Modelling the Iono-Acoustic Wave Field for Proton Beam Range Verification

van Dongen, Koen; de Blécourt, Anne; Lens, Eelco; Schaart, Dennis; Vos, Frans

DOI

[10.1109/ULTSYM.2018.8579869](https://doi.org/10.1109/ULTSYM.2018.8579869)

Publication date

2018

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

2018 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS)

Citation (APA)

van Dongen, K., de Blécourt, A., Lens, E., Schaart, D., & Vos, F. (2018). Modelling the Iono-Acoustic Wave Field for Proton Beam Range Verification. In *2018 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS)* IEEE . <https://doi.org/10.1109/ULTSYM.2018.8579869>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository

'You share, we take care!' – Taverne project

<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.

Modelling the iono-acoustic wave field for proton beam range verification

K.W.A. van Dongen^{†‡}, A.J. de Blécourt[‡], E. Lens[§], D.R. Schaart[§], F.M. Vos[‡]

[†] Email: K.W.A.vanDongen@tudelft.nl

[‡] Dept. of Imaging Physics, Faculty of Applied Sciences,
Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

[§] Dept. of Radiation Science & Technology, Faculty of Applied Sciences
Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

Abstract—In proton therapy, cancer patients are irradiated with high energy protons. For a successful treatment it is important that the location with the highest energy deposition, the so-called Bragg-peak, is located inside the tumor and not in the healthy surrounding tissue. Here, we investigate if the iono-acoustic wave field generated by the protons can be used to monitor the Bragg-peak location during treatment. To this end we present a new numerical method to model the pressure field generated by a clinical proton pencil beam. To compute the field, we convolve a 3-D Greens function, representing the impulse response of the medium, with a volume density of injection rate source. This source describes the expansion of the medium due to a local temperature increase caused by the energy deposited by the protons. To image the proton dose distribution, we first measure the pressure field synthetically by a matrix transducer positioned below the Bragg peak in a plane parallel to the beam. Next, we use these measurements to solve the linear inverse problem iteratively. To regularize the inversion, we take the temporal behavior of the dose deposition as prior knowledge. For the presented example, where the pencil beam has a proton range of 63 mm we are able to reconstruct the location of the Bragg peak within 4 mm accuracy.

Index Terms—iono-acoustics, Bragg peak localization, imaging

I. INTRODUCTION

In proton therapy, high-energy charged particles are used to treat cancer. When these particles traverse the body, they deposit their energy via Coulomb interactions within the medium. Since part of this energy is transformed into heat, a thermo-elastic expansion of the medium takes place. This expansion, in turn, leads to the formation of a transient pressure wave field. This pressure field propagates outwards, away from the heated region.

Although the thermo-acoustic effect was already discovered in 1880 by Alexander Graham Bell [1], the first clinical applications were recognized much later. Only in 1988 it was shown that proton beams interacting with water and soft tissue could be detected by using the iono-acoustic effect. [2] Seven years later, in 1995, the real clinical breakthrough took place when Hayakawa demonstrated the detection of acoustic waves in vivo during proton therapy treatment of a hepatic

patient. [3] In those days, it was already recognized that for a successful clinical application it is essential to measure the dose distribution in 3-D.

In the present numerical study, we investigate the applicability of a model-based inversion algorithm to image the proton dose distribution from the iono-acoustic wave field. To regularize the linear inverse problem we incorporate prior knowledge about the temporal behavior of the proton beam. Note that we aim for 3-D imaging of clinical relevant proton dose distributions. Consequently, our numerical model is based on the proton beam of the recently opened proton accelerator of the proton therapy center HolandPTC in Delft, the Netherlands [4].

II. THEORY

When protons traverse through the body they interact with the tissue. This interaction leads to a local energy deposition and hence a local temperature increase of the tissue. In case the interaction is short, the absorbed dose $D(\vec{r}, t) = D_r(\vec{r}) D_t(t)$ can be separated into two parts; one that is a function of the position $\vec{r}$ and the other one that is function of time t . Taking this into account, the resulting volume source density of injection rate $q(\vec{r}, t)$ that creates the pressure field will read [5]

$$q(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{\Gamma_0}{\rho_0 c_0^2} E(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{\Gamma_0}{c_0^2} D_r(\vec{r}) D_t(t) , \quad (1)$$

where Γ_0 , ρ_0 , c_0 for the Grüneisen parameter, the mass density and the speed of sound, respectively, and where $E(\vec{r}, t)$ is the deposited energy density per unit time.

