Surface-wave inversion for a P-velocity profile with a constant depth gradient of the squared slowness

Ponomarenko, A. V.; Kashtan, B. M.; Troyan, V. N.; Mulder, W. A.

DOI

[10.1111/1365-2478.12450](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2478.12450)

Publication date

2017

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Published in

Geophysical Prospecting

Citation (APA)

Ponomarenko, A. V., Kashtan, B. M., Troyan, V. N., & Mulder, W. A. (2017). Surface-wave inversion for a P-velocity profile with a constant depth gradient of the squared slowness. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 65(4), 941-955. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2478.12450>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Surface-wave inversion for a P-velocity profiles with a constant depth gradient of the squared slowness

A.V. Ponomarenko¹, B.M. Kashtan¹, V.N. Troyan¹ and W.A. Mulder^{2,3}

¹*Saint Petersburg State University*

Ulyanovskaya st. 1, 198504 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

e-mail: andrey.v.ponomarenko@mail.ru, bmkashtan@gmail.com, vtroyan@hq.pu.ru

²*Shell Global Solutions International B.V.,*

PO Box 60, 2280 AB Rijswijk, The Netherlands.

³*Delft University of Technology,*

Department of Geoscience & Engineering, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences,

PO Box 5048, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands

Received May 2015, revision accepted ?

ABSTRACT

Surface waves are often used to estimate a near-surface shear-velocity profile. The inverse problem is solved for the locally 1-D problem of a set of homogeneous horizontal elastic layers. The result is a set of shear velocities, one for each layer. To obtain a P-wave velocity profile, the P-guided waves should be included in the inversion scheme.

As an alternative to a multi-layered model, we consider a simple smooth acoustic constant-density velocity model, which has a negative constant vertical depth gradient of the squared P-wave slowness and is bounded by a free surface at the top and a homogeneous halfspace at the bottom. The exact solution involves Airy functions and provides an analytical expression for the dispersion equation. If the v_s/v_p -ratio is sufficiently small, the dispersion curves can be picked from the seismic data and inverted for the continuous P-wave velocity profile. Potential advantages of our model are its low computational cost and the fact that the result can serve as a smooth starting model for full waveform inversion. For the latter, a smooth initial model is often preferred over a rough one.

We test the inversion approach on synthetic elastic data computed for a single-layer P-wave model and on field data, both with small v_s/v_p -ratio. For the latter, we find that a single-layer model can recover either the shallow or the deeper part of the profile but not both, when compared to the result of a multi-layer inversion that we use as a reference. Extension of our analytic model to two layers above a homogeneous halfspace, each with a constant vertical gradient of the squared P-wave slowness and connected in a continuous manner, improves the fit of the picked dispersion curves. The resulting profile resembles a smooth approximation of the multi-layered one, but contains, of course, less detail. As it turns out, our method does not degrade as gracefully as, for instance, diving-wave tomography and we can only hope to fit a subset of the dispersion curves. Therefore, the applicability of the method is limited to cases where the v_s/v_p -ratio is small and the profile is sufficiently simple. A further extension of the two-layer model to more layers, each with a constant depth gradient of the squared-slowness, might improve the fit of the modal structure, but at an increased cost.

Key words: P-wave velocity profile, Squared slowness gradient, Surface wave inversion

Introduction

Surface waves are widely used for the characterization of the near surface. Often, a 1-D model consisting in a sequence of homogeneous horizontal elastic layers is considered, leading to a dispersion equation. The roots of the dispersion equation represent the various modes of the surface waves. The solution of the inverse problem is a set of parameter values that describe the layers in the profile (Socco *et al.* 2010). Usually, the shear-wave velocities are reconstructed by inverting the fundamental mode of the Rayleigh wave. This mode and the higher modes, also called normal modes, are described by the real roots of the dispersion equation. A P-wave velocity profile can be estimated if P-guided waves are used in the inversion scheme (Boiero *et al.* 2009; Ernst 2013). These waves, also known as fast leaking elastic modes, correspond to complex roots of the dispersion equation (Roth and Holliger 1998; Boiero *et al.* 2013; Anikiev *et al.* 2014).

If one wants to invert for a smoothly varying gradient-type near-surface velocity model, e.g., to obtain a smooth starting model for full waveform inversion, a large number of homogeneous layers with small velocity contrasts between them can, in principle, be considered. In practice, however, a large number of layers increases the calculation time and may cause convergence problems due to an increased number of local minima. A continuous medium may be a useful alternative. We therefore consider a smooth acoustic velocity medium: a layer with a linear decrease of the squared slowness with depth. This means that the vertical gradient of the squared slowness has a constant negative value. The layer is bounded from above by the free surface and from below by a homogeneous acoustic halfspace. Three parameters describe the continuous velocity profile: v_0 , the P-wave velocity at the surface, a , the non-negative depth gradient parameter of the squared P-wave slowness and h , the height or thickness of the layer. The density is assumed to be constant. This medium has an analytical solution for the wavefield (Brekhovskikh 1980). The solution can be expressed in terms of Airy functions and is much simpler than the one for a constant acoustic velocity gradient, which requires Bessel functions of imaginary order (Alekseev 1958; Kuvshinov and Mulder 2006; Kazei *et al.* 2013). This allows us to obtain a dispersion equation, similar to

the multi-layer case, and invert the dispersion curves to obtain a P-wave velocity profile. We invert the dispersion curves of the normal acoustic modes by direct minimization of the dispersion equation, as proposed by Ernst (2007). The extension to a continuous model with multiple layers, each with a constant squared-slowness depth gradient, is straightforward and seems to be a promising tool, but a larger number of parameters will make inversion more difficult. Here we only consider a two-layer example with five parameters besides the one-layer example mentioned before.

To employ an acoustic model for the estimation of a P-wave velocity profile from elastic data, the v_s/v_p -ratio in the near surface has to be small, which is often but not always the case. If it is, the P-guided waves can be distinguished from the Rayleigh waves. We can then use the acoustic theory for P-guided waves to approximate the elastic case because of the similarity between normal acoustic modes and fast leaking elastic modes (Roth and Holliger 1998).

In the next section, we present the dispersion equations for our simple models. Then, we describe the inversion approach. To evaluate its potential, we invert the P-guided waves of 2-D synthetic elastic data computed for our P-wave model with one layer over a halfspace. We then apply the method to land data and first consider inversion for a single layer with three parameters. Since the result can explain either the shallow or deeper events, but not both at the same time, we also consider inversion for a model with two layers and five parameters and compare the obtained results to that of multi-layered inversion.

