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ABSTRACT
Acoustic, electromagnetic, elastodynamic, poroelastic, and electroseismic waves are all governed by a unified matrix-vector wave equation. The matrices in this equation obey the same symmetry properties for each of these wave phenomena. This implies that the matrices for each of these phenomena obey the same reciprocity theorems. By substituting Green’s matrices in these reciprocity theorems, unified wavefield representations are obtained. Analogous to phenomena obeying the same reciprocity theorems. By substituting Green’s matrices in these reciprocity theorems, unified wavefield representations are obtained. Analogous to the well-known acoustic wavefield representations, these unified representations find applications in geophysical modeling, migration, inversion, multiple elimination, and interferometry.

INTRODUCTION
Wavefield representations play an important role in forward and inverse geophysical problems, such as modeling, migration, inversion, multiple elimination, and, recently, interferometry. Various authors have derived acoustic and elastodynamic wavefield representations by substituting Green’s functions into the Rayleigh and Rayleigh-Betti reciprocity theorems, respectively (Morse and Feshbach, 1953; de Hoop, 1958; Gangi, 1970, 2000; Aki and Richards, 1980; Fokkema and van den Berg, 1993). In this paper, we follow a similar approach for a general matrix-vector wave equation that governs acoustic, electromagnetic, elastodynamic, poroelastic, or electroseismic wave propagation. First, we derive general convolution and correlation reciprocity theorems for this wave equation, supplemented with boundary conditions for imperfectly coupled interfaces. Next, we introduce a Green’s matrix as the point-source solution of the general wave equation. By substituting this Green’s matrix into the reciprocity theorems, we obtain general convolution- and correlation-type representations. We conclude this paper by briefly discussing a number of applications of these general representations in seismic modeling, migration, inversion, multiple elimination, and interferometry.

MATRIX-VECTOR WAVE EQUATION
Diffusion, flow, and wave phenomena can each be captured by the differential matrix-vector equation,

\[ AD_1u + Bu + D_2u = s \]  

(Wapenaar and Fokkema, 2004), where \( u = u(x,t) \) is a vector containing space- and time-dependent field quantities, \( s = s(x,t) \) is a source vector, \( A = A(x) \) and \( B = B(x) \) are matrices containing space-dependent material parameters, and \( D_1 \) is a matrix containing the spatial differential operators \( \partial_x \) and \( \partial_t \). \( D_2 \) denotes the material time derivative, defined as \( D_2 = \partial_t + \nu \cdot \nabla = \partial_t + v_0^\nu \partial_x \), where \( \partial_t \) is the time derivative in the reference frame and \( \nu^\theta = \nu^\theta(x) \) the space-dependent flow velocity of the material; \( v_0^\nu \) denotes the \( k \)th component of \( \nu^\theta \). Einstein’s summation convention applies to repeated subscripts; lower-case Latin subscripts (except \( t \)) run from 1 to 3. In exploration geophysics, we consider nonmoving media; hence, from here, onward we replace \( D_2 \) by \( \partial_t \).

For acoustic wave propagation in an attenuating fluid, the vectors and matrices in equation 1 are defined by

\[ u = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} q \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{pmatrix}, \]

with \( p = p(x,t) \) the acoustic pressure, \( v_i = v_i(x,t) \) the particle velocity, \( q = q(x,t) \) the volume injection rate, \( f_i = f_i(x,t) \) the external force;
\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b^p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b^c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b^c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b^c \end{pmatrix}. \]

with \( \kappa = \kappa(x) \) the compressibility, \( \rho = \rho(x) \) the mass density, \( b^p = b^p(x) \) and \( b^c = b^c(x) \) the loss terms, and

\[ D_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_1 & \partial_2 & \partial_3 \\ \partial_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

For electromagnetic diffusion and/or wave propagation in matter, we have

\[ u = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} -J^e \\ -J^m \end{pmatrix}, \]

with \( E = E(x,t) \) and \( H = H(x,t) \) the electric and magnetic field vectors, \( J^e = J^e(x,t) \) and \( J^m = J^m(x,t) \) the external electric and magnetic current density vectors;

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^e & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^m \end{pmatrix}. \]

with \( \epsilon = \epsilon(x) \) and \( \mu = \mu(x) \) the permittivity and permeability tensors, \( \sigma^e = \sigma^e(x) \) and \( \sigma^m = \sigma^m(x) \) the electric and magnetic conductivity tensors, \( O \) the null-matrix, and

\[ D_x = \begin{pmatrix} D_0 \\ O \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_3 & -\partial_2 \\ -\partial_3 & 0 & -\partial_1 \\ -\partial_2 & -\partial_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

In all cases, matrices \( A(x) \) and \( B(x) \) can be replaced by convolutional operators \( A(x,t) \) and \( B(x,t) \) to account for more general attenuation mechanisms.

We define the temporal Fourier transform of a space- and time-dependent quantity \( p(x,t) \) as

\[ \tilde{p}(x,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-j\omega t)p(x,t)dt, \]

where \( j \) is the imaginary unit and \( \omega \) the angular frequency. Applying the Fourier transform to all terms in matrix-vector equation 1, with \( D_t \) replaced by \( \partial_t \) and \( A(x) \) and \( B(x) \) replaced by convolutional operators \( A(x,t) \) and \( B(x,t) \), we obtain

\[ j\omega\hat{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{u} + \hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{u} + D_t\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{\hat{s}}, \]

where, apart from the field and source vectors \( \mathbf{b}(x,\omega) \) and \( \mathbf{s}(x,\omega) \), the material parameter matrices \( \hat{\mathbf{A}}(x,\omega) \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{B}}(x,\omega) \) are in their general form frequency-dependent and complex-valued. Note that \( j\omega\hat{\mathbf{A}} \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{B}} \) could be combined into one material-parameter matrix. However, we prefer to keep these terms separated to acknowledge the different character of the parameters in \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \).

For each situation, matrices \( \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \) and \( \mathbf{D} \) obey the symmetry relations

\[ \mathbf{K}\hat{\mathbf{A}} = \hat{\mathbf{A}}^T, \quad (10) \]

\[ \mathbf{K}\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^T. \quad (11) \]

\[ \mathbf{K}\mathbf{D}_x\mathbf{K} = -\mathbf{D}_x = -\mathbf{D}_x^T, \quad (12) \]

where \( \mathbf{K} \) is a real-valued diagonal matrix, obeying the property \( \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \). For example, for the acoustic and electromagnetic situations discussed above, we have

\[ \mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

MATRIX-VECTOR BOUNDARY CONDITION

At any position in space where the medium parameters in matrices \( \hat{\mathbf{A}} \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{B}} \) are discontinuous, the wavefield quantities in vector \( \mathbf{u} \) should obey boundary conditions. The same is true at a fracture with imperfect coupling. For this situation, the wavefield quantities in \( \mathbf{u} \) may exhibit a finite jump. We call both types of medium singularities interfaces. In the following, we consider the most general case for which the medium parameters are different at both sides of the interface and the media are not in perfect contact with each other.

