Interstitials in tetrahedrally close-packed phases: C, N, O, and F in β-tungsten from first principles
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Several tetrahedrally close-packed (tcp) phases, such as β-W, β-Ta, and β-U, are believed to be stabilized by impurities. Here we analyze the various ways in which impurities can be dissolved in tcp structures paying special attention to interstitial configurations in the β-W (A15) structure. We find that at most there are only seven interstitial positions possible. Through ab initio calculations we show that common impurities such as C, N, O, and F, dissolve interstitially in β-W and that N, O, and F interstitials prefer the same position in the β-W (A15) structure.

Impurities are known to strongly affect structural stability and phase stability. A particular example is W, known to be body-centered cubic (bcc) when pure, but taking the β-W, A15 (Strukturbericht notation), Cr₃Si prototype structure when impurities are present.2,3 Other early transition metals, such as Cr, Mo, and Nb also, are known to occur with the β-W crystal structure⁴⁻¹³ and recent ab initio calculations show tetrahedrally close-packed (tcp) structures for several elements to be only just above the ground-state structures¹⁴,¹⁵. For some impurities there is (x-ray) evidence that they are substitutionally dissolved. For the lighter elements, such as C, N, O, and F, x-ray intensities are too weak to determine whether these impurities are of a substitutional or an interstitial nature. Here we seek to address this question by first analyzing the interstitial positions in tcp phases in general, and then applying our analysis to the Cr₃Si prototype structure. This reveals that there are just seven interstitial positions available in addition to the two substitutional positions.

Tcp structures have as a characteristic that tetrahedra formed between nearest neighbors (nn's) are space filling. These nn tetrahedra can share a vertex (atomic site), an edge (nn pair), or a facet (triangle formed between three nn pairs), but they never overlap. It follows that the largest interstices are centered within the tetrahedra, or possibly, are located at the centers of facets (triangles) where two tetrahedra are joined, i.e., at the centers of triangular-base bipyramids. Other positions, such as the mid point between edges, are less likely because of large strains. Having identified the possible interstitial sites with centers of nn tetrahedra and nn triangles, it remains to find the relevant tetrahedra and triangles. This has been done routinely in cluster-variation studies of complex phases.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ The FeCr-prototype σ structure consists of 17 nn tetrahedra and 29 nn triangles, making for a total of 46 possible interstitial positions; and the Fe₇W₆-prototype μ structure consists of 13 nn tetrahedra and 20 nn triangles, making for a total of 33 possible interstitial positions. In simple lattices such as bcc and fcc there are just two interstitial positions (tetrahedral and octahedral). Clearly, interstitials in tcp phases are much more complex. Fortunately, the Cr₃Si-prototype A15 case is quite transparent still: The Cr₃Si-prototype structure, space group #223, see Fig. 1, has Si-type positions (small green spheres), with Wyckoff designation 2a, at the cube corners and at the body center, and has pairs of Cr-type positions (large red spheres) on the face centers at the Wyckoff 6c positions. Thus, there are two kinds of substitutional single-site defects; those on the Si positions, and those on the Cr positions. The three types of nn pairs are easily recognized in Fig. 1; the P1 nn pair occurs between Cr-type sites, such as between sites 1 and 2; P2 is between Cr- and Si-type sites, such as between 2 and 5; and P3 occurs between Cr sites such as 1 and 3. There are four types of nn triangles; Tr1 between sites 3,4,5; Tr2 sites 1,2,3; Tr3 sites 2,3,5; and Tr4 sites 2,4,6. Tr4, an equilateral triangle involving three P3s, is largest, and Tr1 and Tr2 are smallest. There are just three types of nn tetrahedra: Th1 between atoms 2,4,5,6 (involving three Tr3 and 1 Tr4); Th2 between atoms 2,3,4,5 (one Tr1, one Tr2, and two Tr3); and Th3 between atoms 1,2,3,4 (four Tr2). Th2 and Th3 have the same volume; Th1 is 12.5% larger. With these details, we can now understand that relaxation of an interstitial in a tetrahedron can cause it to move to a triangular face and vice versa. Thus the central point in Th1 can relax toward the center of Tr3 or Tr4 but not to Tr1 or to Tr2. Likewise Th2 may relax toward Tr1, Tr2, or Tr3, and Th3 may relax toward Tr2 only. So while geometry indicates there are possibly three tetrahedral and four triangular bipyramidal interstices, these seven positions can be realized only if the potential-energy surface...
has local minima there. Moreover, it is evident that interstitial diffusion in tcp structures also, must be analyzed in terms of nn tetrahedra and nn triangles.

