of $\epsilon$ corresponding to $s=s_{1}$. It is straightforward to check, using (32), that $P_{\mathrm{ud}}\left(\mathcal{C}^{4}, \epsilon\right) \leq\left(2^{4}-1\right) / 2^{8}$ for this $\epsilon$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}^{4}$ is good. Substituting $s=0.6$ in (34) shows that $\mathcal{C}^{4}$ is improper.

We complete the proof of Theorem 1. First, notice from Lemma 18 that for any $(n, M)_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{4}$ is bad if $n=M=q=2$ is not true, and $\mathcal{C}^{5}$ is bad if $n=M=q=2$. It is easy to check that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a $(2,2)_{2}$ code of distance one, then $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ is bad, and therefore, by Proposition $1, \mathcal{C}^{m}$ is bad and improper for $m \geq 2$. On the other hand, Lemma 19 shows that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a $(2,2)_{2}$ code of distance two, then $\mathcal{C}^{3}$ is proper and $\mathcal{C}^{4}$ is good but improper. Such codes are the only codes that are good if used four times. This confirms the results of Theorem 1 in case $q=2$.

Next, we assume that $q \geq 3$. If $M=q^{k}$, where $k$ is an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then Lemma 11 implies that for any $m>m_{g}^{\prime}(q)$, as specified in Theorem 1, the tuple ( $n, M, q, m$ ) is bad. In particular, for such $m, \mathcal{C}^{m}$ is bad, and therefore improper, for any $(n, M)_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}$. On the other hand, Lemma 19 indicates that for the $(2, q)_{q}$ linear repetition code $\mathcal{C}$, the code $\mathcal{C}^{m}$ is proper if $3 \leq q \leq 5$ and $m=2$, or if $q \geq 6$ and $m=1$. From this, we conclude that indeed the values of $m_{g}^{\prime}(q)$ and $m_{p}^{\prime}(q)$ given in Theorem 1 are correct. More generally, if $2 \leq M \leq q^{n}-1$, then Lemma 18 implies that for any $m>2$, the tuple $(n, M, q, m)$ is bad. In particular, for such $m, \mathcal{C}^{m}$ is bad, and therefore improper, for any $(n, M)_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}$. However, Lemma 19 shows that, for $q \geq 3$, there is a $q$-ary code, $\mathcal{C}$, for which $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ is proper and good. We conclude that the values of $m_{g}(q)$ and $m_{p}(q)$ in Theorem 1 are correct.
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## New Extremal Self-Dual Codes of Length 62 and Related Extremal Self-Dual Codes

Radinka Dontcheva and Masaaki Harada


#### Abstract

In this correspondence, new extremal self-dual codes of length 62 are constructed with weight enumerators of three different types. Two of these types were not represented by any known code up till now. All these codes possess an automorphism of order 15 . Some of them are used to construct extremal self-dual codes of length 60 by the method of subtracting.


[^0]By additional subtracting, an extremal self-dual [58, 29, 10] code was obtained having a weight enumerator which does not correspond to any code known so far.

Index Terms-Automorphism, extremal self-dual code, weight enumerator.

## I. Introduction

A binary $[n, k]$ code $C$ is a $k$-dimensional vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ is the field of two elements. The weight of a vector is the number of its nonzero coordinates. An $[n, k, d]$ code is an $[n, k]$ code with minimum weight $d$. A code $C$ is self-dual if $C=C^{\perp}$, where $C^{\perp}$ is the dual code of $C$ under the standard inner product. A self-dual code $C$ is doubly-even if all codewords of $C$ have a weight divisible by four, and singly-even if there is at least one codeword of weight $\equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Let $C$ be a singly-even self-dual code and let $C_{0}$ be its doubly-even subcode. Then $C_{0}^{\perp}=C_{0} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup C_{3}$ where $C_{i}$ are cosets of $C_{0}$ and $C=C_{0} \cup C_{2}$. The code $S=C_{1} \cup C_{3}$ is called the shadow code of $C$. Shadow codes were introduced by Conway and Sloane [3]. An automorphism of $C$ is a permutation of the coordinates of the codewords of $C$ which preserves $C$. The set consisting of all automorphisms of $C$ is called the automorphism group of $C$.

