On the effects of implantation temperature in helium implanted silicon
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He\(^+\) ions were implanted into silicon with a fluence of 5\(\times\)10\(^{16}\) cm\(^{-2}\) at different temperatures ranging from 473 to 1073 K. Samples were analyzed by thermal helium desorption spectroscopy and by transmission electron microscopy. As far as cavity formation is concerned, the behavior can be divided into three stages depending on the implantation temperature. However, it is found that helium release from cavities is governed by a single mechanism regardless of the implantation temperature. © 2002 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1525059]

With the miniaturization of microelectronic devices, the purity requirements of semiconductors become extremely severe. In particular, the density of metallic impurities in the active region of the devices must be extremely low. Such an impurity level can only be reached by a gettering treatment. In the last few years, work\(^1\)\(^2\) has shown that helium induced cavities in silicon can be used as very efficient gettering sites. Cavities in silicon are usually formed by high dose He ion implantation. During subsequent annealing at temperatures above 700°C, bubbles grow and He is released from them by gas outdiffusion, leading to void formation, i.e., empty cavities. Metallic impurities can be trapped on the cavities at their internal surfaces.\(^1\) Numerous studies have been performed varying implantation parameters\(^3\) to optimize cavity formation. The effects of varying the implantation temperature have, however, not received much attention.

In this letter, a combination of thermal helium desorption spectroscopy (THDS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to study the effect of implantation temperature.

All the experiments were performed on commercial \(n^-n^+\) silicon wafers. The \(n^+\) type layer was epitaxially grown on a (111) orientated \(n^-\) substrate of Czochralski silicon. The doping concentration of the 100 µm thick \(n\) region was 1\(\times\)10\(^{14}\) P cm\(^{-3}\). These samples were implanted to a constant dose of 5\(\times\)10\(^{16}\) ions cm\(^{-2}\) with 50 keV helium ions (\(R_p\)=500 nm and \(\Delta R_p\)=140 nm according to SRIM calculations).\(^4\) The beam current was kept at 40 µA. The structure of the implantation damage was studied with cross-sectional TEM using a JEOL 200 CX operating at 200 kV. In order to study cavities with minimal contrast from the unavoidable accompanying lattice damage, specimens were tilted from their (110) orientation by few degrees in order to reduce diffraction effects. They were also imaged in underfocus and overfocus conditions to highlight the cavity edges with Fresnel contrast. THDS measurements were performed in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber (10\(^{-8}\) Pa). Helium desorption was monitored using a quadrupole mass spectrometer Balzers QMG 111B while the sample was annealed with a constant heating rate of 5 K/s.

The thermal desorption spectrum of helium from the sample implanted at 473 K is shown in Fig. 1(a). Helium release clearly occurs partially at a low temperature from 700 to 900 K and in a high-temperature regime centered at about 1300 K with all the helium being released by 1400 K. For implantation at 673 K, helium release occurs only in the high-temperature regime [Fig. 1(b)]. However, a shoulder peak appears toward the low-temperature side, near 1000 K. For implantation at 773 K, this shoulder peak is clearly defined [Fig. 1(c)]. With a further increase in implant temperature, the amount of helium retained in the sample after implantation decreases significantly. Desorption measurements with the quadrupole in scanning mode were thus performed. In this mode, the quadrupole is made to scan over a range of masses during a short time (220 ms). This allows one to distinguish the helium desorbing from the sample and the contribution of the background signal. The results presented in Fig. 2 (873 K implantation) clearly show that helium release occurs near 1300 K, and that the background signal is low. Only 0.02% of the implanted helium has been found to remain in the sample implanted at 873 K. Similar results were obtained for implantation at 1073 K, where the helium content is even lower, 0.01%. In Fig. 3, we have plotted the percentage of helium retained in the as-implanted sample against the implant temperature. These values have been obtained by integrating the desorption curves in Fig. 1 and taking into account the sensitivity of each measurement. TEM images are also presented to show the defects generated by the implants. Cavities are created following implants in the range 473–873 K whereas only \{113\} defects are observed at higher temperatures. Previous detailed TEM study\(^5\) has shown that the formation of cavities can be divided into three stages depending on the implant temperature. As seen, helium release can also be divided into three stages. At low temperatures (between 473 and \(<573\) K), while the cavity density decreases significantly due to the dynamic annealing, the cavity mean radius and the amount of helium stay relatively constant; around 90% of the implanted helium is retained in the sample. A direct consequence is expected to be
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the formation of overpressurized cavities as discussed later. On increasing the implant temperature up to 873 K, the amount of helium decreases linearly whereas the cavity density and radius are constant. From 573 K, He atoms become mobile and divacancies are no longer stable. Thus, He can easily escape from the matrix during implantation. But cavities are still observed even at 873 K. Small clusters can thus migrate and/or dissociate leaving both mobile helium and vacancy agglomerates. Some of these clusters will interact with other more stable clusters leading to larger He$_m$–V$_m$ agglomerates and finally cavities. Helium desorption from small unstable vacancy clusters, has been previously reported for temperature as low as 400 K. The cavities become more and more faceted as the temperature increases, and finally, at a higher temperature (1073 K), no more cavities are observed. Helium is known to be the vital component in cavity formation so that even at high temperatures, it is the agglomeration of gas and vacancies that leads to cavity formation. However, the present results could indicate that only a relatively low amount of helium is necessary for cavity formation at a high temperature.