There is an extensive literature on the many impurities that can stabilize \( \beta \)-W, such as oxygen,\(^{20,21}\) nitrogen,\(^{20}\) carbon,\(^{22,23}\) fluorine,\(^{24}\) silicon,\(^{25}\) or germanium.\(^{26}\) Si is known to occupy the Si-type sites, in analogy with the Cr\(_3\)Si prototype, and the same is to be expected for Ge. The way oxygen is dissolved remains unclear. Currently deemed most probable are: but without preference for either the Cr type of the Si-type sites,\(^{3,20}\) interstitial,\(^{20,21}\) or at grain boundaries and other defects.\(^ {27,28}\) Here, I will compute the relative stability of dilute solutions of substitutional and interstitial oxygen, and octahedral \((\sigma)\) interstitial in bcc W also.

Enthalpies (total energies) have been computed within the local-density approximation\(^ {29}\) using the Vienna \textit{ab initio} simulation program (VASP) (Refs. 30 and 31) at a pressure of 0 GPa. The calculations were performed using pseudopotentials of the projector augmented wave (PAW) type.\(^ {32,33}\) The standard potentials were used for W, C, N, O, and F, which treat the 6s and 5d, and the 2s and 2p such as states as valence electrons. Integrations in reciprocal space use a \( k\)-centered \( 4 \times 4 \times 4 \) Monkhorst-Pack\(^ {34}\) grid in the \( 1^{st} \) Brillouin zone pertaining to the 64-atom \( 2 \times 2 \times 2 \) A15 cell. Precision was set to “accurate.” In all calculations, the electronic wave functions were expanded in terms of plane waves up to a cutoff kinetic energy of 400 eV. The Hermite-Gauss smearing method of Methfessel and Paxton\(^ {35}\) of order 1 has been used, with a smearing parameter of 0.1 eV. The convergence criteria for energy and force were 0.1 meV and 10 meV/nm, respectively. Structural optimizations were reinitiated at least twice. For final static calculations real-space projectors were turned off and the linear tetrahedron method with Blöchl corrections\(^ {36}\) was used for the reciprocal space integrations. Calculations pertaining to bcc used a 54-atom cell consisting of \( 3 \times 3 \times 3 \) bcc cubes with a \( k\)-centered \( 5 \times 5 \times 5 \) Monkhorst-Pack\(^ {34}\) \( k\)-point grid.

The energetic stability of solute \( X \) at position “\( p \)” in structure \( \alpha \) was considered by defining an excess enthalpy per \( X \) atom, \( \Delta H_{X}^{\alpha,p} \), \( p = \text{interstitial; } \sigma = \text{octahedral} \), and \( V_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \), respectively.

\[
\Delta H_{X}^{\alpha,p} = \frac{1}{m} \left[ H^{\alpha}(W_{X}^{m}) - \frac{k}{n} H^{\alpha}(W_{p}) \right].
\]  

When “\( p \)” is an interstitial (substitutional) position \( k=n \), similarly, excess volumes can be defined. The excess volume per \( X \) atom in position “\( p \)” can be expressed as

\[
\Delta V_{X}^{\alpha,p} = \frac{1}{m} \left[ V^{\alpha}(W_{X}^{m}) - \frac{k}{n} V^{\alpha}(W_{p}) \right].
\]  

The enthalpies computed for various structures with and without oxygen are listed in Table I. It is apparent that oxygen prefers the tetrahedral interstice in bcc W because its excess enthalpy is lower. The enthalpy difference suggests that normally all oxygen in bcc W is in the \((\sigma)\) position. For the A15 structure three interstitial positions appear to be missing: \( \text{Th1} \) position relaxes toward \( \text{Tr4} \), and both \( \text{Th2} \) and \( \text{Tr3} \) relax toward \( \text{Th2} \). Local minima in the potential energy exist for \( \text{Th2} \) and \( \text{Tr4} \) only. \( \text{Th3} \) and \( \text{Tr1} \) are saddle-point configurations with two positive curvatures and one negative curvature. Interstitial position \( \text{Tr4} \) is more stable than the \( \text{Th2} \) position, or the two saddle points, the excess enthalpy shows. It is apparent that O cannot dissolve substitutionally; the Cr position and both the single and complete substitution of the Si positions are several eV above the interstitial positions. This is hardly surprising as O is much too small an atom to replace W. The large differences among interstitial positions deserve some attention. It is tempting to explain the \( \text{Tr4} \) preference because of the good fit of this interstice to the O atom, as appears from the small excess volume. However, this is an oversimplification as a comparison of \((\sigma)\) and \((\sigma)\) in bcc reveals. The \((\sigma)\) interstice requires, on average, less relaxation of surrounding W atoms to accommodate the oxygen atom than the \((\sigma)\) interstice: the W-O distance increases by 11% for all 4 bonds for \((\sigma)\), for \((\sigma)\) there are two short bonds that get stretched by 22% upon relaxation, and four longer bonds that are stretched just 2%. The elastic energy is roughly proportional to the square of the elongation. The \((\sigma)\) elastic energy is then proportional to \( 2 \times 0.22^{2} \) versus \( 4 \times 0.11^{2} \) for \((\sigma)\), assuming similar bond stiffness. In the \((\sigma)\) position, oxygen is inserted in the middle of a strong W-W bond (second nn), constraining outward relaxation and giving a rather small excess volume in spite of the high local elastic energy. A similar reasoning explains the stability of the \( \text{Tr4} \) position: it is the largest triangle and it is equilateral, and the joint of the largest tetrahedra, forming a symmetric bipyramid. After relaxation all five nn’s have nearly equal W-O distances, making for an optimal dispersion of strain. In contrast, the \( \text{Th2} \) and \( \text{Th3} \) positions involve cages with 1 and 2 of the shortest W-W distances, and the same holds for the narrow isosceles triangle \( \text{Tr1} \). Hence, the topological details matter. The excess enthalpy indicates that O normally occupies only the \( \text{Tr4} \) position in A15. The excess enthalpy of O in A15 is more favorable than in bcc. This is in line with the lesser strained five equally spaced W-O bonds.
in A15 as opposed the four equal-spaced bonds in bcc. Bi-
pyramids formed between nn’s are not available in the bcc
structure. The (o) position might be regarded as a square
bipyramid, but with flattened pyramids that are not spacious.
As A15 has a more than 1 eV advantage over bcc for every
dissolved O atom, barring strong-interaction effects, a con-
centration of just over 7\% O in W is sufficient to make bcc
and A15 energetically degenerate.\(^{37}\) In the literature both
higher and lower O concentrations have been reported for
triangular-base bipyramid interstices.

