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A B S T R A C T
This paper reports on an experimental study of mixed convection flow and heat transfer in a vented, differentially side-heated cubical cavity filled with a porous medium consisting of relatively large solid low-conductivity spheres. Rayleigh numbers and Reynolds numbers are varied over the ranges $6 \times 10^6 < Ra < 7 \times 10^7$ and $240 < Re < 4250$, respectively, for a fixed Prandtl number of $Pr = 6.75$, thus covering more than three decades in Richardson numbers $\text{RI} = Ra/(Re^2 Pr)$. Heat transfer measurements were combined with measurements of the velocity field (using particle image velocimetry) and the temperature field (using liquid crystal thermography) to better understand the dependence of the Nusselt number, Nu, on the Richardson number. We observed three different flow and heat transfer regimes depending on the Richardson number. For $\text{RI} < 10$, the flow structure and the Nusselt number scaling are similar to those for the pure forced convection, i.e., the Nusselt number scales as $Nu \sim Re^{0.61}$ independent of Rayleigh number. For $\text{RI} > 40$, natural convection dominates the flow in the vicinity of the heating wall. The Nusselt number becomes less sensitive to the Reynolds number and is mainly determined by the Rayleigh number. In the intermediate regime for $10 < \text{RI} < 40$, the upward directed natural convection flow at the heating wall competes with the downward directed forced flow leading to a minimum effective Nusselt number. A Nusselt number correlation is derived that is valid in the range $0.1 < \text{RI} < 100$ covering all three regimes.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.
This is an open access article under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Natural convection in closed cavities has been among the most widely studied topics in fluid dynamics due to its simple geometry and relevance to many engineering applications. Natural convection is characterized by the Rayleigh number, $Ra$, which measures the strength of the buoyancy forces relative to diffusive forces, and the Prandtl number, $Pr$, which is the ratio of the kinematic viscosity to the thermal diffusivity of the working fluid. When inlet and outlet vents are added to such a cavity, forced convection is introduced to the system as well, and, as a consequence, the Reynolds number, $Re$, also plays a role. Mixed convection is a result of the interaction between natural and forced convection and their relative strength is measured by the Richardson number $\text{RI} = Ra/(Re^2 Pr)$. Mixed convection in vented cavities has attracted extensive attention during the past decades due to its application in, for example, electronics cooling [1,2], indoor air conditioning [3–5], solar ponds [6], and energy storage systems [7]. Various vented mixed convection configurations with different heating/cooling sources and inlet/outlet locations have been studied and the results have been reported in the form of flow and temperature fields and dimensionless heat transfer coefficients (i.e., Nusselt numbers, $Nu$) for a range of Rayleigh and Reynolds numbers [2–4, 8–16]. These studies are almost exclusively numerical studies solving the Navier-Stokes equations for two-dimensional geometries. Singh and Sharif [9] conducted a numerical study of a vented cavity with differentially heated side walls. They examined six different configurations of inlet and outlet locations and determined Nusselt numbers and spatially-averaged temperatures for Reynolds numbers from 50 to 500 and Richardson numbers from 0 to 10. They concluded that the configurations in which forced and natural convection assist each other produce more effective cooling. Deng et al. [4] numerically studied airflow and heat/contaminant transport structures in a vented cavity with discrete heat and contamination sources. They observed different transport structures and ventilation modes de-
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flow was directed along the hot/cold wall with inlet and outlet vents at the top and bottom of the wall. They found that the flow pattern can change from a unicellular flow to a multicellular flow depending on the inlet width ratio, Peclet number, and Rayleigh number. Bhuiyan et al. [18] performed a similar study but considered three different cavity aspect ratios. Other researchers have studied vented cavities partially filled with porous media [19,20]. These studies used the Darcy model and its extensions, and solved volume-averaged continuum equations for the fluid and solid constituents.

