Sodium ion ordering in Na$_x$CoO$_2$: Electron diffraction study
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INTRODUCTION

Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$, with a crystal structure consisting of hexagonal layers of Na ions sandwiched between planes of edge shared CoO$_6$ octahedra, has been the subject of extensive study in the past 20 years due to the high mobility of the Na ions. With both high ion mobility and good electronic conductivity, it has been considered as a cathode material in high-energy density, reversible solid state battery systems.\(^1\)\(^3\)

Recent interest has focused on the characterization and understanding of the surprisingly high thermoelectric power of the metallic conductor Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$.\(^4\)\(^5\) a composition in the range of the thermodynamically stable sodium stoichiometries for Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$, 0.65 < x < 0.75. The transport and magnetic properties of Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$ are strongly dependent on the number of charge carriers introduced by deviations from stoichiometry in the Na sublattice, and the overall range of Na contents possible in Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$ has been reported to be 0.3 < x < 0.75 (see, e.g., Ref. 6), with the lower Na contents obtained by room-temperature deintercalation of sodium from Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$. It has been shown\(^7\) that Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$ exhibits metallic behavior for a wide range of both high and low x, but that for x = 1/2 an insulating state exists. Foo et al.\(^7\) have suggested that this insulating behavior is based on strong coupling between the electronic charge carriers (holes) and the Na ions. Interest has also recently increased in Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$ due to the discovery of superconductivity for the x=0.35 composition when it is intercalated with water,\(^6\) leading to the composition Na$_{0.35}$CoO$_2$·1.3H$_2$O.

Several structure determinations of Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$ have been reported.\(^8\)\(^\text{1}^1\)\(^1\)\(^1\) All reported structures are based on the stacking of triangular O-Co-O layers with Na planes. The Co atoms are in edge-sharing CoO$_6$ octahedra. The Na$^+$ ions have been shown to have both trivalent prismatic coordination and octahedral coordination with oxygen\(^1\) between the O-Co-O layers. Prismatic coordination occurs when the close packed oxygen planes directly adjacent to the Na plane have the same projection into the basal plane (A-Na-A), whereas octahedral coordination of Na occurs when the directly adjacent oxygen planes have different projections (A-Na-B) into the basal plane. The various reported stacking sequences of the oxygen atoms are given in Table I. In all cases, only the average structure has been reported: no superstructure due to ordering of Na and Na vacancies has been mentioned.

Apart from the influence of x on the Co$^{3+}$/Co$^{4+}$ ratio (i.e., for the hypothetical composition x=0 all Co$^{4+}$ would be found, and for hypothetical x=1, all Co$^{3+}$ would be found) and through that on the physical properties, the presence and type of ordering of the Na ions over the available sites is important for the physical properties of the material, since at certain compositions the lock-in of stable sodium ordered superstructures is expected to influence the properties. As interest in the properties of Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$ increases, it is important to characterize possible Na ion ordering and the resulting structural modulation as precisely as possible. In this paper, we present electron diffraction proof of the existence of sodium ordered superstructures over a wide range of so-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>Stacking</th>
<th>Cell parameters in nm</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>O(Na$_1$)</th>
<th>O(Na$_2$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>A/AB/B</td>
<td>a=0.284, c=1.081</td>
<td>P6$_3$/mmc</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>A/BC/AB/C</td>
<td>a=0.490, b=0.283, c=0.572, $\beta=105.96^\circ$</td>
<td>C2/m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>A/AB/B</td>
<td>a=0.283, c=1.089</td>
<td>P6$_3$/mmc</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>A/AB/BC/C</td>
<td>a=0.283, c=1.653</td>
<td>R3m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
dium contents in Na$_{x}$CoO$_2$, and present structural models for that ordering.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$ was made by solid state synthesis. Stoichiometric amounts of Na$_2$Co$_3$ and Co$_3$O$_4$ corresponding to Na$_{0.77}$CoO$_2$ were mixed and heated to 800°C under flowing O$_2$. Due to volatility of Na, the final Na composition is slightly lower than the starting composition. The lower sodium content samples were prepared by room-temperature deintercalation reactions. Long reaction times (generally four days) were employed to assure uniformity of the sodium contents. The sample of Na$_{0.35}$CoO$_2$ and Na$_{0.30}$CoO$_2$ were prepared by stirring Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$ (0.5 g) in 20 ml of a 5× or 40× Br$_2$ solution in dry acetonitrile for four days. (*"1×"* indicates that the amount of Br$_2$ used is exactly the amount that would theoretically be needed to remove all of the sodium from Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$.) The product was washed copiously with acetonitrile and dried under dry Ar. To prepare Na$_{0.3}$CoO$_2$, Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$ (0.4 g) was stirred in 60 ml of a 10× solution of I$_2$ in acetonitrile for four days. The product was then washed copiously with acetonitrile and then air dried. Na$_{0.64}$CoO$_2$ was prepared by stirring Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$ (0.5 g) in 20 ml of a 0.5× solution of I$_2$ in acetonitrile for four days. The product was then washed copiously with acetonitrile and then air dried. Na$_{0.15}$CoO$_2$ was prepared by stirring Na$_{0.7}$CoO$_2$ (0.15 g) with 1 molar equivalent of NO$_2$PF$_6$ in dry acetonitrile under Ar atmosphere for two days with magnetic stirring. The product was then washed with dry acetonitrile and dried under Ar atmosphere. The sodium contents of all samples were determined by multiple independent composition measurements by the ICP-AES method, and are considered to be reliable to ±0.02/formula unit precision.