Two processes are distinguished to describe the helium release from silicon. The low-temperature part of the spectrum is generally due to helium release from interstitial positions and/or from small unstable defects. This involves diffusion of helium through the silicon lattice. In our study, this

FIG. 1. Helium desorption spectrum obtained after helium implantation at (a) 473 K, (b) 673 K, and (c) 773 K in silicon ($5 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$, 50 keV). Heating rate: 5 K/s. The dashed line indicates the temperature of implantation.
The entropy difference high pressure, the entropy of the gas will be lower than in a bubble considerably enhances the detrapping probability. Indeed, the increase of the pressure in a helium bubble. They are ascribed to pressurized cavities. The peak observed close to 1300 K for 473–873 K implantation temperatures is thus identified as a re-release by permeation from interior cavities. The twin peaks at 800–900 K observed for the 473 K implantation are similar to that due to the desorption from cavities but shifted toward the low-temperature part. They are ascribed to pressurized helium bubbles. The entropies of the gas will be lower than in a cavity. The entropy difference \( \Delta S = S(\text{dissolved He}) - S(\text{He in bubbles}) \) will be increased leading to a shift of the temperature of maximum release toward the low-temperature part. Such a shift in temperature, assigned to pressurized bubbles, has been already observed in argon-irradiated silicon. The temperature of maximum release as well as the full width at half maximum (FWHM) value observed are in line with the calculated values for a bubble diameter of 1 nm. Moreover, the dark contrast visible in the TEM image (Fig. 3) around some of the bubbles is also consistent with an overpressurized state. The shoulder peak near 1000 K appearing for the 673 and 773 K implantations could be also related to the nucleation of larger overpressurized bubbles. The temperature of maximum release and the FWHM value are in agreement with a bubble size of 3 nm. For an implantation temperature of 1073 K, the very small helium release detected can not be attributed to permeation from cavities since none of them are observed by TEM. However, recent experiments have shown that stable cavity precursors are formed during implantation at 1073 K. These precursors have been pointed out by using multiple implants. It is thus probable that the small amount of helium detected for the 1073 K implantation is released from stable cavity precursors.

Samples were also subjected to partial annealing (Fig. 4); they were annealed by steps with a temperature increment of 50 K, instead of a single continuous run. This method keeps the release rates low, i.e., prevents the saturation of the quadrupole, and allows a better analysis of the desorption mechanism. Considering that the release is a first-order desorption process and that for the initial part of each desorption curve the number of filled traps \( N \) is constant, the Arrhenius graph of the release rate versus \( 1/T \) can be plotted (Fig. 4). The release rate of helium from bubbles in silicon can be written as

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = A \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta H}{kT} \right),
\]

where \( \Delta H \) is the activation enthalpy for permeation (eV) and \( k \) the Boltzmann constant (eV K\(^{-1}\)). The activation enthalpy for permeation can be derived from the slopes of the curves in the partial annealing Arrhenius plot.

The present work has shown that helium release from cavities is governed by a single mechanism, the permeation from cavity, independent of implantation temperature. Moreover, it appears that only a relatively low amount of helium is necessary for cavity formation when implanting at a high temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implantation parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (keV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>