Theory of the forward problem

Single layer above a halfspace

We consider a 2-D isotropic acoustic constant-density model with a layer that has a constant depth gradient of the squared slowness on top of a homogeneous halfspace. A free-surface boundary condition is included. The velocity in the layer obeys $v_1(z) = v_0 / \sqrt{1 - az}$. The deeper halfspace start at a depth h and has a constant velocity $v_2 = v_1(h)$, so there is no

velocity contrast. The velocity profile exists for values of the gradient parameter, a , and depth of the halfspace, h , satisfying $ah < 1$, where a and h are supposed to be positive.

Figure 1 shows the geometry of the model and an example of a velocity profile.

For a medium with constant density and with its properties depending on depth, z , the wave equation for the pressure can be written as

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla P(x, z, t) - \frac{1}{v_1^2(z)} \frac{\partial^2 P(x, z, t)}{\partial t^2} = 0, \quad (1)$$

with the earlier $v_1(z)$. After a Fourier transform in time and in the horizontal wavenumber k , followed by the change of coordinates,

$$\tau = \tau_0 + \frac{z}{H}, \quad \tau_0 = H^2 (k^2 - k_0^2), \quad H = (ak_0^2)^{-1/3}, \quad (2)$$

with $k_0 = \omega/v_0$ and $\omega = 2\pi f$ the angular frequency, the wave equation (1) transforms to the classic Airy equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} \hat{P}(\tau) - \tau \hat{P}(\tau) = 0, \quad \tau = \tau(z, k, \omega, v_0, a). \quad (3)$$

Details can be found in Appendix A. The equation (3) has two linearly independent solutions, corresponding to the two Airy functions $\text{Ai}(\tau)$ and $\text{Bi}(\tau)$ with real argument τ (Brekhovskikh 1980, e.g.). The analytical expression for the wavefield in the layer can be expressed as their linear combination. Together with the free-surface boundary condition of zero pressure and the continuity conditions of pressure and normal component of the particle velocity at the interface between the layer and the halfspace, we obtain the dispersion equation (see Appendix A):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} = \text{Ai}(\tau)|_{z=0} \left\{ \text{Bi}'(\tau)|_{z=h} + H\alpha_2 \text{Bi}(\tau)|_{z=h} \right\} - \\ \text{Bi}(\tau)|_{z=0} \left\{ \text{Ai}'(\tau)|_{z=h} + H\alpha_2 \text{Ai}(\tau)|_{z=h} \right\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha_2 = \sqrt{k^2 - (\omega/v_2)^2}$. The primes denote the derivatives w.r.t. τ . The roots of this equation are the functions $k(\omega)$. They allow us to evaluate the dispersive phase velocities $V(\omega) = \omega/k(\omega)$ of the interference guided acoustic modes.

The left-hand side of the dispersion equation (4), which we refer to as the dispersion

Figure 1. Geometry of the single-layer model with an example of a velocity profile on the right.

expression, can become complex if the factor α_2 becomes complex, which happens if the phase velocity, V , exceeds v_2 . The dispersion expression equals zero in the range of frequencies for which the phase velocity is real and obeys $v_0 \leq V \leq v_2$.

The dependence of the wavenumbers or phase velocities on the angular frequency ω are usually presented as dispersion curves. To obtain the dispersion curves, we used the secant method to find the real roots of the dispersion expression. As an example, Figure 2 depicts the dispersion curves of the phase velocity values for normal acoustic modes. They are shown for the frequency interval from 0 to 100 Hz, using the velocity parameters $v_0 = 1.5 \text{ km/s}$, $h = 100 \text{ m}$, $a = 8 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$ — the same parameters as in the synthetic example discussed later on. With increasing frequency, the number of roots also increases, leading to an increase in the number of curves of Figure 2. Similar as with Rayleigh waves, these dispersion curves can be inverted to estimate the model parameters.

Two layers above a halfspace

We also consider the extension of the model to two layers above a constant halfspace, where each of the layers has a constant depth gradient of the squared slowness. Again, a free-surface boundary condition is imposed. The velocity in the first layer is given by $v_1(z) = v_0 / \sqrt{1 - a_1 z}$, and in second by $v_2(z) = v_1(h_1) / \sqrt{1 - a_2(z - h_1)}$. The halfspace below starts

Figure 2. Dispersion curves of the phase velocities for the normal acoustic modes in a single-layer model.

at a depth h_2 and has a constant velocity $v_3 = v_2(h_2)$, so again there are no velocity contrasts. Also, we again assume the density to be constant in the whole model, for simplicity. The velocity profile exists for positive a_1 , h_1 , a_2 and h_2 values satisfying $a_1 h_1 < 1$ and $a_2(h_2 - h_1) < 1$. The geometry of the model and an example of a velocity profile are sketched in Figure 3. Five parameters instead of three describe the model. Similar to the single-layer model, we can derive the dispersion equation (Appendix B) and invert the dispersion curves to estimate the model parameters.

As an example, the dispersion curves of the phase velocity values for the normal acoustic modes are shown in Figure 4, where all real roots of the corresponding dispersion equation are displayed for the frequency interval between 0 and 25 Hz, using the velocity parameters $v_0 = 1.3 \text{ km/s}$, $h_1 = 300 \text{ m}$, $a_1 = 2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$, $h_2 = 600 \text{ m}$, $a_2 = 0.7 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$.

Figure 3. Geometry of the two-layer model with an example of a velocity profile on the right.

Figure 4. Dispersion curves of the phase velocities for the normal acoustic modes in a two-layer model.

Inversion

To solve the inverse problem, we choose a misfit functional of the form

$$F_j(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\sum_i \mathcal{D}_{ij}^2(f_{ij}, V_{ij}, \mathbf{p})}, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{ij}$ is the value of the dispersion expression, computed for a frequency f_{ij} and phase velocity V_{ij} . The index i denotes a point on the dispersion curve, whereas j is the number of the picked dispersion curve or mode. Note that for single-layer model, $\mathbf{p} = (v_0, a, h)$, and for two-layer model, $\mathbf{p} = (v_0, a_1, h_1, a_2, h_2)$. A misfit functional of this form allows for direct minimization without including a root search in the inversion process and, more importantly, is independent of any errors related to the misidentification of modes (Ernst 2007; Maraschini *et al.* 2010).

