of July as an indicator of excess heat.

Higher the TOjuly value , Higher is the risk of overheating



Source: NEN 5128:2003, NEN 7120:2012 and NTA 8800



Tojuly (Analytical)

Advantages

- Quick method to indicate if the space will overheat or not.
- Reduces time invested into dynamic simulations
- Can be applied at early design stage.

Limitations

- Does not indicate the extent of overheating.
- Developed for residential use only.
- Does not consider any dynamic behavior.

Source: NEN 5128:2003, NEN 7120:2012 and NTA 8800

Analysis

ATG Method (Dynamic)

Based on Adaptive Comfort Model and comply with European standard EN-15251

Hybrid Method to evaluate both mechanically cooled and naturally ventilated buildings/spaces

Use of **Operative Temperature** and **outdoor running mean temperature** for past 7 days to analyse thermal comfort.

Determining the type of buildings/spaces :

- Alpha Buildings : Natural Ventilated buildings
- Beta Buildings : Mechanically ventilated buildings

According to **ISSO 74** and fresh school guidelines, **educational buildings** must comply with Class B.

Band Width Classification		
Class A	High Expectation , Extra Sensitivity (hospitals)	
Class B	Common Expectation, New Buildings	
Class C	Older buildings	
Class D	Temporary use buildings	

ISSO 74,24 Kurvers & Leijten, 2019

Analysis

ATG Method (Dynamic)

Class	Requirements indoor operative temperature (°C)			Percentage	DMV and an	
(bandwidth)	Setpoint limit	Winter	In-between- seasons	Summer	Dissatisfied (%)	(bandwidth)
General	Setpoint line	21		24.5		
	Upper limit	Same as class B (requires options available for occupant control with ± 2 K)		- Max. 5%		
А	Lower limit	Same as class B (requires options available for occupant control with ± 2 K)				
В	Upper limit	24	18.8+0.33*Tout+1	Type β:26 Type α: 18.8+0.33*Tout+1	Max. 10%	-0.5 < PMV < +0.5
	Lower limit	20	20+0.2*(Tout-10)			
с	Upper limit	25	18.8+0.33*Tout+2	Type β.27 Type α: 18.8+0.33*Tout+2	Max.15%	-0.7 < PMV < +0.7
	Lower limit	19	19+0.2*(Tout-10)			
D	Upper limit	26	18.8+0.33*Tout+3	Type β:28 Type α: 18.8+0.33*Tout+3	Max. 25%	-1.0 < PMV < +1.0
	Lower limit	18	18+0.2*	(Tout-10)		



ISSO 74,24 Kurvers & Leijten, 2019

Analysis

Problem Statement

54



Building :		Pulse	
Room No.		Hall 10	
Room Type	Beta		
Тетр. Туре		Operative	
Analysis Peric	May-Sept		
Occupied Hours		2448	
Thermal Perform	Good		
Class		Class B	
Comfort Bandwidth	No. of Hours	% of Time	
Class B	2317	94.6	
Class C	91	3.7	
Class D	24	1	
Above D	16	0.7	





Future Climate Scenarios

Dutch Meteorological Institute **(KNMI)** has provided **four climate change scenarios** based on changes in circulation pattern and global temperature rise.

W_h Climate change Scenario is the worst case scenario

Developed for two time horizons

$2050 \ \text{and} \ 2085$



Source: KNMI,2015

Analysis

Pulse Building , TU Delft Campus

- First Energy Neutral Building on the campus
- Completed : September 2018
- Gross Floor Area : 4700 m²
- Multifunctional University Building.
- Educational spaces, seminar rooms, selfstudy spaces, multi-cuisine cafeteria with a capacity of 200 people.



Image: qbiqwallsystems.com

Analysis

Melanchthon Kralingen, Rotterdam

- Newly constructed building replacing original building from 1970's.
- Completed : 2018
- Gross Floor Area : 4230 m²
- Designed according to fresh school guidelines .
- Currently, houses 345 students.