A self-dual code is called extremal if it has the largest minimum weight for that length. For doubly-even self-dual codes of length $n$ it is known that $n$ is a multiple of 8 and the minimum weight $d$ is bounded by $d \leq 4[n / 24]+4[10]$. For singly-even self-dual codes, Conway and Sloane [3] provided new upper bounds for the minimum weight, and gave a list of the possible weight enumerators of singly-even self-dual codes meeting the bounds for lengths up to 64 and for length 72 . For example, the largest minimum weights for length 58,60 , and 62 are 10,12 , and 12 , respectively. An obvious problem is to determine if a self-dual code exists for a given possible weight enumerator. In this correspondence, extremal self-dual codes of lengths 58,60 , and 62 are constructed.

In Section II, we construct new extremal self-dual codes of length 62 with an automorphism of order 15 , using the results derived in [8]. Some of them have a weight enumerator for which codes were not known to exist. In Section III, new extremal self-dual [60, 30, 12] codes are constructed by applying subtracting to extremal self-dual codes of length 62 . By one more subtraction we also construct an extremal self-dual $[58,29,10]$ code with a weight enumerator which was not known to be attainable before.

## II. New Extremal Self-Dual Codes of Length 62

The possible weight enumerators $W_{62, i}$ and $S_{62, i}, i=1,2$, of extremal self-dual codes of length 62 and their shadow codes are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{62,1}= & 1+(1860+32 \beta) y^{12}+(28055-160 \beta) y^{14} \\
& +(255533+96 \beta) y^{16}+\cdots  \tag{1}\\
S_{62,1}= & \beta y^{7}+(1116-12 \beta) y^{11}+(171368+66 \beta) y^{15}+\cdots  \tag{2}\\
W_{62,2}= & 1+2308 y^{12}+23767 y^{14}+279405 y^{16}+\cdots  \tag{3}\\
S_{62,2}= & y^{3}+1039 y^{11}+171928 y^{15}+\cdots \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ is an undetermined parameter with $0 \leq \beta \leq 93$. Only one extremal singly-even self-dual code with weight enumerator $W_{62,1}$ and $\beta=10$ is known [6]. Unfortunately, the coefficient of $y^{14}$ in $W_{62,1}$ of (1) was reported incorrectly in both [3, p. 1326] and [6, p. 1232]. Using the method from [3], we obtain the correct weight enumerator $W_{62,1}$.

TABLE I
Extremal Self-Dual Codes of Length 62

| Codes | $\beta$ | $M_{12}(3)$ | $m_{12}(3)$ | $M_{12}(2)$ | $m_{12}(2)$ | $M_{12}(1)$ | $m_{12}(1)$ | $\mid$ Aut $\mid$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{62,1}$ | 0 | 20 | 2 | 82 | 30 | 360 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,2}$ | 0 | 20 | 3 | 84 | 52 | 360 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,3}$ | 0 | 21 | 4 | 88 | 30 | 360 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,4}$ | 0 | 21 | 3 | 90 | 46 | 360 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,5}$ | 0 | 24 | 0 | 84 | 28 | 360 | 360 | 30 |
| $C_{62,6}$ | 0 | 21 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 360 | 360 | 30 |
| $C_{62,7}$ | 0 | 24 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 360 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,8}$ | 0 | 21 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 360 | 360 | 30 |
| $C_{62,9}$ | 0 | 20 | 2 | 86 | 30 | 360 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,10}$ | 15 | 25 | 3 | 112 | 52 | 480 | 416 | 15 |
| $C_{62,11}$ | 10 | 24 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,12}$ | 10 | 24 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 30 |
| $C_{62,13}$ | 10 | 24 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 30 |
| $C_{62,14}$ | 10 | 25 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,15}$ | 10 | 24 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,16}$ | 10 | 24 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,17}$ | 10 | 25 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 15 |
| $C_{62,18}$ | 10 | 23 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 30 |
| $C_{62,19}$ | 10 | 25 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 30 |
| $C_{62,20}$ | 10 | 25 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 424 | 360 | 30 |

In this section, we construct extremal self-dual codes of length 62 by making use of the method developed in [8] under the assumption that they possess an automorphism of order 15. It will appear that such codes have weight enumerators $W_{62,1}$ with $\beta=0,10$, and 15 , as defined in (1). From [14, Theorem 1] it follows that an extremal self-dual code of length 62 cannot have an automorphism of odd prime order greater than 5 . Since the investigation of the existence of codes having automorphisms of order 3 and 5 appears to require a lot of calculations, we restrict ourselves in this correspondence to automorphisms of order 15.