Electron microscopy was performed on all samples described above. Electron transparent areas were mainly obtained by very gently crushing the specimen under hexane, depositing a few droplets on a holey carbon Cu grid, and insertion of the specimen in the microscope within 1 min to reduce the possibility of degradation by exposure to air. Also, conventional ion milling and focused ion beam preparation were applied, but too many artifacts were obtained with these methods. Electron diffraction experiments were performed with Philips CM300UT and CM200ST electron microscopes, both equipped with a field emission gun and EDX element analysis equipment. Nanodiffraction was performed using a condenser aperture of 10 μm and an electron probe size of 10–30 nm in diameter. To be sure that there was no very rapid damage of the specimen by the electron beam, the first examination was done with a low beam intensity, with the beam spread over a large area, and it was checked whether changes occurred if the beam was then more focused. Alternatively the beam was positioned on a part of the crystal and then shifted to an unexposed area while monitoring changes in diffraction mode. No rapid changes (within 30 s) were observed for normal electron doses. The specimen cooling experiments were performed with a Gatan He cooling holder for operation at about 20 K and a homemade holder for operation at about 100 K. Approximate temperatures are given because in such an apparatus the temperature is not measured on the crystal that is in the electron beam. EDX analysis was done with a spot size of 200–5000 nm on about 20 grains that were first selected with electron diffraction based on single crystallinity to ensure that they are single phase. In all samples the variation in Na/Co ratio was negligible within the accuracy (1–2%) of the measurement. EDX measurements with a small spot size (10–30 nm) within given crystals indicated that the variation in Na/Co ratio is also within the accuracy. This indicates that the control over the composition by deintercalation over a period of several days, as used in our experiments, is high.

**RESULTS**

Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$. Na$_{x}$CoO$_2$ with $x=0.75$ shows a superstructure (see Fig. 1). The superstructure reflections can be described by an incommensurate vector $q$, which is oriented along [110] with a length of 0.135[110]. In real space, $1/q = Q$ has a length of 7.4$d$[110]. Because of twinning, this incommensurate superstructure occurs in most diffraction patterns in three directions [see Fig. 1(b)], which complicates the diffraction patterns considerably. Typically one observes the first- and second-order superstructure reflections only, the latter being much weaker.

Na$_{0.64}$CoO$_2$. The Na$_{x}$CoO$_2$ compound with $x=0.64$ shows a superstructure in most areas and crystals. Figure 2 shows a typical diffraction pattern. The superstructure can be described with two incommensurate vectors $q_1$ and $q_2$ of equal length and having an angle of 3.6° with the [110] and [1210] directions, as indicated in Fig. 2(a). The superstructure reflections are stronger than those of the $x=0.75$ material, but are weaker than those of the $x=0.5$ compound. Two types of diffraction patterns [see Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)] are observed, both with the same incommensurate superstructure reflections, in one, extra incommensurate superstructure reflections are observed at the $h+1/2,k+1/2,0$ positions, whereas in the other, these reflections are absent. Irradiation with the electron beam [see Fig. 2(c)] results in a reduction in the intensities of these sets of superstructure reflections. The incommensurate superstructure reflections fade quickest. The
incommensurate modulation vector \( \mathbf{Q} \) increases in length during the fading.