Synthetic example

We created a synthetic test example for a single-layer model with the aid of the 2-D isotropic elastic modelling code **REM2D** from Hamburg University (Kosloff *et al.* 1989; Tessmer 2011). Figure 1 shows the geometry of the model. Its parameters are the P- and S-wave velocities at the surface, $v_{p,0} = 1.5$ km/s and $v_{s,0} = 0.5$ km/s, the density at the surface, 2200 kg/m³, and a gradient parameter in the layer, $a = 8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ m⁻¹, which is taken to be the same for v_p^{-2} , v_s^{-2} and ρ^{-2} . In this case, we let the density vary with depth to obtain a closer resemblance to the field observations. The homogeneous halfspace starts at a depth $h = 100$ m. A point source with a central frequency of 20 Hz is placed at a depth of 4 m below the free surface. The receivers are buried at the same depth. We consider a vertical-force source and record the pressure. The resulting seismograms in the x, t - and f, k -domain are shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. Because of the small v_s/v_p ratio, the P-guided waves can be clearly distinguished from the Rayleigh waves. In Figure 5(b), two sets of spectral maxima appear. One set consists of the Rayleigh waves. Their dispersion curves are marked by blue lines. The other set of spectral maxima consist of P-guided waves. Their dispersion curves can be estimated by the roots of the dispersion equation (4), drawn in red, and contain information

Figure 5. Synthetic pressure seismogram in the x, t - (a) and f, k -domain (b) for a vertical-force source. The early arrivals in (a) are the P-guided waves and the estimated dispersion curves are drawn in red in (b). The blue lines in (b) correspond to the Rayleigh waves, which appear as the later and stronger events in (a). They are not considered in the inversion.

about the P-wave velocity in the model. The upper curve from this set starts at a frequency of 7.48 Hz. This is the lowest frequency for which the roots of the dispersion equation lie on the real axis of the complex plane (k, ω) .

We earlier examined this synthetic example (Ponomarenko *et al.* 2013), considering a one-parametric Newton inversion to minimize the functional (5) for the gradient parameter a , assuming that we knew the true values of v_0 and h . We used the known dispersion curves of the three dispersive modes as input data and found that the inversion can give us the proper gradient value over a wide range of velocity models.

Here, we apply a 3-parameter direct grid search over the surface velocity v_0 , gradient a and thickness h to find the global minimum of the misfit functional for the first dispersive mode at frequencies below 20 Hz. In Figure 6, the inverse of the misfit functional is shown at the true $v_0 = v_{p,0} = 1.5$ km/s as a function of depth, h , and gradient parameter, a . Values above 30 were clipped and values for $ah > 1$ were obtained by constant extrapolation from nearby. The inverse functional has a sharp global maximum at the true solution $a = 8 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$ and $h = 100$ m. This suggests that the method may also work on real data with a small v_s/v_p -ratio.

Real data

We apply the method to the pre-processed vibroseis data shown in Figure 7(a). This seismogram represents the vertical particle velocity. It is clear from the seismogram that the v_s/v_p -ratio is small and the P-guided waves can be easily distinguished from the Rayleigh waves. In the f, k domain, shown in Figure 7(b), two types of waves appear as two sets of spectral maxima. The set at smaller wavenumbers and higher frequencies corresponds to the P-guided waves, contained inside the yellow box of Figure 7(b), whereas the set with higher wavenumber values corresponds to the Rayleigh waves or ground roll. Note that the same distinction is made in Figure 5(b) for the synthetic data. With the real data, we again focus on the spectral maxima of the P-guided waves to estimate the P-wave velocity profile.

We pick the main dispersion events as straight lines from the yellow box in Figure 7(b).

Figure 6. Inverse of the misfit functional at the true value of v_0 as function of depth, h , and gradient parameter, a .

We trace them starting from a reference points of high amplitude for selected events in the amplitude-clipped f, k spectrum. The resulting picked events are drawn in Figure 8. There are eight curves, counting from the high to the low wavenumbers. We skip the stretched event between the second and third curves because it has much lower amplitude than the neighbouring curves for frequencies between 14 and 20 Hz, where most of the picked points reside. Note that the slopes of the first three lines are larger than those of the other five.

Heuristic approach

To obtain some insight into the qualitative behaviour of dispersion curves of a single-layer model for different parameters, we compute dispersion curves for several choices of v_0 , h and a . Table 1 lists four of them. The corresponding curves are displayed in Figure 9. We observe, first, that a smaller value of v_0 led to a shift of the dispersion curves towards the larger wavenumbers. Secondly, an decrease of h together with an increase of a caused

(a)

(b)

Figure 7. Real-data seismogram with the vertical particle velocity in the x, t - (a) and f, k -domain (b). The yellow box for the latter contains the P-guided waves.

Figure 8. Maxima of the real-data seismogram in the f, k -domain (red) and picked events (black lines, yellow dots). The domain corresponds to the yellow box in Figure 7(b). We number the dispersion curves from 1 to 8, starting at the highest wavenumber and moving down).

the modal number to decrease and, at the same time, increased the distance between the dispersion curves of these modes.

Given these findings and upon closer inspection of the picked events shown in the Figure 8, there appear to be two sets of dispersion curves. Each can be explained by a different set of parameters. We refer to one set, corresponding to the higher wavenumbers or shorter wavelengths, as the “high” modes. It comprises modes nr. 1, 2 and 3, counting from high to low wavenumbers. The other set contains the “low” modes, numbered from 4 to 8. They have a smaller slope. Mode nr. 3 corresponds to a broad and pronounced dispersion event that might also be classified as a low mode. The low modes “see” a deeper part of the model and correspond to higher velocities than the high modes. The analytical model may

Table 1. The four sets of parameters used to gain some insight into the qualitative behaviour of the dispersion curves.

	v_0 (km/s)	h (m)	a (m^{-1})
(a)	1.41	700	$0.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$
(b)	1.50	600	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$
(c)	1.45	500	$1.50 \cdot 10^{-3}$
(d)	1.65	400	$1.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$

better explain the dispersion curves if we would fit each set of modes with each own set of parameters.

Given these heuristics, we search for a set of parameters by scanning through (v_0, h) pairs followed by a one-parameter search for a , independently for the low and high modes. For the high modes, we find that $v_0 = 1.35$ km/s, $h = 350$ m and $a = 1.53 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$ provide a reasonable data fit, whereas for the low modes, we obtain $v_0 = 1.75$ km/s, $h = 950$ m and $a = 0.723 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$.