Consider an interface with normal vector \( \mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)^T \) between two materials (see Figure 1). In linearized form, the boundary conditions at an imperfect interface can be formulated in the space-frequency domain as

\[ [\mathbf{M}\hat{\mathbf{u}}] = -j\omega\hat{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{M}\hat{\mathbf{u}}). \quad (14) \]

(Wapenaar et al., 2004), where \( \mathbf{M} \) is a matrix that contracts the wave vector \( \mathbf{u} \) to the components that are involved in the boundary condi-
tions, $\hat{Y} = \hat{Y}(x, \omega)$ is a matrix containing the boundary parameters, and $[\cdot]$ and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ represent the jump and the average across the interface, respectively, as stated by

$$[\hat{\rho}(x, \omega)] = \lim_{h \to 0} (\hat{\rho}(x + h n, \omega) - \hat{\rho}(x - h n, \omega)), \quad (15)$$

$$\langle \hat{\rho}(x, \omega) \rangle = \lim_{h \to 0} (\hat{\rho}(x + h n, \omega) + \hat{\rho}(x - h n, \omega))/2, \quad (16)$$

where $x$ is chosen at the interface.

For acoustic waves, the matrices in equation 14 are defined as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\rho}^b \\ \hat{\kappa}^b & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

The superscript $b$ denotes that $\hat{\rho}^b = \hat{\rho}(x, \omega)$ and $\hat{\kappa}^b = \hat{\kappa}(x, \omega)$ are boundary parameters. The dimension of each boundary parameter is equal to the dimension of the corresponding volumetric parameter, multiplied by meter. For vanishing $\hat{\rho}^b$ and $\hat{\kappa}^b$, equation 14, with $\hat{u}, M,$ and $\hat{Y}$ defined in equations 2 and 17, reduces to the standard boundary conditions for perfectly coupled fluids, i.e., $[\hat{p}] = 0$ and $[\hat{u}/n] = 0$. When $\hat{\rho}^b$ and $\hat{\kappa}^b$ are nonzero, $1/\rho o \hat{\rho}^b$ is the hydraulic boundary permeability, $\hat{\kappa}^b$ the boundary compressibility, and $1/\hat{\kappa}^b = \hat{K}^b$ the boundary stiffness. Note that the dimension of the boundary stiffness $\hat{K}^b$ is that of stiffness per meter (i.e., Pa/m). Therefore, $\hat{K}^b$ is also called the specific boundary stiffness.

For elastodynamic waves, the matrices in equation 14 are defined as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\rho}^b \\ \hat{\kappa}^b & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

where $\hat{\rho}^b$ and $\hat{\kappa}^b$ are the boundary density and compliance tensors, respectively. When $\hat{\rho}^b = \hat{O}$ (which is usually a good approximation) and $n = (0, 0, 1)^T$ (i.e., the interface is horizontal), equation 14, with $M$ and $\hat{Y}$ defined in equation 18, reduces to the linear slip model of Schoenberg (1980) when $\hat{S}^b$ is diagonal and real-valued, to the extended linear slip model of Pyrak-Nolte et al. (1990) when $\hat{S}^b$ is diagonal and complex-valued, or to the general boundary model (including shear-induced conversion) of Nakagawa et al. (2000) when the nondiagonal elements of $\hat{S}^b$ are also nonzero. Liu et al. (1995, 2000) relate the parameters in the compliance tensor to the details of the microstructure of the interface.

For electromagnetic waves, equation 14 is a generalization of the Kaufman and Keller (1983) conductive interface model. For poroelastic waves, it is a generalization of the Guereich and Schoenberg (1999) permeable interface model; when $\hat{Y}$ vanishes, it reduces to the Deresiewicz and Skalak (1963) open-pore boundary condition for the perfectly coupled porous solids. For electroseismic waves, equation 14 combines the boundary conditions for electromagnetic and poroelastic waves.

In all cases $\hat{Y}$ obeys the symmetry relations

$$\hat{Y}^T \hat{N} = - \hat{N} \hat{Y} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Y}^T J = J \hat{Y}^T \quad (19)$$

(Wapenaar et al., 2004), where superscript $\ast$ denotes complex conjugation and $\dagger$ complex conjugation and transposition. For example, for the acoustic and elastodynamic situations discussed above, we have

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

and

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ -I & O \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ I & O \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

respectively.

**CONVOLUTION-TYPE RECIPROCITY THEOREM**

In general, a reciprocity theorem interrelates two independent states in one and the same domain (de Hoop, 1966; Fokkema and van de Berg, 1993). Here, we derive a reciprocity theorem for the general wave vector $\hat{u}$ described in the previous sections. We introduce two independent states (i.e., wavefields, medium parameters, boundary parameters, and source functions) that will be distinguished by the subscripts $A$ and $B$, (see Table 1). In the frequency domain, each of these states obeys the general matrix-vector-wave equation 9. We consider the interaction quantity $\hat{u}_A^T K D_s \hat{u}_B - (D_s \hat{u}_A)^T K \hat{u}_B$. Using wave equation 9 as well as symmetry relations 10 and 11 for both states, we obtain

$$\hat{u}_A^T K D_s \hat{u}_B - (D_s \hat{u}_A)^T K \hat{u}_B = \hat{u}_A^T K \hat{s}_B - \hat{s}_A^T K \hat{u}_B$$

$$- \hat{u}_A^T K (j \omega (\hat{A}_B - \hat{A}_A))$$

$$+ (\hat{B}_B - \hat{B}_A) \hat{u}_B. \quad (22)$$

This is the local form of the convolution-type matrix-vector reciprocity theorem. We call this convolution type because the products in the frequency domain ($\hat{u}_A K \hat{s}_B$ etc.) correspond to convolutions in the time domain. Next, we consider an arbitrary spatial domain $D$ with boundary $\partial D$ and outward-pointing normal vector $n$ (see Figure 2). Note that $\partial D$ does not necessarily coincide with a physical boundary. For the moment, we assume that the medium parameters in both

