Fluvial River Regime in Disturbed River Systems: A Case Study of Evolution of the Middle Yangtze River in Post-TGD (Three Gorges Dam), China

Zhao, Gensheng; Lu, J; Visser, Paul

DOI

[10.4172/2381-8719.1000228](https://doi.org/10.4172/2381-8719.1000228)

Publication date

2015

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Journal of Geology & Geophysics

Citation (APA)

Zhao, G., Lu, J., & Visser, P. (2015). Fluvial River Regime in Disturbed River Systems: A Case Study of Evolution of the Middle Yangtze River in Post-TGD (Three Gorges Dam), China. *Journal of Geology & Geophysics*, 4(6), [228]. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2381-8719.1000228>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Research Article

Open Access

Fluvial River Regime in Disturbed River Systems: A Case Study of Evolution of the Middle Yangtze River in Post-TGD (Three Gorges Dam), China

Gensheng Zhao^{1,2,3*}, Jinyou Lu⁴ and Paul J Visser⁵¹Researcher, Flanders Hydraulics Research, Flemish Government Department of Mobility and Public Works, Berchemlei 115, B-2140, Antwerp, Belgium²Researcher, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University of Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, Netherlands³Researcher, College of Water Conservancy and Hydropower Engineering, Hohai University, China⁴Professor, Changjiang River Scientific Research Institute, No.23, Huangpu Road, Wuhan, P. R. China⁵Associate Professor, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University of Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, Netherlands

Abstract

The fluvial river is a kind of open system that can interact with its outside environments and give response to disturbance from outside on the earth. It can adjust itself to the disturbances outside the system and reflects new characteristics in the process of reaching a new equilibrium. The TGD (Three Gorges Dam) constructed at the Yangtze River Upstream was set up to operate in 2003. And it has changed the boundary conditions of the downstream reaches and has broken the long term equilibrium of the Yangtze River system. The reaches alter the river regime to response the disturbance from the TGD. In this paper, the case study Shashi Reach is selected to analyze the variations of the river regime in a river system. The discharge is becoming smoother without large peak or lower discharge for the regulation of the reservoir. The sediment diameter becomes coarser downstream of the TGD and the sediment transport rate decreases as the sediment concentration becomes lower, in spite of the sediment erosion along the reach downstream. The thalweg moves in plane dramatically to adjust itself to reach a new equilibrium. And the topography changes a lot since there are different sediment and flow conditions. The disturbed river system is in the process of reaching a new equilibrium.

Keywords: Yangtze river; Disturbance; River system; River regime
The TGD (Three Gorges Dam)

Introduction

Rivers are large natural streams flowing in channels on the earth. Generally, river systems are open as other systems in the nature. The river system interacts with its outside environment. The flow, sediments, aquatic animals and plants, and other materials are transported in and out through the river boundaries. The mass and energy do exchange in the river system. River systems always try to be in equilibrium for a long time period, however, the river systems change if there are disturbances at the boundaries imposed by nature and/ or human interventions. A river basin can be seen as an open system and its reaches and tributaries are also types of open systems [1].

The Yangtze River is one of the largest fluvial systems in the world. It is famous for the large water and sediment quantity [2-10]. Shashi Reach is located in the middle Yangtze River and downstream from the Three Gorges Dam (TGD). In the history of Yangtze, the middle Yangtze River, especially Shashi Reach suffered many devastating floods. One of the purposes to construct the TGD is to alleviate and regulate the flood in the middle Yangtze River. However, most of the sediments are blocked in the reservoir after the completion of the construction of the TGD in 2003. The sediment transports through the TGP decrease dramatically and the erosion happen downstream the TGD, i.e., the middle Yangtze River will erode for a long time in order to get the new equilibrium of the river [11,12].

After the completion of the TGD, the Yangtze River System has gone into a period of Post-TGD. The quantities of water and sediment go downstream the TGD become different from that Pre-TGD. Flow regime changes as well as the sediment transports change, consequently also the river regime. Then, as a sub-system of Yangtze River, Shashi Reach system is facing the change of system boundary, i.e., the incoming flow and sediment transport. As a result of the decrease of sediment load, the river bed and bank erodes downstream from the TGD resulting in a change of the river regime along the middle Yangtze River downstream the TGD.