Image: Kaw archietcts



Building Envelope

Overheating



Adaptive Strategies

Robustness Evaluation





	Pulse , TU Delft	Melanchthon, Rotterdam	
Facade (Opaque)	R-Value	R-Value 4.5m².K/W	
Roof	7m².K/W	R-Value 6 m².K/W	
Ground Floor	R-Value	R-Value 3.5 m².K/W	
External Floor	5 m².K/W.	R-Value 6 m ² .K/W	
WWR	.75	.6	
Glazing	Triple IGU	HR++	
U-Value	.8 W/m².K	1.1 W/m².K	
G-value	.40	.35	
VLT	<=.70	<=.70	
Infiltration	0.15 L/s per m ² at 10Pa	0.42 L/s per m ² at 10Pa	
Shading	Internal Blinds (NE) Textile Shading (SW)	Overhangs, Sidefines External Roller blinds	
	ATES	District Heating	
Heating / Cooling	Ceilings	Natural Ventilation	
Ventilation	Mechanical supply and exhaust	MVHR with summer bypass Windows	
Lighting	9 W/m ²	8 W/m ²	
Occupancy	8:00 – 0:00 (all week)	9:00 – 16:00 (5 days)	

Source: CRE, TuDelft, Wolf+Dikken Advisors

Analysis

Identification of Spaces which may Overheat

Empirical Studies



Teaching Spaces



South, South-East, North-East, North-West





Analysis

Results

View: Root Plan

71.32

<0.00

Empirical Studies

Radiation Analysis

Identification of potential spaces which may overheat













i a surge de conserva de serva de la conserva de se





Climate File : NEN 5060 : 2009



Case Study 2: Melanchthon Kralingen, Rotterdam



View : Roof Plan



Roman - May - di Saat Granovita, McKatalla (2018)



Pulse Building

Melanchthon School , Rotterdam

Problem Statement

Analysis





Hall 1 and Hall 2 on Ground Floor











Melanchthon School, Rotterdam

Problem Statement









The simplified method can be used for predicting risk for overheating in educational buildings.

The method cannot be validated for corner spaces.









Dynamic Simulations





Dynamic Simulations



Dynamic Simulations


- According to Dutch Regulations, a minimum of Class B has to be maintained
- Pulse Building has higher risk of overheating in future.
- The School building performs better in latter part of the century



Naturally Ventilated buildings adapt better to external climate as compared to Mechanically controlled.

Integrated Passive design of Melanchthon School and allowing the occupants to adapt.

However, the occupancy and operation of Pulse and Melanchthon is different

Adaptive Strategies





Analysis

Results



Source: Engel & Roaf, 2019; Freewan, 2016; Heiselberg, 2006; Prieto et al., 2018



Source: Engel & Roaf, 2019; Freewan, 2016; Heiselberg, 2006; Prieto et al., 2018

Results











40 Different Strategies

Selecting solutions

40

9,880

Combinations









Simulation Runs

350

Solution Packages

Identified Spaces

Overheating

Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies

Robustness Evaluation

Pulse Hall 8





WWR







White Surfaces on Roof



Mixed Mode Ventilation



PCM Panels

7

Solution Sets

Results

Overheating

Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies

Robustness Evaluation

Pulse Hall 10: Existing Facade















White Surfaces on roof and facade







Mixed Mode Ventilation

PCM Panels





Results

Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies

Robustness Evaluation

Pulse Hall 10 : WWR 50%





External Blinds



Overhang & Side fin



White Surfaces on roof and facade





Shutters

Mixed Mode Ventilation

PCM Panels



Analysis

Results

Overheating

Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies

Melanchthon School: Staffroom









Overhangs (Pergola)

White Surfaces on roof and facade



PCM Panels

Results

Overheating

Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies

Robustness Evaluation

Melanchthon School: Class 31







White Surfaces on roof and facade



Combination of Openings



PCM Panels



Problem Statement

Results

Robustness Evaluation

A design with minimum performance variability under the presence of uncertainties.					
What is a Robust Design ?					
Building Envelope	Overheating	Case Studies	Adaptive Strategies	Robustness Evaluation	

Building Envelope	Overheating	Case Studies	Adaptive Strategies	Robustness Evaluation
Assessment of Robu	ıst Design			
A design with n	ninimum performan	ice variability und	er the presence of	uncertainties.