**Table 1. States for the unified reciprocity theorems.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavefields</th>
<th>State $A$</th>
<th>State $B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{u}_A(x, \omega)$</td>
<td>$\hat{u}_B(x, \omega)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Medium parameters | $\{\hat{A}_A, \hat{B}_A\}(x, \omega)$ | $\{\hat{A}_B, \hat{B}_B\}(x, \omega)$ |

| Boundary parameters | $\hat{Y}_A(x, \omega)$ | $\hat{Y}_B(x, \omega)$ |

| Source functions | $\hat{s}_A(x, \omega)$ | $\hat{s}_B(x, \omega)$ |

| Domain $D$ | | |
states are continuous in \( I \). We integrate both sides of equation 22 over this domain and apply Gauss’ theorem in matrix-vector form (equation A-5, see Appendix A) to the left-hand side. This yields

\[
\int_{\partial D} \mathbf{u}^T_j \mathbf{K} \mathbf{N}_i \mathbf{u}_j d^2x = \int_{\partial D} \{ \mathbf{u}^T_j \mathbf{K} \mathbf{s}_B - \mathbf{s}^T_j \mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}_B \} d^2x
\]

\[
- \int_{\partial D} \mathbf{u}^T_i \mathbf{K} j\omega (\mathbf{A}_B - \mathbf{A}_A)
\]

\[
+ (\mathbf{B}_B - \mathbf{B}_A) \mathbf{u}_i d^2x.
\]

Equation 23 is the unified reciprocity-theorem equation 23 applies to each of these regions. Summing both sides of this equation over \( m \) again yields equation 23 for the total domain \( D \), with an extra integral over the internal interfaces on the left-hand side as stated by

\[
\int_{\partial D_{int}} \{ (\mathbf{u}^T_j \mathbf{K} \mathbf{s}_B \mathbf{u}_B) \}_1 + (\mathbf{u}^T_j \mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}_B)_2 d^2x,
\]

where \( \partial D_{int} \) constitutes the total of all internal interfaces; the subscripts 1 and 2 denote the two sides of the internal interfaces. Using the general boundary condition 14 for imperfect interfaces and the first of the symmetry relations in equation 19, this internal interface integral can be rewritten as

\[
\int_{\partial D_{int}} \mathbf{u}^T_i \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{N}(I - \mathbf{Z}^{-1}_B \mathbf{Z}_B) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{u}_j d^2x
\]

(Wapenaar et al., 2004) with

\[
\mathbf{Z} = (I + j\omega \mathbf{Y}/2)^{-1}(I - j\omega \mathbf{Y}/2).
\]

\[
\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N} \mathbf{Z}^{-1}
\]

which follows from equations 19 and 28. For small \( \mathbf{Y} \), equation 27 simplifies to

\[
\int_{\partial D_{int}} j\omega \mathbf{u}^T_i \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Y}_B - \mathbf{Y}_A) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{u}_j d^2x.
\]

In the integrals in equations 27 and 30, \( \mathbf{u}_i \), \( \mathbf{u}_j \), \( \mathbf{M} \) are all chosen at the same side of the interfaces (but which side is arbitrary).

Adding the internal interface integral of equation 27 to the left-hand side of equation 23, we obtain

\[
\int_{\partial D} \mathbf{u}^T_j \mathbf{K} \mathbf{N}_i \mathbf{u}_j d^2x + \int_{\partial D_{int}} \mathbf{u}^T_i \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{N}(I - \mathbf{Z}^{-1}_B \mathbf{Z}_B) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{u}_j d^2x
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial D} \{ \mathbf{u}^T_j \mathbf{K} \mathbf{s}_B - \mathbf{s}^T_j \mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}_B \} d^2x - \int_{\partial D} \mathbf{u}^T_i \mathbf{K} j\omega (\mathbf{A}_B - \mathbf{A}_A)
\]

\[
+ (\mathbf{B}_B - \mathbf{B}_A) \mathbf{u}_i d^2x.
\]

Since the medium parameters in region \( D_m \) are continuous, reciprocity-theorem equation 23 applies to each of these regions. Note that each internal interface is part of two surfaces \( \partial D_m \) with opposite-pointing normal vectors \( \mathbf{n}_m \), see Figure 3.
We conclude this section by specifying equation 31 for the acoustic situation, assuming small boundary parameters. Upon substitution of equations 2, 3, 13, 17, 20, and 24, we obtain
\[
\int_{\partial D} \left( \hat{\rho}_A \hat{v}_{i,B} - \hat{v}_{i,A \hat{\rho}_B} \right) n_i \, d^2 \mathbf{x} + j \omega \int_{\partial \Omega_{int}} \left\{ \left( \hat{\mathbf{K}}_B - \hat{\mathbf{K}}_A \right) \hat{\rho}_A \hat{\rho}_B - \left( \hat{\mathbf{K}}_A - \hat{\mathbf{K}}_B \right) \hat{\rho}_B \hat{\rho}_A \right\} d^2 \mathbf{x} \\
- \int_{D} \left\{ \hat{\rho}_A \hat{\mathbf{G}}_B - \hat{v}_{i,A \hat{\mathbf{G}}_j,B} - \hat{v}_{i,J \hat{\mathbf{G}}_B} + \hat{J}_{A \hat{\mathbf{G}}_B} \right\} \, d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
- j \omega \int_{D} \left\{ \left( \hat{\mathbf{K}}_B - \hat{\mathbf{K}}_A \right) \hat{\rho}_A \hat{\rho}_B - \left( \hat{\mathbf{K}}_A - \hat{\mathbf{K}}_B \right) \hat{\rho}_B \hat{\rho}_A \right\} d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
- \int_{D} \left\{ \left( \hat{\mathbf{K}}_B - \hat{\mathbf{K}}_A \right) \hat{\rho}_A \hat{\rho}_B - \left( \hat{\mathbf{K}}_A - \hat{\mathbf{K}}_B \right) \hat{\rho}_B \hat{\rho}_A \right\} d^3 \mathbf{x},
\]
which has the familiar form known from, e.g., Fokkema and van den Berg (1993) and de Hoop (1995), but with an extra integral over the internal interfaces.