The operations of TGD change the regularities of sediment and flow downstream the TGD. The flow regime and sediment regime change as the operation runs, which give a continuous disturbance to the instantaneous balanced river system. And the sub-system in the river system reflects to the disturbance. One of the reflections is the change of river regime.

This paper describes the disturbance from the construction of the TGD and its influence on the Yangtze River system. The disturbance is analyzed via the comparison of river system boundary between Pre-TGD (before the Three Gorges Dam) and Post-TGD. And Shashi Reach downstream from the TGD is selected to study the river regime responses to the disturbance from the TGD's operation.

Change of River Regime in Post-TGD

Study area

Shashi Reach from Chenjiawan to Sanbatan Shoal is selected as a case study. It is located about 150 km downstream from the TGD and is about 20 km long [13]. For the operation of the TGD, the upstream incoming flow and sediment transport change a lot with that in the past [14]. This reach can be seen as a river sub-system. The factors in the system change while the boundaries alter for the disturbance upstream.

***Corresponding author:** Researcher, Flanders Hydraulics Research, Flemish Government Department of Mobility and Public Works, Berchemlei 115, B-2140, Antwerp, Belgium, Tel: +31(0)152783426; Fax: +31 (0)152785124; E-mail: g.zhao@tudelft.nl

Received August 27, 2015; **Accepted** October 24, 2015; **Published** October 31, 2015

Citation: Zhao G, Lu J, Visser PJ (2015) Fluvial River Regime in Disturbed River Systems: A Case Study of Evolution of the Middle Yangtze River in Post-TGD (Three Gorges Dam), China. J Geol Geophys 4: 228. doi:[10.4172/jgg.1000228](http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/jgg.1000228)

Copyright: © 2015 Zhao G, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

The river regime has been altering since the impounding water of the TGD. The yearly distribution of water and sediment has changed the downstream river system's balance. The sediment erosion occurs along the reaches downstream the TGD. Shashi Reach meets serious disturbance from the TGD and the river regime varies every year. The reach is a typical river system suffering disturbance from the TGD. It is very valuable to study the river regime changes in the reach.

Disturbance from the TGD

The TGD was launched to construct in 1994, and it started to impound the water with the operating water level from 139 m to 135 m in June, 2006. Later the TGD started to run with dam water level from 156 m to 144 m in the primary period. The TGD impounded to the water level of 175 m in November, 2010 and started to run in the operation of 175~145~155 m.

After the use of the TGD, the monthly discharges have changed dramatically from that in Pre-TGD (Figure 1). For the TGD's operation scheme of 175~155~145 m, the water level decreases from 175 m to 155 m in front of the dam from January to May, and reduces to 145 m till June, and keeps still at the water level of 145 m from June to September (the flood season) [15]. The water level increases to 175 m in October after the flood season and is then more or less constant. So the discharge through the TGD alters as the water level changes. The discharge is the same as the incoming discharge from upstream the TGD from May to September in the flood season. Before the flood season, the Post-TGD discharges are larger than Pre-TGD in order to empty the reservoir. Then the reservoir can impound the extra water in the flood season. However, the Post-TGD discharges are less than the Pre-TGD discharges after the flood season. The water quantities are used to generate the electricity. This operation scheme gives a continuous disturbing influence on the whole river system, and the disturbance will push the river regime to change downstream the TGD and have a long term influence.

Discharge regime

According to the operation scheme of the TGD, the discharge downstream will alter due to the change in discharge condition at the TGD site (Figure 1). In Shashi Reach, Shashi Gauge Station reveals the changes in the monthly discharge from 2001 to 2008 (Figure 2). In the dry season from January to May, most of the monthly discharges referred above are larger than the multi-year average discharge. Most of the peak discharges in flood seasons reduce to the level below the multi-year average discharge for the regulation of the TGD. But in 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2005, the peak discharges delayed comparing with multi-year average discharge. After the flood season, the monthly discharges are less than the multi-year average discharge for the impounding water in the TGD.

Generally the discharge regime has been changed in Shashi Reach as a result of the operation of the TGD. The peak flood discharge is reduced below the multi-year average discharge, and the discharges are larger than multi-year average discharge in the non-flood season. The TGD has disturbed the discharge regime in the river system downstream. The disturbance reduces the peak discharges but increase the low discharges. This gives a profound influence on the sediment transport and river evolution.

