Insights To Emitter Saturation Current Densities Of Boron Implanted Samples Based On Defects Simulations
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Abstract. Emitter saturation current densities, Jₑₑ, have been investigated with different boron implantation dose and annealing conditions. The higher thermal budgets used here are shown experimentally to improve Jₑₑ, implying more complete defect dissolution. Simulations show that significant degradation in Jₑₑ can be attributed to the presence of dislocation loops. In addition, in cases where dislocation loops have been annealed, high dose boron implantation still results in stable boron interstitial clusters, which contributes to Jₑₑ degradation.
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INTRODUCTION

Doping in the photovoltaic industry is most commonly realized by furnace diffusion. For the formation of n-type emitter on a p-type base, phosphorus oxychloride POCl₃ is usually used. Gaseous POCl₃ mixed with oxygen, deposits phosphorus oxide P₂O₅ directly onto the wafer surface. Phosphorus then diffuses into the silicon at the furnace temperature (~900°C). Alternatively, boron tribromide BBr₃ can be used as a source for the formation of p-type emitter on an n-type base. In both cases, phosphorus- or boron-doped glass on the wafer surface has to be subsequently etched off using HF.

Recently, the ion implantation doping technique that is widespread in the integrated circuit (IC) industry has emerged as a promising alternative in solar cell production. In general, compared to furnace diffusion, ion implantation offers improved control, uniformity and reproducibility over the dopant profile; and the elimination of the wet processing steps necessary for the etching of phosphorus- or boron-doped glass. Moreover, directional, localized, one-sided doping can be achieved in a single step using a shadow mask. This comparative advantage allows for novel process flows and high-efficiency cell architecture, for example in the interdigitated back contact solar cell [1].

However, the use of ion implantation is still not dominant due to fundamental requirements of the photovoltaic industry in terms of throughput (~1500 wafers per hour) and cost. In addition, the critical requirements for solar cells are a defect-free, well-passivated substrate that enables high carrier lifetimes; and sufficient dopant activation for good conduction and contacting properties. This is the main technological concern, as it is well-known that ion implantation induces defects that may not be completely removed in subsequent annealing, and may additionally induce dopant deactivation.

Even at low energy and ion doses, ion implantation introduces defects into the silicon lattice. Upon annealing, interstitials and vacancies recombine, leaving excess interstitials. These can evolve from small interstitial clusters to extended {311} rod-like defects, which are unstable above 700°C. With sufficient damage dose, these {311} defects then evolve into dislocation loops, which are stable up to 1000°C. During defect evolution, interstitial point defects released from the extended defects may result in transient enhanced diffusion of dopants and dopant deactivation. The defects are finally annihilated when they diffuse to the surface or interface.

Regardless of the concern over defects, the use of ion implantation [1-6] and annealing [7] applied to solar cells has been studied for many years. Lifetime degradation due to ion implantation induced defects has been explained in terms of near-surface stable dislocation loops, as well as deeply-penetrating defects that result in uniformly-degraded lifetimes up to a depth of 100 µm [5]. It has been shown that both ion implantation and annealing conditions affect the resultant carrier lifetimes. Higher ion dose resulted in a greater amount of residual damage and hence lower lifetime [4, 6]. Also, more complete damage annealing at higher temperature results in a longer carrier lifetime. Even for boron implantations that do not result in extended defects, lifetime degradation was attributed to stable boron-interstitial clusters which remain after a 1000°C 10 min anneal [6].
In this work, the effect of boron ion implantation parameters and annealing process conditions on emitter saturation current densities is investigated. In addition, simulations were performed to gain insights into residual defects, revealing both dislocation loops and boron interstitial clusters, and their effect on emitter saturation current densities.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