It is of interest if C, N, and F behave similar to O. The
smaller C and N atoms prefer the (o) interstice in bcc W,
while O and F take the (t) interstice (see Table II). In the A15
structure the Th1, Tr2, and Tr3 positions are unstable for C,
N, and F just as for O. All four solutes appear to take the Tr4-
position, but in fact C deviates. C fits into an irregular tetra-
hedron formed by sites 2, 4, 5, and 7 (see Fig. 1) and prefers
that position by 59 meV over Tr4. In contrast to N, O, and F,
C does not have a strong preference for A15 over bcc so that
it does not appear to be a \(\beta\)-W former. There is a report to
the contrary,\(^{22}\) but the material might have been contami-
nated with O and N. N and F show strong similarities with
O: N and F prefer the same position and have only slightly
weaker \(\beta\)-W forming tendency than O. Beyond about 10\% N
or 11\% F \(\beta\)-W is computed to be more stable than bcc-W.

A general analysis for interstitials in tcp phases is pre-
Table II. Excess enthalpies of C, N, and F in W.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\alpha, \rho)</th>
<th>(H_{\alpha, \rho}^{e}) eV/cell</th>
<th>(\Delta H_{\alpha, \rho}^{e}) eV/X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bcc W(_{64})C(^{(o)})</td>
<td>−704.959</td>
<td>−7.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcc W(_{64})C(^{(t)})</td>
<td>−703.473</td>
<td>−5.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{48})C(</em>{16})</td>
<td>−694.599</td>
<td>−4.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(_{64})C(^{(Th2)})</td>
<td>−828.262(^{a})</td>
<td>−6.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})C(</em>{Th3})</td>
<td>−827.437(^{a})</td>
<td>−5.840 (^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})C(</em>{Th1})</td>
<td>−826.454(^{a})</td>
<td>−4.857 (^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})C(</em>{Th4})</td>
<td>−828.967</td>
<td>−7.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcc W(_{64})N(^{(o)})</td>
<td>−704.683</td>
<td>−6.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcc W(_{64})N(^{(t)})</td>
<td>−703.979</td>
<td>−6.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})N(</em>{16})</td>
<td>−676.016</td>
<td>−3.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(_{64})N(^{(Th2)})</td>
<td>−828.417</td>
<td>−6.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})N(</em>{Th3})</td>
<td>−827.475(^{a})</td>
<td>−5.878 (^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})N(</em>{Th1})</td>
<td>−827.066(^{a})</td>
<td>−5.469 (^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})N(</em>{Th4})</td>
<td>−829.416</td>
<td>−7.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcc W(_{64})F(^{(o)})</td>
<td>−696.250</td>
<td>1.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcc W(_{64})F(^{(t)})</td>
<td>−696.834</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})F(</em>{16})</td>
<td>−603.792</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})F(</em>{Th2})</td>
<td>−821.331</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})F(</em>{Th3})</td>
<td>−820.733(^{a})</td>
<td>0.864 (^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})F(</em>{Th1})</td>
<td>−820.034(^{a})</td>
<td>1.563 (^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 W(<em>{64})F(</em>{Th4})</td>
<td>−821.472</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Th3 and Tr1 are saddle-point configurations.

The fact that interstitials in \(\beta\)-W need to hop between various tetrahedral and bipyramid positions which are more than 1 eV apart in energy, but all guarantees that activation energies for diffusion in \(\beta\)-W must be higher than in bcc-W. This might explain the apparent stability, or sluggish reaction kinetics, observed in rather defect-free \(\beta\)-W nanowires.\(^{41,43}\)
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