Solutions Set

Attia, 2018b; Hamdy et al., 2017 Rajesh Kotireddy et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2018, 2019

Building Envelope	Overheating	Case Studies	Adaptive Strategies	Robustness Evaluation
Assessment of Robu	ıst Design			
A design with n	ninimum performaı	nce variability und	ler the presence of	uncertainties



Building Envelope	Overheating	Case Studies	Adaptive Strategies	Robustness Evaluation
Assessment of Robu	ıst Design			
A design with n	ninimum performar	nce variability und	ler the presence of	uncertainties

Solutions Set Performance Indicator Future Climate Scenario Scenario The worst case scenario (Wh) of climate change for 2050 and 2085

Analysis





Parameters for Evaluation

Building Performance Simulations

Robustness Evaluation Method

Analysis



Design Options



Performance



Worst Case











Assessment Method

Best Case Worst Case Method

- Difference between the best performance of the entire design space and worst performance of design across considered scenarios.
- Robust Design is a design with minimum performance deviation

Best Case -Worst Case Method						
Design code	Climate Scenario		Worst-Case Performance (WC)	Best-Case Performance (BC)	Performance Deviation (WC-BC)	
	2050	2085				
C1	P1 ₂₀₅₀	P1 ₂₀₈₅	max(P1 ₂₀₅₀ ,P1 ₂₀₈₅)		WC1 - BC1	
C 2	P2 ₂₀₅₀	P2 ₂₀₈₅	max(P2 ₂₀₅₀ ,P2 ₂₀₈₅)		WC2 - BC2	
C 3	P3 ₂₀₅₀	P3 ₂₀₈₅	max(P3 ₂₀₅₀ ,P3 ₂₀₈₅)	$\begin{array}{c} {{\rm min}({{{\rm{P1}}_{_{2050}}}},{{{\rm{P1}}_{_{2085}}}},{{{\rm{P2}}_{_{2050}}}},{{{\rm{P2}}_{_{2085}}}},\\ {{\rm{,P3}}_{_{2050}}},{{{\rm{P3}}_{_{2085}}},{{{\rm{Pn}}}_{_{2050}}},{{{\rm{Pn}}}_{_{2085}}}} \end{array}$	WC3 - BC3	
Cn	Pn ₂₀₅₀	Pn ₂₀₈₅	max(Pn ₂₀₅₀ ,Pn ₂₀₈₅)		WCn - BCn	
				Robust Design	min(WC-BC)	

Adapted from the works of Rajesh Kotireddy et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2018, 2019

Analysis

Results

Assessment of Robust Design



Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies









White Surfaced roof and Pacade+2 m width pergola +PCM Pands

PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete





Discomfort Hours (2050) Discomfort Hours (2085) Performance Deviation --- Dest Case



Pulse: Hall 10 (50% WWR)





8.4

	Code	Solution Set	Remarks
	6.1	White Surfaced roof and Facede	
	C.2	White Surfaced roof and Façade +PCM Panels	Night versitation already present by summer bypass. PCM modelled as 80 mm cententle
	C.3	White Surfaced roof and Pacede-2 m width pergola	extension of pergole on ground floor to first floor
	C.4	White Surfaced roof and Fasade +PCM Panets+ WWR 50%	PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
	C.S	White Surfaced roof and Facede +PCM Panels + combination of openings	BMS controlled Ventilator at top with mercal opening windows at the bottom PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
	C.4	White Surfaced rund and Facesia +PCM Passile combination of sperings-2 m width pergola	DMS controlled Ventilator at top with manual opening windows at the bottom extension of pergise or ground floor to first floor PCM modelled as 80 mm controlle





Recap


111

What are the **adaptive strategies** in a temperate climate, applicable to **building envelope** facilitating **robustness** of **energy efficient educational buildings** by reducing the risk of **overheating** in **future climate change scenario**?



Reducing WWR

Shading Strategies



White Surfaces



Mixed Mode + PCM Panels

Robust Design solutions applicable on the Building envelope.







Reducing WWR can affect the daylight quality





Combination of overhangs and side fins perform better as compared to individual application



Shading Strategies



Moveable Shading devices perform slightly better as compared to fixed shading.

116







Application of white surfaces perform better when combined with lower WWR.







