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Experimental investigation on the influence of gap vortex streets on fluid-structure interactions in hexagonal bundle geometries

F. Bertocchi⁎, M. Rohde, J.L. Kloosterman

Department of Radiation Science and Technology, Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 15, Delft, 2629 JB, the Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Gap vortex streets characterise many industrial applications involving rod bundle flows, such as heat exchangers and nuclear reactors. These structures, known as gap vortex streets, may excite the structural components of the bundle to resonance, leading to fretting and fatigue. This work aims to measure these coherent structures and the resulting displacement and oscillation frequency of the neighbouring rod, to provide unique data for fluid-structure interaction studies and to develop a general correlation for estimating the coherent structure’s wavelength. A water loop was built to host a hexagonal rod bundle. Fluorinated Ethylene Propylene (FEP), a refractive index matching (RIM) material, was used to have undisturbed optical access in the area around the central rod. The flow was measured with Laser Doppler Anemometry (LDA) to detect coherent structures, while the vibrations were measured with a high speed camera. A new correlation for estimating the wavelength of the coherent structures is derived with dimensional analysis based on experimental evidence. The correlation is tested on different geometries: rectangular channels with single or half-rods, and two rod bundles, within the pitch-to-diameter ratio (P/D) range 1.02–1.2. Moreover fluctuations in the flow, given by the detected coherent structures, govern the structural response of the rod. The rod is excited to resonance if these fluctuations match twice the natural frequency of the rod.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Flow area</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm</td>
<td>Added mass coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Lateral drag force coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Longitudinal viscous force coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cv</td>
<td>Viscous damping coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CfT</td>
<td>Coefficient for pressure drops</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Rod diameter</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D*,h,c</td>
<td>Gap hydraulic diameter</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Equivalent diameter</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Din,i</td>
<td>Inner silicone rod diameter</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Young modulus</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fstr</td>
<td>Frequency of the coherent structures</td>
<td>Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fwall</td>
<td>Frequency of vibration of the silicone rod edge</td>
<td>Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Non dimensional frequency</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CMOS, Complementary Metal-Oxide Semiconductor; fps, Frames per second; FEP, Fluorinated Ethylene Propylene; FIV, Flow-Induced Vibration; FFT, Fast Fourier Transform; FSI, Fluid-Structure Interaction; PMMA, Polymethyl Methacrylate; LDA, Laser Doppler Anemometry; MP, Mega pixel; NRMSE, Normalised r.m.s. Error; RIM, Refractive Index Matching; 2D, 3D, Two/Three dimensional; Subscript, Description: c, Pertaining to the central sub-channel; e, Pertaining to the edge sub-channel; gap, Pertaining to the gap region; wall, Pertaining to the rod’s vibrations; str, Pertaining to the coherent structures; in, Stream-wise velocity profile inflection point; min, Lower limit of flow structure lengths; Max, Upper limit of flow structure lengths
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1. Introduction

Rod bundle flows are common in industrial applications, such as heat exchangers or conventional and next generation nuclear reactors. The core of a nuclear power plant consists of slender pins hosting the nuclear fuel, which are clustered together in a lattice defined by the pitch-to-diameter ratio (P/D), and by the arrangement, either hexagonal or squared. The coupling of such geometries with an axial flow of coolant to remove the generated heat constitutes a rod bundle flow. The presence of an axial flow of fluid through a rod bundle leads to velocity differences between the low-speed region of the gap between two rods, and the high-speed region of the main sub-channels. This velocity difference produces a shear layer between the two flow regions, leading to streaks of vortices carried by the current. Generally those vortices (or flow structures) occur on both sides of the gap enclosed by two adjacent rods, identifying the so-called gap vortex streets (Tavoularis, 2011), or large coherent structures.

The formation mechanism of the gap vortex streets is akin to the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability arising between two parallel layers of fluid moving with different speeds (Meyer, 2010). An inflection point in the stream-wise velocity profile is a necessary condition (though not sufficient) to have these coherent structures, as predicted by the Rayleigh’s instability criterion (Rayleigh, 1879). Unlike free mixing layers, vortex streets are stable along the flow, hence the adjective coherent. Furthermore, a lateral (span-wise) flow across the gap between the rods may also occur (cross-flow). In a nuclear reactor, cross-flow enhances lateral mixing between subchannels. The fuel temperature decreases accordingly, improving the safety performance of the reactor.