Figure 10 shows the misfit functionals for the high modes and the low modes, respectively, as a function of the gradient parameter a , each for the above mentioned choices of v_0 and h . Several local minima show up in this 1-parameter cross section of the functionals. For the low modes in Figure 10(b), the local minima are sharper and closer to each other at the value of $a = 0.723 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$ found by the one-parameter search, which is not true for other values of a and for other scanned pairs of (v_0, h) . A similar but less pronounced behaviour is observed for the two of high modes in Figure 10(a), and we find the value of $a = 1.53 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$ as for the low modes. Figure 11 shows a set of dispersion curves for the above mentioned set of parameters for the high (a) and low (b) modes, together with the picked events in the f, k -domain. Note that more modes are drawn than were picked and not all modes coincide with maxima in the seismogram, indicating that the implemented approach does not lead to a model that can accurately explain the data. In Figure 12, we compare the obtained velocity profiles to the result of a multi-layered inversion. The result for the high modes (green) resembles the shallow part of the multi-layered model (red),

Figure 9. Maxima of the real-data seismogram in the f, k -domain from Figure 8 (red crosses) with dispersion curves (blue) for a single-layer model. The sets of the model parameters, v_0 , h and a , for each panel are listed in Table 1. This illustrates the qualitative behaviour of the dispersion curves when the parameters are changed.

whereas the profile for the low modes (blue) more or less follows the deeper part of the multi-layered model. These two velocity profiles seem to provide a reasonable though not so detailed approximation of multi-layered model. This suggests that a two-layer gradient model might do better than two single-layer models, as sketched in Figure 12 with the yellow curve. Next, we will investigate a single-layer and then a two-layer model, applying a direct grid search for the global minimum of the misfit functional.

Figure 10. Misfit functionals for (a) the high modes at fixed parameters $v_0 = 1.35 \text{ km/s}$ and $h = 350 \text{ m}$ and for (b) the low modes with $v_0 = 1.75 \text{ km/s}$ and $h = 950 \text{ m}$. There are several local minima and the best fit is obtained where these more or less coincide.

Figure 11. Predicted modal structure (blue) for the high (a) and low modes (b), obtained with the heuristic approach, together with the picked events from Figure 8 (high modes are in magenta, low are in red).

Direct grid search: single layer above a halfspace

If the model has small number of parameters, we can use a direct grid search to solve the inverse problem. For our single-layer model with a linear decrease of the squared slowness, we apply a 3-parameter direct grid search to both sets of picked events, using the same misfit functional (5) as before. For the high modes, except the first one, we scan the following parameter ranges: v_0 between 1.1 and 1.5 km/s, h from 0.05 to 0.45 km and a between 0.9

Figure 12. Estimated v_p velocity profiles obtained separately for the high modes (green) and low modes (blue), together with the multi-layer inverted profile (red) shown as a reference. The result for the high modes is closer to the multi-layer profile in the shallow part, that for the low modes in the deeper part. These result suggest that a two-layer gradient model (yellow) may lead to a better data fit.

and 2.6 km^{-1} . For the low modes, we consider v_0 between 1.5 and 2.1 km/s , h from 0.3 to 1.2 km and a between 0.2 and 1.2 km^{-1} . The increments are chosen to be 50 m/s for the velocity, 4 m for the thickness of the layer and 0.01 km^{-1} for the gradient parameter. We choose the increments and ranges of the parameters on the basis of some initial tests and results of the earlier qualitative findings. The only reason for dropping the first high mode (nr. 1) is an improvement of the results.

Once the global minimum on the each grid has been found, we start a new search on a finer subset of the initial grid, with an increment of 20 m/s for the velocity but keeping the same intervals for the other parameters. The resulting parameters for the high modes

(a)

(b)

Figure 13. 2-D cross sections of the inverse misfit functionals, which depends on 3 parameters, obtained for the high (a) and low (b) picked events for a single-layer model. The third parameter is set to the optimal result of the direct grid search: $v_0 = 1.42 \text{ km/s}$ (a) or $v_0 = 1.68 \text{ km/s}$ (b). At each panel, the blue peak corresponds to the optimum: $h = 0.118 \text{ km}$ and $a = 2.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$ at (a), $h = 0.4 \text{ km}$ and $a = 0.98 \text{ km}^{-1}$ at (b).

are $v_0 = 1.42 \text{ km/s}$, $h = 0.118 \text{ km}$ and $a = 2.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$. For the low modes, we found $v_0 = 1.68 \text{ km/s}$, $h = 0.4 \text{ km}$ and $a = 0.98 \text{ km}^{-1}$. Figure 13(a) and Figure 13(b) display 2-D cross sections through the resulting v_0 parameters of the inverse misfit functional for the high and low modes, respectively. The functional for the low modes appears to have several local minima, similar to those shown in Figure 10(b). These minima appear as local maxima in Figure 13(b). Their large number justifies the use of the direct grid search for finding the global one.

Figure 14 shows the predicted modal structure for the estimated parameters for the high and low modes together with the picked events. It does not show first, highest mode, because that one was ignored during the inversion. Only the upper curves of each set are captured: the green curve and the upper of the high picked modes used in the inversion, the third of the predicted blue curves and the upper of the low picked modes. The reason is that the functional (5) is the sum of the functionals for each mode and the functionals at higher wavenumbers have larger amplitudes. This results in a more accurate fit for these modes than for those at lower wavenumbers.

Figure 15 displays the v_p -velocity profiles for the estimated parameters from the direct grid search, for each of the two sets. Compared to the multi-layer inversion, the depth of the halfspace is underestimated but the velocity trend, described by v_0 and a , agrees with the upper part of the multi-layered profile. This again suggests that a better fit may be obtained by considering two layers above a halfspace instead of one, which we will investigate next.

Direct grid search: two layers above a halfspace

Since the single-layer model does not provide accurate results, we consider the inversion for a two-layer model with a linear decrease of squared slowness in each layer, bounded from below by a homogeneous halfspace. This model might be able to capture both parts of the profile, corresponding to the high and low modes examined before. We apply a 5-parameter direct grid search using the same misfit functional (5).

First, we scan the following parameter ranges, motivated by those used for the single-

Figure 14. Predicted modal structure for the parameters estimated by the direct grid search with a single-layer model for the high (green) and low (blue) modes. The picked events are shown in magenta (high modes) and red (low modes). The first, highest mode has been ignored.

layer inversion: v_0 between 1.3 and 1.6 km/s, h_1 from 0.05 to 0.4 km, a_1 between 1.2 and 2.4 km^{-1} , h_2 from 0.4 to 1.0 km, and a_2 between 0.5 and 1.0 km^{-1} . The increments are chosen to be 20 m/s for the velocity, 10 m for the thickness of the top layer, 50 m for the thickness of the bottom layer and 0.02 km^{-1} for both gradient parameters.

Simultaneous inversion of the high modes, excluding the first, highest mode as well as the low modes, produces the following parameters: $v_0 = 1.42$ km/s, $h_1 = 0.09$ km, $a_1 = 2.2$ km^{-1} , $h_2 = 0.6$ km and $a_2 = 0.84$ km^{-1} . Figure 16 shows the predicted modal structure for these parameters (predicted curves in blue, picked events in magenta and red). The green curve in Figure 19 (“high and low” label) displays the v_p -velocity profile, together with result of

Figure 15. Estimated v_p velocity profiles using the direct grid search on the single-layer model for the high (green) and low (blue) modes, together with the multi-layer inverted profile (red) shown as a reference.

the multi-layer inversion. The predicted modal structure captures only the upper curves (high modes), resulting in a large discrepancy with the multi-layer estimate except close the surface.