In many of the above-mentioned applications of mixed convection in vented cavities, the porous medium consists of relatively large grains/obstacles. An example is the mixed convection at the hearth of steelmaking blast furnaces where the liquid iron is collected and tapped off [21]. The hearth is filled with relatively large coke particles with a typical size up to approximately 100 mm. With the hot liquid iron flowing in from the top, and leaving from the exit taphole, while the walls of the hearth are being cooled, the flow of liquid iron in the hearth is a mixed convection flow in a coarse-grained porous medium. Other examples include electronics cooling with relatively large electronic components [22] and cooling and storage of food packages and agricultural products [23]. In these cases, the pore length scale is not small compared to the flow and thermal length scales, and the validity of the Darcy model and the volume-averaged porous continuum approach is questionable. Moreover, for coarse porous media, it becomes more important to capture the details of the flow field and the temperature distribution at the pore-scale and to resolve the strong interaction between the flow and the solid grains. In this regard, a few of our recent studies have considered natural convection in closed cavities containing relatively large solid spheres at the pore level both experimentally [24,25] and numerically [26].

This study focuses on mixed convection in vented cavities filled with coarse-grained porous media. The objective is to provide detailed experimental data on the heat transfer scaling as a function of Reynolds and Rayleigh numbers. For this purpose, we used a cubical cavity with differentially heated side walls equipped with an inlet at the heating side and an outlet at the cooling side. The cavity is packed with relatively large solid spheres. To better understand the heat transfer results, we also determined the velocity fields and temperature fields in the pores by using optical measurement techniques in conjunction with a refractive index matched porous medium.

2. Experimental setup

The experimental setup consists of a cubical cavity with an inner dimension of \( L = 77 \) mm (see Fig. 1a). The cavity has one hot and one cold side wall which are made of copper and the other four walls are made of 4 mm thick glass. The hot wall was heated by an electrical foil heater (Minco HK5955) and the cold wall was internally cooled with water from a temperature-controlled water circulator (Julabo FP51-SL). To minimize the heat leakage, the glass walls and the heating wall were completely insulated during heat transfer measurements using thick polystyrene sheets (see Fig. 1a). The cavity is equipped with an inlet port at the heating wall and an outlet port at the cooling wall with each port having an internal size of \( h \times L = 10 \text{ mm} \times 77 \text{ mm} \). The remaining heating/cooling area is \( H \times L = 62 \text{ mm} \times 77 \text{ mm} \) (see Fig. 1a). Fig. 1b illustrates two different mixed convection configurations A and B, and a pure forced convection configuration C considered in this study. The main focus of this study is on configuration A in which the inlet port is at the top of the heating wall and the outlet port at the bottom of the cooling wall. For comparison, a few experiments were performed in configuration B which results from rotating the same cavity positioned in configuration A about the
x-axis. In configuration B, the inlet port is located at the bottom of the heating wall and the outlet port at the top of the cooling wall. To obtain pure forced convection data points, the same cavity was rotated about the y-axis such that the heating wall is at the top and the cooling wall is at the bottom, see configuration C in Fig. 1b. In this configuration, there is no natural convection and only forced convection takes place. In the heat transfer experiments, the cavity was filled with polypropylene spheres with a diameter $d = 15.3$ mm ($d/L = 0.20$) and solid-to-fluid conductivity ratio of $k_s/k_f = 0.32$. The spheres were packed in a Body-Centered Tetragonal (BCT) packing structure (see Fig. 1).

The temperature of the heating and cooling walls, $T_h$ and $T_c$, were monitored by four ultra-precise four-wire RTD sensors (Omega RTD-3–1PT100K2528-1M-T-1/10) with an inaccuracy of ±0.03 °C. The same type of RTD sensors were used to measure the inflow temperature $T_{in}$ and the outflow temperature $T_{out}$. The inflow and the cold wall were kept at the same temperature $T_{in} = T_c$. The Rayleigh number is determined using the temperature difference between the heating wall and the inflow/cooling wall as in

$$Ra = \frac{\beta (T_h - T_{in}) H^3}{\nu \alpha},$$

where $g$ is the gravitational acceleration and $\beta$, $\nu$ and $\alpha$ are the thermal expansion coefficient, the kinematic viscosity, and the thermal diffusivity of the working fluid, respectively. The Nusselt number is determined using the electrical power supplied to the heating wall $P$ as in

