

## Reflecting on Hybrid Events

### Learning from a Year of Hybrid Experiences

Ansah, Alberta A.; Vivacqua, Adriana S.; Zhong, Sailin; Boll, Susanne; Constantinides, Marios; Verma, Himanshu; El Ali, Abdallah; Lushnikova, Alina; Alavi, Hamed; More Authors

**DOI**

[10.1145/3544549.3583181](https://doi.org/10.1145/3544549.3583181)

**Publication date**

2023

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Published in**

CHI 2023 - Extended Abstracts of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems

**Citation (APA)**

Ansah, A. A., Vivacqua, A. S., Zhong, S., Boll, S., Constantinides, M., Verma, H., El Ali, A., Lushnikova, A., Alavi, H., & More Authors (2023). Reflecting on Hybrid Events: Learning from a Year of Hybrid Experiences. In *CHI 2023 - Extended Abstracts of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* Article 517 (Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings). ACM.  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3544549.3583181>

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

***Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository***

***'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project***

**<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>**

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.



# Reflecting on Hybrid Events: Learning from a Year of Hybrid Experiences

Alberta A. Ansah  
University of New  
Hampshire  
United States  
Alberta.Ansah@unh.edu

Adriana S. Vivacqua  
Universidade Federal do  
Rio de Janeiro  
Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil  
avivacqua@ic.ufrj.br

Sailin Zhong  
University of Fribourg  
Switzerland  
MIT Media Lab  
United States  
sailin.zhong@unifr.ch

Susanne Boll  
University of Oldenburg  
Oldenburg, Germany  
Susanne.Boll@informatik.uni-  
oldenburg.de

Marios Constantinides  
Nokia Bell Labs  
United Kingdom  
marios.constantinides@nokia-  
bell-labs.com

Himanshu Verma  
H.Verma@tudelft.nl  
Delft University of  
Technology  
The Netherlands

Abdallah El Ali  
Centrum Wiskunde &  
Informatica (CWI)  
The Netherlands  
abdallah.el.ali@cw.nl

Alina Lushnikova  
University of Luxembourg  
Luxembourg  
alina.lushnikova@uni.lu

Hamed S. Alavi  
University of Amsterdam  
The Netherlands  
h.alavi@uva.nl

Sean Rintel  
Microsoft Research  
Cambridge  
United Kingdom  
serintel@microsoft.com

Andrew L. Kun  
University of New  
Hampshire  
United States  
andrew.kun@unh.edu

Orit Shaer  
Wellesley College  
Wellesley, USA  
oshaer@wellesley.edu

Anna Cox  
University College London  
United Kingdom  
anna.cox@ucl.ac.uk

Kathrin Gerling  
Karlsruhe Institute of  
Technology  
Karlsruhe, Germany  
kathrin.gerling@kit.edu

Michael Muller  
IBM Research AI  
Cambridge, MA, USA  
michael\_muller@us.ibm.com

Vít Rusňák  
Masaryk University  
Brno, Czechia  
rusnak@ics.muni.cz

Leticia S. Machado  
Universidade dos Vales do  
Jequitinhonha e Mucuri  
Diamantina, MG, Brazil  
leticia.machado@ufvjm.edu.br

Thomas Kosch  
Humboldt University of  
Berlin  
Berlin, Germany  
thomas.kosch@hu-  
berlin.de

CHIWORK Collective  
CHIWORK  
United States  
community@chiwork.org

SIGCHI Executive  
Committee  
ACM SIGCHI  
New York, United States  
sigchi-ec@acm.org

## ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic led to a sudden shift to virtual work and events, with the last two years enabling an appropriated and rather simulated togetherness—the *hybrid* mode. As we return to in-person events, it is important to reflect on not only what we learned about technologies and social justice, but about the types of events we desire, and how to re-design them accordingly. This SIG aims to reflect on hybrid events and their execution: scaling them across sectors, communities, and industries; considering trade-offs when choosing technologies; studying best practices and defining measures of “success” for hybrid events; and finally, identifying and

charting the wider social, ethical, and legal implications of hybrid formats. This SIG will consolidate these topics by inviting participants to collaboratively reflect on previous hybrid experiences and what can be learned from them.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** → **Interaction paradigms**; *HCI theory, concepts and models*.

