Nature of the Surface Intermediates Formed from Methane on Cu-ZSM-5 Zeolite

A Combined Solid-State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Density Functional Theory Study

Kolganov, Alexander A.; Gabrienko, Anton A.; Yashnik, Svetlana A.; Pidko, Evgeny A.; Stepanov, Alexander G.

Important note
To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Nature of the Surface Intermediates Formed from Methane on Cu-ZSM-5 Zeolite: A Combined Solid-State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Density Functional Theory Study

Alexander A. Kolganov, Anton A. Gabrienko, Svetlana A. Yashnik, Evgeny A. Pidko,* and Alexander G. Stepanov*

ACCESS | 📊 Metrics & More | 📰 Article Recommendations | 🆂🅸 Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The intermediates formed upon the interaction of methane with Cu-modified ZSM-5 zeolites (Cu/H-ZSM-5) have been analyzed with solid-state NMR spectroscopy and DFT methods. Methane activation by Cu/H-ZSM-5 zeolites gives rise to three distinct surface methoxy-like species ($-O-CH_3$) detected by ^{13}C MAS NMR spectroscopy with specific chemical shifts in the range of 53−63 ppm. DFT calculations on representative cluster models of different sites potentially present in Cu/H-ZSM-5 have been used to assign these signals to (i) methanol adsorbed on two neighboring Cu sites ($Cu-(HOCH_3)-Cu$, 62.6 ppm), (ii) methanol adsorbed on zeolite Brønsted acid sites (52.9 ppm), and (iii) lattice-bound methoxy groups ($Si-O(CH_3)-Al$, 58.6). The formation of these methoxy-like intermediates depends on the Cu loading and, accordingly, the type of Cu species in the Cu/H-ZSM-5 zeolite. For the sample with low (0.1 wt %) Cu loading containing exclusively mononuclear isolated Cu species, only the intermediates ii and iii have been detected. The Cu-bound intermediate (i) is formed upon methane activation by multinuclear Cu sites featuring Cu−O−Cu bridging moieties present in the materials with relatively higher Cu loading (1.38 wt %). The presented results indicate that methane activation by Cu/H-ZSM-5 can be promoted by both mono- and multinuclear Cu species confined in the zeolite matrix.

1. INTRODUCTION

The rising demand on the petrochemicals and limited crude oil reserves forces the search for alternative feedstocks to produce valuable compounds. Methane being a major component of natural gas is cheap and a widely accessible raw material.[1] The conversion of methane to higher hydrocarbons or oxygenates over zeolite-based catalysts has attracted considerable attention from the scientific community as a basis for future efficient gas-to-liquid technologies.[2−12] Despite the great potential of methane as the feedstock for the chemical industry, there are several important challenges hampering the efficient chemical processing of methane to such versatile chemical intermediates as, for example, methanol.[13] The key challenges are related to the low intrinsic reactivity of methane in combination with the higher reactivity of the products of its conversion. In the context of oxidative conversion of methane, the desired products such as methanol and formaldehyde are much more susceptible to oxidation than methane resulting in severe loss of selectivity. Despite substantial efforts of both the academic and industrial research communities, a one-pot selective methane oxidation process that would be highly preferable in industries for the valorization of small-scale natural gas reserves has not been realized yet. The current indirect process involving the high-temperature methane conversion to syngas is highly energy and capital-intensive.[14] The search for alternative efficient ways to convert methane to valuable chemicals, such as methanol, formaldehyde, acetic acid, and various aromatic compounds, is an important challenge for contemporary catalysis science.[15,16]

Among different potential catalytic systems, Cu-containing zeolites have attracted particular attention for selective oxo-functionalization of methane.[12,17] The first attempts to use this type of catalysts for methane partial oxidation were inspired by some similarities between Cu-modified zeolites and methane monooxygenase (MMO), the enzyme that can perform methane-to-methanol conversion at ambient temperature.[18,19] In 2005, Groothaert et al.[20] discovered the ability of Cu-modified ZSM-5 and MOR zeolites to convert methane to

methanol at a relatively low temperature of 398 K. It was suggested that the $[Cu_2(\mu\text{-}O)_2]^{2+}$ core was the active site for the reaction. Later, the nature of the active site in Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites was revised on the basis of the results of Raman spectroscopy and density functional theory (DFT) calculations, and a $[Cu_2(\mu\text{-}O)]^{2+}$ species was proposed to be responsible for the low-temperature methane oxidation.[21] More recently, alternative active site proposals on the role of trinuclear ($[Cu_3(\mu\text{-}O)_3]^{2+}$)[22,23] and mononuclear ($[Cu\text{-}OH]^+$)[24] active species have been put forward. Kinetic experiments on the isotope effect have shown that C−H cleavage is the limiting step of the methane conversion mechanism.[21] Quite a few works have been published on the influence of the structure of the active site,[23,25] zeolite confinement,[26] and water addition[27,28] on the efficiency of methane activation on Cu-containing zeolites. However, there is still a lack of experimental data on the nature of the surface intermediates formed upon methane activation by Cu/H-ZSM-5 zeolites.

A high-resolution solid-state NMR technique is a powerful tool to clarify the pathways of the methane activation mechanism on metal-containing zeolites.[2,5,7,8,10,29−37] ^{13}C MAS NMR spectroscopy can be fruitfully applied to inquire into the properties of Cu-loaded zeolites with respect to methane-to-methanol conversion. The approach is based on the analysis of ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra of methane adsorbed on the zeolite: the number of signals detected, chemical shifts, and intensity of the signals. However, the assignment of the signals based on the observed chemical shifts is not straightforward in some cases.

So far, few works have been reported on the utilization of the ^{13}C MAS NMR method to study methane activation by Cu-containing MOR and ZSM-5 materials.[38−41] The signals with specific chemical shifts of various methoxy species (52−67 ppm) were observed in the ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra. Three signals at 61, 56, and 53 ppm were observed for Cu-MOR, which were assigned to Cu−O(CH_3)−Cu, Si−O(CH_3)−Al, and adsorbed methanol molecules.[38] For Cu-ZSM-5, methane activation gave rise to two signals at 59 and 53 ppm, attributed to Cu−OCH_3 and the adsorbed methanol, respectively.[40]

Two recent works[39,41] have reported similar results from a combined ^{13}C MAS NMR and FTIR study on the nature of methoxy-like intermediates formed upon methane activation by Cu-MOR. In both cases, the signals at 58−59 ppm and 50−54 ppm were detected, and they were assigned to Si−O(CH_3)−Al species and methanol adsorbed on Brønsted acid sites (BASs), respectively. The formation of the signal at 62 ppm was detected only in one of the studies,[39] and it was assumed to correspond to methanol adsorbed on Cu(I) sites. In addition to these main spectral features, methane activation by Cu zeolites may give rise to additional ^{13}C NMR signals due to dimethyl ether (DME) adsorbed on zeolite BASs (63−64 ppm)[39,41] or reduced Cu(I) sites (66−67 ppm).[39] Our recent ^{13}C MAS NMR study demonstrated that the methane interaction with Cu-ZSM-5 gives rise to a spectrum (Figure 1) featuring three signals at 52.9, 58.6, and 62.6 ppm, which may correspond to different methoxy-like species on the surface of the zeolite. It is clear that, for both MOR and ZSM-5 topologies, methane activation by extra-framework Cu sites results in quite similar ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra for the surface species characterized by three main signals with slightly varying chemical shifts at 50−54, 56−59, and 61−64 ppm. Unfortunately, there is no clear consensus on the assignment