**CORRELATION-TYPE RECIPROCITY THEOREM**

Porter (1970) and Bojarski (1983) formulated reciprocity theorems with back-propagating wavefields. Here, we extend these theorems for the general wave vector \( \mathbf{u} \). We consider the interaction quantity \( \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{D}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B + (\hat{\mathbf{D}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_B \). Since superscript \( \dagger \) denotes transposition and complex conjugation, \( \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \) represents a back-propagating wavefield. Using wave equation 9 as well as the symmetry relations in equations 10 and 11 for both states, we obtain
\[
\hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{D}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B + (\hat{\mathbf{D}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_B = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{D}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B + (\hat{\mathbf{D}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_B
\]
\[
\text{with an extra integral over the internal interfaces on the left-hand side, as stated by}
\int_{\partial \Omega_{int}} \left( \left( \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{N}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B \right) + \left( \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{N}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B \right) \right) \, d^2 \mathbf{x}. \tag{35}
\]

Using the general boundary condition 14 for imperfect interfaces and the second of the symmetry relations in equation 19, this internal interface integral can be rewritten as
\[
\int_{\partial \Omega_{int}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{J}} (\mathbf{I} - (\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\hat{A}})^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\hat{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{M}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\hat{B}} \, d^2 \mathbf{x}. \tag{36}
\]
(Wapenaar et al., 2004), with
\[
\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' = (\mathbf{I} + j \omega \hat{\mathbf{Y}}^{*} / 2)^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - j \omega \hat{\mathbf{Y}}^{*} / 2). \tag{37}
\]
Note that \( \hat{\mathbf{Z}}' \) obeys the symmetry relation
\[
\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{*} \hat{\mathbf{J}} = \mathbf{J} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}'^{-1}, \tag{38}
\]
which follows from equations 19 and 37. For small \( \hat{\mathbf{Y}} \), equation 36 simplifies to
\[
\int_{\partial \Omega_{int}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{J}} (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\hat{A}}^{*}) \hat{\mathbf{M}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\hat{B}} \, d^2 \mathbf{x}. \tag{39}
\]
Adding the internal interface integral of equation 36 to the left-hand side of equation 34, we obtain
\[
\int_{\partial D} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{N}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B \, d^2 \mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega_{int}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{J}} (\mathbf{I} - (\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\hat{A}})^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\hat{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{M}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\hat{B}} \, d^2 \mathbf{x}
\]
\[
= \int_{D} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B + \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \, d^3 \mathbf{x} - \int_{D} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A (j \omega (\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\hat{B}} - \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\hat{A}}) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B) \, d^3 \mathbf{x} + (\hat{\mathbf{B}}_B + \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\hat{A}}) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\hat{B}} \, d^3 \mathbf{x}. \tag{40}
\]
Note that when the medium and boundary parameters, sources, and wavefields are identical in both states, this reciprocity theorem reduces (omitting the subscripts \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \)) to
\[
2 \Re \int_{D} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B \, d^3 \mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial D} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{N}}_B \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B \, d^2 \mathbf{x}
\]
\[
+ \int_{D} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A (j \omega (\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\hat{B}} + \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\hat{A}}) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_B) \, d^3 \mathbf{x}
\]
\[
+ \int_{\partial \Omega_{int}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_A \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{J}} (\mathbf{I} - (\hat{\mathbf{Z}}')^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}) \hat{\mathbf{M}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\hat{B}} \, d^2 \mathbf{x}, \tag{41}
\]
where \( \Re \) and \( \Im \) denote the real and imaginary part, respectively. Note that this form of the reciprocity theorem represents a power balance for each of the wave phenomena treated in this paper. The term on the left-hand side represents the power generated by the sources in \( D \). The first term on the right-hand side represents the power-flux propagating outward through \( \partial D \), the second term the power dissipated by the medium in \( D \) (which vanishes for real-valued \( \hat{\mathbf{A}} \) and zero \( \hat{\mathbf{B}} \)), and the last term the power dissipated by the internal imperfect interfaces \( \partial \Omega_{int} \) (which vanishes for real-valued \( \hat{\mathbf{Y}} \), as in the linear slip model of Schoenberg, 1980).
We conclude this section by specifying equation 40 for the acoustic situation, assuming small boundary parameters. Upon substitution of equations 2, 3, 17, 20, and 24, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{G} & = \int_D (p_A^s \hat{u}_{i,B} + \hat{u}_{i,A}^s \hat{p}_B) n \, d^2 \mathbf{x} + j \omega \int_{D_{\text{int}}} \left\{ (k_B - k_A) \hat{p}_B + \hat{p}_A^s \right\} \, d^2 \mathbf{x} \\
& \quad + \left( \hat{p}_B + \hat{p}_A^s \right) \hat{u}_{i,A} \mathbf{n} \, d^2 \mathbf{x} \\
& \quad - j \omega \int_D \left\{ (k_B - k_A) \hat{p}_B + \hat{p}_B + \hat{p}_B^s \right\} \, d^2 \mathbf{x} \\
& \quad - \left( \hat{p}_B + \hat{p}_B^s \right) \hat{u}_{i,A} \mathbf{n} \, d^2 \mathbf{x},
\end{align*}
\]

(42)

which has the familiar form known from, e.g., Fokkema and van den Berg (1993) and de Hoop (1995), but with an extra integral over the internal interfaces.

**GREEN’S MATRIX**

The wavefield vector \( \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \) and the source vector \( \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \) are \( L \times 1 \) vectors, where the value of \( L \) depends on the type of wavefield considered. A Green’s function is defined as the wavefield that would be obtained if the source were an impulsive point source \( \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \delta(t) \), or, in the frequency domain, a point source \( \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \) with unit spectrum. Since the source vector \( \mathbf{s} \) contains \( L \) different source functions, we may define \( L \) different Green’s wavefield vectors. We define the \( l \)th Green’s wavefield vector (with \( 1 \leq l \leq L \)) as the causal solution of general equation 9 with boundary condition 14, with source vector \( \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \) replaced by \( i_l \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \), where \( i_l \) is the \( L \times 1 \) unit vector \((0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)\), with ‘1’ on the \( l \)th position. Hence, in the space-frequency domain the \( l \)th Green’s wave vector obeys the relations

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{u}_l(x, \omega) & = \int_D \hat{g}_l(x', \omega) \delta(x - x') \, d^2 x' \\
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
[M\hat{g}_l] = -j \omega \hat{Y}(M\hat{g}_l),
\]

(44)

where \( \hat{g}_l = \hat{g}_l(x', \omega) \) is the \( l \)th \( L \times 1 \) Green’s wave vector observed at \( \mathbf{x} \), due to a point source of the \( l \)th type at \( \mathbf{x}' \). Due to their causal behaviour in the time domain, the components of these Green’s vectors obey the Kramers-Kronig relations.