Fluid-structure interaction (FSI) between these coherent structures and the rods causes flow-induced vibrations (FIV) on the structural components, leading to damage by fretting (Païdoussis, 1981). If coherent structures have a length comparable in magnitude with the axial dimension of the rod assembly, they may cause resonance in the first and most energetic mode. Conversely, the presence of multiple, shorter coherent structures on either side of the rod would diminish their effect on the most energetic mode and may cause oscillations at higher, less energetic modes. However, coherent structures shorter than the rod might still cause oscillations at higher modes.

Research has widely covered the topic of coherent structures in rod bundles, both experimentally and numerically. Rowe measured coherent flow structures through a gap where the P/D was adjustable to 1.125 and to 1.250 (Rowe et al., 1974). Rehme proposed a static pressure instability mechanism to account for the formation of coherent structures (Rehme, 1987). Möller adopted the term metastableequilibrium to picture the
instantaneous difference in velocity and vorticity near the gap (Möller, 1991). Gosset and Tavoularis (2006) and Piot and Tavoularis (2011) investigated the lateral mass transfer across an eccentric annular gap with flow visualization techniques. Mahmood studied coherent structures in a square rod bundle over a range of Reynolds number (Mahmood, 2011). Later, Choueiri and Tavoularis studied the flow instability through the gap in the same geometry (Choueiri and Tavoularis, 2014; 2015). They found that the velocity fluctuations along the span-wise direction in the centre of the gap, compared to those in the axial direction, were varying in time with a rate twice as slow. This was consistent with the model previously proposed by Meyer and Rehme (1994). Chang and Tavoularis (2005), and Merzari conducted numerical studies on the same geometry (Merzari and Ninokata, 2011). Baratto investigated the air flow inside a 5-rods model of a CANDU fuel bundle (Baratto et al., 2006). FIV have also been thoroughly studied (Paidoussis, 1966; 1974). Recently Paidoussis enriched the literature on the subject with a two-volumes handbook (Paidoussis, 2014; 2016), collecting together most of the knowledge. Although there is abundance of FSI studies on both solitary cylinders or cluster of rods in axial flows, an experimental study of the role that coherent structures play in FSI inside rod bundles is missing. Furthermore, a tool for estimating the length of the coherent structures applicable to different geometries would contribute to designing safer components not subject to resonance. The approach is twofold: providing a new general correlation to estimate the size of the structures in different channel geometries and characterising the response frequency of the vibrating rod as a function of the rate of passage of the coherent structures. The measurement systems that are employed are Laser Doppler Anemometry (LDA) and a high-speed camera. The experimental setup consists of a 7-rods hexagonal bundle where part of the central rod consists of flexible silicone, which has previously been employed for other FIV studies (Modarres-Sadeghi et al., 2008). Optical access to the measurement region without light distortion is achieved through the refractive index matching technique (RIM). This has become a widely used solution for performing optical measurements in rod bundles. Dominguez followed such a method for his measurements inside a 3 × 3 and 5 × 5 square rod bundle (Dominguez-Ontiveros and Hassan, 2009; Dominguez-Ontiveros and Hassin, 2014). More recently experiments performed at Texas University made use of the RIM technique with a larger 61-pins hexagonal bundle (Nguyen et al., 2017; Nguyen and Hassan, 2017). In this work, part of the outer rods of the assembly are made of Fluorinated Ethylene Propylene (FEP), which matches the refractive index of water (Mahmood, 2011). FEP is one of the refractive-index matching materials, together with Meflon-DC employed by Sato et al. (2009), commonly used for this kind of applications (Hosokawa et al., 2012; Bertocchi et al., 2018). LDA measurements of the flow field are done to characterise the vortex streets in the considered geometry, followed by a measurement campaign with the high-speed camera to detect flow-induced vibration of the rod. The small size of this work’s bundle allows for an easier optical access around the central rod, which is crucial for measuring vibrations.