In this work, blanket double-side implanted wafers were prepared using 4-inch double-side-polished, float-zone monocrystalline silicon wafers. The wafers are n-type, 300 μm thick and have resistivities from 1 to 5 Ωcm. The thermal oxide was first grown for surface passivation before implantation to try to separate the effects of ion implantation induced damage annealing and oxidation. Boron was implanted with a fixed energy of 15keV, a tilt and rotation of 7° and 22° respectively to avoid channeling. The implantation doses used were 5x10¹⁴, 1x10¹⁵ and 2x10¹⁵ cm⁻². Next, thermal annealing was done in a furnace in nitrogen ambient to avoid any additional oxidation. In order to investigate the effect of various annealing conditions on the dissolution of ion implantation induced damage, anneals were done at 950°C for 30 min and at 1050°C. In addition, a third anneal condition is included for comparison whereby rapid thermal anneal (RTA) with a peak temperature of 1050°C was done in an ASM Epsilon after the 950°C furnace anneal. It is worth mentioning that for practical application in solar cell production, the combination of damage annealing and oxidation would be advantageous from a cost point of view.

Finally, the emitter saturation current density \( J_{oc} \) was measured by the quasi-steady state photoconductance (QSSPC) method [8]. The sheet resistance was measured by 4-point probing.

Modeling and simulation of ion implantation induced damage evolution and dopant diffusion has long been used in the IC industry to develop the required dopant profiles and activation [9]. In this work, simulations were performed using Synopsys’ TCAD Sentaurus kinetic Monte Carlo code that includes ion implantation induced damage evolution, as well as dopant-defect clustering phenomena [9-10]. However, the mechanism of carrier lifetime degradation is dependent not only on the recombination centres in the presence of defects, but also on the type of interface between the Si and the dielectric, and on the collected charge inside the dielectric. The simulation results shown in this work provide a relative comparison of the residual ion implantation damage, and this can be correlated to \( J_{oc} \) since the passivation layer is the same for all the samples. The effects of passivation layers and dielectric charge are not taken into account in the simulations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the sheet resistance as a function of implanted dose for samples that were implanted with boron at 15 keV, followed by thermal anneal at 950°C for 30 min. Simulation results show that the experimental sheet resistance can be accurately reproduced, giving confidence in the simulated dopant profile and activation. It is worth noting that for industrial screen-printed solar cell, an emitter sheet resistance of about 60Ω/sq is commonly used. This requires a high dose implantation of about 2x10¹⁵ cm⁻².
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**FIGURE 1.** Sheet resistance as a function of implanted boron dose at 15 keV, followed by thermal annealing at 950°C for 30 min.
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**FIGURE 2.** Simulated boron concentration profiles at different implanted boron doses at 15 keV, followed by thermal annealing at 950°C for 30 min. Lines: total boron and symbols: active boron.
Figure 2 shows the simulated boron concentration at different implanted doses, followed by a 950°C 30 min thermal anneal. These implantation conditions do not result in an amorphous layer.

Figure 3 shows the $J_{oe}$ measured by QSSPC in samples as a function of boron implanted dose. The samples were annealed under different conditions.

For samples that were annealed at 950°C for 30 min, $J_{oe}$ increases with implant dose. This is in agreement with results of Pawlak et al. [6] that show degradation of the effective lifetime in the same dose range following a 10 min anneal at 1000°C. Compared to samples annealed at 950°C, the $J_{oe}$ of samples annealed at 1050°C and 950°C followed by RTA is observed to decrease, corresponding to the fact that a higher thermal budget is more effective at annealing defects. This decrease in $J_{oe}$ with increase in thermal budget is marginal for implant doses of $5 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $1 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$. However, for the highest dose implant at $2 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$, a drastic drop in $J_{oe}$ with increase in thermal budget is observed.