$$Nu = \frac{P - Q_{loss}}{kH(T_h - T_{in})},$$

where $k$ is the thermal conductivity of the working fluid. Water was used as the working fluid in all the experiments. $Q_{loss}$ is the minor heat loss and is determined by measuring the heat transfer in the water-filled vented cavity positioned as in configuration C (see Fig. 1b), with closed inflow and outflow. In this situation, both natural and forced convection are inactive and the fluid is stagnant so that only conduction occurs. The measured heat transfer minus the known conductive heat transfer through the stagnant water layer only is considered as the heat loss in this system. The water inflow into the cavity was supplied by a temperature-controlled water bath (Julabo F32-HE). A rotary vane pump (Fluid-o-Tech PA111) was used to provide a constant volumetric flowrate from the water bath into the cavity. A variable frequency drive (Hitachi L100) was used to vary the volumetric flowrate by regulating
the rotational speed of the pump motor. The volumetric flowrate was measured and monitored using a magnetic flowmeter (MAGVIEW MVM-002-Q). The Reynolds number is determined using the inflow volumetric flowrate \( V \) as in
\[
Re = \frac{u_{in} L}{v},
\]
where \( u_{in} = \frac{V}{(h \times L)} \) is the bulk velocity of the water inflow/outflow. Using the Rayleigh and Reynolds numbers, the Richardson number can be obtained from
\[
Ri = \frac{Ra}{PrRe^2},
\]
where \( Pr \) is the Prandtl number of the working fluid. For water, at room temperature (22 °C) the Prandtl number is 6.75. In Section 3.5, we study how the heat generated at the heating wall is distributed between the two heat removal pathways: the cooling wall, and the outflow. For this purpose, we introduce another dimensionless number which is the ratio of the heat leaving the cavity by the outflow, \( Q_{\text{flow}} \), and the total heat supplied to the hot wall, \( Q_{\text{total}} \), as in
\[
\frac{Q_{\text{flow}}}{Q_{\text{total}}} = \frac{\rho V C_p (T_{\text{out}} - T_{\text{in}})}{P},
\]
where \( \rho \) and \( c_p \) are the density and the specific heat capacity of the working fluid.

In this study, the Rayleigh number is varied over the range \( 6 \times 10^6 < Ra < 7 \times 10^7 \) by varying the temperature difference \( T_{\text{in}} - T_{\text{out}} \) between approximately 2 °C and 20 °C. The Reynolds number is varied over the range \( 240 < Re < 4250 \) by varying the incoming volumetric flowrate from \( 2.4 \times 10^{-6} \) m³/s to \( 4.2 \times 10^{-5} \) m³/s. Consequently, the Richardson number is varied over a wide range of three decades, i.e., \( 0.1 < Ri < 100 \). Depending on the set temperature difference \( T_{\text{in}} - T_{\text{out}} \) and the set flowrate \( V \), the uncertainties in the measured Reynolds, Rayleigh, and Nusselt numbers are between 1.7% and 3.6%, 1.8% and 3.9%, and 1.5% and 3.7%, respectively. The resulting uncertainty for the Richardson number is between 3.9% and 8.2%.

As discussed in [24], refractive index matching of water and hydrogel spheres enables the use of optical measurement techniques for thermally-driven flows in porous media. In this study, we used hydrogel spheres in water \( (k_h/k_h = 1) \) to make a refractive index matched porous medium to be able to perform Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) and Liquid Crystal Thermography (LCT) measurements. Hydrogel spheres with a diameter of approximately \( 15.3 \) mm \( (d/L = 0.20) \) were packed in a BCT structure. The PIV and LCT images were taken at the vertical plane \( y/L = 0.4 \), see Fig. 1.

Details of the PIV experimental setup are reported in [24]. The post-processing of PIV images was conducted using the commercial software Davis 8.4.0. A three-pass cross-correlation with final interrogation area of \( 16 \times 16 \) pixels and 50% overlap was used to obtain velocity fields with a vector resolution of 0.60 mm. The time difference between the PIV image pairs, \( \Delta t \), was varied from \( \Delta t = 3 \) ms at the highest flowrate to \( \Delta t = 30 \) ms at the lowest flowrate in order to have a maximum particle displacement of around 8 pixels. The mean velocity fields were obtained by averaging over 7200 instantaneous velocity fields that were acquired at 2 Hz. It was checked that, during the 1 hour duration of measuring the mean velocity fields, the hot and the cold wall temperatures varied by less than 1% of the lowest temperature difference and the liquid flowrate varied by less than 3% of the lowest flowrate.