## KEYWORDS

hybrid events, remote work, blended experiences, user experience, measurement

## ACM Reference Format:

Alberta A. Ansah, Adriana S. Vivacqua, Sailin Zhong, Susanne Boll, Marios Constantinides, Himanshu Verma, Abdallah El Ali, Alina Lushnikova, Hamed S. Alavi, Sean Rintel, Andrew L. Kun, Orit Shaer, Anna Cox, Kathrin Gerling, Michael Muller, Vít Rusňák, Leticia S. Machado, Thomas Kosch, CHIWORK Collective, and SIGCHI Executive Committee. 2023. Reflecting on Hybrid Events: Learning from a Year of Hybrid Experiences. In *Extended*

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).  
CHI EA '23, April 23–28, 2023, Hamburg, Germany  
© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).  
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9422-2/23/04.  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3544549.3583181>

*Abstracts of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '23), April 23–28, 2023, Hamburg, Germany.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544549.3583181>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The recent COVID-19 pandemic led to a switch to virtual formats on many fronts, and academic conferences were no exception. This generated new possibilities for participation and engagement without the need to travel to a physical site, encouraging a reconsideration of earlier research on distributed teams and organizations. This special interest group (SIG) follows on ACM Special Interest Group on Computer-Human Interaction (SIGCHI) Executive Committee's previous SIG on hybrid formats, held at CHI 2022 [11], and on an open session held in 2020<sup>1</sup>. After more than two years of virtual experiences, and more than one year of experimentation with hybrid, there are new expectations about conference accessibility, and new challenges, including accessibility, connectivity, time zone coordination, language, networking, socializing and volunteering, to name a few, that arise as we work towards improving hybrid conferences.

A recent definition of hybrid events in work-related contexts describes a schema where there is a mixture of co-located and non-co-located work or workers – this mix can be across individuals in a team, workforce, or group of people for meetings [16]. Hybrid events inherit this definition for events like trade shows, conferences, seminars, workshops, and similar meetings. The rapid development of technology and changing work arrangements has allowed individuals to embrace hybrid work mode as the norm. Many technology companies adopted forms of permanently remote work over several decades [17], with on-going “tuning” of the sociotechnical aspects of remote work [14] as an arena of labor-management negotiation [10].

More recently, the COVID-19 pandemic forced employees from a wide range of job sectors (e.g., IT and technology, sales, retail, and research) to work remotely [6]. As lockdowns were lifted, companies transitioned (or in some cases simply returned [17]) to “hybrid work” – a process that continues today [1]. Through the unexpected global experiment on hybrid work, employers and workers have rethought their work-life balance, commuting, and health risks [26], with potential gains in social justice through more flexible remote work accommodations [9]. This experience fundamentally questioned if the hybrid event model can become a common practice across different job sectors and opened up opportunities to explore how to make such arrangements consistently possible [27]. Hybrid events generally use device technologies designed for hybrid collaborations – the most common devices in a hybrid setting were generally screen-based (i.e., laptops, PCs, tablets, monitor displays, projection screens, televisions, and tabletops), where users largely remained in sedentary positions [19]. This hardware is connected physically through networks, contextually through software technologies for collaboration [18], and socially through co-evolving work-practices [5]. Across the board, the different stakeholders – employers, employees, the community – are evaluating what it

means to conduct events in a hybrid format, and how to best implement it. This led to an increased interest in hybrid events in the future of work, motivating a slew of research on the subject [19].

This SIG aims to reflect on hybrid events that have been run in the last year or so, to learn from them and rethink what “hybrid events” are, who they cater to, what technology, training and work arrangements are needed to enable it pervasively, how to measure “success” for hybrid events, and how different notions of hybrid across sectors and communities can challenge these outcomes. The SIG's organizers have a wide range of experience in organizing virtual and hybrid conference events as well as research around technology and user experience in remote and hybrid events. This proposal is a joint effort by the CHIWORK collective and the SIGCHI Hybrid Working Group.