Mixed Mode Ventilation Strategy with PCM panels installed towards the inside of the external wall.

In 2085, the outdoor air temperature is too high to be used for ventilative cooling











Ventilation has limited application in 2085

Pulse Building



Pulse Building



Melanchthon School



Melanchthon School



Robustness of a design is a viable method to include the uncertainties of climate change.





Uncertainties of Climate Change Early Design Stage

Robustness Evaluation Comfort in building lifespan How do we integrate robustness evaluation into the design process?



Designer's Tool





D.O.T.T.

Design Oriented Transformative Tool

Project Details				
Spatial Details				
Dimensional Properties				
Glazing Properties				
Thermal Insulation				

Shading Properties

Ventilation Properties

Internal Loads

8 Sections

for input parameter

Project Details	Project Name	Melanchthon School, Rotterdam	Date	23/06/2020
	Room Details	Class 32	Design Option	DO1













Thermal Insulation

Shading Properties



Prompt:

The Window openings are not sufficient enough to meet minimum ventilation requirements



Thermal Insulation

Shading Properties

Ventilation Properties

Internal Loads



- Design option DO10 has lowest TOjuly values in both climate
- DO10 has the least performance deviation among all the design options.
- Therefore, design option
 DO10 is the most
 robust.



Future Development



Analytical

Future Development



Dynamic



3D Modelling Software



Web and Mobile App

Robustness











Climate Change is real and its happening !!!

As Designers we need to peek into the future for providing comfortable built environment.

Look for out-of-the box and robust solutions.
We need to know the limits of the box before attempting to think outside of that "box".

Affirmation is prior to Innovation.

"We shape our buildings thereafter; they shape us" – Winston Churchill.

"We shaped the climate, therefore the climate will shape us."

Questions ?

Appendix

_

Functional Properties: Thermal Insulation





Components	Insulation Values		
Opaque (R-Value)	Building Decree,2012	NTA 8800, 2021	
Roof	6 m².K/W	6.3 m ² .K/W	
External Wall	4.5 m ² .K/W	4.7 m ² .K/W	
External Floor	3.5 m ² .K/W	3.7 m ² .K/W	
Transparent (U-Value)			
Glazing (including frame and glass)	<= 1.65 W/m².K		

Dutch Building Decree, 2012, NTA 8800, 2019

Analysis

Results

Functional Properties: Ventilation



Dutch Building Decree, 2012, Fresh School guidelines, 2015 NTA 8800, 2019

Results



Source : Spoel & Ham, 2012 Bokel, 2019 KNMI,2020

Analysis

Empirical Studies

Author	Year	Assumed Spaces	Overheating Criteria	Spaces evaluated / Resulted in overheating
Jenkins et al.	2009	 Teaching Spaces with office type areas which may need air conditioning if necessary Larger communal areas not being used in same frequency 	Percentage of occupied hours in teaching areas that exceed 28 deg.	Teaching spaces in all directions
Coley et al.	2010	Classrooms	TM 36	Classrooms withsouth façade and 50% glazing, heavy construction and no infiltration
Telietal.	2011	Classrooms	Survey and aerial photo analysis	Identification of classroom clusters which may overheating based on construction, overheating, story and surrounding environment. Classrooms with NE and SE orientation with outdoor tarmac surface, bitumen roof, light weight construction, single glazing and lack of wind exposure.
Kamenskyetal.	2014	Classrooms	Percentage of occupied hours in teaching areas that exceed 28 deg.	Classrooms located at south-east façade direction.
Zinzi et al.	2017	Classrooms	Percentage above 28 deg.	Classrooms at the upperfloor to monitorimpact of roof, South Facade.
Irulegi et al.	2017	University Classrooms	Percentage above 25 deg.	Seminar Roomfacing north west faced on the second floor.
Lykartsis et al.	2017	Classrooms	BB 101	Classrooms in south direction.
Heracleous & Michael	2018	Classrooms	CIBSE	Classrooms in all Directions.

154



Overheating





Ladybug Solar radiation

Period : May-September

Climate File : Baseline 2008, 1%

Problem Statement

Research Question

Analysis

- TOjuly was calculated from dynamic simulation.
- The difference between TOjuly simulated and simplified method must be within 20%.