Let $C$ be an extremal self-dual code of length 62 with the following automorphism of order 15:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=(1, \ldots, 15)(16, \ldots, 30)(31, \ldots, 45)(46, \ldots, 60)(61)(62) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the four cycles of length 15 by $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \Omega_{3}, \Omega_{4}$ and the two fixed points by $\Omega_{5}$ and $\Omega_{6}$. Let

$$
F_{\sigma}(C)=\{x \in C \mid \sigma(x)=x\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma}(C)= & \left\{x \in C \mid \mathrm{wt}\left(x \mid \Omega_{i}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\right. \\
& \text { for } \left.i=1,2,3,4 \text { and } x \mid \Omega_{i}=0 \text { for } i=5,6\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x \mid \Omega_{i}$ is the restriction of $x$ on $\Omega_{i}$ and $\mathrm{tt}(x)$ denotes the weight of $x$. Consider the map $\phi: F_{\sigma}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{6}$ defined by $\phi\left(x \mid \Omega_{i}\right)=x_{j}$ for some $j \in \Omega_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$. Note that $x \mid \Omega_{i}$ is either the all-one vector $\mathbf{1}$ or the zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ of length 15 for any $x \in F_{\sigma}(C)$. The next proposition follows from [8, Theorems 1-3].

Proposition 1: Let $C$ be a self-dual code of length 62 with automorphism $\sigma$ as defined in (5). Then

1) $C=F_{\sigma}(C) \oplus E_{\sigma}(C)$.
2) $F_{\sigma}(C)$ and $E_{\sigma}(C)$ are $\sigma$-invariant, that is, invariant under the action of $\sigma$.
3) The subcodes $F_{\sigma}(C)$ and $E_{\sigma}(C)$ have dimensions 3 and 28 , respectively.
4) $\phi\left(F_{\sigma}(C)\right)$ is a self-dual code of length 6 .

It is well known that there is a unique self-dual code of length 6 up to equivalence. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $F_{\sigma}(C)$ has a generator matrix of the form

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{llll|ll}
\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0  \tag{6}\\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & 1 & 0 \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

since $C$ has minimum weight 12 .
Let $E_{\sigma}(C)^{*}$ be the code obtained from $E_{\sigma}(C)$ by deleting the last two coordinates. Denote by $P$ the set of the even-weight polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{15}-1\right)$. So, it is clear that $P$ is a cyclic code of length 15 generated by $x-1$. Also $P$ is a ring with identity element

$$
e(x)=x+x^{2}+x^{3}+\cdots+x^{14}
$$

We have

$$
x^{15}-1=(x-1) h_{1}(x) h_{2}(x) h_{3}(x) h_{4}(x)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}(x)=1+x+x^{2}+x^{3}+x^{4} \\
& h_{2}(x)=1+x^{3}+x^{4} \\
& h_{3}(x)=1+x+x^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
h_{4}(x)=1+x+x^{2}
$$

are irreducible polynomials in $P$. Let $I_{j}$ be the ideal of $P$ generated by the polynomial $\frac{x^{15}-1}{h_{j}(x)}$. It is well known that $I_{j}$ is a cyclic code, which is isomorphic to the field $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{4}$ for $j=1,2,3$ and to the field $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}$ for $j=4$, and that $P$ is the direct sum $P=I_{1} \oplus I_{2} \oplus I_{3} \oplus I_{4}$.