Equations 43 and 44 each represent \( L \) matrix-vector equations for the \( L \) Green’s wave vectors \( \hat{g}_l \), with \( 1 \leq l \leq L \). For example, for the acoustic situation \( (L = 4) \), equation 43 reads

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{\eta} \\
\partial_1 \\
\partial_2 \\
\partial_3 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{\eta} \\
\partial_1 \\
\partial_2 \\
\partial_3 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{G}_{G,0}(x, x', \omega) \\
\hat{G}_{G,1}(x, x', \omega) \\
\hat{G}_{G,2}(x, x', \omega) \\
\hat{G}_{G,3}(x, x', \omega) \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\delta(x - x') \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(45)

for \( l = 1 \) (with \( \hat{\eta} = j \omega \hat{\xi} + \hat{\xi} \) and \( \hat{\xi} = j \omega \hat{\xi} + \hat{\xi} \)).
B. The medium parameters in this state are the actual parameters, and, consequently, the Green’s matrix in state $B$ is defined in the actual medium, again see Table 2.

Consider the following property of the delta function

$$\int_D \delta(x - x') u(x) d^3x = \chi_D(x') u(x'),$$

(52)

where $\chi_D(x')$ is the characteristic function for domain $D$, defined as

$$\chi_D(x') = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } x' \in D \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text{for } x' \in \partial D \\
0 & \text{for } x' \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D \cup \partial D).
\end{cases}$$

(53)

Upon substitution of the states of Table 2 into the convolution-type reciprocity theorem (equation 31), using this property of the delta function, we obtain

$$\chi_D(x') \hat{G}^T(x'', x', \omega) K - \chi_D(x') \hat{G}^*(x', x'', \omega) = \int_D \hat{K} \hat{G}^T(x', x', \omega) \mathbf{K} \hat{N} \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^3x$$

$$+ \int_D \hat{K} \hat{G}^T(x', x', \omega) \mathbf{K} \hat{N} \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^3x$$

$$+ \int_{\partial D_{int}} \hat{K} \hat{G}^T(x', x', \omega) \mathbf{K} \hat{N} \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^3x,$$

(54)

with the contrast functions $\hat{\Delta H}$ and $\hat{\Delta H}^b$ defined as

$$\hat{\Delta H} = j \omega (\hat{\mathbf{A}} - \hat{\mathbf{A}}^b) + (\hat{\mathbf{B}} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^b),$$

(55)

$$\hat{\Delta H}^b = \mathbf{M}^T N (I - \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}) \mathbf{M}.$$  

(56)

Equation 54 is the general convolution-type representation of the Green’s matrix. Applications are discussed in a later section. Here, we derive a reciprocity relation for the Green’s matrix. To this end we replace the background parameters in state $A$ by the actual parameters; hence, the last two integrals on the right-hand side of equation 54 vanish. Then, we replace $D$ by $\mathbb{R}^3$, to make the characteristic functions in the left-hand side of equation 54 both equal 1. Finally, we assume that outside some sphere with finite radius, the medium is homogeneous, isotropic, and nonporous, which implies that the first integral on the right-hand side vanishes as well (Sommerfeld radiation conditions, Born and Wolf, 1965; Pao and Varatharajulu, 1976; de Hoop, 1995). This leaves

$$\hat{K} \hat{G}^T(x'', x', \omega) K = \hat{G}^*(x', x'', \omega).$$

(57)

Of course a similar relation holds for the Green’s matrix in the background medium. Equation 57 formulates source-receiver reciprocity for a piecewise continuous medium with imperfect interfaces. For example, for the acoustic situation, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{G}^p_{2,1} - \hat{G}^v_{2,1} - \hat{G}^v_{3,1} \\
\hat{G}^v_{2,2} - \hat{G}^v_{3,2} - \hat{G}^v_{3,3} \\
\hat{G}^{v}_{3,2} - \hat{G}^{v}_{3,3}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{G}_{2,2} \\
\hat{G}_{3,2} \\
\hat{G}_{3,3}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x'' \cdot x'' \cdot \omega
\end{pmatrix},$$

(58)

CORRELATION-TYPE REPRESENTATION

For the derivation of a correlation-type representation of the Green’s matrix, we substitute the states of Table 2 into the reciprocity theorem of correlation-type (equation 40), which gives

$$\chi_D(x') \hat{G}^+ (x'', x', \omega) + \chi_D(x') \hat{G}^T (x', x'', \omega)$$

$$= \int_D \hat{G}^+ (x, x', \omega) \mathbf{N} \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^3x$$

$$+ \int_D \hat{G}^+ (x, x', \omega) \mathbf{N} \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^3x$$

$$+ \int_{\partial D_{int}} \hat{G}^+ (x, x', \omega) \mathbf{N} \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^3x,$$

(59)

with the contrast functions $\hat{\Delta H}$ and $\hat{\Delta H}^b$ now defined as

$$\hat{\Delta H} = j \omega (\hat{\mathbf{A}} - \hat{\mathbf{A}}^b) + (\hat{\mathbf{B}} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^b),$$

(60)

$$\hat{\Delta H}^b = \mathbf{M}^T (I - (\hat{\mathbf{Z}})^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}) \mathbf{M}.$$  

(61)

Equation 59 is the general correlation-type representation of the Green’s matrix.

APPLICATIONS

Here we discuss a number of applications of the general convolution-type and correlation-type representations. This overview is not exhaustive but serves as an illustration.

Table 2. Green’s states for the unified representations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source functions</th>
<th>State A</th>
<th>State B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavefields</td>
<td>$\hat{G}(x', x'')$</td>
<td>$\hat{G}(x', x'')$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium parameters</td>
<td>${\hat{A}, \hat{B}}(x, \omega)$</td>
<td>${\hat{A}, \hat{B}}(x, \omega)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary parameters</td>
<td>$\hat{Y}(x, \omega)$</td>
<td>$\hat{Y}(x, \omega)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain D</td>
<td>$I \delta(x - x')$</td>
<td>$I \delta(x - x')$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Forward wavefield extrapolation

The most straightforward application of the convolution-type representation (equation 54) is forward wavefield extrapolation. Consider the configuration of Figure 4. The boundary $\partial D_3$ consists of an acquisition surface $\partial D_3$, and a hemisphere $\partial D_2$ in the upper half-space with its midpoint at $x'$. The source domain $D_1$ is sited below the acquisition surface $\partial D_1$. When we let the radius of the hemisphere go to infinity and assume that beyond some finite radius the medium is homogeneous, isotropic, and nonporous, the contribution of the bound-

infinity and assume that beyond some finite radius the medium is ho-
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presentation

and matrices for the acoustic situation, we obtain

Multiplying both sides by $\hat{G}(x', x, \omega)$ and integrating over the source domain $D_1$, we obtain (using equation 51)

This expression formulates forward extrapolation of the wavefield $\hat{u}(x, \omega)$ at acquisition surface $\partial D_1$, because of sources below this surface, to any point $x'$ above this surface. By substituting the vectors and matrices for the acoustic situation, we obtain

where $\hat{n}$ is the unit outward normal, $\hat{p}$ is the pressure, and $\hat{\nabla}$ is the spatial gradient.