2. Theory

2.1. Natural frequency of a rod

Estimating the natural frequency of the silicone rod is required to interpret the results of the FSI measurement campaign. The Euler–Bernoulli beam theory for a single cylinder clamped at both ends, immersed in a steady, axial flow, and surrounded by an outer channel, gives the equation derived by Paidoussis (1966):

\[
EI \frac{d^4 w}{dx^4} + m_a \left( U \frac{d^2 w}{dx^2} + \frac{\rho_s v_s^2 w}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} C_{Dl} \frac{m_a v_s^2}{\rho_s D^2} \left( \frac{D}{2} - w \right) + 2m_i U \frac{d^2 w}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} C_{Dl} \frac{m_a v_s^2}{\rho_s D^2} \left( \frac{D}{2} - w \right) + C_D \frac{\rho_s v_s^2 w}{2} + m \frac{d^2 w}{dx^2} = 0
\]

where \( E \) is Young’s modulus of the silicone (typically 1 MPa), \( I \) is the moment of inertia of the silicone rod evaluated as \( I = \frac{1}{12}(D^4/4 - D_{i,ad}^4/4) \), being \( D_{i,ad} \) the inner diameter of the silicone rod.

\[ x \text{ is the rod radial displacement, } z \text{ is the axial coordinate along the rod, } m_a \text{ is the added mass accounting for the additional force exerted by the fluid on the rod while it moves, } U \text{ is the mean axial flow velocity, } C_T \text{ is the longitudinal viscous force coefficient whose definition is given in Hoerner (1965), } D \text{ is the rod diameter, } l \text{ is the rod length, } C_D = C_T \text{ is the lateral drag force coefficient, } C_V \text{ is the viscous damping coefficient } \text{(Sinyavskii et al., 1980), and } m \text{ is the rod mass. The added mass } m_a \text{ deserves a more detailed treatment since it accounts for the confinement effect given by the proximity of other bodies (i.e. walls, rods) around the silicone rod. The added mass is defined as}

\[ m_a = C_m \rho_s D^2 \frac{D^2}{4} \]

where \( C_m \) is the added mass coefficient which multiplies the weight of the fluid displaced by the rod in the flow. It represents the confinement effect of an outer channel surrounding a single rod (Sinyavskii et al., 1980; Paidoussis, 2014; Pettigrew and Taylor, 1994). Although \( C_m \) is a function of the outer channel diameter \( D_{m} \) the central rod of the bundle is actually surrounded by multiple rods, and not by a larger concentric tube. Therefore, \( D_{m} \) must be adapted to the rod bundle case by defining an equivalent hydraulic diameter given by the flow area of the surrounding six subchannels. The natural frequency \( \Omega_n \) of the central silicone rod is obtained with the procedure described in Paidoussis (2014) and Chen (1985), where the equation is first non-dimensionalised and then solved by the Galerkin method.
2.2. Empirical correlation for the length of coherent structures in bundle geometries

Estimating the wavelength of coherent structures is important for designing experiments that aim at studying specific sizes of the structures in rod bundles. The needed expression should be applicable to different geometries of the subchannels of a rod bundle. Therefore, an empirical correlation for estimating the wavelength \( \lambda \) of the structures is derived based on dimensional analysis. The wavelength \( \lambda \) is assumed to depend on the local channel geometry (hydraulic diameter of the main subchannel and of the gap region) and fluid properties. The flow velocity in the gap and in the main subchannel are also considered as parameters that determine the length of the structures (Mahmood, 2011). In mathematical terms,

\[
\lambda = \mathcal{K} \left( \frac{D_h^*}{D_h} \right)^m \rho^c \mu^d \nu^e \nu_{gap}^f D_h^g.
\]  

(3)

where \( \mathcal{K} \) is an arbitrary constant, \( D_h^* \) is the hydraulic diameter of the gap region (defined in Fig. 1), \( \rho \) is the fluid density, \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity, and \( \nu \) is the fluid velocity in the main subchannel, \( \nu_{gap} \) is the fluid velocity in the gap region, and \( D_h \) is the hydraulic diameter of the main subchannel.