The LCT measurements were performed to determine the temperature distribution at the pore level in the porous medium. The LCT experimental setup is described in detail in [24]. The useful temperature range of the thermochromic liquid crystals used in this study (Hallcrest R2560W) was determined to be from 21.6 °C to 31.1 °C. Accordingly, in the LCT experiments the cooling wall and the inflow temperatures were set to \( T_{\text{in}} = T_{\text{c}} = 21.6 \) °C, and the heating wall temperature was set to \( T_{\text{h}} = 31.1 \) °C which leads to a Rayleigh number of \( Ra = 3.5 \times 10^7 \). The color images of the thermochromic liquid crystals were finally converted to quantitative temperature fields based on a temperature-hue calibration procedure which is described in [24].

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Flow and heat transfer in the cavity with and without porous media

The Nusselt number was measured as a function of Reynolds number in the vented cavity with an inlet at the top of the heating wall and outlet at the bottom of the cooling wall (Configuration A, see Fig. 1b). The Nusselt numbers were measured both in the pure-fluid cavity without porous medium and in the same cavity filled with a porous medium consisting of a BCT packing of \( d/H = 0.2 \) spheres to identify the effect of the porous medium on the heat transfer. Fig. 2 shows the resulting Nu-Re data for two different Rayleigh numbers. It is observed that the heat transfer rate from the heating wall increases with Reynolds number in all cases. However, the slope of the Nu-Re curve is higher for the porous medium filled cavity. At low values of the Reynolds number, where natural convection dominates, the Nusselt number is higher for the pure-fluid cavity. This is consistent with what is reported for natural convection in side-heated cavities with and without porous media [25]. When increasing the Reynolds number, the Nusselt numbers for the porous medium filled cavity exceed those for the pure-fluid cavity. Fig. 3 shows that at low Reynolds number \( (Re = 280) \) in the porous medium filled cavity a portion of the cold inflow tends to move downward opposing the upward moving natural convection flow. However, in this case, the upward directed natural convection flow is sufficiently strong to prevent the cold inflow from reaching the hot wall. In this situation, hot fluid gets trapped in the region near the heating wall due to the presence of the spheres and the opposing inflow, resulting in a heat transfer rate lower than that for the pure-fluid cavity. However, as the Reynolds number increases, the forced convection gradually overcomes the natural convection such that a large portion of the cold inflow moves downward and comes in direct contact with the heating plate. This phenomenon causes a steep rise of Nusselt number with increasing Reynolds number. In contrast, in the pure-fluid cavity, the mechanism of heat removal and the flow pattern near the heating wall is qualitatively the same at...
low and high Reynolds numbers. The forced convection flow favors heat removal by aiding the natural convection flow, but the cold fluid never comes into direct contact with the heating wall. As the Reynolds number increases, the inflow moves more straight through the cavity and then impinges on the cold wall. The flow and heat transfer in similar configurations without porous media are discussed in more detail in [2,9,10].

3.2. Effect of Rayleigh and Reynolds numbers on flow and heat transfer

Fig. 4 shows the Nusselt number as a function of Rayleigh number for six different Reynolds numbers including \( Re = 0 \) (corresponding to pure natural convection) for the cavity filled with the porous medium. Natural convection data for a side-heated closed cavity filled with the same porous medium [25] is also included for comparison. A good agreement between the slope of the two natural convection curves is observed. The shift in the values is due to the different geometries of the hot/cold walls (with and without inflow/outflow ports) and thus different length scales used to define the Rayleigh and Nusselt numbers. First, it is observed that the mixed convection heat transfer is always higher than pure natural convection (\( Re = 0 \)). This is due to introducing a cold fluid into the cavity which enhances the heat transfer from the heating plate to the fluid through a lowering of the bulk temperature. Second, it can be observed that at a constant Reynolds number \( Re > 0 \), the slope of the Nu-Ra curve is (nearly) zero at low Rayleigh numbers, and that this slope gradually increases with increasing Rayleigh number. This process also depends on the Reynolds number. The higher the Reynolds number, the later (in terms of Rayleigh number) the increase of the Nusselt number sets in and the smaller is the slope. In general, at higher Reynolds numbers and lower Rayleigh numbers (i.e. lower Ri), when forced convection is the dominant heat transfer regime, the Nusselt number becomes independent of the Rayleigh number and the scaling exponent \( \alpha \) in \( Nu \sim Ra^\alpha \) is zero. However, at lower Reynolds numbers and higher Rayleigh numbers (i.e. higher Ri), when natural convection is important, the value of the scaling exponent \( \alpha \) increases. The highest scaling exponent is observed at the highest Rayleigh number and the lowest Reynolds number (i.e. the highest Ri) and is approximately 0.5.
convection indicates Rayleigh number. The crosses (×) pertain to the pure natural convection data for the same porous medium filled cavity with no inflow. The pluses (+) pertain to data for natural convection in a closed cavity filled with the same porous medium [25].