Inverse wavefield extrapolation

An expression for inverse wavefield extrapolation follows in a similar way from the correlation-type representation in equation 59. Consider the configuration of Figure 5. The boundary $\partial D_0$ now consists of an acquisition surface $\partial D_0$, a horizontal surface $\partial D_3$ between $x'$ and the source domain $D_1$, and a cylindrical surface $\partial D_2$, with a vertical axis through $x'$ (Figure 5 is a side-view of this configuration). When we let the radius of this cylindrical surface go to infinity, the contribution of the boundary integral over $\partial D_2$ vanishes for body waves. The boundary integral over $\partial D_0$ contains an evanescent wave contribution and a contribution proportional to the square of the reflection coefficients of the interfaces in domain $D$ (Wapenaar and Berkhout, 1989). Ignoring these contributions and assuming that the medium and interfaces in $D$ are lossless and the contrasts $\Delta \hat{H}$ and $\Delta \hat{H}^b$ defined by equations 60 and 61 are negligible in $D$, we obtain from equation 59 (using equation 57)

This expression formulates inverse extrapolation of the wavefield $\hat{u}(x, \omega)$ at acquisition surface $\partial D_0$, because of sources below this surface, to any point $x'$ between this surface and the sources. It is a generalization of the Kirchhoff-Helmholtz integral for forward wavefield extrapolation (Schneider, 1978; Berkhout, 1985; Bleistein, 1987; Tugel et al., 2000), with applications in seismic modeling (Frazer and Sen, 1985; Hill and Wueneschel, 1985; Wenzel et al., 1990; Druzhinin et al., 1998). Equation 63 is the generalization of the Kirchhoff-Helmholtz integral for any of the wave phenomena considered in this paper.
growing exponentially (to compensate for the exponential decay in the wavefield $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$). Hence, the implementation of the inverse extrapolation integral for attenuating media should be done with utmost care to avoid instabilities (Mittet et al., 1995; Zhang and Wapenaar, 2002).

**Boundary integral representation (perfect interfaces)**

We derive a representation for the scattered wavefield above a perfectly coupled interface. Consider the configuration of Figure 6, in which $\partial D_1$ represents an interface. Assuming the contrasts $\Delta \mathbf{H}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{H}^p$ defined by equations 55 and 56 are negligible in $D$, we obtain from equation 54 (using equation 57)

$$\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) = \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) = \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) + \int_{\partial D_1} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}, \omega) N_\mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'', \omega) d^3 \mathbf{x}. \quad (68)$$

We define the Green's matrix as a superposition of an incident and a scattered contribution, as stated by

$$\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) = \hat{\mathbf{G}}^\text{inc}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) + \hat{\mathbf{G}}^\text{sc}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega), \quad (69)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{G}}^\text{inc}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) = \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega)$. Equation 68 remains valid when outside $D$, i.e., in the lower half-space, the actual and reference medium parameters are different. We choose the reference parameters in the lower half-space such that they are continuous across $\partial D_1$, and homogeneous, isotropic, and nonporous beyond some finite domain in the lower half-space. Consequently,

$$\int_{\partial D_1} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}, \omega) N_\mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'', \omega) d^3 \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}; \quad (70)$$

hence,

$$\hat{\mathbf{G}}^\text{sc}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) = \int_{\partial D_1} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}, \omega) N_\mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{G}}^\text{sc}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'', \omega) d^3 \mathbf{x}, \quad (71)$$

or, using equation 51,

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}^\text{sc}(\mathbf{x}', \omega) = \int_{\partial D_1} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}, \omega) N_\mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^\text{sc}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d^3 \mathbf{x}. \quad (72)$$

Note the analogy with equation 63 for forward wavefield extrapolation. The main difference is that $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ in equation 63 is the upgoing wavefield because of sources below $\partial D_1$, whereas $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^\text{sc}$ in equation 72 is the upgoing scattered wavefield at interface $\partial D_1$ because of sources above this interface. This scattered wavefield can be expressed in terms of a reflection operator acting on the incident wavefield at $\partial D_1$. For example, for the acoustic situation, it can be written as $N_\mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^\text{sc}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = -\hat{R}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \mathbf{K}_\mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$, where $\hat{R}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ is the local angle-dependent reflection coefficient. This is a generalization of what is commonly known as the Kirchhoff approximation (Bleistein, 1984).

**Boundary integral representation (imperfect interfaces)**

The derivation for the scattered wavefield above an imperfect interface is somewhat different. The interface is now represented by $\partial D_\text{int}$, whereas $\partial D_1$ is a sphere with infinite radius. From equation 54, we thus obtain (using equation 57)

$$\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) = \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) - \int_{\partial D_\text{int}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}, \omega) \Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}^p(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'', \omega) d^2 \mathbf{x}, \quad (73)$$

with $\Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}^p$ defined by equation 56. Various choices are possible for the reference medium. Let us choose a reference medium that is identical to the actual medium, except that it has an interface with perfect coupling, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{O}$, and hence $\hat{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{I}$. For $\Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}^p$, we thus obtain (assuming small $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$)

$$\Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}^p = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{N}^{-1}[\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{Z}}] \mathbf{M} = j_o \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{M}. \quad (74)$$

Moreover, for this choice, the reference Green’s function $\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega)$ in equation 73 is equal to the actual Green’s function $\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega)$ in equation 68.