From dimensional analysis, it follows that

\[
\frac{\lambda}{D_h} = \mathcal{K} \left( \frac{D_h^*}{D_h} \right)^m \nu^a \nu_{gap}^b.
\]

(4)

At high Reynolds numbers it is reasonable to assume that the pressure drops across the gap region \( \Delta p_{gap} \) and across the main subchannel \( \Delta p \), over a length \( L \), are the same:

\[
\Delta p_{gap} = \frac{P_{gap}}{2} \frac{L}{D_h} f(Re_{gap}) = \Delta p = \frac{P}{2} \frac{L}{D_h} f(Re),
\]

(5)

where \( f(Re) = C_1 Re^{-a} \) and \( f(Re_{gap}) = C_2 Re_{gap}^{-a} \) (Todreas and Kazimi, 1990). The ratio \( \frac{\nu_{gap}}{\nu} \) is then expressed by

\[
\nu_{gap}/\nu = \left( \frac{f(Re_{gap})}{f(Re)} \right) D_h^{\lambda/2}.
\]

Substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (4) leads to

\[
\frac{\lambda}{D_h} = \mathcal{K} \left( \frac{D_h^*}{D_h} \right)^m \nu^a f(Re) f(Re_{gap}).
\]

(7)

Experimental evidence has shown that the wavelength is independent on the Reynolds number of the main subchannel \( Re \) (Bertocchi et al., 2018; Mahmood, 2011; Guellouz and Tavoularis, 2000; Meyer and Rehme, 1995), so

\[
\frac{\lambda}{D_h} = \mathcal{K} \left( \frac{D_h^*}{D_h} \right)^m \nu^a f(Re_{gap}).
\]

(8)

The correlation will be tested against the experiments performed in simple geometries such as rectangular channels hosting respectively one or two half-rods (Mahmood, 2011; Bertocchi et al., 2018), and an eccentric rod hosted in a rectangular channel (Guellouz and Tavoularis, 2000). Furthermore, two rod bundle geometries are considered: the hexagonal bundle of this work and a sector of a circular bundle (Don and Tavoularis, 2018). The results of the validation with the experiments is discussed in Section 5.2.1.2.

2.3. Oscillating pressure field

The Weiss–Okubo criterion (Weiss, 1991; Okubo, 1970) states that coherent structures occur in vorticity-dominant regions of the flow in which \( \sigma^2 < 0 \), being

\[
\sigma^2 = tr S^2 - \omega^2.
\]

(9)

where \( tr S^2 \) is the trace of the strain rate tensor \( S^2 \), and \( \omega \) is the vorticity.

Moreover, considering a pressure field \( P \), the relation (Larchevêque, 1993)

\[
\nu^2 P = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2
\]

(10)

indicates that coherent structures occur where \( \nu^2 P > 0 \), that is a low-pressure region of the flow dominated by vorticity (Métais and Lesieur, 1992). Hence, coherent structures moving along the rod result in an oscillating pressure field moving with the flow that imposes a fluctuating force on the wall.

3. Experimental setup

3.1. Test loop

The experimental apparatus consists of a water loop with a 7-rods hexagonal bundle, where the central rod has a section made of flexible silicone rubber. The rod bundle is enclosed inside an outer hexagonal encasing of transparent polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA). The water flows top-down by gravity from an upper vessel through the bundle and is collected in a lower tank, where it is recirculated by a centrifugal pump towards the upper vessel. A valve with a linear response is located in the downcomer pipe to control the flow rate, which is monitored by a magnetic flow meter (ABB - type HA3).

3.2. Bundle geometry

In order to have vibrations induced by coherent structures, the silicone rod length must be comparable to the size of the expected coherent structures. If the rod is too long compared to the size of the coherent structures, the effects of the structures would cancel out and no flow-induced oscillation would be measurable. A study from Gent University (Ridder et al., 2016), done with the same P/D ratio, showed that coherent structures were expected to have a length of 7 cm. The length of the silicone section is set to 10 cm accordingly. The main parameters of the hexagonal lattice and of the test section are listed in Table 1.

The sketch of the optical window, of the inlet flow distributor, and of the whole test section are provided in Fig. 2. The flow enters from the top and the water is distributed over the 18 subchannels via the flow distributor (Fig. 2b): after a development length \( L_{dev} \) of 1.5m, the flow reaches the location of the measurement section (Fig. 2c, detail B). The internal structure of the flow distributor disrupts the large eddies that may be present in the stream, and it redistributes the flow uniformly among the subchannels of the bundle. Flow detachment from its walls is avoided by adopting a divergent angle of 4° (Idel’chik, 1966).