The simplified method can be used for predicting risk for overheating in educational buildings.

The method cannot be validated for corner spaces.

Staffroom at Melanchthon school will also be considered for dynamic simulations.

Overheating

Adaptive Strategies







Hall 1 and Hall 2 on Ground Floor

Hall 4 on Intermediate Floor





Hall 8, Hall9 and Hall 10 on Second Floor

Problem Statement

Overheating

Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies







Hall 1 and Hall 2 on Ground Floor





Hall 8, Hall9 and Hall 10 on Second Floor

Problem Statement

Analysis

Results

Conclusions

Designer's Tool

Overheating

Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies





Hall 8, Hall9 and Hall 10 on Second Floor

Results

Overheating

Case Studies

Adaptive Strategies





Hall 8, Hall9 and Hall 10 on Second Floor

Results





Analysis

Results





Analysis

Results

Adaptive Strategies

Base line year (NEN 5060: 2008 1% Comfort)



Analysis

Passive Design Strategies



Analysis

Passive Design Strategies

DESIGN 9	STRATEGIES: MAY through SEPTEMBER
24.7%	1 Comfort(605 hrs)
14.9%	2 Sun Shading of Windows(365 hrs)
	3 High Thermal Mass(0 hrs)
5.1%	4 High Thermal Mass Night Flushed(124 hrs)
	5 Direct Evaporative Cooling(0 hrs)
	6 Two-Stage Evaporative Cooling(0 hrs)
3.2%	7 Natural Ventilation Cooling(78 hrs)
	8 Fan-Forced Ventilation Cooling(0 hrs)
46.1%	9 Internal Heat Gain(1128 hrs)
	10 Passive Solar Direct Gain Low Mass(0 hrs)
12.5%	11 Passive Solar Direct Gain High Mass(305 hrs)
	12 Wind Protection of Outdoor Spaces(0 hrs)
	13 Humidification Only(0 hrs)
	14 Dehumidification Only(0 hrs)
	15 Cooling, add Dehumidfication if needed(0 hrs)
	16 Heating, add Humidification if needed(0 hrs)
78.6%	Comfortable Hours using Selected Strategies
	(1923 out of 2448 hrs)

DESIGN	STRATEGIES: MAY through SEPTEMBER
21.4%	1 Comfort(524 hrs)
22.5%	2 Sun Shading of Windows(551 hrs)
	3 High Thermal Mass(0 hrs)
4.8%	4 High Thermal Mass Night Flushed(117 hrs)
	5 Direct Evaporative Cooling(0 hrs)
	6 Two-Stage Evaporative Cooling(0 hrs)
3.3%	7 Natural Ventilation Cooling(80 hrs)
	8 Fan-Forced Ventilation Cooling(0 hrs)
35.7%	9 Internal Heat Gain(874 hrs)
	10 Passive Solar Direct Gain Low Mass(0 hrs)
9.2%	11 Passive Solar Direct Gain High Mass(225 hrs)
	12 Wind Protection of Outdoor Spaces(0 hrs)
	13 Humidification Only(0 hrs)
	14 Dehumidification Only(0 hrs)
	15 Cooling, add Dehumidfication if needed(0 hrs)
	16 Heating, add Humidification if needed(0 hrs)
63.9%	Comfortable Hours using Selected Strategies
	(1565 out of 2448 hrs)

DECICI	
DESIGN	STRATEGIES: MAY through SEPTEMBER
19.4%	1 Comfort(475 hrs)
26.2%	2 Sun Shading of Windows(641 hrs)
	3 High Thermal Mass(0 hrs)
5.3%	4 High Thermal Mass Night Flushed(130 hrs)
	5 Direct Evaporative Cooling(0 hrs)
	6 Two-Stage Evaporative Cooling(0 hrs)
3.8%	7 Natural Ventilation Cooling(92 hrs)
	8 Fan-Forced Ventilation Cooling(0 hrs)
28.7%	9 Internal Heat Gain(702 hrs)
	10 Passive Solar Direct Gain Low Mass(0 hrs)
7.5%	11 Passive Solar Direct Gain High Mass(183 hrs)
	12 Wind Protection of Outdoor Spaces(0 hrs)
	13 Humidification Only(0 hrs)
	14 Dehumidification Only(0 hrs)
	15 Cooling, add Dehumidfication if needed(0 hrs)
	16 Heating, add Humidification if needed(0 hrs)
54.8%	Comfortable Hours using Selected Strategies