## 2 SIG TOPICS

This SIG aims at bringing researchers together to discuss the following topics related to hybrid events:

### Topic 1: What are the current best practices for hybrid events, and how can they scale across domains?

Hybrid events take on different meanings depending on the type, scale, and technology used at the event. In recent years, conference organizers are transitioning from simply having an online option, often asynchronous, to actually planning and implementing the hybrid model [3, 24]. This confers crucial benefits: for example, remote participation can allow people from the Global South and Global North to exchange ideas, can ease financial burdens on early-career scholars, and reduce inequities with visas or other border issues. In addition, remote participation may be temporarily or permanently necessary for some disabled contributors. Nevertheless, the asymmetry between in-person and remote settings remains, pointing toward a need for sociotechnical analyses to complement the technological investigation. Recently, extensive guidelines on hybrid meetings [23] were proposed. The guidelines addressed problems of access to physical resources, serendipity during informal interactions, and lack of new models for workspace design. Comparably, the discussion on hybrid events has just started<sup>2</sup>. CHI22 was one of ACM's first large-scale hybrid events, and a major learning opportunity for the SIGCHI community. What hybrid configurations have events devised since then? This past year has seen multiple hybrid events, run using different tools and workflows, many building upon lessons learned from CHI22. What can we learn from these more recent events? Have the problems been solved? What worked and what didn't work? How can these lessons be systematized in some way?

### Topic 2: How can emerging technologies, tools and practices support hybrid event experiences?

A number of technologies have been developed to support hybrid event experiences, and recent discourses have attempted to scrutinize the sociotechnical underpinnings of hybrid reality and the blended experiences they afford [27]. Taken together, these approaches may provide benefits including recreating physical affordances and social cues to strengthen social presence, including multi-sensory (e.g., haptic and olfactory) stimulation to ensure not

<sup>1</sup><https://nehakumar.medium.com/6215365d9a4>

<sup>2</sup><https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/worklab/the-future-of-hybrid-events>

only an immersive experience but, importantly, that all event participants feel at an equal footing. However, recent events already indicate that technology is only part of the equation: aspects such as event/session flow, rules of interaction, volunteer training and handling the added volunteer burden for hybrid events have already been pointed out as fundamental to a conference's success.

### Topic 3: What is a "successful" hybrid event experience, and how to measure it?

A core element for a successful collaboration experience is the notion of presence [21] in general, and social presence (co-presence) [4] in particular, namely the "sense of being with another". This includes different facets of knowing who is in your current hybrid space, how physical and virtual participants are reacting to current situations, and, more generally, an awareness of what these participants' activities are and their availability which may offer ways to connect with them. We borrow lessons from CHIWORK 2022's Annual Meeting and CHI PLAY 2022, two events which combined simple telepresence technology with social protocols to enhance remote participation. A second core element is to establish common ground (e.g., [8]). While there is a history of sociotechnical approaches to creative work among very different teams [2, 7], we need to improve our understanding of both shared work-practices and shared repositories for hybrid meetings. Methods to measure meeting success are also in need of updating [13, 25].

### Topic 4: What are the wider implications of hybrid events on access, inclusion, and sustainability efforts, and how does hybridity change the volunteer experience?

We envision this SIG to continue discussions of social implications of new forms of technologies, social interactions, and environments (including mobility practices) that strengthen diversity, inclusion, and equality across sectors, including both technologies [12, 22] and social practices [15]. Moreover, we need to consider how hybrid models perform in relation to increasing expectations and requirements for more environmentally sustainable events (e.g., conferences). Reduced carbon emissions is a much needed outcome for such events that involve less air travel in particular; however, online platforms are not without their own carbon costs [20].

This SIG aims to critically address how hybrid event approaches can fare across a wide range of sectors, and ways to offer equal opportunities to experience "successful" hybrid work modes across communities and individual accessibility needs, without jeopardizing environment sustainability efforts. At the same time, the SIG will also examine how these fundamental changes to how we plan and run conferences impacts the work of our many volunteers, e.g., conference organizers, and student volunteers. Here, we hope to engage in reflection on implications for workload and how demands on individuals are shifting, and we will engage in discussion as to what sustainability looks like in terms of work-life balance for those involved in conference organization. How will these factors influence our measures of success (Topic 3), and what tools do we have to measure such success in the first place (Topic 2)? If not, what best practices and guidelines can we draw upon to make this a reality across geographically-spread communities and individuals who may not have access to the same infrastructure and technology to create the best form of hybrid?

## 3 SIG GOALS AND OUTCOMES

This SIG aims to be an interdisciplinary forum that brings together researchers, practitioners from academia and industry, and policy-makers to collaboratively reflect about hybrid events. Specifically, we aim to consider how hybrid events are currently being run, and how to scale them across communities, sectors, and industries; to explore novel and emerging technologies and practices to support hybrid events; to define a set of (practical) scalable guidelines and measurement approaches for ensuring "successful" hybrid event experiences; and to collectively discuss the implications of hybrid events, from the standpoint of access, inclusion, and sustainability efforts, to assess their impact across geographies and infrastructures.