Figure 1. ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectrum of surface intermediates formed from methane-^{13}C adsorbed on a Cu-ZSM-5 zeolite. The sample was spun at 3.0 kHz. Asterisks (*) indicate spinning side bands.

of these ^{13}C NMR signals. For instance, the signal at 61−64 ppm was assigned to Cu−O(CH_3)−Cu species,[38] methanol on Cu(I),[39] or dimethyl ether on BASs.[41]

To resolve this ambiguity and improve our understanding of the products of methane oxidation by Cu zeolites, herewith, we have performed a combined experimental ^{13}C MAS NMR and computational DFT study. NMR experiments have been carried out to monitor the species formed from methane on Cu/H-ZSM-5 zeolite under different conditions, while DFT calculations of ^{13}C chemical shifts of various methoxy-like species in zeolites, formed at methane activation, have been carried out to support the signal assignment.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Reagents and Materials. Copper(II) acetate monohydrate (≥98% purity), benzene (anhydrous, 99.8% purity), methane-[13]C (≥99% [13]C), and methanol-[13]C (≥99% [13]C) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. Inc. and used without further purification. Molecular oxygen, industrially produced gas, was used after water removal at a liquid-nitrogen temperature via freezing and thawing circles.

2.2. Zeolite Sample Preparation. The H-form of ZSM-5 zeolite (Si/Al = 17) was provided by Novosibirsk Chemical Concentrates Plant (Novosibirsk, Russia). ICP-OES analysis (inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry) showed 2.05 wt % aluminum in the zeolite sample. The relative amount of extra-framework aluminum species was 4% as revealed with ^{27}Al MAS NMR (Figure S1). ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectroscopy (Figure S1) confirmed the Si/Al ratio for the sample to be 17.

The Cu-modified zeolites were prepared with an ion-exchange procedure,[42,43] which leads to partial substitution of zeolite proton sites for hydrated Cu^{2+} cations. Parent H-ZSM-5 zeolite powder was suspended in an aqueous copper(II) acetate solution with pH = 5 and a solution/zeolite weight ratio = 10. The suspension was stirred for 48 h at ambient temperature followed by powder filtration, washing with water, drying at 393 K for 2 h, and calcination in an air flow at 773 K for 4 h. Copper(II) acetate solution concentrations were 0.0015 and 0.039 M for the preparation of the samples with low and high copper loadings, respectively. ICP-OES analysis has shown that the sample with a low loading contained 0.10 wt % copper (denoted as Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5, sample I) and the sample with a higher loading contained 1.38 wt % copper (denoted as Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5, sample II). The determined loadings give Cu/Al atomic ratios of 0.02 and 0.29 for sample I and sample II, respectively. To confirm the proton sites' (bridged Si−O(H)−Al groups) substitution by Cu^{2+} cations,

Table 1. Properties of Zeolite Samples

	ICP-OES data		^{1}H MAS NMR data	
sample	Cu (wt %)	Cu/Al atomic ratio[a]	Si−O(H)−Al concentration (BAS) (μmol/g)[b]	unit cell composition[c]
H-ZSM-5			940	$H_{5.4}Al_{5.4}Si_{90.6}O_{192}$
Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5, sample I	0.10	0.02	900	$Cu^{2+}_{0.11}H_{5.2}Al_{5.4}Si_{90.6}O_{192}$
Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5, sample II	1.38	0.29	485	$Cu^{2+}_{1.16}[Cu_3O_3]^{2+}_{0.13}H_{2.8}Al_{5.4}Si_{90.6}O_{192}$

[a]Estimated based on ICP-OES data on Cu and Al content (wt %). [b]The accuracy is 5−10%. [c]Estimated based on the Cu/Al ratio and Si−O(H)−Al groups' concentration.

the concentration of Si−O(H)−Al groups (Brønsted acid sites, BASs) was measured with a ^{1}H MAS NMR method with benzene used as an internal standard according to the procedure described previously.[44,45] The composition of the unit cell of the zeolite samples was estimated based on the ^{1}H MAS NMR quantitative data (Table 1).

2.3. NMR Sample Preparation. The NMR monitoring of the intermediates of methane activation was performed by sealing a sample of zeolite, either sample I or sample II, inside an axially highly symmetrical glass ampoule of 3.5 mm in outer diameter and 10 mm in length, capable to fit perfectly into a 4 mm zirconia MAS NMR rotor. The zeolite samples of approximately 25 mg were activated at 673 K under vacuum for 24 h with the residual pressure of less than 10^{-7} bar. Further, molecular oxygen pretreatment was performed by exposing the sample to 500 mbar of dry O_2 followed by heating at 673 K for an hour and evacuation at 423 K for an hour. After the activation procedure, the adsorption of ^{13}C-labeled methane or methanol of 300 μmol/g$_{zeolite}$ was performed at the liquid-nitrogen temperature, controlled with a vacuum gauge (DVR 5, Vacuubrand, Germany). Afterward, the glass tube with the sample was sealed by flame while keeping the sample under liquid nitrogen to prevent the sample from heating.

2.4. Solid-State NMR Experiments. MAS NMR spectra were recorded at 9.4 T on a Bruker Avance spectrometer equipped with a broad-band, double-resonance 4 mm MAS NMR probe. Zirconia rotors with the inserted sealed glass ampoules were spun at 3−5 kHz by dried compressed air. In order to record ^{27}Al and ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra, 4 mm rotors were filled with the powder sample of zeolite, which was preliminary kept under a moist atmosphere for several hours. The rotor with the powder inside was spun with the spinning rate of 15.0 and 8.0 kHz for ^{27}Al and ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra, respectively.

The chemical shift was referenced to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an external standard for ^{1}H, ^{13}C, and ^{29}Si NMR spectra and 0.1 M Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ solution for the ^{27}Al NMR spectrum with an accuracy of ±0.1 ppm.

A Hahn-echo pulse sequence ($\pi/2-\tau-\pi-\tau-$acquisition) was used to record ^{1}H MAS NMR spectra where τ equals one rotor period (200 μs for a 5.0 kHz spinning rate). The excitation pulse length was 5.0 μs ($\pi/2$), and typically 32 scans were accumulated with a 60 s delay. ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra using either only high-power proton decoupling or in combination with the cross-polarization (CP) technique (^{13}C CP/MAS NMR) were recorded at ambient temperature. The strength of the proton high-power decoupling field B_{RF} was 11.7 G, corresponding to a 5.0 μs length of $\pi/2$ ^{1}H pulse and nutation frequency of $\nu_{RF} = \gamma/2\pi B_{RF} = 50$ kHz. For the spectra recorded with the CP technique, the contact time was 2 ms at the Hartmann−Hahn matching condition of 50 kHz, the delay between scans was 2 s, and the total number of scans was

40,000. ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra were recorded with 2000 scans using a 5 s delay. ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra were obtained with a short 0.6 μs pulse ($\pi/10$), and 10,000 scans were accumulated with a 0.5 s recycle delay. ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra were recorded with a 5.0 μs pulse ($\pi/2$) and 60 s repetition time, and 1000 scans were acquired for signal accumulation.

2.5. Ultraviolet−Visible Near Infrared Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy. A Shimadzu UV-2501 PC spectrophotometer equipped with an ISR-240 A diffuse reflectance accessory was used to record the UV−vis DR spectra. The spectral range of 11,000−53,000 cm^{-1} was monitored with respect to the BaSO$_4$ reflectance standard. The spectra were obtained at ambient temperature. The obtained spectra are presented in the Kubelka-Munk units: $F(R)$ versus wavenumbers. The samples of Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5 and Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5 were placed inside a special quartz cell equipped with a UV-grade quartz window. The samples were activated via the same procedure described for NMR sample preparation.