\( \text{Na}_{0.55}\text{CoO}_2 \). The \( \text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \) sample with \( x=0.55 \) generally shows no superstructure. A few crystals show the diffraction pattern which is common for the \( x=0.5 \) compound. One might anticipate for the \( (x=0.5) \)-like superstructure a \( \mathbf{q} \) slightly smaller than 0.25[110] due to a sodium composition slightly larger than \( x=0.5 \). However, this was not observed. Also in crystals showing no superstructure, electron beam irradiation could not induce a superstructure. On the other hand, starting with crystal areas showing the \( x=0.5 \) superstructure, a gradual change in \( \mathbf{q} \) was observed similar to that of the pure \( x=0.5 \) specimen.

\( \text{Na}_{0.35}\text{CoO}_2 \). The \( \text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \) compound with \( x=0.5 \) shows a strong superstructure in all investigated areas. Figure 3 shows typical [001] diffraction patterns. Figure 3(a) is taken with only a relatively low exposure to the electron beam. The superstructure reflections can be described by a commensurate \( \mathbf{q} \), oriented along [110] and having a length of 0.25[110]. Since this is a commensurate superstructure, it can also be described with a three-dimensional unit cell, which is orthorhombic with \( a_0/2d_0, b_0=2a_p, c_0=c_p \). The \( h00 \) reflections with \( h \neq 2n \) are absent in diffraction patterns of tilted crystals oriented such that all reflections except for the \( h00 \) reflections are weak. This indicates a \( 2\bar{1} \) symmetry along the \( c \) axis. Figure 3(b) is an example of an electron diffraction pattern observed after exposure to an electron dose of \( 10^6 \) electrons per \( \AA^2 \). The superstructure is obviously altered by the electron beam, resulting in a small change in \( \mathbf{q} \) to \( (0.25-\varphi)[110] \), where \( \varphi \) depends on the degree of irradiation, and varies from 0 to 0.01.

At about 100 K we observed a more complicated diffraction pattern in a few areas, indicating a tripling along the \( a \) and \( b \) axes of the orthorhombic cell. An example is shown in Fig. 3(c). It is possible that this is a structural distortion associated with observed changes in the transport and magnetic properties near 88 K. Because this triped unit cell could be the local onset of a phase transformation at lower temperature, we also performed experiments at about 20 K. No tripped or other additional superstructure was observed.

In another sample with \( x=0.5 \), we also observed the \( q=0.24 \) superstructure immediately, and irradiation by the electron beam did change the modulation length only slightly, but the decay in the intensity of the superstructure reflections was similar to those of the \( q=0.25 \) areas.

EDX element analysis showed that the Na and O content of the specimen decreases with electron beam illumination, even when no deviation from the \( q=0.25 \) superstructure was visible, which indicated the formation of randomly ordered defects. The \( q<0.25 \) superstructure was visible at various Na losses. The superstructure reflections became very faint for Na losses of 15–25%. The Na/O ratio remained rather unchanged during the Na/O loss. We note that neutron powder diffraction\(^{13} \) on \( \text{Na}_{0.5}\text{CoO}_2 \) indicates that the O/Co ratio is 2.

\( \text{Na}_{0.35}\text{CoO}_2 \). The \( \text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \) sample with \( x=0.35 \) generally shows no superstructure. In those cases where a weak superstructure was observed, the diffraction pattern looked similar to that of the \( \text{Na}_{0.15}\text{CoO}_2 \) specimen, shown in Fig. 5, except for that the superstructure reflections were much weaker for \( \text{Na}_{0.35}\text{CoO}_2 \).
FIG. 4. [001] diffraction pattern of Na$_{0.3}$CoO$_2$ showing a superstructure for $x=0.3$. White arrows in (b) indicate the first and second order superstructure reflections of the central spot and the 110 spot.

Na$_{0.3}$CoO$_2$. The Na$_{0.3}$CoO$_2$ compound with $x=0.3$ generally shows a weak superstructure. The superstructure is shown in Fig. 4. The superstructure reflections can be described by an incommensurate vector $\mathbf{q}$, oriented along [110] and having a length of 0.31[110].