54.8% Comfortable Hours using Selected Strategies (1341 out of 2448 hrs)

Present		20	050		2085	
Problem Statement	Research Questions	Analysis	Results	Conclusions	Designer's Tool	16 7

Iding Envelope Overheating Case Studies Adaptive Strategies

Robustness Evaluation

Author	Year	Building Types	Adaptive Strategies
Jenkins et al.	2009	Schools	 External Shading Passive Cooling Ventilation Strategies
Lomas et al.	2012	Hospitals	 Insulations Shading strategies Natural Ventilation Strategies
Gupta and Gregg	2012	Residences	 Increased external and internal insulations Cavity Wall Insulations High Albedo Exterior surfaces Exposed Thermal Mass Shading strategies
Hamdy et al.	2017	Residences	Ventilative CoolingSolar Protection
Shadmanfar et al.	2019	Schools	 Increasing thermal mass Nigh time cooling
C Jimenez	2019	Offices	Thermal MassVentilation Strategies

Comparison of adaptive strategies used in different literature studies in temperate climate to reduce overheating . Source: Author

Problem Statement

Overheating

Pulse Hall 8





Discomfort Hours (2050) Discomfort Hours (2085)



Code	Solution Set	Remarks
H8.1	Reduce U-Value of partition Walls	U-value to 1.65 W/m2K
H8.2.	Reduce U-Value of partition Walls	U-Value to 1 W/m2K
H8. 3.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof	WWR 70% from 100%
H8. 4.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70%	R-Value of opaque parts increased 3 m2K/W
H8. 5.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70%	G-value of transparent parts to .4
H8. 6.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70 % +Mixed mode	Opening skylight windows
H8. 7	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70 % +Mixed mode + PCM Panels	PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete

Pulse: Hall 8



■ Discomfort Hours (2050) ■ Discomfort Hours (2085)



Code	Solution Set	Remarks
H8.1	Reduce U-Value of partition Walls	U-value to 1.65 W/m2K
H8.2.	Reduce U-Value of partition Walls	U-Value to 1 W/m2K
H8. 3.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof	WWR 70% from 100%
H8. 4.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70%	R-Value of opaque parts increased 3 m2K/W
H8. 5.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70%	G-value of transparent parts to .4
H8. 6.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70 % +Mixed mode	Opening skylight windows
H8. 7	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70 % +Mixed mode + PCM Panels	PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete



Pulse: Hall 8



Code	Solution Set	Remarks
H8.1	Reduce U-Value of partition Walls	U-value to 1.65 W/m2K
H8.2.	Reduce U-Value of partition Walls	U-Value to 1 W/m2K
H8. 3.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof	WWR 70% from 100%
H8. 4.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70%	R-Value of opaque parts increased 3 m2K/W
H8. 5.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70%	G-value of transparent parts to .4
H8. 6.	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70 % +Mixed mode	Opening skylight windows
H8. 7	Reduced U-Value + White Surfaced Roof+ WWR 70 % +Mixed mode + PCM Panels	PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete



Pulse: Hall 8



Code	Solution Set	Remarks
H10.1	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade	
H10.2	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Façade + External Roller Blinds	
H10.3.	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ 0.5 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.4	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ 1 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.5	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ shutters	
H10.6	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed-mode +PCM Panels	
H10.7	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed-mode +PCM Panels+ external blinds	Existing two windows openings with 40%
H10.8	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+0.5 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	openable area operating through
H10.9	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+1 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	out the day PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
H10.10	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+ shutters	

Pulse: Hall 10 (Existing Façade)





·		
Code	Solution Set	Remarks
H10.1	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade	
H10.2	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Façade + External Roller Blinds	
H10.3.	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ 0.5 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.4	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ 1 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.5	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ shutters	
H10.6	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed-mode +PCM Panels	
H10.7	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed-mode +PCM Panels+ external blinds	Existing two windows openings with 40% openable area operating through out the day PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
H10.8	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+0.5 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.9	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+1 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.10	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+ shutters	

Pulse: Hall 10 (Existing Façade)





Pulse: Hall 10 (Existing Façade)



Why does solution H.10.7 has high performance deviation when it is the best performing case ?