Fig. 4. Nu-Ra data for the porous medium filled cavity for different Reynolds numbers (configuration A). The crosses (×) pertain to the pure natural convection data for the same porous medium filled cavity with no inflow. The pluses (+) pertain to data for natural convection in a closed cavity filled with the same porous medium [25].

Fig. 5. Nu-Re data for the porous medium filled cavity for different Rayleigh numbers (configuration A). The solid line shows the power-law fit to the pure forced convection data, Eq. (6), for the same cavity.

Fig. 5 shows the Nusselt number as a function of Reynolds number at different Rayleigh numbers, along with the results for pure forced convection. The pure forced convection data points were obtained by rotating the cavity such that the heating plate is at the top and the cooling plate is at the bottom (see configuration C in Fig. 1b). The pure forced convection data points are fitted by the power-law

$$\text{Nu}_\text{FC} = 0.836 \cdot \text{Re}^{0.610},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

which is shown as a solid line in Fig. 5. It is observed that at sufficiently high Reynolds numbers, the heat transfer rates for different Rayleigh numbers converge to the forced convection curve. This indicates that natural convection is negligible in this range of high Reynolds numbers and that the flow and heat transfer are fully dominated by forced convection. For the highest Rayleigh number, the heat transfer data start to branch away from the forced convection curve when the Reynolds number decreases below approximately \(\text{Re} = 1400\). This is an indication of the onset of natural convection effects. The Reynolds number where branching first occurs increases with increasing Rayleigh number. It is observed that at the highest Rayleigh number (i.e. \(\text{Ra} = 3.5 \cdot 10^7\)) and the lowest Reynolds numbers, the Nusselt number becomes almost independent of Reynolds number such that the scaling exponent \(\beta\) in Nu ~ Re\(^\beta\) approaches zero. This is an indication of the dominance of natural convection over forced convection. Fig. 6 shows the mean velocity fields at low Reynolds number (\(\text{Re}_0 = 280\)) for three different Rayleigh numbers. It is seen that at this low Reynolds number, increasing the Rayleigh number substantially changes the flow structure in the vicinity of the heating wall so that the upward natural convection flow gradually overcomes the imposed downward forced convection flow. This leads to an enhanced strength of natural convection at a fixed forced flow and therefore the Nusselt number increases with increasing Rayleigh number. On the other hand, Fig. 7 confirms that at high Reynolds numbers, forced convection fully dominates the flow structure and natural convection flow is completely suppressed, such that increasing the Rayleigh number has no influence on the flow field and the heat transfer.

Fig. 8 shows the mean velocity fields at \(\text{Ra} = 3.5 \cdot 10^7\) for four different Reynolds numbers. It shows that by increasing Reynolds number, the downward forced convection flow overcomes the upward natural convection flow in the region near the heating wall. The flow field results show that for \(\text{Re} \geq 1400\) the forced convection dominated regime is reached, such that a further increase of the Reynolds number (from 1400 to 2250) does not change the flow structure in the cavity. This is in agreement with the Nu-Re data in Fig. 5 which indicate that an asymptotic forced convection dominated regime is reached for \(\text{Re} \geq 1400\). Liquid Crystal Thermography (LCT) measurements were performed at the fixed Rayleigh number of \(\text{Ra} = 3.5 \cdot 10^7\) based on the effective temperature range of the used liquid crystals. Fig. 9 shows the resulting mean temperature fields for the same four Reynolds numbers as in Fig. 8. The temperature measurement results show that at the lowest Reynolds number, due to the opposing behavior of the forced flow and the presence of the spheres, the hot fluid is trapped in a recirculation zone near the heating wall leading to the formation of a high-temperature isolated region in the vicinity of the heating wall. Since the downward forced flow is not strong enough to overcome the upward natural convection flow, the interaction between the cold inflow and the heating wall is minimal. This explains the low slope of the Nu-Re curve in this regime, see Fig. 5. As the Reynolds number increases and the downward forced convection flow overcomes natural convection, a larger portion of the inflow moves downward along the heating wall and subsequently along the bottom wall. As a result, the cold inflow interacts more directly with the heating wall and carries away the heat toward the outlet. For \(\text{Re} \geq 1400\), where forced convection dominates, it is observed that the cold inflow is in direct contact with the heating wall and the high-temperature region is driven away from the heating wall towards the bottom wall. This apparently leads to a higher sensitivity of the Nusselt number to variations in Reynolds number, and, as evidenced by the relatively large value of the scaling exponent \(\beta\) in Nu ~ Re\(^\beta\) becomes \(\beta = 0.61\).