To capture as many curves as possible, we simultaneously invert only the low modes inside the frequency band from 14 to 20 Hz, where most of the picked events reside. We scan broader intervals: v_0 between 1.3 and 1.8 km/s, h_1 from 0.05 to 0.4 km, a_1 between 1 and 3 km⁻¹, h_2 from 0.4 to 1.0 km, a_2 between 0.5 and 1.5 km⁻¹. This leads to $v_0 = 1.7$ km/s, $h_1 = 0.06$ km, $a_1 = 2.2$ km⁻¹, $h_2 = 0.9$ km and $a_2 = 0.68$ km⁻¹. Figure 17 shows the predicted modal structure for these estimated parameters. The corresponding velocity profile is shown at Figure 19 as a magenta curve (“low1” label). The predicted modal structure here captures

the low modes and the resulting velocity matches most of the multi-layer profile with a slight underestimation of the depth of the halfspace. The shallow part of the profile again is different, which suggests that we should search for lower velocities and larger values of the gradient parameter in the first layer.

We therefore modify the parameter ranges, especially for v_0 and a_1 , and took v_0 between 1.1 and 1.4 km/s, h_1 from 0.05 to 0.4 km, a_1 between 5 and 8 km⁻¹, h_2 from 0.5 to 1.2 km and a_2 between 0.5 and 1 km⁻¹. We use the same increments as before and only change the one for the first gradient parameter, a_1 , to 0.1 km⁻¹. This produces $v_0 = 1.3$ km/s, $h_1 = 0.08$ km, $a_1 = 6.3$ km⁻¹, $h_2 = 1.0$ km and $a_2 = 0.7$ km⁻¹. Figure 18 shows the predicted modal structure for the estimated parameters and the blue curve in Figure 19 (“low2” label) depicts the v_p -velocity profile. The predicted modal structure again captures the low modes, but smaller velocity value together with larger value of the first gradient lead to a better agreement with a larger part of the multi-layer profile.

Discussion

We attempted to explain field data with dispersion equations for a simple P-wave velocity profiles with a constant depth gradient of the squared P-wave slowness. For the inversion, we first took a heuristic approach with a single-layer model by analysing dispersion curves for several sets of parameters v_0 , h and a . This offered some insight into how the dispersion curves depend on the parameters and revealed that the model is too simple to explain the field data, because not all curves can be modelled when trying to fit a simpler model to the data. Then we divided the modes from the picked events into two subsets, the “high” and “low” modes. Each subset appeared to be reasonably well explained by its own triple of parameters. The high modes have larger wavenumbers, shorter wavelengths and are mainly sensitive to the shallower part. The low modes have longer wavelengths and have seen the deeper parts of the velocity profile. We analysed each set separately by scanning through the surface velocity, v_0 , and top layer depth, h , followed by a one-parameter search over a range of values for the gradient, a , of the squared slowness. Then we applied a direct

Figure 16. Predicted modal structure (blue) for the parameters estimated by inversion with a two-layer model of both high and low modes. The picked events are shown in magenta (high modes) and red (low modes). The first, highest mode has been ignored.

grid search to find the best parameters for each set of modes. The results obtained by both methods are quite different. The estimated parameters for each of the modal sets obtained by the heuristic approach predict additional dispersion curves that are absent from the data (Figure 11). In contrast, the dispersion curves obtained by the direct grid search miss some of the curves in the data (Figure 14). The velocity profiles estimated with the heuristic approach (Figure 12) generally approximate the multi-layer profile, but of course without its details. The velocity profiles after the direct grid search more or less approximate only the shallow part of the multi-layer velocity profile (Figure 15). All this reflects that such a simple single-layer model cannot fully explain the data.

Figure 17. Predicted modal structure (blue) for the parameters estimated by inversion with a two-layer model of only the low modes. The picked events are shown in magenta (high modes) and red (low modes). The first, highest mode has been ignored. The slopes now match for the low events, but are wrong for the high-wavenumber modes.

We therefore introduced a two-layer model to allow for a better data fit than with the single-layer model above a halfspace. The inversion of both high and low modes simultaneously failed to provide an estimate that resembled the reference profile of the multi-layer inversion. However, inversion of only the low modes produced a better result in terms of both the predicted modal structure (Figure 18) and the estimated velocity profile (Figure 19). The latter provides a reasonable piece-wise smooth approximation that resembles the multi-layer inversion result used as a reference. This smooth approximation can be useful as an alternative for a smoothed multi-layer model and might be more appropriate as a starting

Figure 18. Predicted modal structure (blue) for the parameters estimated with a two-layer model using only the low modes with refined parameter ranges. The picked events are shown in magenta (high modes) and red (low modes). The first, highest mode has been ignored.

model for acoustic full waveform inversion. The predicted modal structure still contains additional dispersion curves that are absent from the data, but the other curves coincide well with the corresponding picked events.

At this point, we have to conclude that our method does not degrade as gracefully as, for instance, diving-wave tomography (Pratt *et al.* 1996; Ravaut *et al.* 2004). For the latter, a simplified smooth subsurface model may still provide a fairly accurate data fit, in particular at the lower frequencies. In our case, a model with less detail misses some of the dispersion curves and, at the same time, predicts additional curves where these are not any observed. This implies that the method is quite sensitive to the details of the subsurface. While this

Figure 19. Estimated v_p velocity profiles using a direct grid search for the two-layer model (see labels and descriptions in the text), together with the multi-layer inverted profile (red) shown as a reference.

sensitivity can be exploited to provide information about complex subsurface structures, it will make inversion less easy.

An extension of the two-layer model to many layers, each with a constant depth gradient of the squared-slowness, might further improve the fit of the modal structure, especially for the media with complex structures. It would, however, increase the number of parameters and computational cost. Then, one might as well use the more powerful approach proposed by Ernst (2013). The computational cost can be lowered by choosing a more powerful global optimization algorithm than a direct grid search. The main reason for using the latter is that it allowed us to examine cross sections of the misfit functionals.

