INTERACTION BETWEEN HYDRODYNAMICS AND SALT MARSH DYNAMICS: AN EXAMPLE FROM JIANGSU COAST
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Salt marshes are distributed along more than 400 km of the Jiangsu coast in Eastern China, which are regarded as important habitats and serve as coastal protection as well. Previous research has proven that salt-marsh vegetation can reduce current velocity and dampen waves by its stems and leaves. Reversely, hydrodynamic forces also have a significant influence on the growth of salt-marsh vegetation. To study the interaction between hydrodynamics and salt-marsh development on the Jiangsu coast, a 2D schematized model has been built by using a new interactive structure between flow, wave and vegetation modules of the process-based model Delft3D. In the hydrodynamic simulations, the impact of vegetation on waves and currents is quantified. In the vegetation growth module, the development of salt marshes is influenced by inundation time and shear stress from hydrodynamic simulations. The feedback loop is completed by hydrodynamic modules receiving the newly updated data of salt-marsh field from the vegetation growth module. The results show that wave height and current velocity are significantly influenced by vegetation. Reversely, the dynamics of marsh vegetation greatly rely on hydrodynamic conditions. Consequently, this interaction between hydrodynamics and salt marsh induces temporal variations of each other. In the model, the salt marsh is especially sensitive to the waves. Though wave height is relatively small on the Jiangsu coast, in terms of bed shear stress, waves may be of great importance to the development of salt marsh.
1. Introduction

In the eastern China, salt marshes cover about 410 km of the Jiangsu coast, with a maximum width of over 4 km [1]. Salt-marsh vegetation can reduce current velocity [2; 3] and wave energy through salt marsh canopy [4; 5]. Moreover, the vegetation-current feedback can strongly influence each other and the tidal landscape as well [6; 7]. To study the interaction between hydrodynamics and salt-marsh development on the Jiangsu coast, an integrated wave-flow-vegetation model has been built. Specially, waves are included in the interaction model to count the impact of waves on the vegetation and vice versa.

Wanggang wetland in the middle part of the Jiangsu coast is picked as the study area, where salt marshes are widespread. Wanggang wetland is dominated by semi-diurnal tide. The tidal range is 3-4 m and the slope of tidal flat is about 0.55‰ [8]. The significant wave height over the intertidal flat is 0.1 m – 0.2 m [9]. The dominant species of the salt-marsh vegetation is Spartina alterniflora [1], which is chosen as the representative vegetation in the model.

2. Methods

The interaction model has been built within the process-based Delft3D system in 2D [10]. Delft3D WAVE, FLOW and WAQ modules are employed to simulate wave-current-vegetation feedback pattern.

2.1. Flow module

In the flow module, marsh plants are schematized as rigid cylinders. The effect of vegetation on flow is counted as extra resistance in momentum equation [11]:

\[ M = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda u |u| \]

(1)

Where \( u \) is the current velocity [m/s], \( \lambda \) is the resistance coefficient which is proportional to the product of stem density and diameter of plant cylinders, [m\(^{-1}\)].

2.2. Wave module

In the wave module, vegetation is also schematized as rigid vertical cylinders. Wave energy dissipation due to vegetation \( \langle c_v \rangle \) is given by [4]:

\[ \langle c_v \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \rho_0 C_d b h_0 N \left( \frac{g k}{2\sigma} \right)^\frac{1}{3} \sinh^2 k \alpha h + 3 \sinh k \alpha h \left( k \cosh k h \right) H_{rms} \]

(2)

Where \( \rho_0 \) is the water density [kg m\(^{-3}\)], \( h \) is the water depth [m], \((ah)\) is the vegetation height [m], \( H_{rms} \) is root mean square wave height [m], \( k \) is wave number [m\(^{-1}\)], \( \sigma \) is angular frequency [s\(^{-1}\)], \( b \) is average diameter of plants [m], \( N \) is the number of plants per square meter [m\(^{-2}\)], \( C_d \) is averaged drag coefficient [-], \( \langle c_v \rangle \) [N m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)] is added in spectral action balance equation [12].
2.3. Vegetation dynamic module

The simulation of the vegetation module represents intrinsic growth and spatial spreading of vegetation [13]. It also counts mortality caused by inundation and shear stress [6]. Specially, as waves are included in the model, the bed shear stress is enhanced by wave-current interaction [14]. The mortality due to shear stress is assumed to be proportional to the maximum bed shear stress.