2.6. DFT Calculations. To model the local coordination environment in ZSM-5 zeolite, a cluster model representing a 10-membered framework ring from the straight channel (10-MR) (Figure 2) was directly cut from the crystal MFI structure obtained from the zeolite database.[46] To introduce anionic

Figure 2. (a) ZSM-5 zeolite framework of MFI type.[46] (b) Cluster fragment used to represent the ZSM-5 zeolite framework.

negative charge, an aluminum atom was placed at the T1 framework position in the cluster. This site has earlier been postulated as the most probable Al localization site in the MFI structure.[47] To model the structures featuring dicationic species (e.g., containing binuclear Cu sites), a second aluminum atom was placed at the T6 framework position that is separated by two silicon atoms from the T1 site.[21] Dangling bonds on the boundary of the cluster fragments were saturated with hydrogen atoms at a 2.5 Å distance from T sites. These clusters were used to model the formation of various methoxy-like intermediates, potentially formed upon methane activation, and simulate their ^{13}C NMR chemical shifts. The ChemCraft program was used to visualize molecular structures.[48]

Spin-unrestricted DFT calculations were carried out using the ORCA code[49] with the B3LYP exchange-correlation functional.[50] During the optimization of the geometries of the molecular models, all Si atoms were constrained in their crystallographic positions.[21] Dangled H atoms were also constrained to avoid unrealistic distortions of the model during geometry optimization.[51] The 6-31G*[52,53] basis set was used for all (Si, Al, O, and H*) atoms of the zeolite cluster, whereas a larger 6-311+G*[54] basis set was employed for the atoms (Cu, C, H, and O) from the extra-framework species.

NMR chemical shielding constants were computed using the GIAO method[55] in combination with the PBE0 functional[56] and aug-cc-pVDZ[57] basis set. Such a method, functional, and basis set were chosen considering the analysis reported in ref 58 where a reasonably small mean absolute error of 1.71 ppm of ^{13}C was demonstrated for this approach. The ^{13}C chemical shift was calculated by the following formula:

$$\delta = \sigma_{ref} - \sigma + \delta_{ref}$$

where σ_{ref} and σ are isotropic chemical shielding of the reference and examined structures, respectively. Tetramethylsilane was chosen as the reference ($\delta_{ref} = 0$) for which the σ_{ref} value was calculated in this study to be 194.9 ppm.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Cu/H-ZSM-5 Sample Characterization. Figure 3 shows the UV−vis DR spectra for the samples of Cu-containing zeolites under study, as prepared and activated under vacuum. As-prepared samples I and II exhibit bands at 12,300 cm^{-1} (d−d transition) and related bands at 49,000 cm^{-1} (ligand-to-metal charge transfer, LMCT) that are typical of hydrated $[Cu(II)(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ and $[Cu(II)(OH)(H_2O)_5]^+$ cations introduced via ion exchange and located at cation-exchange sites of the zeolite framework (Si−O$^-$−Al sites).[43,59,60] An adsorption band at >38,000 cm^{-1} is accounted for by the fundamental absorption edge (FAE) of ZSM-5 zeolite (see the UV−vis spectrum of parent H-ZSM-5 zeolite in Figure S2). Dehydration of the zeolites under vacuum followed by O$_2$ treatment at 673 K leads to remarkable changes in the spectra: the LMCT band shifts to 44,500 cm^{-1} and its intensity increases. The related d−d transition band shifts to 13,900 cm^{-1} and undergoes noticeable broadening. These spectral changes indicate the removal of water ligands and the stabilization of the copper in the state of Cu^{2+} cations at the exchange sites containing two Si−O$^-$−Al units $[Z_2Cu(II)$ where Z = Si−O$^-$−Al].[43,61,62] Therefore, Z$_2$Cu(II) sites are present in both samples of Cu/H-ZSM-5 zeolite. A minor LMCT band at around 29,000 cm^{-1} can also be found in the spectrum of the activated Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5 zeolite (Figure 3a). This band can be attributed to another type of

Figure 3. UV−vis DR spectra of (a) Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5 and (b) Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5 zeolite samples: as prepared (black lines) and after evacuation and treatment with O$_2$ at 673 K (red lines).

monocopper species of $Z[Cu(II)(OH)]$ and/or $Z[Cu(II)O]$ composition[61−63] or some Cu-oxo clusters such as tricopper $[Cu_3(\mu\text{-}O)_3]^{2+}$,[22] though the latter one is less likely due to the low Cu content in sample I. Hence, our results suggest that the activated sample I contains mostly monocopper Z$_2$Cu(II) sites, with the amount of distinct Cu sites being negligible since other bands, apart from those related to Z$_2$Cu(II), are hardly detectable in Figure 3a. Contrarily, the spectrum of the activated Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5 zeolite features a strong band centered at around 32,000−33,000 cm^{-1} (Figure 3b), which is assigned to $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu\text{-}O)_3]$ species.[22] This points to the presence of additional type of Cu^{2+} sites ($Z_2[Cu_3(\mu\text{-}O)_3]$) in sample II. Previous studies[64] indicated that Cu/H-ZSM-5 materials with Cu/Al of 0.2−0.5 are dominated by Z$_2$Cu(II) and $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu\text{-}O)_3]$, and this is in line with our spectroscopic observation in Figure 3b for the sample II having a Cu/Al ratio of 0.29 (Table 1).

Loading of copper into H-ZSM-5 zeolite via ion exchange leads to the substitution of protons of the Si−O(H)−Al framework hydroxyl groups for Cu^{2+} hydrated cations with a theoretical stoichiometry of H$^+$:Cu^{2+} = 2. Further high-temperature activation of Cu-containing zeolite results in the stabilization of Cu^{2+} cations in the pores as Z$_2$Cu(II) sites,[64] bridged dicopper $Z_2[Cu_2(\mu\text{-}O)]$ species,[21,65] and $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu\text{-}O)_3]$ tricopper oxo-clusters[22,23] depending on the copper loading and amount of paired Si−O$^-$−Al sites.[64] It is reasonable to propose that a Cu/Al ratio higher than 0.2[64] can provide neighboring Cu sites, which can yield the multinuclear copper species, whereas the low copper content results exclusively in isolated monocopper sites. By comparing the amount of residual hydroxyl groups in the activated Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5 and Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5 samples with the Cu/Al ratio, the presence and population of the clustered and isolated monocopper sites can be estimated.

Analysis of the Si−O(H)−Al concentration of the zeolite samples was carried out by means of ^1H MAS NMR spectroscopy using benzene as an internal standard following the procedure described previously.[44,45] The data on bridged-

hydroxyl concentration as well as the other properties of the samples are summarized in Table 1. There is good agreement between Cu/Al ratios determined with ICP-OES and the Si−O(H)−Al amount found for Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5 if one assumes the presence of only Z_2Cu(II) sites. This strongly favors the conclusion on Z_2Cu(II) being the dominant type of copper species in the sample.

In the case of Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5, there is a remarkable decrease of Si−O(H)−Al concentration. However, the presence of only Z_2Cu(II) at a Cu/Al ratio of 0.29 in the sample should result in a Si−O(H)−Al amount of 390 μmol/g, which is remarkably lower than the detected one. Obviously, the formation of Cu clusters such as $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu\text{-}O)_3]$, as proposed from the UV−vis DRS data, must also be taken into account. Therefore, the presence of both Z_2Cu(II) and $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu\text{-}O)_3]$, each substituting the protons of two Si−O(H)−Al sites, as well as the Si−O(H)−Al concentration of 485 μmol/g was taken into account to calculate the unit cell composition. In such a case, the Cu/Al ratio determined from the unit cell composition perfectly meets the ratio obtained based on ICP-OES data. Thus, we conclude that sample II contains both types of Cu species with the fraction of $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu\text{-}O)_3]$ to be around 10% following ^{1}H MAS NMR quantitative data (Table 1) and assuming that the number of substituted Si−O(H)−Al groups correlates well with the Cu/Al ratio for the sample.