The boundary condition values corresponding to the velocity and temperature fields in Figs. 8 and 9 are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ra</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>(T_c - T_w) (°C)</th>
<th>(T_b - T_w) (°C)</th>
<th>(u_{in}) (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5 \times 10^7</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 \times 10^7</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 \times 10^7</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 \times 10^7</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

The temperatures of the inflow, the cold wall, and the hot wall, and the bulk inlet velocities for the velocity and temperature fields shown in Figs. 6 and 9.
3.3. Effective scaling of Nusselt number

Substituting the power-law relation obtained for the forced convection heat transfer, Eq. (6), into Eq. (7) yields the following correlation for the Nusselt number as a function of Reynolds and Rayleigh number for low-conductivity spheres \( (k_r/k_l \leq 1) \)

\[
\frac{\text{Nu}}{\text{Nu}_F} = (1 + 0.0375 \text{ Ri})^{0.305}. \tag{7}
\]

In this correlation, for \( \text{Ri} \rightarrow 0 \), the forced convection power-law function of Reynolds number, Eq. (6), is retrieved. Also, for \( \text{Ri} \rightarrow \infty \), the Nusselt number scales with \( \text{Ra}^{0.305} \) which is in agreement with classical natural convection scaling in cavities without porous medium [27]. As discussed in [24,25], natural convection scaling in porous media behaves very similar to the pure-fluid natural convection scaling at sufficiently high Rayleigh numbers. Both pure forced convection and pure natural convection asymptotes are shown in Fig. 10 as well.

Several theoretical studies of mixed convection along vertical flat plates have proposed correlations in the form of \( \text{Nu} = \text{Nu}_F^n + \text{Nu}_N^n \) [28,29], where \( \text{Nu}_F \) and \( \text{Nu}_N \) are the forced convection and the natural convection asymptotes for \( \text{Ri} \rightarrow 0 \) and \( \text{Ri} \rightarrow \infty \), respectively. Different values for \( n \) have been proposed: \( n = 2 \) [30], \( n = 3 \) [29,31], and \( n = 4 \) [28]. We found that for \( n = 3 \), the correlation agrees very well with our experimental data. The resulting correlation

\[
\frac{\text{Nu}}{\text{Nu}_F} = \sqrt[3]{1 + \left(\frac{\text{Nu}_N}{\text{Nu}_F}\right)^3}. \tag{9}
\]

is plotted in Fig. 10 as a dashed line.
Another way of presenting the heat transfer data is to normalize the mixed convection heat transfer as follows

\[
\text{Nu}_{\text{eff}}(R_e, \text{Ra}) = \frac{\text{Nu}(R_e, \text{Ra})}{\text{Nu}_{\text{NC}}(\text{Ra}) + \text{Nu}_{\text{FC}}(\text{Re}) - 1}. \tag{10}
\]