Conclusion

We have applied surface wave inversion on picked dispersion curves for P-guided waves to estimate a simple continuous P-wave velocity profile from elastic data with a small v_s/v_p -ratio. We first considered a profile consisting in a layer with a constant depth gradient of the squared slowness on top of a homogeneous halfspace. The model has three parameters, the velocity at the surface, the gradient, and the thickness of the layer. The second profile consisted of two layers, each with a constant depth gradient of the squared slowness, on top of a halfspace with a constant velocity and had five parameters: the velocity at the surface, two gradients and two thicknesses. The inversion required picking of the P-guided wave dispersion curves, which was carried out in the f, k domain. Model parameters were found by minimization of a functional by means of a direct grid search for both the single- and two-layer profiles. We found that the single-layer model was not able to properly explain the dispersion curves of a field data set. Parameter fits for the individual curves suggested the presence of two sets of curves that could be explained by two set of parameters, one for the shallow part of the model and one for the deeper parts. The two-layer model better explained the observed set of lower dispersion curves and finally led a reasonable estimation of the velocity profile, compared to the result of a multi-layer inversion, but not with as much detail, since our model only has 5 parameters to explain the multi-modal structure of the data. Better fits can presumably be obtained by increasing the number of layers, each having a constant depth gradient of the squared-slowness. This should be relatively straightforward to implement.

Our results show that the method can provide a piece-wise smooth approximation of the P-wave velocity profile for a medium with a small v_s/v_p ratio and a non-trivial but not too complex subsurface structure. For such media, our method may be faster than existing inversion methods that determine piece-wise constant velocities. A disadvantage of our approach is the required picking of the P-guided wave dispersion curves and its inability to fit all the picked events. An advantage is its simplicity and its ability to provide smooth models that can directly serve as a starting model for acoustic full waveform inversion.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to Ekkehart Tessmer from the Institute of Geophysics, University of Hamburg, for allowing the use of his REM2D code to generate the synthetic seismograms. We also thank Fabian Ernst for helpful discussions and for providing the multi-layer profile, reconstructed from the land data.

This work was supported by Shell Global Solutions International BV under CRDF grant RUG1-30020-ST-11. Additional support was provided by Saint-Petersburg State University under research grant 11.38.217.2014. The Computer Centre of SPbU provided computational resources.

REFERENCES

- Alekseev A. 1958. Lamb problem solution for the vertically-inhomogeneous halfspace (in russian). *Dynamic problems of theory of elasticity* **6**, 167–227.
- Anikiev D., Kazei V., Kashtan B., Ponomarenko A., Troyan V. and Shigapov R. 2014. Methods of Seismic Waveform Inversion. *Seismic Technology* **12**(1).
- Boiero D., Maraschini M. and Socco L.V. 2009. P and S Wave Velocity Model Retrieved by Multi Modal Surface Wave Analysis. 71st EAGE Conference & Exhibition, Extended Abstracts, T010, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Boiero D., Wiarda E. and Vermeer P. 2013. Surface and guided-wave inversion for near-surface modeling in land and shallow marine seismic data. *The Leading Edge* **32**(6), 638–647.
- Brekhovskikh L.M. 1980. *Waves in layered media*. Applied mathematics and mechanics. Academic Press. ISBN 9780121305604.
- Brekhovskikh L.M. and Godin O.A. 1998. *Acoustics of Layered Media I: Plane and Quasi-Plane Waves*. Wave Phenomena. Springer. ISBN 9783540647249.
- Ernst F.E. 2007. Long-Wavelength Statics Estimation from Guided Waves. 69th EAGE Conference & Exhibition incorporating SPE EUROPEC, Extended Abstracts, E033, London, UK.
- Ernst F.E. 2013. Modal elastic inversion. 75th EAGE Conference & Exhibition, Extended abstracts, Th 0101, London, UK.
- Kazei V.V., Troyan V.N., Kashtan B.M. and Mulder W.A. 2013. On the role of reflections, refractions and diving waves in full-waveform inversion. *Geophysical Prospecting* **61**(6), 1252–1263.
- Kosloff D., Filho A., Tessmer E. and Behle A. 1989. Numerical Solution of the Acoustic and Elastic Wave Equations by a New Rapid Expansion Method. *Geophysical Prospecting* **37**, 383–394.
- Kuvshinov B.N. and Mulder W.A. 2006. The exact solution of the time-harmonic wave equation for a linear velocity profile. *Geophysical Journal International* **167**(2), 659–662.
- Maraschini M., Ernst F., Foti S. and Socco L. 2010. A new misfit function for multimodal inversion of surface waves. *Geophysics* **75**(4), G31–G43.
- Ponomarenko A.V., Kashtan B.M., Troyan V.N. and Mulder W.A. 2013. Surface wave inversion for a P-wave profile via estimation of the squared slowness gradient. 75th EAGE Conference & Exhibition, Extended Abstracts, London, UK.
- Pratt R.G., Song Z., Williamson P. and Warner M. 1996. Two-dimensional velocity models from wide-angle seismic data by wavefield inversion. *Geophysical Journal International* **124**(2), 323–340. ISSN 1365-246X.
- Ravaut C., Operto S., Imbrota L., Virieux J., Herrero A. and Dell’Aversana P. 2004. Multi-scale imaging of complex structures from multifold wide-aperture seismic data by frequency-

- domain full-waveform tomography: application to a thrust belt. *Geophysical Journal International* **159**(3), 1032–1056. ISSN 1365-246X.
- Roth M. and Holliger K. 1998. Guided waves in near-surface seismic surveys. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **25**, 1071–1074.
- Socco L., Foti S. and Boiero D. 2010. Surface-wave analysis for building near-surface velocity models — Established approaches and new perspectives. *Geophysics* **75**(5), 75A83–75A102.
- Tessmer E. 2011. Using the rapid expansion method for accurate time-stepping in modelling and reverse-time migration. *Geophysics* **76**(4), S177–S185.

APPENDIX A: DISPERSION EQUATION FOR SINGLE-LAYER MODEL

We present a detailed derivation of the dispersion equation (4). The wave equation (1) for the pressure $P(x, z, t)$ has a velocity model $v_1(z) = v_0/\sqrt{1-az}$ for $0 \leq z \leq h$ and $v_2(z) = v_1(h)$ for $h \leq z$. A Fourier transform in time and in the horizontal wavenumber k lets

$$P(x, z, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \text{Re} \int_0^\infty d\omega e^{i\omega t} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dk e^{-ikx} \hat{P}(k, z, \omega).$$

This transforms the wave equation (1) into

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \hat{P}(k, z, \omega) - \left(k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{v_1^2(z)} \right) \hat{P}(k, z, \omega) = 0. \quad (\text{A1})$$