At HollandPTC, an isochronous cyclotron is used to generate the proton beam. The resulting temporal dependence of the proton dose may be described by a sequence of N_{ic} proton spills

$$D_t(t) = \left(\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_t N_{ic} \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N_{ic}-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[(t-n\tau)/\sigma_t]^2} , \quad (2)$$

where σ_t is the Gaussian width of the proton spills, and τ is the temporal spacing between proton spills. Transforming

TABLE I
BEAM AND MEDIUM PARAMETERS

variable	value
σ_t	1 ns
τ	13 ns
$N_{ic} = \tau_{pulse}/\tau$	492
$I = N_{proton} \times q_{proton}/\tau_{pulse}$	30 nA
τ_{pulse}	6.4 μ s
N_{proton}	1.2×10^6
$\sigma_{r,i}$	4.5 mm
proton range	63 mm
c_0	1520 m/s
ρ_0	996 kg/m ³
Γ_0	0.8

equation (2) to the temporal Fourier domain with angular frequency ω yields

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{D}_\omega(\omega) &= \left(\sqrt{2\pi}N_{ic}\right)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N_{ic}-1} e^{-in\tau\omega - \omega^2\sigma_t^2/2} \\ &= \left(\sqrt{2\pi}N_{ic}\right)^{-1} \frac{1 - e^{-i\omega\tau N_{ic}}}{1 - e^{-i\omega\tau}} e^{\omega^2\sigma_t^2/2}.\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

Note that the caret symbol $\hat{\cdot}$ is used for quantities defined in the temporal Fourier domain.

For homogeneous lossless media the resulting pressure field is governed by the wave equation

$$\left(\nabla^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{c_0^2}\right) \hat{p}(\vec{r}, \omega) = -i\omega\rho_0\hat{q}(\vec{r}, \omega), \quad (7)$$

where $\hat{p}(\vec{r}, \omega)$ is the pressure field generated by the source $\hat{q}(\vec{r}, \omega)$. Based on equations (1) to (4), the wave equation can be solved for $\hat{p}(\vec{r}, \omega)$ according to [6]

$$\hat{p}(\vec{r}, \omega) = i\omega\rho_0\hat{q}_\omega(\omega) \int \hat{G}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}', \omega) q_r(\vec{r}') dV(\vec{r}'), \quad (8)$$

where the impulse response of the medium is defined via the Greens' function

$$\hat{G}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}', \omega) = \frac{e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_0}|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}}{4\pi|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}. \quad (9)$$

Due to the low temporal frequency of the resulting pressure field, scattering will be neglected but may easily be included in the future. [7]

For imaging by model based inversion, equation (5) will be solved for the unknown $q_r(\vec{r}')$. Here we take as input $\hat{p}(\vec{r}, \omega)$ and assume to have prior knowledge about the temporal behavior of the proton beam via $\hat{q}_\omega(\omega)$. To solve the resulting linear inverse problem we use a conjugate gradient minimization scheme.

III. RESULTS

To test our approach we use the proton treatment facility of HollandPTC as a starting point. [4] The most relevant parameters for our simulations are presented in Table I. In particular, we would like to point out that we aimed for a clinical relevant setting.

Fig. 1. The original proton dose distribution in water using the beam

Fig. 2. Snap shot of the iono-acoustic pressure field at $t = 0.35 \mu$ s in the (yz) -, (xz) -, and (xy) -planes on a dB scale. All three planes are taken through the Bragg peak.

For our simulations, we assumed the medium to be homogeneous with material properties similar to water. The spatial domain was discretised using $(N_x \times N_y \times N_z) = (116 \times 112 \times 80)$ cubic elements with dimensions $\Delta_x = \Delta_y = \Delta_z = 1.24$ mm. The temporal signal was of length 0.44 ms and discretised in steps of $\Delta_t = 2.5 \mu$ s.

The original proton dose distribution is shown in Fig. 1. The dose distribution has a pencil beam structure with a sharp Bragg peak towards the end of the beam. At the entry where the beam enters the tissue, the beam is Gaussian shaped in the radial direction with a Gaussian width $\sigma_{r,i} = 4.5$ mm. The maximum of the proton dose distribution

Fig. 3. A-scan of the measured iono-acoustic pressure field in time (top) a frequency (bottom) domain.

lies at $(x, y, z) = (86 \text{ mm}, 63 \text{ mm}, 45 \text{ mm})$.