Equation 73 is an integral equation of the second kind for $\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega)$. It can be solved iteratively, according to

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega)\}^{(k)} = \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega) - \int_{\partial D_\text{int}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}, \omega) \Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}^p(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \times \{\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'', \omega)\}^{(k-1)} d^2 \mathbf{x}, \quad (75)$$

for $k \geq 1$, with

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega)\}^{(0)} = \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \omega). \quad (76)$$

**Volume integral representation**

The derivation for a volume integral representation is similar to that for the imperfect interfaces, but instead of the contrast function $\Delta \mathbf{H}^p$ at the internal interfaces, we consider the contrast function $\Delta \mathbf{H}$.
Hence, Figure 8. Configuration for multiple elimination.

\[ \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) = \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \]

\[ - \int_{D} \hat{G}(x', x, \omega) \Delta \hat{H}(x, \omega) \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^3x, \]

(77)

with \( \Delta \hat{H} \) defined by equation 55. The iterative solution of this integral equation is given by

\[ \{\hat{G}(x', x'', \omega)\}^{(k)} = \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \]

\[ - \int_{D} \hat{G}(x', x, \omega) \Delta \hat{H}(x, \omega) \times \{\hat{G}(x, x'', \omega)\}^{(k-1)} d^3x, \]

(78)

for \( k \geq 1 \), with

\[ \{\hat{G}(x', x'', \omega)\}^{(0)} = \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega). \]

For \( k = 1 \), equation 78 is the Born approximation, which is frequently used as a representation of primary data in modeling and inversion (Cohen and Bleistein, 1979; Raz, 1981; Bleistein and Cohen, 1982; Tarantola, 1984; Miller et al., 1987; Wu and Toksöz, 1987; Ori斯塔glio, 1989). For \( k > 1 \), equation 78 represents a Neumann series expansion, which can be used for modeling primaries as well as internal multiples. For a discussion on the convergence aspects, see Fokkema and van den Berg (1993). Applications for the prediction of internal multiples in nonlinear inversion are discussed by, e.g., Snieder (1990), Ten Kroode (2002) and Weglein et al. (2003).

**Surface-related multiple prediction and elimination (convolution approach)**

Surface-related multiple prediction and elimination was introduced by Berkhourt (1985) and Verschuur et al. (1992) and it was based on reciprocity theory by Fokkema and van den Berg (1993) and van Borselen et al. (1996). The latter approach is generalized for the wave phenomena discussed in this paper as follows. Let \( \partial \Omega \) consist of the acquisition surface \( \partial \Omega_0 \) and a hemisphere \( \partial \Omega_1 \) with infinite radius in the lower half-space (we assume that, beyond some finite radius, the medium in the lower half-space is homogeneous, isotropic, and nonporous). The Green’s matrix \( \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \) in this configuration (with \( x' \) and \( x'' \) at \( \partial \Omega_0 \)) represents the actual data, including the multiples related to \( \partial \Omega_0 \), see Figure 8a. In the half-space below \( \partial \Omega_0 \), the reference medium is specified as identical to the actual medium. In the upper half-space, the reference parameters are homogeneous, isotropic, and nonporous, and specified as continuous across \( \partial \Omega_0 \). Hence, the Green’s matrix \( \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \) in the reference medium represents the data without surface-related multiples, see Figure 8b. The relation between the two Green’s matrices follows from equation 54 and is given by

\[ \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) = \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \]

\[ - \int_{\partial \Omega_0} \hat{G}(x', x, \omega) N_x \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^2x. \]

(80)

This expression can be used as the basis for modeling as well as elimination of surface-related multiples. For modeling applications, we assume that \( \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \), the response without surface-related multiples, is known. Then \( \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \), the response with surface-related multiples, can be found by solving equation 80 iteratively, according to

\[ \{\hat{G}(x', x'', \omega)\}^{(k)} = \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \]

\[ - \int_{\partial \Omega_0} \hat{G}(x', x, \omega) N_x \{\hat{G}(x, x'', \omega)\}^{(k-1)} d^2x, \]

(81)

for \( k \geq 1 \). The initial estimate is given by the response without multiples; hence,

\[ \{\hat{G}(x', x'', \omega)\}^{(0)} = \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega). \]

(82)

When equation 80 is used for multiple elimination, then \( \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \) is the known response, and equation 80 is solved iteratively, according to
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**Figure 7. Configuration for volume integral representation.**

**Figure 8. Configuration for multiple elimination.**
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\[ \{ \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \}_{k} = \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) + \int_{\partial D} \{ \hat{G}(x', x, \omega) \}_{k-1} N_{\gamma} \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^2 x, \]  

for \( k \geq 1 \). This time, the initial estimate is given by the response with multiples; hence,

\[ \{ \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \}_{0} = \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega). \]  

The product under the integral in equation 83 represents a convolution process, producing high-order multiples from primaries and lower-order multiples, which, after addition to the first term, compensate the multiples in \( \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \).

**Surface-related multiple prediction and elimination (correlation approach)**

Schuster (2001) and Berkhout and Verschuur (2003) suggest an alternative to the convolution-based multiple prediction and elimination approach, based on correlations. For the configuration discussed above, assuming in addition that the medium is lossless, we obtain from equation 59

\[ \hat{K} \hat{G}^\ast(x', x', \omega) K + \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \]

\[ = \int_{\partial D} \hat{K} \hat{G}^\ast(x', x, \omega) K N_{\gamma} \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^2 x \]

\[ + \int_{\partial D} \hat{K} \hat{G}^\ast(x', x, \omega) K N_{\gamma} \hat{G}(x, x'', \omega) d^2 x. \]  

The products under the integrals in equation 85 represent a correlation process, producing primaries and low-order multiples from higher-order multiples. Unlike in the convolution representation, the integral along \( \partial D \) in equation 85 does not vanish when the medium in the lower half-space is homogeneous, isotropic, and nonporous beyond some finite radius. On the other hand, it vanishes due to scattering loss when the medium in the lower half-space is sufficiently inhomogeneous (Wapenaar, 2006).

**Interferometry (correlation approach)**

Seismic interferometry deals with the generation of new seismic responses by cross-correlating wavefield measurements at different receiver positions (Claerbout, 1968; Weaver and Lobkis, 2001; Schuster, 2001; Wapenaar et al., 2002; Campillo and Paul, 2003; Derode et al., 2003; Schuster et al., 2004; Sabra et al., 2005; Dragagnov et al., 2007). The measurements take place in the actual medium, so, the basic expression for interferometry is obtained by taking the reference state equal to the actual state in the representation of the correlation-type, equation 59. Using the symmetry properties of \( \hat{G}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{Z} \), and \( N_{\gamma} \), this yields

\[ \chi_0(x') \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) + \chi_0(x') \hat{G}^\dagger(x'', x', \omega) \]

\[ = - \int_{\partial D} \hat{G}(x', x, \omega) N_{\gamma} \hat{G}^\dagger(x'', x, \omega) d^2 x \]

\[ + \int_{\partial D} \hat{G}(x', x, \omega) \Delta \hat{H}(x, \omega) \hat{G}^\dagger(x'', x, \omega) d^2 x \]

\[ + \int_{\partial D_{\text{int}}} \hat{G}(x', x, \omega) K \Delta \hat{H}^{\dagger}(x, \omega) K \hat{G}^\dagger(x'', x, \omega) d^2 x, \]  

with

\[ \Delta \hat{H} = - 2 \omega \hat{J} \hat{A} + \hat{B} + \hat{B}^\dagger, \]

\[ \Delta \hat{H}^\dagger = M^T (J - \hat{Z}^\dagger \hat{J} \hat{Z}) M. \]  

