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Abstract—In this paper, a power-efficient multiphase Recursive Switched Capacitor (RSC) converter is presented. Conventionally, RSC converters are used to obtain many different output voltages from a fixed input voltage. Here, the converter provides a fixed output voltage of 1 V at 1 mA from an input voltage ranging from 1.4 V to 4.5 V. It has one programmable stage (2 : 1 or 3 : 2) followed by four 2 : 1 stages. Contrary to most conventional topologies, depending on the input voltage, not all the stages are always deployed. This allows to increase the power efficiency of the whole architecture. The flying capacitance of the non-activated stages is transferred to the activated ones. Hence, for any given input voltage, 100% of the on-chip capacitance is always used for the conversion. For a general 2 : 1 topology, an analytical analysis of the power losses is carried out and the impact of the overdrive voltage of the switches on the power efficiency is quantified. A novel gate-driver technique for the switches involved in the conversion is proposed. It ensures an optimal overdrive voltage of the transistor, irrespective of its source and drain potentials. The 16-phase interleaved converter employs a charge recycling technique and uses a total on-chip capacitance of 3 nF. The RSC converter is designed to be implemented in a standard 40 nm CMOS process which offers a capacitor density of approximately 2 nF/mm². Circuit simulations over the whole input voltage range show a power efficiency never lower than 54% with a peak value of 92.7%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Voltage regulators have become widely used in today’s electronic systems. They are required to power up electronics working in different voltage domains, each of them with different power requirements and different specifications, e.g. amplitude and frequency of the ripple. Among voltage regulators, switched Capacitor (SC) DC-DC converters are becoming more and more popular. They take advantage of higher switching frequencies (up to GHz) and higher capacitor densities of nanometer IC technologies to obtain efficient conversion without using external components. In Fig. 1, the block diagram of a typical energy harvester and power management system is shown. The energy harvester receives the energy from an external source and converts it into electrical energy. The power delivered by the energy harvester strongly depends on the type of energy source and its environment and may be fluctuating. It is therefore necessary to continuously store the available energy. Nowadays, as storage elements, supercapacitors are preferred over batteries for their longer lifetime (measured by the numbers of charging and discharging cycles they can handle). Supercapacitors are also considered to be more environmentally friendly. However, as the capacitor gradually discharges during \( T_{on} \), its voltage reduces, as shown in Fig. 1. Hence, the voltage regulator has to cope with a wide input voltage range.

With the migration towards more advanced IC technologies, the maximum overdrive voltage that a transistor can handle is becoming smaller and smaller. This poses a serious challenge in the design of switched capacitor circuits. In order to reduce the on-resistance of a switch, the designer can modify the aspect ratio of the transistor (i.e. the \( W/L \) ratio) or the overdrive voltage defined as \( |V_{GS} - V_{th}| \). The aspect ratio of the switches can be increased at the expense of the parasitic capacitance that is charged and discharged during every switching period. In a standard 40 nm CMOS process, the maximum rating voltage that a low-power transistor can handle is 1.1 V, which is well below the maximum input voltage. While the exposure of the transistor to a high drain-to-source voltage can be overcome by cascading more devices, the maximum gate-to-source voltage requires a proper gate-driver circuit. If the overdrive voltage is too low, it seriously affects the power efficiency, while an excessively high gate-to-source voltage causes gate-oxide breakdown of the transistor. In this paper, rather than implementing gate-driver circuits that consume silicon area and power, a novel switch is presented.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, an analysis of the 2:1 SC converter is carried out. Following the state space model introduced in [1], the power efficiency is derived for a given stage of the RSC converter. In Section III, the topology of the implemented RSC converter is described. A novel switch that tackles the problems highlighted in Section II is presented. Results from circuit simulations are shown, along with a discussion and comparison with state of the art converters. Finally, in Section IV, conclusions are drawn.
II. CONVERTER ANALYSIS AND POWER LOSSES OF A 2:1 SC TOPOLOGY

In this section, the state space model approach of a 2:1 SC converter, introduced in [1] is generalized. Taking into account the on-resistance of the switches and the parasitic capacitances, the expression of the power efficiency is derived for a generic 2:1 SC converter. MATLAB simulations are used to compute the derived equation for the power efficiency and point out the importance of a low on-resistance for all the switches involved in the conversion chain.