Sediment regime

The TGD not only impounds the water in the reservoir but also blocks the sediment transport. The sediment transport in the river

Figure 1: Sketch of Discharge Process and 175~155~145 m Scheme.

Figure 2: Monthly discharge versus Time.

system was almost in equilibrium statement downstream from the TGD before its operation. However, the disturbance from the TGD broke this equilibrium. The sediment transport capacity is larger than the sediment concentration after the disturbance causing degradation of the river bed of the reaches downstream from the TGD. The sediment becomes coarser since the finer sediment is blocked in the reservoir and the bed load sediment is transported in the flow as suspended sediment.

The suspended load sediment has become coarser and coarser in Shashi Reach since the operation of the TGD, and there is a trend that the sediment diameter will further increase (Figure 3). The percentage of finer sediment will become lower and lower. The percentage of diameter less than 0.01 mm was 60% in 2002. It reduced to 49% in 2004 and reduced to 38% in 2006, to stay approximately 40 in 2008. The medium sediment diameter increased from 0.052 mm in 2002 to 0.099 mm in 2006 with 0.081 mm in 2008 much larger than that in 2002.

The sediment concentration has been decreasing since 2001 (Figure 4). In 2001, the sediment concentration was very high at Shashi Gauge Station, with a peak sediment concentration of 1.35 kg/m³ in the flood season. However, the sediment concentration reduced yearly, not only in peak but also in other situations. The peak sediment concentration is only 0.31 kg/m³, only a quarter of that in 2001. In dry seasons, the sediment concentrations have little differences in the years 2001 to 2008.

Figure 3: Grain size distribution in Shashi Gauge Station.

Figure 4: Sediment Concentration versus time at Shashi Gauge Station.

While the sediment concentration decreases, the sediment transport rate becomes lower (Figure 5). Due to the block of the TGD, the sediment can not go through the dam thoroughly. The sediment transport rates from 2001 to 2008 are almost lower than the multi-year average ones. Especially in the flood season, the peak sediment transport rates have been decreased significantly and are still becoming.

Changes of thalweg and topography

The thalweg reveals the river system's momentum profile in the longitudinal direction. The change of river topography is a reflection of the response to the disturbance induced by the TGD. The changes of thalweg and topography are shown in Figures 6-10. There are two thalwegs in Shashi Reach. They change almost every year. The right thalweg moves much more dramatically than the left one. Especially the thalweg alters seriously downstream the Sanbatan Shoal. The shoals which move under the flow in the reach are reflected in topography. The change in topography goes on with the development of the shoals. The developments of the shoals are induced by the disturbance outside the river system, i.e., by the variables of incoming sediment and flow downstream from the TGD.

The river system will take a long term to adjust itself to the disturbance and to reach a new equilibrium. If there are changes in disturbance, the system will have to reflect to that and give responses. The changes of thalweg and topography indicate the process of system's adjustment to the disturbances.

Figure 5: Sediment transport rate versus time at Shashi Gauge Station.

Figure 6: Thalweg and topography of Shashi Reach in October, 2001.

Figure 7: Thalweg and topography of Shashi Reach in October, 2002.

Figure 8: Thalweg and topography of Shashi Reach in August, 2004.

Figure 9: Thalweg and topography of Shashi Reach in October, 2006.

Discussion

After the operation of Three Gorges Dam, the river regime of Middle Yangtze River has been changing significantly. In the previous research, Zhang et al. [16], Chen et al. [17], Dong et al. [18] and Hu et al. [14] investigated the change of sediment and discharge were affected by the operation of TGD and gave the analysis of these change. Xu et al. [19] studied the Yangtze sediment decline partly from Three Gorges Dam, which mainly focused on the sediment transport change before 2004, however, the TGD had not been completed before 2007. The present study selected the case study period till 2008. Since the launching of the TGD, it has been disturbing the discharge regime in the river system downstream, where the flood discharge reduces but normal discharge increases. The suspended sediment grain size becomes coarser than that in pre-TGD. And the suspended sediment concentration reduces in the yearly distribution, particularly in flood season the suspended sediment concentration reduces dramatically after the operation of TGD. The total sediment transport rate has become lower than those in pre-TGD in yearly distribution. Moreover, the present study analyzed the Middle Yangtze River morphological change due to the operation of TGD. And the thalweg changed significantly to adjust itself to reach a new balance in the case study area.