Since the code $C$ has an automorphism $\sigma$ containing four cycles of length 15 , a generator matrix of $E_{\sigma}(C)^{*}$ consists of elements of $I_{1}, I_{2}$, $I_{3}$, and $I_{4}$. Hence, one possibility for a generator matrix of the subcode $E_{\sigma}(C)^{*}$ is

$$
Y=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
r_{1} & r_{2} & r_{3} & r_{4}  \tag{7}\\
r_{5} & r_{6} & r_{7} & r_{8} \\
s_{1} & s_{2} & s_{3} & s_{4} \\
s_{5} & s_{6} & s_{7} & s_{8} \\
u_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3} & u_{4} \\
u_{5} & u_{6} & u_{7} & u_{8} \\
v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3} & v_{4} \\
v_{5} & v_{6} & v_{7} & v_{8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where all the $r_{i}, s_{j}, u_{t}$ are $4 \times 15$ matrices and all the $v_{k}$ are $2 \times 15$ matrices $(1 \leq i, j, t, k \leq 8)$. The first rows of the circulant matrices $r_{i}$, $s_{j}, u_{t}$, and $v_{k}$ are elements of $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$, and $I_{4}$, respectively. Therefore, we have the following characterization about the form of some generator matrices.

Proposition 2: Let $X$ and $Y$ be matrices of the form (6) and (7), respectively. Then the $31 \times 62$ matrix

$$
G=\left(\right)
$$

is a possible generator matrix of a self-dual code of length 62 with automorphism $\sigma$.

Using matrices of the form in Proposition 2 we found extremal self-dual codes of length 62 . We present 20 examples $C_{62,1}, C_{62,2}$, $\ldots, C_{62,20}$ of such codes. The codes $C_{62, i}, i=1, \ldots, 20$, have weight enumerators of type $W_{62,1}$ with $\beta=0,10$, or 15 . This was established by calculating the number of codewords of minimum weight. We list the values $\beta$ in Table I. The orders of the automorphism groups $\mid$ Aut| were calculated by MAGMA, and are also listed in Table I.

Proposition 3: There are extremal self-dual [62, 31, 12] codes with weight enumerator $W_{62,1}$ for $\beta=0,15$.

Remark: By this method we found more extremal self-dual codes with weight enumerator $W_{62,1}$ and $\beta=0,10$. However, an extensive computer search failed to discover an extremal self-dual code with $W_{62,2}$ or $W_{62,1}$ for other values of $\beta$.

We now present generator matrices of the codes $C_{62, i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, 20$. It is sufficient to give the submatrices $Y$ of $G$ (see Proposition 2). Denote the submatrices by $Y_{i}$ for $C_{62, i}$. The 20 circulant matrices in $Y_{i}$ are listed in Table II, where $o$ is the zero matrix and the first rows of the circulant matrices $e_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{s}, \gamma_{r}$, and $\delta_{t}$ are given in Table III.
To show that all presented codes are inequivalent, we use the following invariant. Let $C$ be a self-dual code of length $n$. Let $M=\left(m_{i j}\right)$ be the matrix of all $A_{t}$ codewords of weight $t$ in $C, 1 \leq i \leq A_{t}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. For any integer $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ and for any set of columns $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{k}$ let $n_{t}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}\right)$ be the number of rows $r$ such that $m_{r j_{1}} \cdots m_{r j_{k}} \neq 0$. We consider the set

$$
S_{t}(k)=\left\{n_{t}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}\right) \mid 1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k} \leq n\right\} .
$$

Let $M_{t}(k)$ and $m_{t}(k)$ denote the maximum and the minimum of $S_{t}(k)$, respectively. For the 20 codes $C_{62, i}, i=1, \ldots, 20$, the values of $M_{12}(k)$ and $m_{12}(k), k=1,2,3$, were computed and listed in Table I. We verified that the code $C_{62}$ as defined in [6] and $C_{62,19}$ have identical values for $M_{12}(k)$ and $m_{12}(k), k=1,2,3$. Moreover, using