3.2. Methane Activation on Cu/H-ZSM-5 Zeolites: ^{13}C MAS NMR Analysis of the Surface Species Formed.

^{13}C MAS NMR spectroscopy was used to study methane interactions with the activated Cu sites in Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5 (sample I) and Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5 (sample II) zeolites. Figure 4 shows ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectra of surface species formed as the result of methane-^{13}C activation on the zeolites after the NMR samples were heated at 523 K for 1 h. The signals observed are located in the region of 53−63 ppm indicating the formation of methoxy-like surface intermediates. Full-range

Figure 4. ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectra of (a−c) methane-^{13}C and (d) methanol-^{13}C adsorbed on Cu-containing zeolites and heated at 523 K for 1 h. Methane-^{13}C was adsorbed on dehydrated and O_2-treated (a) Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5 and (b) Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5 and (c) only dehydrated Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5. (d) Methanol was adsorbed on dehydrated Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5. All spectra were recorded at ambient temperature.

^{13}C CP/MAS NMR and ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra before and after heating are shown in Figures S3 and S4.

The chemical shift of 56−59 ppm is typical for methyl groups attached to the bridged Si−O⁻−Al sites, that is, the surface methoxide or methoxy species previously detected on various H-form zeolites.[8,10,31,32,66] Therefore, the signal at 58.6 ppm (Figure 4a−c) can be plausibly assigned to surface methoxy Si−O(CH₃)−Al sites, which is in agreement with previously suggested assignments for Cu-ZSM-5 and Cu-MOR[38,39,41] but contradicts the attribution of the signal to Cu−OCH₃ species on Cu-ZSM-5.[40] The signal at 51−53 ppm was previously assigned to strongly adsorbed methanol on the surface of the H-form and Cu-containing zeolites, in particular, on BASs.[38−41,67] There is a consensus on the origin of such a signal.

To validate the assignment of the signals at 53−59 ppm, an additional experiment has been performed with methanol-^{13}C adsorbed on Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5 zeolite (Figure 4d). Two signals at 52.9 and 58.6 ppm could be clearly distinguished in the resulting ^{13}C MAS NMR spectrum similar to the spectral features observed upon methane activation (Figure 4a−c). The major signal at 52.9 can be directly related to methanol adsorbed on BASs. The minor signal at 58.6 ppm evidences the formation of surface-bound methoxide resulting from the transformation of methanol over the residual BASs of the zeolite.[68,69]

Importantly, methanol-^{13}C was adsorbed on Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5 zeolite, which was not treated with O_2 at high temperature and therefore contained Cu(I) sites resulting from partial Cu(II) site reduction.[43,59,62,70] However, no specific signals at >62 ppm previously attributed to methanol adsorbed on Cu(I)[39] could be observed in Figure 4d. This implies that the complex of methanol with Cu(I) sites as an intermediate does not form at methane activation on Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5 zeolite.

The third detected signal in Figure 4b with the chemical shift of 62.6 ppm still requires an assignment. A similar signal at 61.2 ppm was first observed by Narsimhan et al.[38] for methane activation on the Cu-MOR catalyst, and it was attributed to the methyl group attached to Cu−O(CH₃)−Cu bridging species. A similar signal at 62 ppm was assigned by Sushkevich et al.[39] to methanol adsorbed on Cu(I) sites. Dyballa et al.[41] suggested that the signal at 63−64 ppm arose from dimethyl ether adsorbed on BASs. However, a pathway to dimethyl ether formation has not been discussed. Validation of these suggested assignments can be made by comparing the behavior of sample I and sample II since only the latter one contains Cu−O−Cu fragments due to clustered Cu sites. The results in Figure 4a−c show that the signal at 62.6 ppm is exclusively formed over the Cu-rich sample II featuring such bridging Cu−O−Cu moieties (Figure 4b), while it is not detected for sample I containing only monocopper species (Figure 4a). These results indicate a direct correlation between the presence Cu−O−Cu moieties in the zeolite and the signal at 61−63 ppm, which most likely should be attributed to Cu−O(CH₃)−Cu type species. The exact structure and composition of the intermediate, either methanol strongly adsorbed in between two Cu atoms[23] (Cu−(HOCH₃)−Cu species) or methoxide[38] (Cu−O(CH₃)−Cu species), can be clarified with the aid of corresponding DFT calculations (*vide infra*).

3.3. DFT Calculations of [13]C Chemical Shifts of Possible Methane Activation Intermediates. ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR data reported above provide an insight into the

nature of the methoxy-like intermediates formed upon methane activation by Cu-containing zeolites. To further rationalize the NMR data and gain a better molecular-level understanding of the intermediates, we have performed DFT calculations for the number of model structures representing the possible methoxy species in Cu-ZSM-5 zeolite. Figures 5 and 6 summarize the optimized structures of the computed

Figure 5. Optimized structures, which were used to calculate the ^{13}C NMR chemical shifts of (a) Si−O(CH$_3$)−Al, (b) CH$_3$OH adsorbed on BAS, and (c) DME adsorbed on BAS. Optimized distances are shown in Å.

intermediates and adsorption complexes. The computed NMR parameters, that is, the respective ^{13}C chemical shifts, are summarized in Table 2 (see column "calculated"). Table 2 also shows our assignments of the ^{13}C chemical shifts based on NMR experiments performed (see column "experimental") as well as the assignments suggested in the literature. Therefore, theoretical and experimental data reported in this work can be compared with those found in other studies.

To validate the chosen method for calculations of ^{13}C chemical shifts, we first computed the chemical shift of a lattice-bound methoxy group. The optimized structure of the model representing such a Si−O(CH$_3$)−Al moiety is shown in Figure 5a. The computed ^{13}C chemical shift for Si−O(CH$_3$)−Al structure is 58.2 ppm, which is in an excellent agreement with the experimental value of 58.6 ppm found in this work for Cu-ZSM-5 and 59 ppm for the surface methoxy species detected on different ZSM-5 materials.[8,10,31,66]

The calculations predict the chemical shift of 52.5 ppm for the adsorption complexes of CH$_3$OH with BAS (Figure 5b), whereas the adsorption complex with a Cu(I) cation (Figure 6a) would give rise to the signal with a chemical shift of 64.8 ppm. The experimentally observed signal at 52.9 ppm (Figure 4) corresponds to the former adsorption complex, whereas the latter one is not observed experimentally in this study. Therefore, there is good agreement between DFT and NMR results in this work. Our calculations support the earlier assignment of the signal at 52.9 ppm to methanol adsorbed on zeolite BASs of Cu-ZSM-5 and Cu-MOR.[38−40]

Calculation of the ^{13}C chemical shift for the adsorption complex of the dimethyl ether (DME) (end-on type of adsorption) on BAS (Figure 5c) predicts values of 58.6 and 59.4 ppm, which is in good agreement with the experimental value of 59−60 ppm reported in previous studies on H-ZSM-5.[31,67] Our calculations give slightly different values for the chemical shift of two carbon atoms of DME adsorbed on BAS (Figure 5c) and on a Cu(I) site (Figure 6b). This can be explained by an asymmetry of the optimized structures of the adsorption complexes, which results in different local geometry and therefore different shielding constants and chemical shifts of the carbon atoms.