Equation 86 is a general representation of the Green’s matrix between \( x' \) and \( x'' \) in terms of cross-correlations of observed fields at \( x' \) and \( x'' \) because of sources at \( x \) on the boundary \( \partial D \), on the internal imperfect interfaces \( \partial D_{\text{int}} \), as well as in the domain \( D \). The inverse Fourier transform of the left-hand side is \( \chi_0(x') \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) + \chi_0(x') \hat{G}^\dagger(x'', x', \omega) \), from which \( \hat{G}(x', x'', \omega) \) is obtained by taking the causal part (assuming \( x' \) is located in \( D \)). When the medium and interfaces are lossless, it suffices to have sources on \( \partial D \) only, see Figure 9. Note that \( \partial D \) is not necessarily a closed surface: When the medium is sufficiently inhomogeneous \( \partial D \) can be an open surface (Wapenaar, 2006). On the other hand, when the medium is dissipative throughout \( D \) and the radius of \( \partial D \) is sufficiently large, the boundary integral vanishes and sources are required throughout \( D \) (Snieder, 2006; Snieder et al., 2007).

The application of equation 86 in its current form requires independent measurements of the impulse responses of different types of sources at all \( x \) involved in the integrals. The right-hand side can be modified into a direct cross-correlation (i.e., without the integrals) of diffuse field observations at \( x' \) and \( x'' \), the diffusivity being caused by a distribution of uncorrelated noise sources, either on \( \partial D \) (for lossless media) or in \( D \) (for dissipative media) (Wapenaar et al., 2006).

Equation 86 has also important applications in efficient modeling and inversion (van Manen et al., 2005, 2006). As mentioned above, for the lossless situation, only the boundary integral over \( \partial D \) needs to be evaluated. Hence, by modeling the responses of a distribution of
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Figure 9. Configuration for interferometry (correlation approach).
sources on the 2D boundary, equation 86 allows us to determine the responses of all possible sources in the 3D volume enclosed by the boundary. This is very useful, for example, in nonlinear inversion, where the Green’s functions between all possible pairs of points in a volume are needed (see e.g., Weglein et al., 2003).

**Interferometry (convolution approach)**

When the dissipation of the medium is significant, interferometry according to the correlation approach requires a distribution of sources throughout the medium. As an alternative, Slob and Wapenaar (2007) and Slob et al. (2007) propose a convolution approach to interferometry. Taking the reference state equal to the real state in the convolution-type representation of equation 54 and using the symmetry property of $\hat{G}$ gives

$$\{\chi_0(x^\prime) - \chi_0(x^\prime')\} \hat{G}(x^\prime, x, \omega) = \iint_{\partial D} \hat{G}(x^\prime, x, \omega) \mathbf{n} K \hat{G}^T(x^\prime, x, \omega) K d^2x.$$  \hspace{1cm} (89)

This is a representation of the Green’s matrix between $x^\prime$ and $x^\prime'$ in terms of cross-convolutions of observed fields at $x^\prime$ and $x^\prime'$ due to sources at $x$ on the boundary $\partial D$ only. Note that one of the observation points should be inside this boundary and the other outside, see Figure 10 (otherwise, the left-hand side of equation 89 vanishes). There are no restrictions with respect to the losses in the medium. The application of equation 89 requires independent measurements of the impulse responses of different types of sources at all $x \in \partial D$; a modification for uncorrelated noise sources is not possible for the convolution approach.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Starting with a unified matrix-vector-form wave equation and boundary conditions for acoustic, electromagnetic, elastodynamic, poroelastic, and electroseismic waves, we derived general convolution- and correlation-type wavefield representations. We discussed applications including forward and inverse wavefield extrapolation, boundary integral representations for perfect and imperfect interfaces, volume integral representations (the Born approximation and the Neumann series expansion), multiple elimination, and seismic interferometry, the latter two both in terms of convolutions and correlations. Each of these applications is a generalization of the well-established acoustic representations for any of the wave phenomena governed by the unified wave equation.
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**APPENDIX A**

**THE DIVERGENCE THEOREM OF GAUSS IN MATRIX-VECTOR FORM**

For a scalar field $a(x)$, the divergence theorem of Gauss reads

$$\int_D \mathbf{n} a(x) d^3x = \oint_{\partial D} a(x) n_i d^2x.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-1)

Here, we modify this theorem for the differential operator matrix $D_x$ appearing in equations 1 and 9. Let $D_{ij}$ denote the operator in row $i$ and column $J$ of matrix $D_x$. The symmetry of $D_x$ (equation 12) implies $D_{ij} = D_{ji}$. We define a matrix $N_{ij}$ which contains the components of the normal vector $\mathbf{n}$, organized in the same way as matrix $D_x$. Hence, $N_{ij} = N_{ji}$, where $N_{ij}$ denotes the element in row $i$ and column $J$ of matrix $N_{ij}$. If we replace the scalar field $a(x)$ by $a_f(x)b_j(x)$, we may generalize equation A-1 to

$$\int_D D_{ij} a_f(x)b_j(x) d^3x = \oint_{\partial D} a_f(x)b_j(x) N_{ij} n_i d^2x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-2)

where the summation convention applies to repeated capital Latin subscripts, which may run from 1 to 4, 6, 12, 16, or 22, depending on the choice of operator $D_x$. Applying the product rule for differentiation and using the symmetry property of $D_{ij}$, we obtain for the integrand in the left-hand side of equation A-2,

$$D_{ij} a_f b_j = a_f D_{ij} b_j + (D_{ij} a_f) b_j,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-3)

where $a$ and $b$ are vector functions, containing the scalar functions $a_f(x)$ and $b_j(x)$, respectively. Rewriting the integrand in the right-hand side of equation A-2 in a similar way, we obtain the divergence theorem of Gauss in matrix-vector form

$$\int_D (a^T D_x b + (D_x a)^T b) d^3x = \oint_{\partial D} a^T N_{ij} b_j d^2x.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-4)

Finally, we consider a variant of this equation. We replace $a$ by $K a$, where $K$ is the real-valued diagonal matrix introduced in equations 10–12, obeying the property $K = K^{-1}$. Using equation 12, we thus obtain

$$\int_D (a^T K D_x b - (D_x a)^T K b) d^3x = \oint_{\partial D} a^T K N_{ij} b_j d^2x.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-5)
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