In Fig. 2, the equivalent circuit of a 2:1 SC converter is shown. It has a charge-transfer capacitor $C_{fly}$ with its series resistance $R_s$ and parasitic capacitance $C_{bp}$. A MOM (Metal-Oxide-Metal) capacitor is used, therefore its parasitic capacitance is mainly due to the coupling between the bottom plate and the substrate. Two non-overlapped clock phases $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ drive the four switches $SW_1 - SW_4$ having on-resistances $Ron_1 - Ron_4$, respectively. The output voltage $V_{mid}$ is the average value of the two input voltages, $V_{top}$ and $V_{bottom}$.

In [1], the power efficiency $\eta$ is derived in terms of $I_{out}$, $I_{top}$ and $I_{bottom}$ and the authors assume that $V_{bottom}$ always equals zero, hence $V_{mid} = V_{top}/2$. This assumption does not hold for RSC converters in which $V_{bottom}$ is not always zero. During phase $\Phi_1$ ($\Phi_2$), $C_{fly}$ is charged (discharged) towards $V_{top} - V_{mid}$ ($V_{mid} - V_{bottom}$) through an RC circuit having a time constant of $(2Ron + Rs)C_{fly}$. For the converter shown in Fig. 2, the power efficiency can be expressed as

$$\eta = \frac{V_{mid}I_{out}}{V_{top}I_{top} + V_{bottom}I_{bottom}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In steady state, the total charge transferred to the output per each switching period is [1]

$$Q_{tran} = 2C_{fly}\Delta V_{dc} = 2C_{fly}(V_{Cmax} - V_{Cmin})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $V_{Cmax}$ and $V_{Cmin}$ are the voltages across the capacitor at the end and at the beginning of the charging phase, respectively. They can be expressed as follows:

$$V_{Cmax} = \frac{V_{top} - V_{mid} + AV_{mid} - AV_{bottom}}{A + 1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$V_{Cmin} = \frac{V_{mid} - V_{bottom} + AV_{top} - AV_{mid}}{A + 1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $A = -1/e^{2fSW(2Ron + Rs)C_{fly}}$ and $fSW$ is the switching frequency of the converter. It is worth mentioning that if $I_{out} = 0$ the net charge transferred to the load is zero and $V_{Cmax} = V_{Cmin} = (V_{top} + V_{bottom})/2$. Applying the state space model approach used in [1], $I_{top}$, $I_{bottom}$ and $I_{out}$ can be rewritten in terms of the charge transferred by each capacitor during the charging and discharging phase. The average currents over a switching period become:

$$I_{top} = q_CI_{SW}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$I_{bottom} = (q_C - q_{Cbp})I_{SW}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$I_{out} = (2q_C - q_{Cbp})I_{SW}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $q_C$ and $q_{Cbp}$ are the total charges transferred by the flying capacitor and its parasitic capacitance, respectively.

Eq. (1) can now be rewritten in terms of $q_C$ and $q_{Cbp}$, leading to

$$\eta = \frac{V_{mid}(2q_C - q_{Cbp})}{V_{top}q_C + V_{bottom}(q_C - q_{Cbp})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The above equation is computed in MATLAB and the results are shown in Fig. 3. For all the simulations we used: $f_{SW} = 20$ MHz, $C_{fly} = 1\, nF$. To control $Ron$, the overdrive voltage has been varied from the maximum value allowed by the technology down to $V_{GS} = 0.6$ V, which provides $Ron = 20\, \Omega$. An aspect ratio of 250 for all the transistors has been assumed. In phase $\Phi_1$, parasitic capacitance $C_{bp}$ is charged to $V_{mid}$ and then in phase $\Phi_2$ it is discharged to $V_{bottom}$. Therefore, the closer $V_{top}$ is to $V_{bottom}$, the lower is the impact of the parasitic capacitance on the power efficiency. From Fig. 3, we can see that the power efficiency decreases when the overdrive voltage reduces, i.e. the on-resistance increases. The voltages experienced by the many switches involved in the conversion can vary greatly, depending on the input voltage. It is therefore important to ensure a proper overdrive voltage for all the switches. Appropriate overdrive voltages require proper circuit techniques usually based on bootstrapping, which consume area, power and sometimes even require an external power supply [2].

III. CIRCUIT DESIGN AND SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, the circuit implementation of the RSC converter is discussed along with a novel implementation of the switches. Simulation results are discussed and compared with the performance of other converters that aim to achieve high power conversion efficiency for a wide input voltage range.
by the Select conversion, or is being switched off. Its configuration is set based on the value of \( V \). For the next stages can be made of more efficient low-power devices. The voltages at the terminals of some of the switches of the RSC converter can vary by a large amount depending on the input voltage. Hence proper gate driving circuits are to be used. In [4], this problem is not experienced because the input voltage is fixed and equals the rating voltage of the devices, therefore the optimal overdrive voltage can always be ensured. In [2], an input voltage higher than the rating voltage is used. The authors propose a gate driver circuit that uses an adaptive bootstrapping technique to ensure an appropriate overdrive voltage to all the switches. The gate driver, however, uses an external supply. In [5], the necessary voltages are obtained by using capacitor-based boosting circuits and thick-gate transistors. However, a 5-stage 16-phase RSC converter has too many switches that need to be properly driven. Therefore, in this design, boosting circuits would lead to chip-area overhead and additional power consumption. All these solutions will however be compared in the next subsection.