The adsorption complex of DME (Figure 6b) with the Cu(I) site was also considered. The calculated values of the ^{13}C chemical shift for this species are 66.9 and 67.6 ppm, which are in good agreement with the chemical shift of 67 ppm observed earlier for such a type of complex.[39] The absence of the signal at 67 ppm in Figure 4 implies that the complex of DME with the Cu(I) site is not formed in our case. Moreover, DME formation from methane under water-free conditions on Cu-ZSM-5 or Cu-MOR has never been observed. DME was detected to be formed during steam purging of Cu-MOR,[22] probably as the product of methanol dehydration. Taking such reasoning into account, it is plausible to suggest that the observation of dimethyl ether on Cu-containing zeolites reported earlier[39,41] can be related with the presence of some quantity of water in the zeolite samples, which was not the case in the current work. Thus, our DFT calculations and NMR data allow us to conclude that DME should be excluded from the list of possible intermediates of methane activation on Cu-modified zeolites under conditions of our experiments.

Two more possible structures represent the methoxy-like intermediates that may contribute to the signal at 62.6 ppm in Figure 4. It is worth mentioning that this signal should belong to the intermediate formed on Cu−O−Cu sites according to

Figure 6. Optimized structures of (a) CH$_3$OH adsorbed on the Cu(I) site, (b) DME adsorbed on the Cu(I) site, (c) Cu−O(CH$_3$)−Cu, and (d) Cu−(HOCH$_3$)−Cu.

Table 2. DFT Predicted and Experimentally Observed Chemical Shifts Assigned to Various Methoxy-Like Species

	^{13}C NMR chemical shift (ppm)			
species	calculated	experimental	literature data	reference for literature data
Si−O(CH$_3$)−Al	58.2	58.6	56−59	8,10,31,32,38,39,41,66
CH$_3$OH on Cu(I)	64.8	N/D[a]	62	39
(CH$_3$)$_2$O on Cu(I)	66.9 and 67.6	N/D[a]	67	39
CH$_3$OH on BAS	52.5	52.9	51−53	38−41, 67
(CH$_3$)$_2$O on BAS	58.6 and 59.4	N/D[a]	59−60; 62−63 (end-on; side-on)	31, 39, 41, 67
Cu−O(CH$_3$)−Cu	59.2	-[b]	61.2	38
Cu−(HOCH$_3$)−Cu	62.8	62.6	-[c]	-[c]

[a]N/D means that the signal was not detected. [b]Species are not formed under conditions studied. [c]There was no signal reported for such assignment.

NMR results discussed above. The first structure is Cu−O(CH$_3$)−Cu (Figure 6c), that is, the methyl group bound to extra-framework oxygen of the Cu−O−Cu fragment. Such assignment was proposed in ref 38 for Cu-MOR zeolite, and Cu−O(CH$_3$)−Cu species were theoretically predicted to be stable intermediates.[28] The second structure is the Cu−(HOCH$_3$)−Cu intermediate (methanol adsorption complex with two Cu(I) sites) (Figure 6d) suggested as the alternative stable intermediate of methane activation.[23] DFT calculations show that the Cu−O(CH$_3$)−Cu structure (Figure 6c) gives the signal with the ^{13}C chemical shift of 59.2 ppm, which is significantly different from the experimentally observed signal at 62.6 ppm (Figure 4b). On the other hand, the calculation of the shift for the Cu−(HOCH$_3$)−Cu structure provides a

reasonable value of 62.8 ppm, which is in line with the proposed assignment of the experimentally detected signal to the methoxy-like species bound to two Cu atoms.

Thus, the results allow us to distinguish between theoretically predicted stable intermediates of methane activation on Cu−O−Cu sites of [Cu$_2$(μ-O)]$^{2+}$ or [Cu$_3$(μ-O)$_3$]$^{2+}$ species. In our particular case, the [Cu$_2$(μ-O)]$^{2+}$ structure was selected and examined here for the calculations. NMR and DFT data of the current study allow us to infer that the Cu−(HOCH$_3$)−Cu species is a stable intermediate of methane activation on Cu-containing ZSM-5 zeolites with Cu−O−Cu active fragments.

3.4. Mechanisms of Methane Activation on Cu/H-ZSM-5 Zeolites. The combined NMR and DFT study on the

nature of the surface intermediates of methane-to-methanol transformation on Cu/H-ZSM-5 zeolites has provided new insights onto the mechanisms of methane activation and conversion assisted with different Cu sites. The structure and composition of the identified intermediates are in favor of particular methane activation mechanisms recently suggested based on theoretical and experimental studies. The formation of only three types of methoxy-like intermediates on Cu/H-ZSM-5 is confirmed. As evidenced with ^{13}C MAS NMR and supported with model DFT calculations, methane transforms over Cu/H-ZSM-5 to surface methoxide $Si-O(CH_3)-Al$ (58.6 ppm), a methanol adsorption complex with BAS (52.9 ppm), and a methanol adsorption complex with two Cu atoms $Cu-(HOCH_3)-Cu$ (62.6 ppm). Importantly, the latter intermediate is detected only when $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu-O)_3]$ sites are generated in the zeolite after treatment with O_2. The other two intermediates are formed even when only $Z_2Cu(II)$ sites are present in the zeolite material.

Thus, the methane C−H bond homolytic cleavage mechanism involving a methyl radical rebound step, described previously as one of the options,[23,28,71] seems to be realized for $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu-O)_3]$ sites in Cu/H-ZSM-5 since this pathway of methane transformation is predicted to yield a stable intermediate of a $Cu-(HOCH_3)-Cu$ composition. Based on the data reported in this work, other alternative mechanisms for $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu-O)_3]$ sites involving $Cu-O(CH_3)-Cu$ species formation can be considered as unrealizable under the conditions studied here. The same rebound mechanism can be also proposed for methane activation on various alternative di- and tricopper oxo-clusters since the same $Cu-(HOCH_3)-Cu$ intermediate was observed with ^{13}C MAS NMR for different Cu-MOR and Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites containing $Cu-O-Cu$ extra-framework species.[38−41] The formation of other two intermediates, $Si-O(CH_3)-Al$ and methanol on BAS, were detected for Cu/H-ZSM-5 dominated by monocopper $Z_2Cu(II)$ sites. This strongly supports the suggestion on the involvement of monocopper Cu(II) species in methane C−H bond activation via either homolytic or heterolytic pathways. Note, the role of other monocopper sites ($Z[Cu(II)(OH)]$ or $Z[Cu(II)O]$, observed as minor species in Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5) can be also important as predicted with DFT methods.[24] The possible participation of monocopper sites of Cu-ZSM-5 zeolite in the methane activation process has been recently studied experimentally.[72] The proposed mechanism including heterolytic C−H bond cleavage, however, requires further investigation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Two samples of zeolite H-ZSM-5 containing 0.1 wt % Cu (Cu(0.1)/H-ZSM-5, sample I) and 1.4 wt % Cu (Cu(1.4)/H-ZSM-5, sample II) have been prepared. Both qualitative UV−vis DRS and quantitative ^1H MAS NMR analysis of the state of copper in the zeolites have shown that sample I contains Cu in the form of $Z_2Cu(II)$ species (Z = $Si-O^--Al$ site), while for sample II, both $Z_2Cu(II)$ and $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu-O)_3]$ species are present in the zeolite. Activation of methane on these samples results in the formation of three different surface methoxy-like intermediates with the signals at 52.9, 58.6, and 62.6 ppm in the ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectrum. All three signals are detected for sample II containing Cu−O−Cu extra-framework sites in the form of tricopper $[Cu_3(\mu-O)_3]^{2+}$ oxo-clusters whereas only two signals at 52.9 and 58.6 ppm are observed for sample I where the Cu−O−Cu sites are absent. ^{13}C MAS