After the change of the coordinates described in formula (2), equation (A1) reduces to the classic Airy equation (3). This equation has two linearly independent solutions, the two Airy functions $\text{Ai}(\tau)$ and $\text{Bi}(\tau)$ with real argument τ (Brekhovskikh 1980, e.g.). Therefore, the analytical expression for the wavefield in the top layer (I) can be expressed as their linear combination. In the halfspace (II), it is simply the solution of the wave equation for a homogeneous isotropic medium:

$$P^{\text{I}}(x, z, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \text{Re} \int_0^\infty d\omega e^{i\omega t} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dk e^{-ikx} \{A \text{Ai}(\tau) + B \text{Bi}(\tau)\}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$P^{\text{II}}(x, z, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \text{Re} \int_0^\infty d\omega e^{i\omega t} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dk e^{-ikx} C e^{-\alpha_2(z-h)}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

with $\alpha_2 = \sqrt{k^2 - (\omega^2/v_2^2)}$ and functions A , B and C that do not depend on the spatial coordinates. At the free surface, $P^{\text{I}}|_{z=0} = 0$. Continuity of the pressure and the normal component of the particle velocity at the interface between I and II is prescribed by

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\text{I}}|_{z=h} &= P^{\text{II}}|_{z=h}, \\ \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} \frac{\partial P^{\text{I}}}{\partial z} \right) \Big|_{z=h} &= \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} \frac{\partial P^{\text{II}}}{\partial z} \right) \Big|_{z=h}, \end{aligned}$$

(see Brekhovskikh (1980); Brekhovskikh and Godin (1998)). Here ρ_1 and ρ_2 are the densities in the layer and in the halfspace. We only consider a continuous constant-density medium,

so $\rho_1|_{z=h} = \rho_2|_{z=h}$. Together with (A2) and (A3), we obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \{A \text{Ai}(\tau) + B \text{Bi}(\tau)\}|_{z=0} = 0, \\ \{A \text{Ai}(\tau) + B \text{Bi}(\tau)\}|_{z=h} = C, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \{A \text{Ai}(\tau) + B \text{Bi}(\tau)\}|_{z=h} = -\alpha_2 C. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Using $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} = H^{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau}$, we obtain the dispersion equation as the solvability condition of the system (A4):

$$\mathcal{D}(k, \omega) = \text{Ai}(\tau)|_{z=0} \left\{ \text{Bi}'(\tau)|_{z=h} + H\alpha_2 \text{Bi}(\tau)|_{z=h} \right\} - \text{Bi}(\tau)|_{z=0} \left\{ \text{Ai}'(\tau)|_{z=h} + H\alpha_2 \text{Ai}(\tau)|_{z=h} \right\} = 0, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where the primes denote the derivatives w.r.t. τ . Recall that $\tau = \tau_0 + (z/H)$, $\tau_0 = H^2(k^2 - k_0^2)$, $k_0 = \omega/v_0$, $H = (ak_0^2)^{-1/3}$, $\alpha_2 = \sqrt{k^2 - (\omega/v_2)^2}$ and $v_2 = v_0/\sqrt{1 - ah}$ for model parameters v_0 , a , and h .

APPENDIX B: DISPERSION EQUATION FOR A TWO-LAYER MODEL

We also present the dispersion equation for two layers above a halfspace. The velocity in the first layer is $v_1(z) = v_0/\sqrt{1 - a_1 z}$ for $0 \leq z \leq h_1$ and in the second $v_2(z) = v_0/(\sqrt{1 - a_1 h_1} \sqrt{1 - a_2(z - h_1)})$ for $h_1 \leq z \leq h_2$. The halfspace has $v_3 = v_2(h_2)$ for $z \geq h_2$. There are no velocity contrasts and the density is constant for the whole model.

Similar to the single-layer model, the pressures in the two layers (I, II) and in the halfspace (III) can be expressed as:

$$P^{\text{I}} = A \text{Ai}(\tau_1) + B \text{Bi}(\tau_1), \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$P^{\text{II}} = C \text{Ai}(\tau_2) + D \text{Bi}(\tau_2), \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$P^{\text{III}} = E e^{-\alpha_3(z-h_2)}, \quad (\text{B3})$$

with $\alpha_3 = \sqrt{k^2 - (\omega^2/v_3^2)}$, $\tau_1 = \tau_{01} + z/H_1$, $\tau_{01} = H_1^2(k^2 - k_{01}^2)$, $H_1 = (a_1 k_{01}^2)^{-1/3}$, $k_{01} = \omega/v_0$, $\tau_2 = \tau_{02} + (z - h_1)/H_2$, $\tau_{02} = H_2^2(k^2 - k_{02}^2)$, $H_2 = (a_2 k_{02}^2)^{-1/3}$, $k_{02} = \omega/v_2(h_1)$ and functions A , B , C , D , E that do not depend on the spatial coordinates.

At the free surface, $P^{\text{I}}|_{z=0} = 0$. Continuity of the pressure and the normal component of

the particle velocity at the two interfaces is prescribed by

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\text{I}}|_{z=h_1} &= P^{\text{II}}|_{z=h_1}, \\ \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} \frac{\partial P^{\text{I}}}{\partial z} \right) \Big|_{z=h_1} &= \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} \frac{\partial P^{\text{II}}}{\partial z} \right) \Big|_{z=h_1}, \\ P^{\text{II}}|_{z=h_2} &= P^{\text{III}}|_{z=h_2}, \\ \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} \frac{\partial P^{\text{II}}}{\partial z} \right) \Big|_{z=h_2} &= \left(\frac{1}{\rho_3} \frac{\partial P^{\text{III}}}{\partial z} \right) \Big|_{z=h_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_1 , ρ_2 and ρ_3 are the densities of the first layer, second layer and halfspace, respectively.

Here, they are replaced by a single constant density. Together with (B1), (B2) and (B3), we obtain the system of equations

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\{A \text{Ai}(\tau_1) + B \text{Bi}(\tau_1)\}|_{z=0} = 0, \\ &\{A \text{Ai}(\tau_1) + B \text{Bi}(\tau_1)\}|_{z=h_1} = \\ &= \{C \text{Ai}(\tau_2) + D \text{Bi}(\tau_2)\}|_{z=h_1}, \\ &\{A \text{Ai}'(\tau_1) + B \text{Bi}'(\tau_1)\}|_{z=h_1} = \\ &= \left\{ \frac{H_1}{H_2} (C \text{Ai}'(\tau_2) + D \text{Bi}'(\tau_2)) \right\} \Big|_{z=h_1}, \\ &\{C \text{Ai}(\tau_2) + D \text{Bi}(\tau_2)\}|_{z=h_2} = E, \\ &\{C \text{Ai}'(\tau_2) + D \text{Bi}'(\tau_2)\}|_{z=h_2} = -\alpha_3 H_2 E. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (\text{B4})$$

Here the primes denote the derivatives w.r.t. argument in brackets.