Optical access for the measurement systems around the central rod is achieved by partially replacing the stainless steel of the front rods with FEP, heat-shrunk around the body (Figs. 3a, b). The total length of the FEP tube is 190mm, of which 100mm provide the transparent
window for the measurements. The FEP tube is shrunk around the steel rod for a length of 45mm at both the extremities. The outer radius of the metal rod is reduced by the FEP wall thickness \( t = 0.25 \text{mm} \), hence there is no step in the transition between stainless steel and FEP that might affect the flow.

4. Measurement apparatus

4.1. LDA system

The first measurement system to be used is a 2-component LDA system (DANTEC, Denmark) with a maximum power of 300mW. The measurement settings are adjusted via the BSA Flow Software (DANTEC, Denmark). The flow is seeded with particles to scatter the light once they travel through the sensitive region of the laser beam pair. This is an ellipsoidal probe of \( 0.02 \text{mm}^3 \) \((dx=dy=79 \mu \text{m}; dz=790 \mu \text{m})\). Borosilicate glass hollow spheres (LaVision, Germany) with an average density of \( 1.1 \text{gcm}^{-3} \) and a diameter of 9–12µm are used. The LDA is moved in position with a traverse system.

4.2. LDA measurements

The Reynolds number of the subchannel, the wavelength of the coherent structures and the frequency of their passage are based on the measurements carried out with the LDA system. LDA measurements are conducted in the middle of the hexagonal transparent section, moving the laser probe from a position close to the outer wall towards the central rod, as shown in Fig. 3b. The 95% confidence level is evaluated.
for the mean stream-wise velocity: it is as low as 0.5% for all the measurement cases. A stopping criterion ends the measurements once 100000 samples are collected at each location. The flow rate is set within the range 1.05–4.80 kgs$^{-1}$. Two additional measurements close to the beginning and end of the transparent section help to check whether the flow structures are fully developed over its entire length. Fig. 4 shows the LDA measurement along the straight line throughout the gap between two front rods, with $Re_\text{c} = 30540$ ($Re_\text{e} = 22940$). The normalised stream-wise velocity component is reported in Fig. 4a. The velocity root mean square is shown in Fig. 4b, which features two maxima located at the outer wall and at close to the central rod, where turbulence increases due to the shear produced by the viscous sublayer, similarly to common wall-bounded flows (Pope, 2000). The relative maxima closer to the centre gap are due to the shear between the high-velocity region in the bulk and the low-velocity fluid inside the narrow gap (Bertocchi et al., 2018).

### 4.2.1. The slotting technique

The velocity samples measured by the LDA system are not evenly spaced in time, therefore a common Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) is not recommended. The spectra are, thus, evaluated by means of the slotting technique (Mayo, 1974; Tummers and Passchier, 2001; 1996), where sample pairs detected within a certain time interval (lag time) are allocated into the same slot. The product of the velocities of each sample pair (cross-product) is calculated and the average is taken within each slot. The slotting technique omits the cross-products with zero lag time (self-products), reducing the uncorrelated noise. The amount of particles crossing the probe volume is higher for high speed, biasing the spectrum at high frequencies (Adrian and Yao, 1986). Consequently, their contribution to the spectrum will be higher than the real one. Therefore, the transit time weighting algorithm is applied to the slotting technique to reduce this effect (Nobach, 2002). Once all the samples are allocated inside the slots, the autocorrelation coefficient is computed for each slot, and then the frequency spectrum is estimated. Periodical fluctuations of the fluid velocity given by coherent structures appear as a peak in the frequency spectrum.