\(\text{Nu}_{\text{eff}}\) is the effective Nusselt number and is used to quantify the strength of mixed convection heat transfer \(\text{Nu}(R_e, \text{Ra})\) with respect to the sum of the pure natural convection heat transfer at that specific Rayleigh number \(\text{Nu}_{\text{NC}}(\text{Ra})\) and the pure forced convection heat transfer at that specific Reynolds number \(\text{Nu}_{\text{FC}}(\text{Re})\). Since the conductive heat transfer is included in both \(\text{Nu}_{\text{NC}}\) and \(\text{Nu}_{\text{FC}}, 1\) is subtracted from the sum to make sure that conduction is only considered once in the denominator. Fig. 11 shows the values of \(\text{Nu}_{\text{eff}}\) as a function of Richardson number for all heat transfer data points. It is observed that \(\text{Nu}_{\text{eff}} < 1\) for the entire range of Richardson numbers considered in this study. For \(R_i < 10\), the effective Nusselt number decreases with increasing Richardson number, which means that the enhancement of buoyancy-induced heat transfer is not as much as what it would be in the pure natural convection case. This is due to the fact that natural convection is mostly suppressed by the strong forced convection in this range of Ri numbers. In this regime, forced convection dominates and the heat transfer is determined by the Reynolds number since the heat transfer reduction caused by decreasing the Reynolds number is stronger than the heat transfer enhancement caused by increasing the Rayleigh number. The decrease of the effective Nusselt number continues until a minimum is reached in the intermediate range \(10 < R_i < 40\). Maximum competition between natural and forced convection occurs in this region which leads to the lowest effective Nusselt number. In this transitional regime, forced and natural convection have comparable strength acting opposite each other, and therefore the effective heat transfer is insensitive to changes in Richardson number. For \(R_i > 40\), the effective Nusselt number starts to increase with increasing Richardson number. In this regime, the Rayleigh number determines the heat transfer since the effect of increasing Rayleigh number is stronger than that of decreasing Reynolds number. The shape of the \(\text{Nu}_{\text{eff}} - R_i\) curve illustrates that in the studied configuration A (see Fig. 1), natural convection flow and forced convection flow work against each other as was readily seen in the flow fields in Figs. 6 and 8. In general, the shape of the \(\text{Nu}_{\text{eff}} - R_i\) curve enables us to identify three different flow and heat transfer regimes depending on Richardson number, namely a forced convection dominated regime (\(R_i < 10\)), a natural convection dominated regime (\(R_i > 40\)), and a transitional regime (\(10 < R_i < 40\)). These three regimes are shown in Fig. 11.

### 3.4. Effect of configuration on heat transfer

Although the majority of the experiments were conducted in configuration A, experiments were also performed in configuration B which has the inlet at the bottom of the heating wall and the outlet at the top of the cooling wall, see Fig. 1b. The Nu-\(R_e\) data for the two configurations at two different Rayleigh numbers are compared in Fig. 12. The heat transfer behavior as a function of the Reynolds number and the Rayleigh number is qualitatively the same for both configurations, in the sense that there is 1) a general trend of increasing Nusselt number with increasing Rayleigh and Reynolds numbers, 2) Nusselt number is independent of Rayleigh number at high Reynolds numbers, and 3) Nusselt number only weakly depends on Reynolds number at low Reynolds numbers.
Fig. 9. Mean temperature fields at Ra = 3.5 × 10^7 for (a) Re = 240, (b) Re = 730, (c) Re = 1400, (d) Re = 2250 in configuration A.

Fig. 10. Nusselt number, Nu, normalized with the pure forced convection Nusselt number, Nu_{fc}, as a function of Richardson number, Ri (configuration A). The (green) solid line shows the curve that was fitted to the data according to Eq. (7). The dashed line pertains to the correlation in Eq. (9).

Fig. 11. Effective Nusselt number, Nu_{eff}, as a function of Richardson number, Ri in configuration A.

The graph shows that at sufficiently high Reynolds numbers (Re ≥ 2000), the Nusselt numbers for both configurations converge to the forced convection curve, Eq. (6). As the Reynolds number decreases, the Nusselt number values for configuration B start to deviate from the forced convection curve and this deviation occurs at a higher Reynolds number than in configuration A. This
leads to a move in heating Re.

Receivably a this study flow to wall 3.5.