TABLE II Matrices $Y_{i}$

| Matrices | $\begin{aligned} & \left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}, r_{6}, r_{7}, r_{8}\right) \\ & \left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{6}, u_{7}, u_{8}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{5}, s_{6}, s_{7}, s_{8}\right) \\ & \left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}, v_{7}, v_{8}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, \alpha_{2}, o, \alpha_{1}, o, \alpha_{10}, e_{1}, \alpha_{14}\right) \\ & \left(o, o, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, \beta_{1}, o, o, o, \beta_{1}, e_{2}, \beta_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{2}, o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, \alpha_{5}, o, \alpha_{1}, o, \alpha_{13}, e_{1}, \alpha_{14}\right) \\ & \left(o, o, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{3}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, \beta_{1}, o, o, o, \beta_{3}, e_{2}, \beta_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{1}, o, \delta_{2}, e_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, \alpha_{5}, o, \alpha_{1}, o, \alpha_{13}, e_{1}, \alpha_{14}\right) \\ & \left(o, o, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, \beta_{1}, o, o, o, \beta_{\mathbf{4}}, e_{2}, \beta_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{1}, o, e_{4}, e_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, o, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{4}, o, e_{1}, \alpha_{7}, \alpha_{14}\right) \\ & \left(o, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, o, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}, o, e_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, o, o, \beta_{1}, o, e_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, \delta_{2}, o, o, e_{4}, o, \delta_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, o, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{5}, o, e_{1}, \alpha_{5}, \alpha_{4}\right) \\ & \left(o, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, o, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, o, e_{3}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, o, o, \beta_{1}, o, e_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{2}, o, e_{4}, e_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, \alpha_{11}, o, \alpha_{7}, o, \alpha_{13}, e_{1}, \alpha_{14}\right) \\ & \left(\gamma_{1}, o, \gamma_{3}, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{11}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{11}, e_{2}, \beta_{3}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{2}, o, \delta_{2}, e_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, \alpha_{8}, o, \alpha_{4}, o, \alpha_{13}, e_{1}, \alpha_{14}\right) \\ & \left(\gamma_{1}, o, \gamma_{4}, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{11}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{11}, e_{2}, \beta_{4}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{2}, o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, \alpha_{8}, o, \alpha_{1}, o, \alpha_{1}, e_{1}, \alpha_{14}\right) \\ & \left(\gamma_{1}, o, \gamma_{5}, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{14}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{14}, e_{2}, \beta_{5}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{1}, o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, \alpha_{11}, o, \alpha_{4}, o, \alpha_{1}, e_{1}, \alpha_{14}\right) \\ & \left(\gamma_{1}, o, \gamma_{6}, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{5}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{5}, e_{2}, \beta_{6}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{1}, o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{10}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{1}, \alpha_{8}, o, \alpha_{7}, o, \alpha_{10}, e_{1}, \alpha_{14}\right) \\ & \left(\gamma_{1}, o, \gamma_{3}, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, \gamma_{9}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(e_{2}, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{1}, o, \beta_{9}, e_{2}, \beta_{3}\right) \\ & \left(e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{1}, o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{11}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, \alpha_{9}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{7}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{2}, \beta_{1}, e_{2}, o, \beta_{1}, \beta_{7}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(\delta_{1}, o, e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{2}, o, e_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{12}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, e_{1}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{5}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{2}, \beta_{1}, e_{2}, o, \beta_{1}, \beta_{5}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o, \delta_{1}, o, o, e_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{13}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, \alpha_{12}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{14}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{8}, \gamma_{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{8}, e_{2}, o, \beta_{14}, \beta_{6}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(o, \delta_{2}, e_{4}, o, \delta_{2}, o, o, e_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{14}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, \alpha_{6}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{14}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{8}, \gamma_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{8}, e_{2}, o, \beta_{14}, \beta_{3}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(\delta_{2}, o, e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{2}, o, e_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{15}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, \alpha_{3}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{14}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{8}, \gamma_{9}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{8}, e_{2}, o, \beta_{14}, \beta_{9}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(\delta_{1}, o, e_{4}, o, o, \delta_{2}, o, e_{4}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{16}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, \alpha_{3}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{2}, \beta_{1}, e_{2}, o, \beta_{1}, \beta_{6}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o, e_{4}, o, o, e_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{17}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, \alpha_{9}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{14}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{8}, \gamma_{7}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{8}, e_{2}, o, \beta_{14}, \beta_{7}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o, \delta_{2}, o, o, e_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{18}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, \alpha_{3}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{1}, e_{3}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{9}, \gamma_{8}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{9}, e_{2}, o, e_{2}, \beta_{8}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o, \delta_{1}, o, o, e_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{19}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, \alpha_{3}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{8}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{2}, \beta_{1}, e_{2}, o, \beta_{1}, \beta_{8}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(o, \delta_{2}, e_{4}, o, \delta_{2}, o, o, e_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $Y_{20}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(o, \alpha_{3}, e_{1}, o, \alpha_{12}, o, o, e_{1}\right) \\ & \left(e_{3}, o, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{14}, o, e_{3}, \gamma_{8}, \gamma_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{8}, e_{2}, o, \beta_{14}, \beta_{1}, o, e_{2}\right) \\ & \left(o, \delta_{1}, e_{4}, o, \delta_{1}, o, o, e_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |

Magma, it was shown that the two codes are equivalent. Hence, the other codes are new. From the table it appears that the codewords of minimum weight in $C_{62, i}, i=1, \ldots, 9$, form a 1-( $62,12,360$ ) design which cannot be explained by the Assmus-Mattson theorem.