Conclusions

The fluvial river is a kind of open system which can give response to disturbance from outside on the earth. A continuous disturbance gives river system influence and alters the system's characteristics. The river system has to adjust itself to the disturbance and reflects new river regime characteristics till it reaches a new equilibrium.

The operation of the TGD is a great disturbance to the Yangtze River system not only to the downstream reach but also the upstream reach. The discharge is becoming smoother without large peaks or lower discharges for the regulation of the reservoir. The sediment becomes coarser downstream from the TGD and the sediment transport rate decreases as the sediment concentration becomes lower, in spite of the sediment erosion along the downstream reach. The thalweg moves in plane dramatically to adjust itself to reach a new equilibrium. The

topography changes dramatically since there are different sediment and flow conditions. The river system adjusts itself to reach a new equilibrium via the changes of the river regime.

References

1. Zhao G (2008) *Regime Theory on Alluvial River and its applications in the Middle Reach of Yangtze River*. Yangtze River Scientific Research Institute, China.
2. Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC) (2000) *Yangtze River Water and Sediment Bulletin*. Ministry of Water Resources, China.
3. Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC) (2001) *Yangtze River Water and Sediment Bulletin*. Ministry of Water Resources, China.
4. Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC) (2002) *Yangtze River Water and Sediment Bulletin*. Ministry of Water Resources, China.
5. Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC) (2003) *Yangtze River Water and Sediment Bulletin*. Ministry of Water Resources, China.
6. Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC) (2004) *Yangtze River Water and Sediment Bulletin*. Ministry of Water Resources, China.
7. Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC) (2005) *Yangtze River Water and Sediment Bulletin*. Ministry of Water Resources, China.
8. Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC) (2006) *Yangtze River Water and Sediment Bulletin*. Ministry of Water Resources, China.
9. Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC) (2007) *Yangtze River Water and Sediment Bulletin*. Ministry of Water Resources, China.
10. Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC) (2008) *Yangtze River Water and Sediment Bulletin*. Ministry of Water Resources, China.
11. Li Y, Sun Z, Liu Y, Deng J (2010) Channel Degradation Downstream from the Three Gorges Project and Its Impacts on Flood Level. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering ASCE 135: 718-728.
12. Costelloe Jf, Grayson Rb, Argent RM, McMagib TA (2003) *Modelling the flow regime of an arid zone floodplain river, Diamantina River, Australia*. Environmental Modelling & Software 18: 693-703.
13. Lu J (2003) *Initial analysis report on the effect of TGP operation on middle and lower Yangtze River*. Wuhan: Changjiang Water Resources Commission (CWRC). Ministry of Water Resources, China.
14. Hu X, Zhang X, Huang Y (2010) *Research on Change of Coming Sediment and Coming Water of Middle Yangtze River after TGP Early Operation*. Journal of Yangtze River scientific Research Institute 27: 4-9.
15. Lu J, Huang Y, Zhang J (2007) Change tendency of river regime of the middle Yangtze River after the operation of the Three Gorges Project. Proceedings of Influence from the Three Gorges Project on the middle Yangtze River CAST 29-35.
16. Zhang Q, Xu C, Becker S, Jiang T (2006) *Sediment and runoff changes in the Yangtze River basin during past 50 years*. Journal of Hydrology 331: 511-523.
17. Chen X, Xu Q, Chen Z (2008) Characteristic analysis of water and sediment of inlet and outlet from the Three Gorges Reservoir. Yangtze River 8: 1-3.
18. Dong Y, Hui X, Lin Q (2008) *Preliminary analysis on characteristic and change tendency of annual runoff and sediment load of Changjiang River main Channels*. Journal of Yangtze River Scientific Research Institute 25: 16-20.
19. Xu K, Milliman JD, Yang Z, Wang H (2006) Yangtze sediment decline partly from Three Gorges Dam. Eos Trans AGU 87: 185-190.

Citation: Zhao G, Lu J, Visser PJ (2015) Fluvial River Regime in Disturbed River Systems: A Case Study of Evolution of the Middle Yangtze River in Post-TGD (Three Gorges Dam), China. J Geol Geophys 4: 228. doi:[10.4172/jgg.1000228](https://doi.org/10.4172/jgg.1000228)