Actual performance of the solution must also be considered .



Analysis

Results



Code	Solution Set	Remarks
H10.11	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade	
H10.12	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Façade + External Roller Blinds	
H10.13	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ 0.5 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.14	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ shutters	
H10.15	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed-mode +PCM Panels	Existing two windows openings with 40% openable area operating through out the day PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
H10.16	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed-mode +PCM Panels+ external blinds	
H10.17	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+0.5 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.18	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+ shutters	





Discomfort Hours (2050)

Discomfort Hours (2085)



Code	Solution Set	Remarks
H10.11	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade	
H10.12	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Façade + External Roller Blinds	
H10.13	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ 0.5 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.14	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ shutters	
H10.15	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed-mode +PCM Panels	Existing two windows openings with 40% openable area operating through out the day PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
H10.16	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed-mode +PCM Panels+ external blinds	
H10.17	WWR 50% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+0.5 m overhang and 0.35 m sidefins.	
H10.18	WWR 75% + White Surfaced roof and Facade+ mixed mode +PCM Panels+ shutters	



Pulse: Hall 10 (50% WWR)

Discomfort Hours (2050) Discomfort Hours (2085) Perofrmance Deviation --- Best Case



Code	Solution Set	Remarks
S.1	White Surfaced roof and Facade	
S.2	White Surfaced roof and Façade +PCM Panels	Night ventilation already present by summer bypass. PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
S.3	White Surfaced roof and Facade+2 m width pergola	extension of pergola on ground floor to first floor
S.4	White Surfaced roof and Facade+2 m width pergola +PCM Panels	extension of pergola on ground floor to first floor PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete







Code	Solution Set	Remarks
S.1	White Surfaced roof and Facade	
S.2	White Surfaced roof and Façade +PCM Panels	Night ventilation already present by summer bypass. PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
S.3	White Surfaced roof and Facade+2 m width pergola	extension of pergola on ground floor to first floor
S.4	White Surfaced roof and Facade+2 m width pergola +PCM Panels	extension of pergola on ground floor to first floor PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete



Melanchthon Staffroom



Code	Solution Set	Remarks
C.1	White Surfaced roof and Facade	
C.2	White Surfaced roof and Façade +PCM Panels	Night ventilation already present by summer bypass. PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
C.3	White Surfaced roof and Facade+2 m width pergola	extension of pergola on ground floor to first floor
C.4	White Surfaced roof and Facade +PCM Panels+ WWR 50%	PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
C.5	White Surfaced roof and Facade +PCM Panels + combination of openings	BMS controlled Ventilator at top with manual opening windows at the bottom PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
C.6	White Surfaced roof and Facade +PCM Panels+ combination of openings+ 2 m width pergola	BMS controlled Ventilator at top with manual opening windows at the bottom extension of pergola on ground floor to first floor PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete

Melanchthon Staffroom



Problem Statement

Analysis



Code	Solution Set	Remarks
C.1	White Surfaced roof and Facade	
C.2	White Surfaced roof and Façade +PCM Panels	Night ventilation already present by summer bypass. PCM modelled as 80
		mm concrete
C.3	White Surfaced roof and Facade+2 m width pergola	extension of pergola on ground floor to first floor
C.4	White Surfaced roof and Facade +PCM Panels+ WWR 50%	PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
C.5	White Surfaced roof and Facade +PCM Panels + combination of openings	BMS controlled Ventilator at top with manual opening windows at the bottom
		PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete
C.6	White Surfaced roof and Facade +PCM Panels+ combination of openings+ 2 m width pergola	BMS controlled Ventilator at top with manual opening windows at the bottom extension of pergola on ground floor to first floor
		PCM modelled as 80 mm concrete

Melanchthon Staffroom





Analytical Calculations

Dynamic Calculations

Dynamic Model Calibration