## III. Related Extremal Self-Dual Codes of Lengths 58 and 60

In this section, extremal self-dual codes of lengths 58 and 60 are constructed from some of the extremal self-dual codes of length 62 which were discussed in the previous section.

## A. Extremal Self-Dual Codes of Length 60

New extremal self-dual codes of length 60 are constructed from some extremal self-dual codes of length 62 by the process of subtraction.

First we give the possible weight enumerators of extremal self-dual [ $60,30,12$ ] codes, which were derived in [3] and [5]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{60,1}=1+(2555+64 \beta) y^{12}+(33600-384 \beta) y^{14}+\cdots \\
& W_{60,2}=1+3451 y^{12}+24128 y^{14}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta$ is an undetermined parameter with $0 \leq \beta \leq 10$. An extremal self-dual code with weight enumerator $W_{60,2}$ was constructed in [3].

TABLE III
First Rows of the Circulant Matrices $e_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{s}, \gamma_{r}$, and $\delta_{t}$

|  | First rows |  | First rows |  | First rows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 011110111101111 | $e_{2}$ | 000100110101111 | $e_{3}$ | 011110101100100 |
| $e_{4}$ | 011011011011011 | $\alpha_{1}$ | 110001100011000 | $\alpha_{2}$ | 101001010010100 |
| $\alpha_{3}$ | 111101111011110 | $\alpha_{4}$ | 100011000110001 | $\alpha_{5}$ | 010010100101001 |
| $\alpha_{6}$ | 111011110111101 | $\alpha_{7}$ | 000110001100011 | $\alpha_{8}$ | 100101001010010 |
| $\alpha_{9}$ | 110111101111011 | $\alpha_{10}$ | 001100011000110 | $\alpha_{11}$ | 001010010100101 |
| $\alpha_{12}$ | 101111011110111 | $\alpha_{13}$ | 011000110001100 | $\alpha_{14}$ | 010100101001010 |
| $\beta_{1}$ | 110001001101011 | $\beta_{2}$ | 111100010011010 | $\beta_{3}$ | 101111000100110 |
| $\beta_{4}$ | 101011110001001 | $\beta_{5}$ | 011010111100010 | $\beta_{6}$ | 100110101111000 |
| $\beta_{7}$ | 001001101011110 | $\beta_{8}$ | 100010011010111 | $\beta_{9}$ | 111000100110101 |
| $\beta_{10}$ | 011110001001101 | $\beta_{11}$ | 010111100010011 | $\beta_{12}$ | 110101111000100 |
| $\beta_{13}$ | 001101011110001 | $\beta_{14}$ | 010011010111100 | $\gamma_{1}$ | 111010110010001 |
| $\gamma_{2}$ | 101011001000111 | $\gamma_{3}$ | 101100100011110 | $\gamma_{4}$ | 110010001111010 |
| $\gamma_{5}$ | 00100011101011 | $\gamma_{6}$ | 100011110101100 | $\gamma_{7}$ | 001111010110010 |
| $\gamma_{8}$ | 111101011001000 | $\gamma_{9}$ | 110101100100011 | $\gamma_{10}$ | 010110010001111 |
| $\gamma_{11}$ | 011001000111101 | $\gamma_{12}$ | 100100011110101 | $\gamma_{13}$ | 010001111010110 |
| $\gamma_{14}$ | 000111101011001 | $\delta_{1}$ | 110110110110110 | $\delta_{2}$ | 101101101101101 |