Vegetation height and stem diameter are assumed to be proportional to the stem density. After each time step, this module provides the newly updated space-depended vegetation height, diameter and density data for the next hydrodynamics simulations. As the dynamics of vegetation acts on longer time scale than hydrodynamics [15], the vegetation data is updated every 2 days.

2.4. Model setting

The flow domain covers an area of 8 km by 8 km, which is set upon a constant slope from 4 m to -12 m (as 0 m is the mean sea level). Tide is composed by $M_2$, $M_4$ and $S_2$, with amplitudes of 1.7 m, 0.2 m and 0.6 m respectively. The wave boundary is set 4 km further seaward than the flow boundary. As sheltered by offshore sand ridges in the southeast [8], waves are prescribed to come from northeast (45°). Locally generated waves by wind are neglected.

The initial vegetation patch is placed in the upper intertidal zone with an area of 700 m by 2000 m (Fig 1a). The simulation is carried out from May to October in the model, because it is the time that salt-marsh vegetation grows [16]. The base case is $C_{V30}$: 30 meaning 30 cm $H_s$ from boundary and “V” meaning vegetation being taken into account in this case. Similarly, $C_{N50}$ means that in this case $H_s$ from the boundary is 50 cm and there is no vegetation.

Cross sections A-A' and B-B' represents cross-shore and long-shore directions respectively (Fig. 1a). A-A' is the mid-line of the tidal flat. There are 4 observation points (Obs.1 to Obs.4) on it. They are located at the mature
marsh, fringe of the marsh, pioneer zone and bare tide flat respectively (Fig. 1b).

3. Results

3.1. Spatial variations of hydrodynamics

| Scenario | Mean significant wave height $H_s$ (cm) and [standard deviation] (cm) | Mean current velocity $|u|$ (cm/s) and [standard deviation] (cm/s) |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bare Tidal flat | Pioneer zone | Fringe of marsh | Mature marsh | Bare Tidal flat | Pioneer zone | Fringe of marsh | Mature marsh |
| CV30 | 8.3 [0.4] | 6.8 [1.1] | 4.0 [1.9] | 1.3 [1.2] | 13.8 [3.1] | 8.4 [4.4] | 4.0 [1.9] | 3.0 [2.2] |

In the model, semi-diurnal tides with spring-neap tide cycle are well represented. The mean tide range is 3.5 m. Flood currents run southward and ebb currents run northward (Fig. 2). The salt marsh is regularly flooded by high tides, which also delivers waves to the tidal flat (Fig. 3).

Results show that the presence of the salt marsh induces spatial variations of hydrodynamic forces. The significant wave height ($H_s$) and the magnitude of current velocity ($|u|$) are averaged over all the flooding times through half year. Remarkable reduction of both significant wave height and current velocity is shown in the cases with vegetation (Table 1).

Salt marsh vegetation reduces wave energy efficiently. The salt marsh has a considerable impact on the spatial distribution of $H_s$ on the tidal flat (Fig. 3). In
the base run with vegetation, the mean significant wave height decreases by 85% from bare tidal flat to the mature marsh, while the percentage of decrease is about 60% in the contrasting case without vegetation (Table 1).

Moreover, the efficiency of salt marsh dampening waves increases with incident wave height. In case C_{V100}, the $H_s$ reduces by 90% through the vegetation field. However, the percentage of $H_s$ reduction remains around 60% for all the cases without marsh (Table 1.).

When the currents travel through the marsh canopy, the blockage effect of vegetation is significant (Fig. 2). During flood, the long-shore component of the mean current velocity along the B-B’ is reduced from 15.7 cm/s to 7.3 cm/s at the northern edge of the marsh. At the southern edge, it restores from 4.8 cm/s to 14.3 cm/s (Fig. 2). Meanwhile, because of its small magnitude, the cross-shore component only reduces slightly and it even increases around the northern and southern edges. In the cross-shore direction, vegetation also leads to higher reduction of time-averaged $|u|$ from seaward to landward (Table 1.)

3.2. Salt marsh dynamics

The development of the salt marsh is greatly influenced by the hydrodynamic force. Especially, the wave plays a crucial part in it. In the base run (C_{V30}), the salt marsh expands fast, which is similar to the reality of the Jiangsu coast [1] (Fig. 4). The mature salt marsh (stem density $N \geq 60\%$ max capacity) progresses 100 m seaward, with a 200 m pioneer zone in front of it. In the long-shore direction, the marsh expands 1000 m northward and 1200 m southward. By the end of October, the mean stem density of mature marsh increases from 50 to
about 90 m$^2$. Mean height and diameter of S. alterniflora increases to 1.7 m and 0.95 cm respectively. These results are in agreement with references [1; 17].