### 4.2.2. Edge subchannel Reynolds number

The results of this work are collected with measurements performed inside the central subchannel and inside the edge subchannel. Therefore, it is more accurate to use the Reynolds of the edge and of the central subchannel, rather than estimating the Reynolds based on the total bundle flow area. The Reynolds number of the edge subchannel, $Re_\text{e}$, is estimated as follows:

$$ Re_\text{e} = \frac{\rho \overline{v_c} D_{h,e}}{\mu}, \quad (11) $$

where $\rho$ and $\mu$ are the density and dynamic viscosity of water, respectively; $D_{h,e}$ is the hydraulic diameter of the edge subchannel ($4A_t / P_t$), and $\overline{v_c}$ is the average stream-wise velocity inside the edge subchannel. The latter is evaluated by measuring the velocity over the flow area $A$ in the edge subchannel (Fig. 3b), and calculating the average according to

$$ \overline{v_c} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_i \sum_f \overline{v(x_i, y_f) A_{ij}}, \quad (12) $$

where $A_{ij}$ differs per position.

### 4.2.3. Central subchannel Reynolds number

The Reynolds number of the central subchannel, $Re_\text{c}$, is determined based on $Re_\text{e}$. $Re_\text{c}$ requires the values of the average stream-wise velocity $\overline{v_c}$ in the central subchannel. The pressure drops along all subchannels may be considered to be the same, as in Todreas and Kazimi (1990), i.e. $D_{\rho,c} = D_{\rho,e}$. The velocity $\overline{v_c}$ can be obtained by using the Darcy-Weisbach equation (White, 2016):

$$ \frac{f \rho_c \overline{v_c}^2}{D_{h,c}} = \frac{f_c \rho_c \overline{v_c}^2}{D_{h,c}}, \quad (13) $$

where $D_{h,c}$ is the hydraulic diameter of the central subchannel, $f_c$ and $f_e$ are the friction factors of central and edge subchannels, respectively. For a bare rod bundle (no spacers) in turbulent regime, $f_c$ and $f_e$ can be expressed as (Todreas and Kazimi, 1990)

$$ f = \frac{C_{rr}}{Re^*_c}, \quad (14) $$

where $n = 0.18$, and $C_{rr}$ is a coefficient depending on the hexagonal lattice. This correlation is valid for bare rod bundles within the pin number range of 7–217. Its mean error has been showed to be as low as 9% (Chen et al., 2018). From Eqs. (13) and (14) it follows that

$$ \overline{v_c} = n^{1/2} C_{rr} D_{h,c} D_{h,c}^{1/2} \left[ \frac{D_{h,e}}{D_{h,c}} \right]^{-1/2}, \quad (15) $$

$Re_\text{c}$ is finally evaluated as

$$ Re_\text{c} = \frac{\rho \overline{v_c} D_{h,c}}{\mu}, \quad (16) $$

The values of $Re_\text{c}$ and $Re_\text{e}$ at which the LDA measurements are done, are reported in Table 2.

### 4.3. FIV tracking system

The equipment to measure flow-induced vibrations of the silicone rod consists of a Complementary Metal-Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) camera Imager MX 4M (LaVision, Germany) capable of recording at 180 fps with full resolution (4 MP), and at 300 fps with a smaller field of view. The FIV tracking system cannot have both borders of the rod in
focus with sufficient resolution because the camera should be moved too far from the target. Therefore the camera is focused on one border and records 15,000 images at 300 fps in each measurement. The Nyquist frequency, being the highest frequency of a signal that can be captured with a given sampling rate, is 150 fps. The frequency of the vibrating silicone rod is expected to be of the same order of the coherent structures’ frequency, which is ≈ 10 Hz based on preliminary LDA measurements. Hence, a recording rate of 300 fps is considered high enough to measure vibrations induced on the silicone rod. The contrast between the white silicone and the dark background is improved using a flash light to illuminate the target area, and by keeping the setup in the dark. A binary filter converts the intensity values of the light in the image into ones or zeros, according to the threshold level determined with the Otsu algorithm (Otsu, 1979). The location of the vertical border between the two regions of the filter represents the position of the silicone rod in the image. Each pair of consecutive silicone rod’s positions is used to obtain the instantaneous displacement on the plane orthogonal to the line of sight of the camera (Fig. 3a). The series of instantaneous displacements gives the average displacement $\bar{\varepsilon}$, and the average root mean square $\bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{rms}}$ (being dispersion of the displacement values around the mean, analogous to the standard deviation), which are calculated with Eq. (17).