As for weight enumerators of type $W_{60,1}$, some extremal double circulant self-dual codes with $\beta=10$ were constructed in [5]. These codes are equivalent to the code $P_{60}$ defined in [7]. Furthermore, two codes with $\beta=0$ and with $\beta=10$, respectively, were constructed in [13], and a code with $\beta=7$ in [4]. Let $C$ be one of the codes $C_{62, l}$, $l=6,7,8,11, \ldots, 20$. Since $C$ has the property that $m_{12}(2)=0$, there are two coordinates $i, j$ with $n_{12}(i, j)=0$, that is, there is no codeword $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{62}\right)$ of weight 12 such that $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=(1,1)$. More precisely, $\{61,62\}$ is the unique pair of two coordinates $\{i, j\}$ with $n_{12}(i, j)=0$ for every code $C_{62, l}, l=6,7,8,11, \ldots, 20$.
Let $C^{\prime}$ denote the code of length 60 , obtained from $C$ by subtracting the last two coordinates, that is,
$C^{\prime}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{60}\right) \mid\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{62}\right) \in C, x_{61}+x_{62} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\right\}$.
Then $C^{\prime}$ is self-dual. In addition, $C^{\prime}$ has minimum weight 12 . Note that $C^{\prime}$ is an extremal self-dual $[60,30,12]$ code with an automorphism $\sigma^{\prime}$ of order 15

$$
\sigma^{\prime}=(1, \ldots, 15)(16, \ldots, 30)(31, \ldots, 45)(46, \ldots, 60)
$$

For the 13 codes $C_{62, i}^{\prime}, i=6,7,8,11, \ldots, 20$, the value of $\beta$ in the weight enumerator $W_{60,1}, M_{12}(k)$ and $m_{12}(k), k=1,2,3$ and the order $|\mathrm{Aut}|$ of the automorphism group are also listed in Table IV. For the three codes with $\beta=0,10$ in [5] and [13], which were mentioned
before, the results are also listed. Note that the codewords of minimum weight in every code of Table IV form a 1-design. This property is not explained by the Assmus-Mattson theorem.
From Table IV, it appears that $C_{62,14}^{\prime}$ and $C_{62,15}^{\prime}$ have identical values $M_{12}(k)$ and $m_{12}(k)$, for $k=1,2,3$. Moreover, using MAGMA, we verified that these two codes are equivalent. It was also verified by MAGMA that the extremal self-dual codes with $\beta=0$ and $\beta=10$ in [13] are equivalent to $C_{62,6}^{\prime}$ and $C_{62,19}^{\prime}$, respectively. Therefore, the codes $C_{62, i}^{\prime}$ for $i=7,8,11,12,13,14,16,17,18,20$ are new extremal self-dual codes of length 60 .

## B. Extremal Self-Dual Codes of Length 58

Extremal self-dual [58, 29, 10] codes have possible weight enumerators

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{58,1}= & 1+(165-2 \gamma) y^{10}+(5078+2 \gamma) y^{12}+\cdots \\
W_{58,2}= & 1+(319-24 \beta-2 \gamma) y^{10} \\
& +(3132+152 \beta+2 \gamma) y^{12}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are undetermined parameters [3]. As for the weight enumerator $W_{58,1}$, an extremal self-dual code with $\gamma=55$ is known [12]. As for the weight enumerator $W_{58,2}$, we refer to [1] and [9],

00111110111010000011101000011,10110000111010011111011111101 , 11110111111010010001000100010, 11010100011010110110001001101, 11000101101010000101101111010, 11001101010010111100011100001, 11001001001110000000100101100, 11001011000000111110111001010, 11001010000111100001110111001, 11111111100001100101011101000, 01001010110101111001100011100, 10111111111000001001010111010, 01011111111100000100101011101,00101111111110100010010101110 , 10001011101010101000011111111, 01000101110101110100001111111, 10010001011101111101000011111, 01111101101011010101101100111, 10100100010111111111010000111, 01100111001110010100100101011, 10011100000000010100111001001,11100001100111010100110111000 , 11101010010001011111111101000,01000000001101100100110011100 , 10111010100100000111111111010,01011101010010000011111111101, 00101110101001100001111111110, 00100010010001111011110010111, 00110101000101101011001101000.