Na$_{0.15}$CoO$_2$. The Na$_{0.15}$CoO$_2$ compound with $x=0.15$ shows a strong superstructure in all investigated areas. Figure 5 shows a typical diffraction pattern. The superstructure can be described with an $a\sqrt{3},a\sqrt{3}$ superstructure as indicated in Fig. 5. Also in this case irradiation by the electron beam leads to a change: The intensities of the $a\sqrt{3},a\sqrt{3}$ superstructure reflections become gradually weaker and diffuse diffraction spots start to appear at the positions with a doubled unit cell ($2a,2a$).

**DISCUSSION**

We have observed, based on [001] diffraction patterns, a wide range of superstructures for Na$_{0.15}$CoO$_2$, depending on $x$.

They are summarized in Table II. The intensity of the superstructure reflections depends on the composition. For the $x=0.5$ compound the superstructure is strong, indicating the presence of a well ordered superlattice structure. In those specimens for which the superstructure reflections are generally weak, there are also areas and crystals with no superstructure reflections present. This indicates only a weak tendency to form superstructures at those compositions. Nevertheless, the superstructure reflections are very sharp and lose intensity with increasing scattering angle in the same way as the main reflections, indicating that the superstructure ordering is long range both in the basal plane and along the $c$ axis. Annealing at 200–400° C did increase the superstructure ordering observed for some compositions, but, for low $x$, such annealing leads to a collapse of the lattice due to the chemical metastability of the compounds with low $x$. Thus it should be pointed out that it may be possible to increase the degree of superstructure ordering for compositions other than $x=0.5$, which is well ordered under our conditions, if annealing conditions can be found that maintain the stability of the phase at various $x$ values.

**TABLE II.** Observed superstructures and the changes in the superstructures upon electron beam irradiation for various compounds Na$_{0.15}$CoO$_2$. Also the expected composition based on the modulation model described in the text is given, based on the (in)commensurate repeat in $d(110)$ spacings $w$ and an integer $n$, being the number of vacancy lines of Na lines in a single repeat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Observed superstructures</th>
<th>Times $n$ for closest this $n$</th>
<th>$d(110)$</th>
<th>$w$</th>
<th>Effect of electron beam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.04 [110]</td>
<td>7.4 $2^a$</td>
<td>$2^a$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>no change in $Q$, fading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.75°–0.8° $2^a$ from [110]</td>
<td>$5.5$ $2^a$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td>increase in $Q$, fading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>most crystals no superstructure, some crystals ($x=0.5$)-type superstructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>not checked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.563 [110]</td>
<td>4 $2^a$</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>$Q$ unchanged, fading, extra $2a,2a$ ss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>almost no superstructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.471 [110]</td>
<td>3.3 $1^b$</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>no change in $Q$, fading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.423($a\sqrt{3},a\sqrt{3}$) [110]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a x=(w−n)/w$ because of single vacancy lines.

$^b x=n/w$ because of single Na lines.
The superstructures obviously originate in the Na ion-vacancy ordering. In this respect it should be noted that the Na plane in the basic hexagonal structure has two types of Na sites, both of which are only partly occupied. Both Na sites have a prismatic sixfold coordination of O. One Na atom (Na1) is located directly above the Co and the other (Na2) is located at the other prismatic position, above the centers of the Co triangles. The relative occupancy of these two sites seems to depend on the composition, since for the \( x=0.74 \) compound Na1 and Na2 occupancies of 0.23 and 0.51 are reported and for the \( x=0.61 \) compound Na1 and Na2 occupancies of 0.17 and 0.44. The reported occupancies suggest a preference for the Na2 site.

The superstructures observed show no immediately obvious relation when compared to each other or with the Na composition. A direct relation might be obscured by the presence of twinning. For this it is useful to consider the twinning in Na\(_{0.5}\)CoO\(_2\). The \( x=0.5 \) compound shows the strongest superstructure reflections, and—provided a small semblance that of Na\(_{0.5}\)CoO\(_2\) but with a different periodicity. In the first case, the superstructure will resemble in two directions, for the other diffraction patterns as well. In the first case, the superstructure will resemble that of a commensurate vector \( q \) along the [110] direction in the case of a low electron dose and a related incommensurate vector in the case of a longer exposure to the electron beam. When the diffraction area is more than 100 nm in diameter, in general a superposition of three different diffraction patterns is observed, indicating that the twin domains are still small.