$$\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{N - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \varepsilon_i, \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\varepsilon_i - \bar{\varepsilon})^2},$$

(17)

where $N$ is the number of recorded images and $\varepsilon_i$ is the $i$-th displacement value. The frequency spectrum of the silicone rod’s displacement is estimated in two ways: by means of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of whom an example is shown in Fig. 5c, and by evaluating the autocorrelation function of $\varepsilon(t)$ (Fig. 5a). The frequency at which periodical oscillation of the rod occurred is revealed by a peak. The Bartlett’s method is applied to reduce the noise in the spectra (Monson, 1996). The peak in the spectrum obtained evaluating the autocorrelation function is fitted with a Gaussian bell to obtain a mean value of the frequency (Fig. 5b). The fitting error is calculated as the Normalised Root Mean Square Error (NRMSE):

$$\text{NRMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{\text{fit}}} \sum_{i} (x_{\text{fit}} - x_i)^2} / x_i,$$

(18)

where $N_{\text{fit}}$ is the number of fitted points of the peak, and $x_{\text{fit}}$ and $x_i$ are the fitted and the measured value of the spectrum, respectively. The frequency interval where fitting the spectral peak is chosen based on where the peak’s first derivative nullifies. The accuracy with which the average frequency is determined is lower than 2%. For each flow rate $\varepsilon$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{rms}}$, and the corresponding frequency of vibration are calculated. The noise in the signal, estimated through a no-flow recording, corresponds to an equivalent displacement of $3 \mu m$ (the minimum measurable displacement is $9 \mu m$). The time signal of the displacement is finally filtered with a Henderson’s 23 points moving average to reduce such a noise (Cioncolini et al., 2018).
5. Results and discussion

This section presents the results of the measurements: the first part characterises the coherent structures occurring in the flow and presents the new empirical correlation to estimate their wavelength. The second part reports the results of the measurements with the high-speed camera of fluid-structure interactions, focusing on the influence of coherent structures on the oscillation of the rod wall.

5.1. Coherent structures

Spectral analysis is performed on the stream-wise velocity component measured with the help of LDA. The turbulence inside the gap between the two front rods is examined based on the corresponding turbulence spectrum. This analysis is based on the slope of the spectrum: it helps to assess whether turbulence is two-dimensional or three-dimensional (2D, 3D for short) within the inertial subrange of the spectrum. Then this section will focus on the wavelength and the frequency of the coherent structures. The wavelength is used to validate an empirical correlation as proposed in Section 5.2.1, while the measured frequency of passage of the coherent structures is compared with the structural response frequency of vibration of the rod wall, as discussed in Section 5.3.

5.1.1. Characterising turbulence

The analysis of the frequency spectrum of the velocity helps to characterise turbulence by looking at whether the turbulence is 2D or 3D. For a 3D homogeneous turbulent flow, only the energy conservation equation applies and the inertial subrange of the turbulent spectrum usually shows the well-known slope of $-5/3$. In 2D turbulence the vortex-stretching effect is absent (Batchelor, 1969), hence the general vorticity equation for incompressible and inviscid fluid takes the form

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = 0,$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is the lagrangian (or substantial) derivative. Eq. (19) expresses the conservation of vorticity. This is a second conservation equation that changes the slope of the spectrum from $-5/3$ to $-3$, within the inertial subrange. The energy cascade moves towards larger scales (lower wavenumber), and vorticity transfers to the smallest scales in the viscous subrange, contrary to 3D turbulent flows (Kraichnan, 1967).

The slope of the inertial subrange gives, thus, an indication of the type of turbulence. The frequency spectrum of the stream-wise velocity is evaluated in the middle of the gap between the edge and central subchannel. The frequency spectrum is multiplied by $f^3$ or $f^{5/3}$; the resulting function $S(f) \cdot f^3$ or $S(f) \cdot f^{5/3}$ should have, thus, a flat plateau within the frequency range where turbulence is 2D (or 3D) (Romano, 1995).