To enable active engagement among attendees and evoke a sense of community, we aim to keep the SIG's Slack channel alive after the SIG's conclusion and even open it to other researchers and practitioners who could not attend. Finally, we aspire to continue the discussions about the developed research agendas and SIG themes in the future by organizing bi-weekly conversations with invited speakers (similar to the moderated conversations held on CHIWORK<sup>3</sup>), which the SIG organizers will facilitate.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Cevat Giray Aksoy, Jose Maria Barrero, Nicholas Bloom, Steven J Davis, Mathias Dolls, and Pablo Zarate. 2022. *Working from home around the world*. Technical Report. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- [2] Cecilia R Aragon, Sarah S Poon, Andrés Monroy-Hernández, and Diana Aragon. 2009. A tale of two online communities: Fostering collaboration and creativity in scientists and children. In *Proceedings of the seventh ACM conference on Creativity and cognition*. 9–18.
- [3] Zoe M Becerra, Nadia Fereydooni, Andrew L Kun, Angus McKerral, Andreas Riener, Clemens Schartmüller, Bruce N Walker, and Philipp Wintersberger. 2021. Interactive workshops in a pandemic: the real benefits of virtual spaces. *IEEE Pervasive Computing* 20, 1 (2021), 35–39.
- [4] Frank Biocca, Chad Harms, and Judee K. Burgoon. 2003. Toward a More Robust Theory and Measure of Social Presence: Review and Suggested Criteria. *Presence: Teleoper. Virtual Environ.* 12, 5 (oct 2003), 456–480. <https://doi.org/10.1162/10547460322761270>
- [5] Pernille Bjørn, Morten Esbensen, Rasmus Eskild Jensen, and Stina Matthiesen. 2014. Does distance still matter? Revisiting the CSCW fundamentals on distributed collaboration. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 21, 5 (2014), 1–26.
- [6] Erik Brynjolfsson, John J Horton, Adam Ozimek, Daniel Rock, Garima Sharma, and Hong-Yi TuYe. 2020. *COVID-19 and remote work: An early look at US data*. Technical Report. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- [7] Gregorio Convertino, Helena M Mentis, Mary Beth Rosson, Aleksandra Slavkovic, and John M Carroll. 2009. Supporting content and process common ground in computer-supported teamwork. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. 2339–2348.
- [8] Aldo de Moor. 2019. Co-Discovering Common Ground in a Collaborative Community: The BoostINNO Participatory Collaboration Mapping Case. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Communities & Technologies-Transforming Communities*. 255–262.
- [9] Bonnie Dowling, Drew Goldstein, Michael Park, and Holly Price. 2022. Hybrid work: Making it fit with your diversity, equity, and inclusion strategy. *The McKinsey Quarterly* (2022).
- [10] Monica Elling. 1985. Remote work/telecommuting a means of enhancing the quality of life, or just another method of making business more brisk? *Economic and Industrial Democracy* 6, 2 (1985), 239–249.
- [11] SIGCHI Executive Committee, Adriana S Vivaacqua, Andrew L Kun, Cale Passmore, Helena Mentis, Josh Andres, Kashyap Todi, Luigi De Russis, Matt Jones, Naomi Yamashita, Neha Kumar, Nicola J Bidwell, Pejman Mirza-Babaei, Priya Kumar, Shaowen Bardzell, Simone Kriglstein, Stacy M. Branham, Susan Dray, Susanne Boll, and Tamara Clegg. 2022. Exploring Hybrid: A (Hybrid) SIG to Discuss Hybrid Conferences. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (New Orleans, LA, USA) (CHI EA '22).