NMR experiments with methane-^{13}C and methanol-^{13}C adsorbed on samples I and II allowed us to assign the signal at 62.6 ppm to methanol adsorbed in between two copper atoms ($Cu-(HOCH_3)-Cu$ species). The signal at 58.6 ppm is attributed to the methyl group attached to the framework of the bridged $Si-O^--Al$ site ($Si-O(CH_3)-Al$ species), and the signal at 52.9 ppm can be assigned to methanol adsorbed on the Brønsted acid site of the zeolite. Such assignment has been fully confirmed by DFT calculations of ^{13}C chemical shifts for a number of methoxy-like species, which may be formed on the surface of Cu-containing zeolites with BAS and copper oxo-clusters. The identification of the intermediates formed in the course of methane to methanol transformation allows further evaluation on the mechanism of methane activation on different Cu sites present in the zeolites. In particular, the mechanism with a methyl radical rebound step[23,28,71] seems to be the one that is realized for ZSM-5 zeolite containing $Z_2[Cu_3(\mu-O)_3]$ oxo-clusters because of the observation of methanol strongly adsorbed in between two Cu atoms, that is, $Cu-(HOCH_3)-Cu$ species. The formation of other two intermediates, $Si-O(CH_3)-Al$ and CH_3OH adsorbed on BASs, may be indicative of the involvement of $Z_2Cu(II)$ or other monocopper sites into methane activation.[72]

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Ⓢ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c00311.

^{27}Al and ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra of H-ZSM-5, UV−vis DR spectra of H-ZSM-5 and Cu/H-ZSM-5 at ambient conditions, and ^{13}C MAS and CP/MAS NMR spectra of methane-^{13}C and methanol-^{13}C on Cu/H-ZSM-5 zeolites (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Evgeny A. Pidko − *Inorganic Systems Engineering group, Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Delft University of Technology, Delft 2629 HZ, Netherlands;* ⓘ orcid.org/0000-0001-9242-9901; Email: E.A.Pidko@tudelft.nl

Alexander G. Stepanov − *Boreskov Institute of Catalysis, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia;* ⓘ orcid.org/0000-0003-2754-5273; Email: stepanov@catalysis.ru

Authors

Alexander A. Kolganov − *Boreskov Institute of Catalysis, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia*

Anton A. Gabrienko − *Boreskov Institute of Catalysis, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia; Faculty of Natural Sciences, Department of Physical Chemistry, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia;* ⓘ orcid.org/0000-0002-2811-3635

Svetlana A. Yashnik − *Boreskov Institute of Catalysis, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia*

Complete contact information is available at:
https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c00311

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ REFERENCES

(1) McFarland, E. Unconventional Chemistry for Unconventional Natural Gas. *Science* **2012**, *338*, 340−342.

(2) Gabrienko, A. A.; Arzumanov, S. S.; Toktarev, A. V.; Danilova, I. G.; Prosvirin, I. P.; Kriventsov, V. V.; Zaikovskii, V. I.; Freude, D.; Stepanov, A. G. Different Efficiency of Zn^{2+} and ZnO Species for Methane Activation on Zn-Modified Zeolite. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 1818−1830.

(3) Baba, T.; Abe, Y.; Nomoto, K.; Inazu, K.; Echizen, T.; Ishikawa, A.; Murai, K. Catalytic Transformation of Methane over In-Loaded ZSM-5 Zeolite in the Presence of Ethene. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 4263−4268.

(4) Chen, G.; Zhao, Y.; Shang, L.; Waterhouse, G. I. N.; Kang, X.; Wu, L.-Z.; Tung, C.-H.; Zhang, T. Recent Advances in the Synthesis, Characterization and Application of Zn^{+}-Containing Heterogeneous Catalysts. *Adv. Sci.* **2016**, *3*, 1500424.

(5) Gabrienko, A. A.; Arzumanov, S. S.; Luzgin, M. V.; Stepanov, A. G.; Parmon, V. N. Methane Activation on Zn^{2+}-Exchanged ZSM-5 Zeolites. The Effect of Molecular Oxygen Addition. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 24910−24918.

(6) Choudhary, V. R.; Mondal, K. C.; Mulla, S. A. R. Simultaneous Conversion of Methane and Methanol into Gasoline over Bifunctional Ga-, Zn-, In-, and/or Mo-Modified ZSM-5 Zeolites. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 4381−4385.

(7) Gabrienko, A. A.; Arzumanov, S. S.; Toktarev, A. V.; Freude, D.; Haase, J.; Stepanov, A. G. Hydrogen H/D Exchange and Activation of C_1 - n-C_4 Alkanes on Ga-Modified Zeolite BEA Studied with ^{1}H Magic Angle Spinning Nuclear Magnetic Resonance in Situ. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 13877−13886.

(8) Gabrienko, A. A.; Arzumanov, S. S.; Moroz, I. B.; Prosvirin, I. P.; Toktarev, A. V.; Wang, W.; Stepanov, A. G. Methane Activation on In-Modified ZSM-5: The State of Indium in the Zeolite and Pathways of Methane Transformation to Surface Species. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 8034−8043.

(9) Baba, T. Conversion of Methane over Ag^+-Exchanged Zeolite in the Presence of Ethene. *Catal. Surv. Asia* **2005**, *9*, 147−154.

(10) Gabrienko, A. A.; Arzumanov, S. S.; Moroz, I. B.; Toktarev, A. V.; Wang, W.; Stepanov, A. G. Methane Activation and Transformation on Ag/H-ZSM-5 Zeolite Studied with Solid-State NMR. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 7690−7702.

(11) Ravi, M.; Ranocchiari, M.; van Bokhoven, J. A. The Direct Catalytic Oxidation of Methane to Methanol—A Critical Assessment. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 16464−16483.

(12) Vanelderen, P.; Hadt, R. G.; Smeets, P. J.; Solomon, E. I.; Schoonheydt, R. A.; Sels, B. F. Cu-ZSM-5: A Biomimetic Inorganic Model for Methane Oxidation. *J. Catal.* **2011**, *284*, 157−164.

(13) Ruscic, B. Active Thermochemical Tables: Sequential Bond Dissociation Enthalpies of Methane, Ethane, and Methanol and the Related Thermochemistry. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 7810−7837.

(14) Rostrup-Nielsen, J. R.; Sehested, J.; Norskov, J. K. In *Adv. Catal.*; Gates, B. C., Knozinger, H., Eds.; Elsevier Academic Press Inc: San Diego, 2002; Vol. 47, pp 65−139.

(15) Olivos-Suarez, A. I.; Szécsényi, À.; Hensen, E. J. M.; Ruiz-Martinez, J.; Pidko, E. A.; Gascon, J. Strategies for the Direct Catalytic Valorization of Methane Using Heterogeneous Catalysis: Challenges and Opportunities. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 2965−2981.

(16) Ravi, M.; Sushkevich, V. L.; Knorpp, A. J.; Newton, M. A.; Palagin, D.; Pinar, A. B.; Ranocchiari, M.; van Bokhoven, J. A. Misconceptions and Challenges in Methane-to-Methanol over Transition-Metal-Exchanged Zeolites. *Nat. Catal.* **2019**, *2*, 485−494.