In Fig. 5 (a), the schematic of the proposed switch is shown along with its \( I - V \) characteristic. Fig. 5 (b). In point A the switch is off, while in point B the switch is on. When a current flows through transistor \( M_3 \), and hence
through resistance $R$, a voltage drop is generated between the source and the gate of switch $SW$. The overdrive voltage of $SW$ only depends on the value of $R$ and the current flowing through it. Hence, with a resistance of $5 \, \Omega$ and a current of $200 \, \mu A$, a voltage drop of $1 \, V$ between the source and the gate of $SW$ can be ensured irrespective of its source and drain potential. A diode-connected transistor is preferred over a resistor for its lower silicon area. When $SW$ needs to be turned off, the time constant associated with the discharging process of the gate-to-source capacitance will be too high. For this purpose transistor $M_2$ is used. It is turned on when Switch $SW$ needs to be turned off. Hence, the source and the gate of $SW$ are shorted together and the gate-to-source capacitance is discharged with a substantially smaller time constant. Although transistor $M_2$ is a high voltage device, it does not affect the power efficiency because it is only used to discharge the gate-to-source capacitance of the main switch. Graphically, the switch is turned on moving from A to B along the red dotted line, and it is turned off moving from B to A on the green dashed line. By doing so, every time the switch changes state, either the current or the voltage is zero, additionally allowing to reduce the power wasted during the switching event.

Transistors $M_1$ and $SW$ are both PMOS devices. In, e.g., the slow PMOS corner, the threshold voltages of both Switch $SW$ and Transistor $M_1$ increase. As a result the overdrive voltage of the switch becomes larger, which compensates for its larger threshold voltage. Hence, the on-resistance of the switch stays pretty constant with respect to process variations. Circuit simulations of the four corner cases (SS, SF, FS, and FF) showed that the resistance of transistor $M_1$ can vary as much as $10\%$ with respect to its nominal value, leading to a source-to-gate voltage of $1.1 \, V$, which does not exceed the maximum rating voltage of the switch.

C. Simulation results and comparison with the state of the art

The simulated power efficiency of the converter over the whole input voltage range is presented and compared with the state of the art in Fig. 6. In the proposed design, all the stages are connected in cascade, hence the overall power efficiency of the converter is proportional to the product of the power efficiency of each single stage involved in the conversion. The peak value of power efficiency of $92.7\%$ is achieved when one stage is used. It is well above the value achieved by the state of the art, mainly due to the new switch topology and the recycling of the charge of the parasitic bottom plate capacitance. In [2], the input voltage ranges from $2.8 \, V$ to $8 \, V$ with a peak efficiency of $76.6\%$. It implements a bootstrapping technique which generates a floating rail to drive the gate of the switches. This solution requires an external voltage of $2V_{out}$, which depends on the output voltage, hence needs to be tuned every time the desired output voltage changes. In [3] and [4], the input voltage is fixed and thus does not pose serious challenges on the gate-driving circuits. In [6], additional circuitry made of thin-gate transistors is used to generate a voltage of $2V_{out}$. This limits the input voltage range to $2.8 \, V - 4 \, V$. The average power efficiency of the state of the art is limited by the great voltage variations experienced by some of the switches. In the proposed architecture, this problem is overcome with the design of a novel switch, and an average higher power efficiency over a wide, input voltage range is achieved.

IV. Conclusions

In this paper a 5-stage RSC converter has been presented. The output voltage is kept constant at $1 \, V \pm 5\%$ by reconfiguring the stages of the converter. It efficiently converts an input voltage from $1.4 \, V$ to $4.5 \, V$ into a fixed output voltage of $1 \, V$ with an efficiency between $54\%$ and $92.7\%$. The effect of the overdrive voltage of the switches on the on-resistance has been quantified and a novel switch that always work with an optimal overdrive voltage has been implemented. The converter uses an interleaving technique and is capable of recycling the charge lost due to the parasitic bottom plate capacitance. Results from circuit simulations prove the correct operation while achieving a maximum efficiency of $92.7\%$.
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