<sup>3</sup><https://www.chiwork.org>

- Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 164, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491101.3516405>
- [12] Luke Haliburton, Pawel W. Wozniak, Albrecht Schmidt, and Jasmin Niess. 2021. Charting the Path: Requirements and Constraints for Technology-Supported Walking Meetings. *Proc. HCI 5, CSCW2*, Article 347 (oct 2021), 31 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3476088>
- [13] E.T. Hall. 1966. *The Hidden Dimension*. Doubleday. <https://books.google.com.lb/books?id=u-wyAAAAMAAJ>
- [14] Christoph Hilberath, Julie Kilmann, Deborah Lovich, Thalia Tzanetti, Allison Bailey, Stefanie Beck, Elizabeth Kaufman, Bharat Khandelwal, Felix Schuler, and Kristi Woolsey. 2020. Hybrid work is the new remote work. *Boston Consulting Group[en línea]*, *Recuperado de*: <https://www.bcg.com/publications/2020/managing-remote-work-and-optimizing-hybridworking-models> (2020).
- [15] Julie S Hui and Shelly D Farnham. 2016. Designing for inclusion: Supporting gender diversity in independent innovation teams. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Supporting Group Work*. 71–85.
- [16] Sonia Jaffe and Jenna Butler. 2021. What is hybrid? [www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/project/the-new-future-of-work/articles/what-is-hybrid/](http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/project/the-new-future-of-work/articles/what-is-hybrid/).
- [17] Jay P Mulki, Fleura Bardhi, Felicia G Lassk, and Jayne Nanavaty-Dahl. 2009. Set up remote workers to thrive. *MIT Sloan Management Review* 51, 1 (2009), 63.
- [18] Michael Muller, Kate Ehrlich, Tara Matthews, Adam Perer, Inbal Ronen, and Ido Guy. 2012. Diversity among enterprise online communities: collaborating, teaming, and innovating through social media. In *Proc. CHI*. 2815–2824.
- [19] Thomas Neumayr, Banu Saatçi, Sean Rintel, Clemens Nylandsted Klokmose, and Mirjam Augstein. 2021. What was Hybrid? A Systematic Review of Hybrid Collaboration and Meetings Research. *CoRR abs/2111.06172* (2021). [arXiv:2111.06172](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.06172)
- [20] Renee Obringer, Benjamin Rachunok, Debora Maia-Silva, Maryam Arbabzadeh, Roshanak Nateghi, and Kaveh Madani. 2021. The overlooked environmental footprint of increasing Internet use. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 167 (2021), 105389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105389>
- [21] Payod Panda, Molly Jane Nicholas, Mar Gonzalez-Franco, Kori Inkpen, Eyal Ofek, Ross Cutler, Ken Hinckley, and Jaron Lanier. 2022. AllTogether: Effect of Avatars in Mixed-Modality Conferencing Environments. In *Proc. CHIWORK* (Durham, NH, USA) (*CHIWORK 2022*). ACM, Article 8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3533406.3539658>
- [22] Irene Rae, Gina Venolia, John C. Tang, and David Molnar. 2015. A Framework for Understanding and Designing Telepresence. In *Proc. CSCW* (Vancouver, BC, Canada) (*CSCW '15*). ACM, New York, USA, 1552–1566. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675141>
- [23] Sean Rintel, Abigail Sellen, Sonia Jaffe, Hecht Brent, John Tang, Kori Inkpen, and Bill Buxton. 2021. Hybrid meetings guide. [www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/project/the-new-future-of-work/articles/hybrid-meetings-guide/](http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/project/the-new-future-of-work/articles/hybrid-meetings-guide/).
- [24] David A. Shamma. 2022. Making the First Hybrid CHI in 2022. [chi2022.acm.org/2022/09/16/making-the-first-hybrid-chi-in-2022/](https://chi2022.acm.org/2022/09/16/making-the-first-hybrid-chi-in-2022/).
- [25] Mel Slater, Martin Usoh, and Anthony Steed. 1994. Depth of Presence in Virtual Environments. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments* 3, 2 (Jan. 1994), 130–144. <https://doi.org/10.1162/pres.1994.3.2.130>
- [26] Jaime Teevan and 24 co authors. 2021. *The New Future of Work: Research from Microsoft into the Pandemic's Impact on Work Practices*. Technical Report MSR-TR-2021-1. Microsoft. <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/the-new-future-of-work-research-from-microsoft-into-the-pandemics-impact-on-work-practices/>
- [27] Himanshu Verma, Marios Constantinides, Sailin Zhong, Abdallah El Ali, and Hamed S. Alavi. 2021. SensiBlend: Sensing Blended Experiences in Professional and Social Contexts. In *Adj. Proc. UbiComp/ISWC* (Virtual, USA) (*UbiComp '21*). ACM, New York, USA, 491–495. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3460418.3479268>