Since twinning is likely to occur, and is even more likely for the superstructures showing weaker superstructure reflections, we have to consider the possibilities of either a superstructure in just one direction with very strong twinning, or a superstructure in two directions, for the other diffraction patterns as well. In the first case, the superstructure will resemble that of Na\(_{0.5}\)CoO\(_2\) but with a different periodicity. In the second case, the superstructure is of a different type, but might be based on the same principle.

One possible mechanism for all observed superstructures might be a distribution of the Na over the available Na sites such that the Na atoms are spaced as far apart as possible. Note that the reported average structures show that Na is fixed to two positions, governed by the space between the two adjacent O planes. The Na-Co interaction might favor occupancy of the Na2 site, but this interaction is relatively weak because of the screening of the O anions. A mechanism based on a maximum distance between the Na atoms (and also between the Na atoms and the Co atoms) would, however, suggest strong superstructure ordering for \( x=1/3 \) and \( x=2/3 \) with an \( a\sqrt{3}b\sqrt{3} \) superstructure, for which only one of the two Na sites is partially occupied. The absence of superstructure reflections for the \( x=1/3 \) [001] zones used in our analysis might suggest that no ordering exists for these compositions, but this may also be due to a disordered stacking along the \( c \) axis, as is discussed for the \( x=1/3 \) model below. Structure refinements of the average structure reported in the literature show a partial occupancy of both Na sites, however. Thus another possible guiding principle, at least for some compositions, could be the dominance of a certain characteristic distribution of Na over the two available sites. This might be governed by the interaction of the Na positions with the distribution of Co\(^{3+}\) and Co\(^{4+}\) ions. In any model, the stacking of the Na planes along the \( c \) axis has to be considered. They could either be “in phase” or “in antiphase” in neighboring planes. In the latter case the Na ions in adjacent planes are positioned as far away as possible from the first Na plane.

Figure 6 gives a number of models and calculated diffraction patterns for these models. The dynamic diffraction calculations were done using MAC TEMPAS software\(^{14}\) for a specimen thickness of 10 nm. A likely model for the Na plane for the \( x=0.5 \) compound is given in Fig. 6(c). This model is consistent with the observed \( 2\) \( a \) axis symmetry along the \( a \) axis. The model is also consistent with the mechanism of maintaining the largest distance possible between the Na atoms, given the availability and observed occupancies of two types of Na sites. One out of four Na1 as well as one out of four Na2 sites are occupied. This distribution of the Na atoms leads to an orthorhombic superstructure with \( a=2a_0, b=a_0\sqrt{3} \). In order to realize the \( 2\) \( a \) symmetry, the adjacent Na plane has to be as indicated in Fig. 6(c). Along the \( a \) axis, lines of filled Na1 or Na2 sites parallel the \( b \) axis are in sequence with lines of vacancies. A change in Na composition can be accommodated easily by increasing the number of adjacent lines of vacancies or lines of Na. For instance, with one Na line and two vacancy lines, \( x \) would be 1/3 [see Fig. 6(d)], whereas by a regular repeat of two different widths of the Na lines a much larger \( Q \) or an incommensurate occupancy modulation can be obtained. For a given incommensurate modulation being \( w \) times as large as the \( d(110) \) spacing, the occupancies will be \( (w-n)/w \) for single vacancy lines and \( n/w \) for single Na lines, where \( n \) is an integer. As can be seen from Table II, the observed \( x \) and \( q \) values can be well fitted for a chosen value of \( n \). Note that in this model, the guiding principle is the presence of ordered lines of filled and occupied Na sites rather than the maximized spacing of the Na atoms. No model for the \( x=0.64 \) structure is given because this structure must be more complicated due to the deviation of \( Q \) from the [110] direction. Also, the absence of diffraction patterns of this composition with a single modulation direction even for spot sizes as small as about 5 nm, could indicate either a very strong twinning of the Na/vacancy lines along the \( c \) axis (rotating by \( \pm 120^\circ \) between adjacent layers), or a real two dimensional modulation in the \( a-b \) plane.

The unit cell of the superstructure is determined by the width of the repeat of the lines of vacancies and Na. The “choice” of occupying the Na1 or Na2 position in the Na lines and the stacking of the ordering in the Na planes will determine the space group and the intensities of the superstructure reflections. The variation in the intensities of the superstructure reflections for various values of \( x \), as well as differences in the systematic absences for one value of \( x \), all indicate that the ordering along the \( c \) axis is variable. This variation will be in the relative positions of the Na/vacancy lines on adjacent layers, but also can be that the directions of the Na/vacancy lines are different in adjacent layers.