The dispersion equation is again obtained as the solvability condition of the system (B4). This can be written as the following determinant, with $\tau_1|_{z=0} = \tau_{01}$, $\tau_2|_{z=h_1} = \tau_{02}$ and $T_1 = \tau_1|_{z=h_1}$ with $T_2 = \tau_2|_{z=h_2}$:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \text{Ai}(\tau_{01}) & \text{Bi}(\tau_{01}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Ai}(T_1) & \text{Bi}(T_1) & -\text{Ai}(\tau_{02}) & -\text{Bi}(\tau_{02}) & 0 \\ \text{Ai}'(T_1) & \text{Bi}'(T_1) & -\frac{H_1}{H_2} \text{Ai}'(\tau_{02}) & -\frac{H_1}{H_2} \text{Bi}'(\tau_{02}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Ai}(T_2) & \text{Bi}(T_2) & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Ai}'(T_2) & \text{Bi}'(T_2) & \alpha_3 H_2 \end{vmatrix} = 0. \quad (\text{B5})$$

After evaluation, (B5) leads to the dispersion equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(k, \omega) = & \left(\text{Ai}(\tau_{01}) \text{Bi}'(T_1) - \text{Ai}'(T_1) \text{Bi}(\tau_{01}) \right) \cdot \\
& \cdot \left[H_2 \alpha_3 \left(\text{Ai}(\tau_{02}) \text{Bi}(T_2) - \text{Ai}(T_2) \text{Bi}(\tau_{02}) \right) + \right. \\
& + \left(\text{Ai}(\tau_{02}) \text{Bi}'(T_2) - \text{Ai}'(T_2) \text{Bi}(\tau_{02}) \right) \left. \right] - \\
& - \frac{H_1}{H_2} \left(\text{Ai}(\tau_{01}) \text{Bi}(T_1) - \text{Ai}(T_1) \text{Bi}(\tau_{01}) \right) \cdot \\
& \cdot \left[H_2 \alpha_3 \left(\text{Ai}'(\tau_{02}) \text{Bi}(T_2) - \text{Ai}(T_2) \text{Bi}'(\tau_{02}) \right) + \right. \\
& + \left(\text{Ai}'(\tau_{02}) \text{Bi}'(T_2) - \text{Ai}'(T_2) \text{Bi}'(\tau_{02}) \right) \left. \right] = 0. \quad (\text{B6})
\end{aligned}$$

List of captions

Tables

Table 1. The four sets of parameters used to gain some insight into the qualitative behaviour of the dispersion curves.

Figures

Figure 1. Geometry of the single-layer model with an example of a velocity profile on the right.

Figure 2. Dispersion curves of the phase velocities for the normal acoustic modes in a single-layer model.

Figure 3. Geometry of the two-layer model with an example of a velocity profile on the right.

Figure 4. Dispersion curves of the phase velocities for the normal acoustic modes in a two-layer model.

Figure 5. Synthetic pressure seismogram in the x, t - (a) and f, k -domain (b) for a vertical-force source. The early arrivals in (a) are the P-guided waves and the estimated dispersion curves are drawn in red in (b). The blue lines in (b) correspond to the Rayleigh waves, which appear as the later and stronger events in (a). They are not considered in the inversion.

Figure 6. Inverse of the misfit functional at the true value of v_0 as function of depth, h , and gradient parameter, a .

Figure 7. Real-data seismogram with the vertical particle velocity in the x, t - (a) and f, k -domain (b). The yellow box for the latter contains the P-guided waves.

Figure 8. Maxima of the real-data seismogram in the f, k -domain (red) and picked events (black lines, yellow dots. The domain corresponds to the yellow box in Figure 7(b). We number the dispersion curves from 1 to 8, starting at the highest wavenumber and moving down).

Figure 9. Maxima of the real-data seismogram in the f, k -domain from Figure 8 (red crosses) with dispersion curves (blue) for a single-layer model. The sets of the model parameters, v_0 , h and a , for each panel are listed in Table 1. This illustrates the qualitative behaviour of the dispersion curves when the parameters are changed.

Figure 10. Misfit functionals for (a) the high modes at fixed parameters $v_0 = 1.35$ km/s and $h = 350$ m and for (b) the low modes with $v_0 = 1.75$ km/s and $h = 950$ m. There are several local minima and the best fit is obtained where these more or less coincide.

Figure 11. Predicted modal structure (blue) for the high (a) and low modes (b), obtained with the heuristic approach, together with the picked events from Figure 8 (high modes are in magenta, low are in red)

Figure 12. Estimated v_p velocity profiles obtained separately for the high modes (green) and low modes (blue), together with the multi-layer inverted profile (red) shown as a reference. The result for the high modes is closer to the multi-layer profile in the shallow part, that for the low modes in the deeper part. These result suggest that a two-layer gradient model (yellow) may lead to a better data fit.

Figure 13. 2-D cross sections of the inverse misfit functionals, which depends on 3 parameters, obtained for the high (a) and low (b) picked events for a single-layer model. The third parameter is set to the optimal result of the direct grid search: $v_0 = 1.42$ km/s (a) or $v_0 = 1.68$ km/s (b). At each panel, the blue peak corresponds to the optimum: $h = 0.118$ km and $a = 2.1$ km⁻¹ at (a), $h = 0.4$ km and $a = 0.98$ km⁻¹ at (b).

Figure 14. Predicted modal structure for the parameters estimated by the direct grid search with a single-layer model for the high (green) and low (blue) modes. The picked events are shown in magenta (high modes) and red (low modes). The first, highest mode has been ignored.

Figure 15. Estimated v_p velocity profiles using the direct grid search on the single-layer model for the high (green) and low (blue) modes, together with the multi-layer inverted profile (red) shown as a reference.

Figure 16. Predicted modal structure (blue) for the parameters estimated by inversion with a two-layer model of both high and low modes. The picked events are shown in magenta (high modes) and red (low modes). The first, highest mode has been ignored.

Figure 17. Predicted modal structure (blue) for the parameters estimated by inversion with a two-layer model of only the low modes. The picked events are shown in magenta (high

modes) and red (low modes). The first, highest mode has been ignored. The slopes now match for the low events, but are wrong for the high-wavenumber modes.

Figure 18. Predicted modal structure (blue) for the parameters estimated with a two-layer model using only the low modes with refined parameter ranges. The picked events are shown in magenta (high modes) and red (low modes). The first, highest mode has been ignored.

Figure 19. Estimated v_p velocity profiles using a direct grid search for the two-layer model (see labels and descriptions in the text), together with the multi-layer inverted profile (red) shown as a reference.