TABLE IV
Extremal Self-Dual Codes of Length 60

| Codes | $\beta$ | $M_{12}(3)$ | $m_{12}(3)$ | $M_{12}(2)$ | $m_{12}(2)$ | $M_{12}(1)$ | $m_{12}(1)$ | $\mid$ Aut $\mid$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{62,6}^{\prime}$ | 0 | 28 | 5 | 135 | 71 | 511 | 511 | 60 |
| $C_{62,7}^{\prime}$ | 0 | 25 | 7 | 115 | 71 | 511 | 511 | 30 |
| $C_{62,8}^{\prime}$ | 0 | 24 | 7 | 111 | 71 | 511 | 511 | 60 |
| $C_{62,11}^{\prime}$ | 10 | 39 | 9 | 175 | 95 | 639 | 639 | 15 |
| $C_{62,12}^{\prime}$ | 10 | 35 | 9 | 183 | 95 | 639 | 639 | 60 |
| $C_{62,13}^{\prime}$ | 10 | 34 | 10 | 155 | 103 | 639 | 639 | 60 |
| $C_{62,14}^{\prime}$ | 10 | 36 | 10 | 171 | 95 | 639 | 639 | 15 |
| $C_{66,15}^{\prime}$ | 10 | 36 | 10 | 171 | 95 | 639 | 639 | 15 |
| $C_{6626}^{\prime}$ | 10 | 35 | 9 | 167 | 99 | 639 | 639 | 30 |
| $C_{62,17}^{\prime 2}$ | 10 | 35 | 8 | 175 | 91 | 639 | 639 | 30 |
| $C_{62,18}^{\prime}$ | 10 | 38 | 9 | 191 | 95 | 639 | 639 | 60 |
| $C_{66,19}^{\prime}$ | 10 | 36 | 10 | 147 | 95 | 639 | 639 | 60 |
| $C_{62,20}^{\prime}$ | 10 | 33 | 10 | 163 | 95 | 639 | 639 | 60 |
| $P_{60}$ in $[7]$ | 10 | 36 | 9 | 175 | 95 | 639 | 639 | 60 |
| $[13]$ | 0 | 28 | 5 | 135 | 71 | 511 | 511 | 60 |
| $[13]$ | 10 | 36 | 10 | 147 | 95 | 639 | 639 | 60 |

where the existence is established of extremal self-dual codes for the following parameter values:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\beta=0 & \text { and } & \gamma \in\{0,32,36\} \cup\{2 m \mid 40 \leq 2 m \leq 122\} \\
\beta=1 & \text { and } & \gamma \in\{2 m \mid 42 \leq 2 m \leq 100\} \\
\beta=2 & \text { and } & \gamma \in\{32,36,40,44\} \\
& & \cup\{2 m \mid 48 \leq 2 m \leq 88\} \cup\{92\} .
\end{array}
$$

We remark that the code with $\beta=0$ and $\gamma=44$ does not occur in [1] and [9], but is mentioned in [2, Table VIII].

An extremal self-dual code of length 60 yields extremal self-dual [58, 29, 10] codes by subtracting. In fact, in [13], extremal self-dual [ $58,29,10$ ] codes for various types of weight enumerators are constructed from known codes. Here, we investigate extremal self-dual codes constructed from the new extremal self-dual codes, discussed in Section III-A.
Let $C_{58}$ be a $[58,29]$ code with generator matrix $(I, M)$ where $M$ is written as $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{29}$ with the $i$ th row $m_{i}$ as shown at the bottom of the previous page. The code $C_{58}$ is an extremal self-dual code which is constructed from the new extremal self-dual [60,30,12] code $C_{62,7}^{\prime}$ by subtracting the first and 18th coordinates. This code has the weight enumerator
$1+71 y^{10}+3380 y^{12}+38772 y^{14}+297309 y^{16}+1672840 y^{18}+\cdots$.
Thus, the code $C_{58}$ has weight enumerator $W_{58,2}$ with $\beta=0$ and $\gamma=124$. It was also verified that $C_{58}$ has an automorphism group of order 2.

Proposition 4: There is an extremal self-dual $[58,29,10]$ code with weight enumerator $W_{58,2}$, with $\beta=0$ and $\gamma=124$.

When applying the subtraction method to the other codes of length 60 , they all yield extremal self-dual codes of length 58 with weight enumerators belonging to codes already known.
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