(17) Wang, B.; Albarracín-Suazo, S.; Pagán-Torres, Y.; Nikolla, E. Advances in Methane Conversion Processes. *Catal. Today* **2017**, *285*, 147−158.

(18) Hakemian, A. S.; Rosenzweig, A. C. The Biochemistry of Methane Oxidation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2007**, *76*, 223−241.

(19) Hanson, R. S.; Hanson, T. E. Methanotrophic Bacteria. *Microbiol. Rev.* **1996**, *60*, 439−471.

(20) Groothaert, M. H.; Smeets, P. J.; Sels, B. F.; Jacobs, P. A.; Schoonheydt, R. A. Selective Oxidation of Methane by the Bis(mu-oxo)dicopper Core Stabilized on ZSM-5 and Mordenite Zeolites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 1394−1395.

(21) Woertink, J. S.; Smeets, P. J.; Groothaert, M. H.; Vance, M. A.; Sels, B. F.; Schoonheydt, R. A.; Solomon, E. I. A $[Cu_2O]^{2+}$ Core in Cu-ZSM-5, the Active Site in the Oxidation of Methane to Methanol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2009**, *106*, 18908−18913.

(22) Grundner, S.; Markovits, M. A. C.; Li, G.; Tromp, M.; Pidko, E. A.; Hensen, E. J. M.; Jentys, A.; Sanchez-Sanchez, M.; Lercher, J. A. Single-Site Trinuclear Copper Oxygen Clusters in Mordenite for Selective Conversion of Methane to Methanol. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 7546.

(23) Li, G.; Vassilev, P.; Sanchez-Sanchez, M.; Lercher, J. A.; Hensen, E. J. M.; Pidko, E. A. Stability and Reactivity of Copper Oxo-Clusters in ZSM-5 Zeolite for Selective Methane Oxidation to Methanol. *J. Catal.* **2016**, *338*, 305−312.

(24) Kulkarni, A. R.; Zhao, Z.-J.; Siahrostami, S.; Nørskov, J. K.; Studt, F. Monocopper Active Site for Partial Methane Oxidation in Cu-Exchanged 8MR Zeolites. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 6531−6536.

(25) Mahyuddin, M. H.; Tanaka, T.; Staykov, A.; Shiota, Y.; Yoshizawa, K. Dioxygen Activation on Cu-MOR Zeolite: Theoretical Insights into the Formation of Cu_2O and Cu_3O_3 Active Species. *Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, *57*, 10146−10152.

(26) Mahyuddin, M. H.; Staykov, A.; Shiota, Y.; Miyanishi, M.; Yoshizawa, K. Roles of Zeolite Confinement and Cu−Cu Angle on the Direct Conversion of Methane to Methanol by $[Cu_2(\mu\text{-}O)]^{2+}$-Exchanged AEI, CHA, AFX, and MFI Zeolites. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 3741−3751.

(27) Yumura, T.; Hirose, Y.; Wakasugi, T.; Kuroda, Y.; Kobayashi, H. Roles of Water Molecules in Modulating the Reactivity of Dioxygen-Bound Cu-ZSM-5 toward Methane: A Theoretical Prediction. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 2487−2495.

(28) Sushkevich, V. L.; Palagin, D.; Ranocchiari, M.; van Bokhoven, J. A. Selective Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane Enables Direct Synthesis of Methanol. *Science* **2017**, *356*, 523−527.

(29) Arzumanov, S. S.; Gabrienko, A. A.; Freude, D.; Stepanov, A. G. Competitive Pathways of Methane Activation on Zn^{2+}-Modified ZSM-5 Zeolite: H/D Hydrogen Exchange with Brønsted Acid Sites versus Dissociative Adsorption to Form Zn-Methyl Species. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *6*, 6381−6388.

(30) Luzgin, M. V.; Gabrienko, A. A.; Rogov, V. A.; Toktarev, A. V.; Parmon, V. N.; Stepanov, A. G. The "Alkyl" and "Carbenium" Pathways of Methane Activation on Ga-Modified Zeolite BEA: ^{13}C Solid-State NMR and GC-MS Study of Methane Aromatization in the Presence of Higher Alkane. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 21555−21561.

(31) Wang, W.; Seiler, M.; Hunger, M. Role of Surface Methoxy Species in the Conversion of Methanol to Dimethyl Ether on Acidic Zeolites Investigated by in Situ Stopped-Flow MAS NMR Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2001**, *105*, 12553−12558.

(32) Jiang, Y.; Hunger, M.; Wang, W. On the Reactivity of Surface Methoxy Species in Acidic Zeolites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 11679−11692.

(33) Ivanova, I. I.; Kolyagin, Y. G. Impact of In Situ MAS NMR Techniques to the Understanding of the Mechanisms of Zeolite Catalyzed Reactions. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 5018–5050.

(34) Kolyagin, Y. G.; Ivanova, I. I.; Ordomsky, V. V.; Gedeon, A.; Pirogov, Y. A. Methane Activation over Zn-Modified MFI Zeolite: NMR Evidence for Zn-Methyl Surface Species Formation. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2008**, *112*, 20065–20069.

(35) Wang, X.; Xu, J.; Qi, G.; Wang, C.; Wang, W.; Gao, P.; Wang, Q.; Liu, X.; Feng, N.; Deng, F. Carbonylation of Ethane with Carbon Monoxide over Zn-Modified ZSM-5 Zeolites Studied by in Situ Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy. *J. Catal.* **2017**, *345*, 228–235.

(36) Xu, J.; Zheng, A.; Wang, X.; Qi, G.; Su, J.; Du, J.; Gan, Z.; Wu, J.; Wang, W.; Deng, F. Room Temperature Activation of Methane over Zn Modified H-ZSM-5 Zeolites: Insight from Solid-State NMR and Theoretical Calculations. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2932–2940.

(37) Wang, X.; Qi, G.; Xu, J.; Li, B.; Wang, C.; Deng, F. NMR-Spectroscopic Evidence of Intermediate-Dependent Pathways for Acetic Acid Formation from Methane and Carbon Monoxide over a ZnZSM-5 Zeolite Catalyst. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3850–3853.

(38) Narsimhan, K.; Michaelis, V. K.; Mathies, G.; Gunther, W. R.; Griffin, R. G.; Román-Leshkov, Y. Methane to Acetic Acid over Cu-Exchanged Zeolites: Mechanistic Insights from a Site-Specific Carbonylation Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 1825–1832.

(39) Sushkevich, V. L.; Verel, R.; Bokhoven, J. A. Pathways of Methane Transformation over Copper-Exchanged Mordenite as Revealed by in situ NMR and IR Spectroscopy. *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *132*, 920–928.

(40) Wu, J.-F.; Gao, X.-D.; Wu, L.-M.; Wang, W. D.; Yu, S.-M.; Bai, S. Mechanistic Insights on the Direct Conversion of Methane into Methanol over Cu/Na–ZSM-5 Zeolite: Evidence from EPR and Solid-State NMR. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 8677–8681.

(41) Dyballa, M.; Thorshaug, K.; Pappas, D. K.; Borfecchia, E.; Kvande, K.; Bordiga, S.; Berlier, G.; Lazzarini, A.; Olsbye, U.; Beato, P.; Svelle, S.; Arstad, B. Zeolite Surface Methoxy Groups as Key Intermediates in the Stepwise Conversion of Methane to Methanol. *ChemCatChem* **2019**, *11*, 5022–5026.