A snapshot of the resulting pressure field at $t = 0.35 \mu\text{s}$ shown in Fig. 2. The wave field is shown in the (yz) -, (xz) - and (xy) -planes. All three planes include the location of the Bragg peak. The results show that the wave field is main generated in the region with the highest energy depositio the location of the Bragg peak. Note that the amplitude at temporal behavior of the resulting pressure field not on depend on the amplitude but also on the spatial distributi and temporal profile of the dose distribution. [8]

To image the dose distribution, a set of 900 point receive is homogeneous distributed in the plane $z = 0$. An example a synthetically measured A-scan is displayed in Fig. 3, both time and frequency domain. Fig. 4 shows all the 900 A-sca in the temporal Fourier domain. These results show that the dominant frequency is around 40 kHz and that there is litt spectral content left above 100 kHz. For the reconstruction, we only use the spectral components marked by the 17 black lines in Fig. 4. The result after 32 iterations is shown in Fig. 5. This distribution is less sharp than the original distribution and the distance between the maximum in the original and in the reconstructed dose distribution is 3.9 mm.

IV. CONCLUSION

The iono-acoustic wave field for a proton beam with clinically relevant parameters have been modeled using Greens functions. Imaging the proton dose distribution is feasible by solving the linear inverse problem iteratively. To regularize this inverse problem, we took the temporal profile of the proton dose distribution as prior knowledge. The resulting reconstruction is similar to the original dose distribution and the error in the location of the Bragg peak is 3.9 mm. Although not shown, it should be noted that the amplitude and

Fig. 4. All 900 A-scans used for the reconstruction. The A-scans are shown in the frequency domain on a logarithmic scale after being normalized to one. The black lines indicate the spectral components used for the reconstruction.

Fig. 5. The reconstructed proton dose distribution after 32 iterations. The shape of reconstructed distribution is similar to the original one and clearly reveals the location of the Bragg peak. Top: iso-surface of the reconstructed distribution. Bottom: planar cross sections of the reconstructed distribution in the (yz) -, (xz) -, and (xy) -planes. All planes are taken through the original Bragg peak location.

temporal behavior of the resulting pressure field and hence the accuracy of the reconstruction strongly depends on the original dose distribution. For this particular example the Bragg peak location lay 63 mm into the medium. It is expected that the error can be reduced significantly, e.g. by optimizing the positions of the receivers or by taking more prior about the beam properties into account.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. G. Bell, "Lxviii. upon the production of sound by radiant energy," *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of*

- Science*, vol. 11, no. 71, pp. 510–528, 1881.
- [2] Y. Hayakawa, J. Tada, T. Inada, T. Kitagawa, T. Wagai, and K. Yosioka, “Acoustic pulse generation in excised muscle by pulsed proton beam irradiation and the possibility of clinical application to radiation therapy,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of Japan (E)*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 255–257, 1988.
 - [3] Y. Hayakawa, J. Tada, N. Arai, K. Hosono, M. Sato, T. Wagai, H. Tsuji, and H. Tsujii, “Acoustic pulse generated in a patient during treatment by pulsed proton radiation beam,” *Radiation Oncology Investigations*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 42–45, 1995.
 - [4] Holland Protonen Therapie Centrum. (2017) HollandPTC. [Online]. Available: <http://www.hollandptc.nl/>
 - [5] L. V. Wang, “Tutorial on photoacoustic microscopy and computed tomography,” *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2008.
 - [6] M. D. Verweij, B. E. Treeby, K. W. A. Dongen, and L. Demi, “Simulation of ultrasound fields,” in *Comprehensive Biomedical Physics*, A. Brahme, Ed. Oxford: Elsevier Science B.V., 2014, ch. 2.19, pp. 465–500.
 - [7] U. Taskin, N. Ozmen, H. Gemmeke, and K. W. A. van Dongen, “Modeling breast ultrasound on the applicability of commonly made approximations,” *Archives of Acoustics*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 425–435, 2018.
 - [8] E. A. Kipergil, H. Erkol, S. K. G., Gulsen, and M. B. Unlu, “An analysis of beam parameters on proton-acoustic waves through an analytic aproach,” *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 4694 – 4710, 2017.