The marsh in the model is very sensitive to the incident waves. If time-averaged $H_s$ increases to 16.5 cm on the bare tidal flat (Obs. 4), the marsh cannot expand seaward. When $H_s$ on the bare tidal flat increases to 30 cm, the hydrodynamic forces become erosive to the initial marsh. With higher incident waves (e.g., 3 m), the marsh will even retreat further to the landward (Fig. 4).

### 3.3. Temporal variations of hydrodynamics

As the marsh is active, $H_s$ and current velocity are also influenced by the dynamics of the marsh over time. This influence is significant especially on the fringe of the mature marsh and on the pioneer zone, where the interaction is more intense and distinct. The dynamics of marshes acts on a longer temporal scale than hydrodynamics [15]. To compare them, the results are averaged over every three spring-neap circles, approximately 45 days.

![Figure 5. Temporal variation of hydrodynamics on the marsh fringe, the left one is from CV30, the right one is from CV120; the green dash lines (dN) indicate the relative stem density of vegetation](image)

Hydrodynamic forces can either decrease due to the marsh expansion or they could increase due to marsh retreat (Fig. 5). Whether the marsh will expand or retreat is determined by the strength of hydrodynamics. In the base run, the mean vegetation density increases by 40 % on the fringe of marsh (Obs. 2), which leads to 25% and 40% decline of $H_s$ and $|u|$ respectively over half year. The decline of hydrodynamic forces leads to a more favorable environment for the salt marsh. In the CV120r, hydrodynamic forces are erosive to the salt-marsh vegetation on the fringe. The marsh density decreases by 80%, while $H_s$ and $|u|$ increases by 35% and 40% respectively (Fig. 5). In this case, hydrodynamic forces are amplified due to the degeneration of the salt marsh.
3.4. Bed shear stress

In the model, the mortality of S. alterniflora is assumed to be proportional to the max shear stress from current-wave interaction [14]. The critical shear stress is set at 0.26 Pa [18], beyond which some vegetation will be wiped out (Fig. 6). During high water level, shear stress due to currents alone is 0.02 Pa – 0.10 Pa with a mean value of 0.045 Pa at the marsh fringe. As waves are included, the max bed shear stress from flow-wave interaction is 0.06 Pa – 0.28 Pa in the base run on the fringe of marsh, with a mean value around 0.085 Pa. Though waves are relatively small on the Jiangsu coast, field work shows that waves can enhance bed shear stress greatly [3; 9]. Moreover, bed shear stress on the marsh due to waves alone is higher than that from currents along (Fig. 6). As incident wave height increases from 30 cm to 50 cm, there is a higher chance that the vegetation on marsh fringe will be eroded. Because the shear stress from the wave-current interaction is higher and there are more occasions that the shear stress is above the critical criterion (red dash line in Fig. 6).

4. Discussion and Conclusion

The results demonstrate a significant effect of vegetation on waves and currents. The base run (Cv30) successfully reproduces the rapid development of salt marshes on the Jiangsu coast [1; 17]. Furthermore, temporal variations of hydrodynamics due to the salt marsh development are evident (Fig. 5). Hence, it is necessary to employ dynamic marshes module other than static vegetation patches for fairly long-term hydrodynamic or morphodynamic prediction. The intertidal zone of the Jiangsu coast is very flat and the slope is very gentle [8]. Considering the homogeneous topography, the interaction between vegetation and hydrodynamics can be very important to the evolution of the landscape [6].
Wave height on the Wanggang tidal flat is small [8; 9], which may be one of the reasons that salt marshes are widespread there. Field measurements have indicated that in terms of bed shear stress waves may play a more important role in marsh dynamics than currents [3]. Model results show that bed shear stress is enhanced by waves, which leads to a higher mortality of S. alterniflora. Moreover, the shear stress due to waves alone is greater than that due to currents alone [3; 9]. It is the reason why the development of the marsh is sensitive to waves. Even a slight increase of $H_s$ (20 cm) from the boundary will restrain the marsh from seaward propagation. With even larger wave height, e.g. 3m, the marsh will retreat (Fig. 4). This integrated model indicates that waves are important in the interaction between hydrodynamic and salt marshes development. The implementation of this model in the micro-topography and morphodynamics of salt marshes can be a future challenge.
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