(42) Yashnik, S. A.; Salnikov, A. V.; Vasenin, N. T.; Anufrienko, V. F.; Ismagilov, Z. R. Regulation of the Copper-Oxide Cluster Structure and DeNOx Activity of Cu-ZSM-5 Catalysts by Variation of OH/Cu^{2+}. *Catal. Today* **2012**, *197*, 214–227.

(43) Yashnik, S. A.; Ismagilov, Z. R.; Anufrienko, V. F. Catalytic Properties and Electronic Structure of Copper Ions in Cu-ZSM-5. *Catal. Today* **2005**, *110*, 310–322.

(44) Stepanov, A. G. In *Zeolites and Zeolite-like Materials*; Sels, B. F., Kustov, L. M., Eds.; Elsevier Inc.: 2016; pp 137–188.

(45) Gabrienko, A. A.; Danilova, I. G.; Arzumanov, S. S.; Pirutko, L. V.; Freude, D.; Stepanov, A. G. Direct Measurement of Zeolite Brønsted Acidity by FTIR Spectroscopy: Solid-State ^{1}H MAS NMR Approach for Reliable Determination of the Integrated Molar Absorption Coefficients. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2018**, *122*, 25386–25395.

(46) Baerlocher, C.; McCusker, L. B. *Database of Zeolite Structures*. http://www.iza-structure.org/databases/ (accessed Dec 10, 2018).

(47) Dib, E.; Mineva, T.; Veron, E.; Sarou-Kanian, V.; Fayon, F.; Alonso, B. ZSM-5 Zeolite: Complete Al Bond Connectivity and Implications on Structure Formation from Solid-State NMR and Quantum Chemistry Calculations. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 19–24.

(48) Yang, X. Structure Identification of Intermediate Aluminum Species in USY Zeolites Using High-Resolution and Spin-Lattice Relaxation 27Al NMR. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 1276–1280.

(49) Neese, F. The ORCA Program System. *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 73–78.

(50) Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact Exchange. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652.

(51) Van der Mynsbrugge, J.; Visur, M.; Olsbye, U.; Beato, P.; Bjørgen, M.; Van Speybroeck, V.; Svelle, S. Methylation of Benzene by Methanol: Single-Site Kinetics over H-ZSM-5 and H-Beta Zeolite Catalysts. *J. Catal.* **2012**, *292*, 201–212.

(52) Hehre, W. J.; Ditchfield, R.; Pople, J. A. Self—Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. XII. Further Extensions of Gaussian—Type Basis Sets for Use in Molecular Orbital Studies of Organic Molecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1972**, *56*, 2257–2261.

(53) Francl, M. M.; Pietro, W. J.; Hehre, W. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Gordon, M. S.; DeFrees, D. J.; Pople, J. A. Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. XXIII. A Polarization-Type Basis Set for Second-Row Elements. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *77*, 3654–3665.

(54) Raghavachari, K.; Trucks, G. W. Highly Correlated Systems. Excitation Energies of First Row Transition Metals Sc—Cu. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *91*, 1062–1065.

(55) Wolinski, K.; Hinton, J. F.; Pulay, P. Efficient Implementation of the Gauge-Independent Atomic Orbital Method for NMR Chemical Shift Calculations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 8251–8260.

(56) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. Toward Reliable Density Functional Methods without Adjustable Parameters: The PBE0 Model. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 6158–6170.

(57) Dunning, T. H., Jr. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *90*, 1007–1023.

(58) Toomsalu, E.; Burk, P. Critical Test of Some Computational Methods for Prediction of NMR ^{1}H and ^{13}C Chemical Shifts. *J. Mol. Model.* **2015**, *21*, 244.

(59) Turnes Palomino, G.; Fisicaro, P.; Bordiga, S.; Zecchina, A.; Giamello, E.; Lamberti, C. Oxidation States of Copper Ions in ZSM-5 Zeolites. A Multitechnique Investigation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2000**, *104*, 4064–4073.

(60) Schoonheydt, R. A. Transition Metal Ions in Zeolites: Siting and Energetics of Cu^{2+}. *Catal. Rev.* **1993**, *35*, 129–168.

(61) Giordanino, F.; Vennestrøm, P. N. R.; Lundegaard, L. F.; Stappen, F. N.; Mossin, S.; Beato, P.; Bordiga, S.; Lamberti, C. Characterization of Cu-Exchanged SSZ-13: a Comparative FTIR, UV-Vis, and EPR Study with Cu-ZSM-5 and Cu-β with Similar Si/Al and Cu/Al Ratios. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 12741–12761.

(62) Teraoka, Y.; Tai, C.; Ogawa, H.; Furukawa, H.; Kagawa, S. Characterization and NO Decomposition Activity of Cu-MFI Zeolite in Relation to Redox Behavior. *Appl. Catal. A* **2000**, *200*, 167–176.

(63) Oord, R.; Schmidt, J. E.; Weckhuysen, B. M. Methane-to-Methanol Conversion over Zeolite Cu-SSZ-13, and Its Comparison with the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO$_x$ with NH$_3$. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *8*, 1028–1038.

(64) Markovits, M. A. C.; Jentys, A.; Tromp, M.; Sanchez-Sanchez, M.; Lercher, J. A. Effect of Location and Distribution of Al Sites in ZSM-5 on the Formation of Cu-Oxo Clusters Active for Direct Conversion of Methane to Methanol. *Top. Catal.* **2016**, *59*, 1554–1563.

(65) Smeets, P. J.; Hadt, R. G.; Woertink, J. S.; Vanelderen, P.; Schoonheydt, R. A.; Sels, B. F.; Solomon, E. I. Oxygen Precursor to the Reactive Intermediate in Methanol Synthesis by Cu-ZSM-5. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 14736–14738.

(66) Bosacek, V. Formation of surface-bonded methoxy groups in the sorption of methanol and methyl iodide on zeolites studied by carbon-13 MAS NMR spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1993**, *97*, 10732–10737.

(67) Blasco, T.; Boronat, M.; Concepción, P.; Corma, A.; Law, D.; Vidal-Moya, J. A. Carbonylation of Methanol on Metal-Acid Zeolites: Evidence for a Mechanism Involving a Multisite Active Center. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 3938–3941.

(68) Tsiao, C.; Corbin, D. R.; Dybowski, C. Investigation of Methanol Adsorbed on Zeolite H-ZSM-5 by Carbon-13 NMR Spectrometry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 7140–7144.

(69) Salvador, P.; Fripiat, J. J. Molecular Diffusion and Proton Exchange in Methanol Adsorbed by a Sodium and a Hydrogen Y Zeolite. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1975**, *79*, 1842–1849.

(70) Park, S.-K.; Kurshev, V.; Luan, Z.; Wee Lee, C.; Kevan, L. Reaction of NO with Copper Ions in Cu(II)-Exchanged ZSM-5 Zeolite: Electron Spin Resonance, Electron Spin Echo Modulation and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2000**, *38*, 255–266.

(71) Zhao, Z.-J.; Kulkarni, A.; Vilella, L.; Nørskov, J. K.; Studt, F. Theoretical Insights into the Selective Oxidation of Methane to Methanol in Copper-Exchanged Mordenite. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 3760−3766.

(72) Gabrienko, A. A.; Yashnik, S. A.; Kolganov, A. A.; Sheveleva, A. M.; Arzumanov, S. S.; Fedin, M. V.; Tuna, F.; Stepanov, A. G. Methane Activation on H-ZSM-5 Zeolite with Low Copper Loading. The Nature of Active Sites and Intermediates Identified with the Combination of Spectroscopic Methods. *Inorg. Chem.* **2020**, *59*, 2037−2050.