Models for the stackings along the \( c \) axes of the various superstructures (except for the \( x=0.64 \) specimen) are given in Fig. 6. The models are based on the reported occupancies of the Na1 and Na2 sites for some compositions (see Table I), the modulations observed, and a distribution of the Na
FIG. 6. Models for the Na planes of Na$_x$CoO$_2$ with $x$ being 0.75, 0.71, 0.5, 0.33, 0.30, and 0.15. Both the Na planes on $z=0$ and $z=1/2$ are given. Occupied Na positions are given as black dots and Na vacancies by open circles. $X$ indicates the positions of the underlying Co atoms. On the right of each model it is indicated whether the Na atoms are at a Na1 or a Na2 site. The rows of Na atoms perpendicular to the modulation direction are indicated with arrows under each model (dashed lines indicate that only one of the lines on $z=0$ and $z=1/2$ is occupied) and the modulation frequency is given above each model. The basic hexagonal unit cell is indicated by dotted lines, the observed unit cell by full lines and possible repeat in individual Na planes by dashed lines. Calculated diffraction patterns for a thickness of 10 nm and 200 kV are given below the models (that of $x=0.33$ is not given because a disorder along the $c$ axis leads to the absence of a superstructure).
atoms in the Na planes, in which the Na-Na distances are as large as possible given that only the Na1 and Na2 sites are occupied.

With \( x \) ranging from 0 to 1, the valence of Co varies from 4+ to 3+. It is likely that the distribution of Co\(^{3+}/Co^{4+}\) and Na\(^+/\Box\), where \( \Box \) is a vacancy, are coupled. The most direct coupling would be by occupying the Na1 sites only and having Na1-Co\(^{3+}\) and \( \Box-\)Co\(^{4+}\) strings along the \( c \) axis. But the Na1 is the less preferred site. The sample with \( x=0.35 \) shows no superstructure. This could be due to the absence of any ordering in the Na planes, which would be rather remarkable, given the ordering for all other compositions. More likely is that there is a disordering in the stacking of ordered Na planes along the \( c \) axis. This model for composition \( x=1/3 \) is given in Fig. 6(d). If the occupied Na sites in neighboring Na planes are shifted at random over 1/3, 2/3 or 2/3, 1/3 of the superstructure cell, on average, no superstructure will be visible in [001] diffraction patterns. Note that although one can have still threefold symmetry in one Na plane, the combination of two adjacent Na planes no longer has threefold symmetry. Note also that three equivalent positions for the Na atoms in the adjacent Na plane can be chosen. Continuing this freedom to the next Na plane, the presence of a strong disorder along the \( c \) axis is quite logical.

A similar effect can explain the \( a\sqrt{3},a\sqrt{3} \) superstructure observed for \( x=0.15 \). An ordering in the Na planes will create a \( 3a,3a \) supercell for which \( x=0.11 \) [see Fig. 6(f)]. By introduction of an ordering scheme such that in a given Na plane the Na atoms are in the middle of the projections of three Na atoms of the neighboring plane (requiring a shift of 1/3, 2/3 or 2/3, 1/3) and a random “choice” for either of these two possibilities, the [001] diffraction patterns will show the \( a\sqrt{3},a\sqrt{3} \) superstructure instead of a \( 3a, 3a \) one.

The uncertainty in the stacking along the \( c \) axis can in principle be easily solved using electron diffraction or high resolution electron microscopy information from specimens with the \( c \) axis in the imaging plane ([hk0] zones). Unfortunately all these materials are platelike, allowing for the examination of very few thin areas with this orientation. In such areas we observed diffraction patterns with diffuse streaks along the \( c^* \) direction, which could be real, but we think they are due to the fact that these areas are very close to the edge of the specimen. Indeed, nanodiffraction experiments on [001] oriented crystals show the absence of superstructure reflections in the areas close to the edges. Ion milling and cutting with a focused ion beam have the disadvantage that the ion beam can result in changes in the superstructure, an example of which is shown in Fig. 7. Ultramicrotomy is planned to obtain [hk0] diffraction information from the interior of the grains.