It is important to investigate how the heat from the heating wall is removed from the cavity. Part of the heat is transferred to the cooling wall which is kept at a low temperature equal to the inflow temperature. The remaining part is carried away by the flow and exits the cavity through the outlet. In this section, we study how the heat generated at the heating wall is distributed over these two heat removal pathways for configuration A. For this, we use the ratio $Q_{\text{flow}}/Q_{\text{total}}$ as defined in Eq. (5). Figs. 13 and 14 show $Q_{\text{flow}}/Q_{\text{total}}$ values as a function of Reynolds number for different Rayleigh numbers, and as a function of Rayleigh number for different Reynolds numbers, respectively. When shown as a function of Richardson number in Fig. 15, the results indicate a consistent behavior with a maximum at $Ri \approx 10$. For $Ri > 10$ where natural convection flow is present at the heating wall, increasing the Richardson number (i.e. increasing $Ra$ or decreasing $Re$) leads to a stronger upward natural convection flow overcoming the downward motion of the forced convection flow along the heating wall. This causes a larger portion of the forced inflow to move directly along the top and cold walls of the cavity leading to an increased heat transfer at the cold wall and, consequently, a reduced value of $Q_{\text{flow}}/Q_{\text{total}}$. This can be observed clearly in the mean velocity fields shown in Fig. 6. On the other hand, for $Ri < 10$ where forced convection dominates the flow structure, decreasing the Richardson number (i.e. increasing $Re$ or decreasing $Ra$) leads to a decrease in $Q_{\text{flow}}/Q_{\text{total}}$. In the forced convection dom-
iniated regime the inflow moves along both the hot/bottom walls and the top/cold walls. In this regime, as the Reynolds number increases, larger inertial forces cause the inflow to move more horizontally through the cavity and impinge on the cold wall which leads to a higher heat transfer at the cold wall and thus lower Q_{down}/Q_{total} (see Fig. 13). Moreover, increasing the Rayleigh number in the forced convection dominated regime causes a higher heat transport along the hot/bottom walls and therefore an increased value of Q_{down}/Q_{total} ratio (see Fig. 14). In general, the outflow is the main heat removal pathway at the intermediate range of Richardson numbers (Ri ≈ 10). However, as either natural convection or forced convection dominates, the cooling wall becomes a major heat removal pathway in this mixed convection configuration.

4. Conclusions

Mixed convection in a ventilated cavity (with an inlet at the top of the heating wall and an outlet at the bottom of the cooling wall) filled with a porous medium consisting of relatively large ($d/L = 0.20$) low-conductivity ($k_{p}/k_f \leq 1$) spheres was experimentally studied. Nusselt numbers, as well as velocity and temperature fields, were measured for a wide range of Reynolds and Rayleigh numbers resulting in a Richardson number variation between 0.1 to 100. For comparison, a pure-fluid cavity without porous medium and a second configuration with the inlet at the bottom of the heating wall and the outlet at the top of the cooling wall (configuration B) were also considered. It was found that at high Reynolds numbers, the Nusselt number is considerably higher for the cavity with porous medium as compared to the pure-fluid cavity due to a portion of the cold inflow directing toward the heating wall. For the cavity filled with spheres, a general trend of increasing Nusselt number with increasing Rayleigh and Reynolds numbers was observed. The Nusselt number normalized with the pure forced convection heat transfer depends only on the Richardson number which leads to the following correlation for the Nusselt number as a function of Reynolds and Rayleigh numbers (for 240 < Re < 4250, 6 × 10^8 < Ra < 7 × 10^17 and 0.1 < Ri < 100):

\[ Nu = 0.836 \text{Re}^{0.610} \left(1 + 0.00556 \text{Ra}^{0.305} \text{Re}^{-0.2} \right) \]

Based on the velocity fields and the behavior of the effective Nusselt number (which is defined as the Nusselt number normalized with the sum of pure natural and forced convection), three flow and heat transfer regimes can be identified depending on Richardson number: a forced convection dominated regime for Ri < 10; a transitional regime for 10 < Ri < 40; and a natural convection dominated regime for Ri > 40. In the forced convection dominated regime, natural convection flow is suppressed and both flow and heat transfer are very similar to those for the pure forced convection, and the Nusselt number scales with Reynolds number as Nu = Re^{0.610}, and is independent of the Rayleigh number. In the transitional regime, natural convection and forced convection flow are of comparable strength competing against each other which leads to a minimum effective Nusselt number and a maximum heat removal contribution by the outflow. In the natural convection dominated regime, the upward buoyancy-driven flow dominates and prevents the entrainment of the cold forced flow toward the heating wall. In that case, the Nusselt number is mainly determined by the Rayleigh number and only weakly depends on the Reynolds numbers. In configuration B, higher Nusselt numbers were measured in the natural convection dominated and transitional regimes which implies a better heat removal performance of this configuration.
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