Figure 4 shows the simulated residual defect (interstitial) doses for various boron implant doses and anneal conditions. For $5 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ implants, no defects (neither extended dislocation loops nor boron-interstitial clusters) remain in the simulations. This can also be seen from Figure 2, where the total boron concentration profile is active for $5 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ implant followed by 900°C 30 min anneal. However, the experimental $J_{oe}$ is observed to drop with higher thermal budget anneals even for this low implant dose. This could be due to a more complete annealing of the deeply-penetrating defects, as a result of a higher probability that any diffusing defects reach the wafer surface or interface with higher thermal budget. These deeply-penetrating defects are known to be distributed uniformly down to a depth of at least 100 μm [4-5]. However, these defects are not evident in the simulations. Due to the atomistic nature of the kinetic Monte Carlo simulations, the simulation time is proportional to the size of the simulation domain. Therefore, the simulation domain is focused on the near-surface region, where most of the defects and dopant-defect interactions take place.

For 1x10$^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ implants, simulations show boron-interstitial clusters, predominantly of the B$_3$I$_5$ configuration, remaining at the end of all three anneal conditions. Additional RTA following a 950°C anneal resulted in better boron interstitial clusters dissolution, and thereby lower $J_{oe}$. For this implant, 1050°C furnace anneal proves to be the best annealing condition, with the least amount of residual defects and $J_{oe}$. However, simulation shows that some boron interstitial clusters still remain.

Dislocation loops were observed in the simulation for an implant dose of $2 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ followed by 950°C anneal. In this case, dislocation defects made up 85% of the total interstitial defects remaining. The rest of the interstitial defects can be attributed to boron interstitial clusters, as can be seen from the difference between total and active boron concentrations in the near surface region in Figure 2. Simulations of an additional RTA or 1050°C furnace anneal show no dislocation loops remaining, as well as improved dissolution of the boron interstitial clusters. The drastic drop in $J_{oe}$ with higher thermal budget for
implant dose of 2x10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2} as seen in Figure 3, can be explained from the simulations due to the presence of dislocation loops after a 950°C anneal and the dissolution of these dislocation loops after a subsequent RTA or a 1050°C furnace anneal.
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**FIGURE 5.** Simulated concentration profiles at 2x10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2} implanted boron dose at 15 keV, followed by thermal annealing at 950°C for 30 min.

Figure 5 shows the simulated concentration profiles of boron and various defects at implanted boron dose of 2x10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}, followed by a 950°C 30 min thermal anneal. As can be seen, the dislocation loops and boron interstitial cluster defects are located at the near-surface region. It can be expected that etching and repassivating the surface region would improve the lifetime by removing the defects, consistent with results from Macdonald et al. [4].

The standard boron emitter sheet resistance of about 60 \Omega/\text{sq} necessitates a high dose boron implant of about 2x10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}. Damage induced by high dose ion implantation is likely to evolve into stable dislocation loops. An anneal condition with insufficient thermal budget would leave behind residual dislocation loops, which significantly degrade \( J_{\infty} \). Even with the complete dissolution of dislocation loops, very stable boron interstitial clusters can still persist to be detrimental to \( J_{\infty} \). Although lifetime and \( J_{\infty} \) depends on defect-free, well-passivated substrate, in the presence of defects, lifetime degradation at high boron ion implant doses has been shown to be identical for both thermal oxide and ALOX, which is known to be a better passivating dielectric [5].

**CONCLUSION**

Emitter and back surface field doping in solar cells have distinct process requirements related to implant parameters and annealing thermal budget. Simulations can offer useful insights during process optimization in terms of sheet resistance, dopant profile and the presence of residual defects.

In this work, lifetime degradation and high \( J_{\infty} \) of the experimental results have been correlated to the presence of dislocation loops in simulations. In cases where the implantation dose is below the threshold for nucleation of dislocation loops or where dislocation loops have been annealed, boron implantation above a dose of about 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2} can still result in stable defects, known as boron-interstitial clusters. In summary, both dislocation loops and boron interstitial clusters that have been attributed to lifetime degradation have been revealed in the simulations under different implant and annealing conditions.

In the case of low dose boron implantation, the experimental \( J_{\infty} \) shows improvement with higher thermal budget, implying more complete defect dissolution. Although simulations do not reveal any stable, extended defects, these results can be attributed to deeply-penetrating defects which are shown to be detrimental to wafer bulk properties and device performance.
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