The superstructure can be changed by the electron beam. EDX elements analysis shows that this change is accompanied by changes in both the Na and the O content. The changes in the superstructures are (1) a gradual loss in intensity of the superstructure reflections, (2) a change in the length of the modulation vector for some compositions, and (3) the appearance of extra reflections that are quite diffuse. In all cases it was not possible to change the superstructure by irradiation into one observed for specimens with a lower

FIG. 7. [110] electron diffraction pattern of a thin specimen of Na0.75CoO2 prepared by cutting with a focused ion beam. A large number of extra reflections are present, which are probably artifacts due to modifications by the ion beam.

Na content. In the model proposed above, the increase of the modulation vector upon irradiation requires an increase of the width of the vacancy lines, since an increase of the width of the Na lines is not possible (this would lead to an increase of the Na content). Indeed dynamic diffraction calculations for the model of Na0.5CoO2 for the model given in Fig. 6 and an incommensurate model based on the insertion of an extra vacancy plane, give a reasonable fit with the observed electron diffraction patterns (see Fig. 8). The trend of an increase in \( Q \) with irradiation is rather logical for \( x<0.5 \), but for \( x>0.5 \) one would expect a reduction of the modulation vector due to the reduction of the width of the Na lines.

The \( x=0.5 \) samples show an insulating behavior, whereas the other compositions all show a metallic behavior. Compared to the other compositions, the \( x=0.5 \) samples have two structural features that are different: (a) the superstructure

FIG. 8. Calculated diffraction patterns for specimen thicknesses of 5 nm and for the models (Na0.5CoO2 and Na0.33CoO2) for the Na positions given above the diffraction patterns. (a) and (b) show the diffraction patterns for the commensurate and incommensurate modulations, respectively. The vacancy lines are indicated in the models. For the incommensurate modulation, one extra vacancy line is present, associated also with a shift over 1/2b of the Na lattice, as indicated by the arrow.
reflections are stronger for the $x=0.5$ phase and (b) the $x=0.5$ phase has two distinctly different Co sites in the required ratio of 1 to 1, whereas the others (except for $x=1/3$) have more than two positions and in ratios that do not match the $\text{Co}^{3+}/\text{Co}^{4+}$ ratio. Both differences are likely coupled and must be the cause of or at least essential to the insulating properties, and that a “better” ordering for the other compositions might make those compounds insulating as well.

The change in the Na content and the lengths of the modulation vectors upon irradiation by the electron beam indicate a high mobility of the Na atoms. This suggests that, although the sample preparation is different for the various specimens, the mobility of the Na atoms during the removal of the Na by the oxidizing agents is large enough that the formation of well ordered superstructures would occur if it were favored. Thus for compositions other than $x=0.5$, the driving force to a well ordered Na ordered superstructure must be very small. Possibly thermal annealing of the various compounds can increase the ordering of the $x \neq 0.5$ phases, however, both the transport measurements and the present results suggest that the high degree of ordering at $x=0.5$ may be a consequence of coupling of the Na positions to a charge ordered state of the Co$^{3+}$ and Co$^{4+}$ at a ratio of 1:1 in the CoO$_2$ plane.

CONCLUSIONS

The study of the Na$_x$CoO$_2$ compounds by electron diffraction has revealed a surprisingly complex variation in Na ion ordering across the phase diagram. The Na ion positions in the ordered structures are not apparently determined solely by maximizing distances between neighboring ions within the plane, but also likely by interactions with the underlying Co lattice. At our present level of understanding, the Na ion ordering scheme in the layers does not seem to effect the electrical properties in large regions of the phase diagram, except for the composition Na$_{0.5}$CoO$_2$. However, the ordering may eventually be proven to influence the properties at other composition as well, where the superlattice is well developed and there are unusual properties. One such composition may be Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$, where we have observed a well developed superlattice and there is magnetic ordering at low temperatures.\textsuperscript{15-17} Our electron microsopy study, coupled with previous measurements of the physical properties, indicate that the triangular lattice conductor Na$_x$CoO$_2$ ors a wide range of possible structure-property relationships with a complexity potentially equivalent to the square-lattice-based perovskites. Our results further suggest that changes in physical properties at a single Na content depending on whether the Na sublattice is ordered or not may eventually be observed, when schemes for ordering the Na at different compositions are developed fully. The possibility of obtaining single crystals for many of the compositions in the Na$_x$CoO$_2$ family suggests that detailed work will shed much light on the electronic and structural properties of this type of conducting layered lattice.
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