The plots of Fig. 6 refer to $Re_c = 12 730$ ($Re_c = 9 560$). Fig. 6a shows the frequency spectrum $S(f)$ and Fig. 6b shows both $S(f) \cdot f^3$ and $S(f) \cdot f^{5/3}$. A low-frequency peak is found, which is characteristic of coherent structures that affect periodically the velocity field while moving with the mean flow. Although the spectrum exhibits a $-3$ slope over a short frequency decade, the overall slope appears to close to $-5/3$, as shown by the almost flat plateau of the $S(f) \cdot f^{5/3}$ plot.

Fig. 7 reports the case with $Re_c = 14 950$ ($Re_c = 11 230$), where the peak in the spectrum is at 5.3 Hz. The slope of the spectrum is close to $-5/3$, as shown by the constant trend of $S(f) \cdot f^{5/3}$ in the same frequency range.

Fig. 8 refers to the case with $Re_c = 48 630$ ($Re_c = 36 530$), where coherent structures occur at a higher frequency, being 17 Hz (Fig. 8a). The corresponding plots of $S(f) \cdot f^3$ and $S(f) \cdot f^{5/3}$ are shown in Fig. 8b. The spectrum at this Reynolds number has a slope between $-3$ and $-5/3$, meaning that the turbulent behaviour of the flow is intermediate between 2D and 3D: the flow is more anisotropic in the sense that two components are dominant over the third, contrarily to three-dimensional turbulence, where all the components are equally important.

5.2. Wavelength

For each flow rate, the turbulent spectra are evaluated along the path going from the edge to the central subchannel (Fig. 3b). The peaks found in the spectra reveal periodicities and the associated frequency $f_{str}$ ascribed to structures occurring in the flow. The quantities in the following plots are rendered non dimensional. In particular, non dimensional frequencies $f^*$ and non dimensional velocity $v^*$ are defined as (Paidoussis, 2014):

$$f^* = \frac{f}{f_{str}}, \quad v^* = \frac{v}{v_{str}}.$$
is constant and approximately equal to means that the rods are moved. The Reynolds is constant, the coefficients so it is reasonable to assume that

\[ \lambda = \frac{v}{f_{\text{fit}}} \]

\[ \lambda_{\text{max}} = \frac{v}{f_{\text{fit}} - \sigma_{\text{fit}}}; \quad \lambda_{\text{min}} = \frac{v}{f_{\text{fit}} + \sigma_{\text{fit}}}. \]

(21)

The uncertainty on the wavelength \( \lambda \) is estimated from the uncertainty propagation formula as

\[ \delta \lambda = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial f_{\text{fit}}} \right)^2 \sigma_{\text{fit}}^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial v} \right)^2 \sigma_v^2}. \]

(22)

where the approximation is possible because the error on \( v \) is negligible compared to the uncertainty on \( f_{\text{fit}} \). The frequency at which the flow structures pass through the measurement region scales almost linearly with the flow velocity, suggesting that these keep a constant length independent of the Reynolds number. Fig. 10 confirms that, at high \( Re_{\infty} \), the average wavelength is independent of the Reynolds number, as shown by previous results (Bertocchi et al., 2018; Meyer and Rehme, 1995; Guellouz and Tavoularis, 2000; Mahmood, 2011).

The object of the next section will be the influence of the geometry of the channel over the structure's wavelength.

5.2.1. Empirical correlation validation

The normalised wavelength of the structures \( \lambda/D_h^* \) is evaluated for different geometries, and the results are reported in Fig. 11 against the normalised hydraulic diameter of the gap region \( D_g^*/D_h \). The figure suggests that \( \lambda/D_h^* \) is constant and approximately equal to

\[ \frac{\lambda}{D_h^*} \approx 13. \]

(23)

The wavelength of the structures scales linearly with the hydraulic diameter of the gap region \( D_g^* \). If \( \lambda/D_h^* \) is constant, the coefficients \( \xi = \gamma = 0 \) in Eq. (8). If one imagines to increase indefinitely the hydraulic diameter of the main subchannel \( D_h \) while keeping the gap region the same \( (D_g^*/R_{\text{gap}}) \), the wavelength of the structures is not expected to change much. This means that at some point \( \lambda/D_h^* \) will not depend on \( (D_g^*/D_h) \), so it is reasonable to assume that

\[ \xi = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{D_g^*}{D_h} \leq 1. \]

(24)

We see that the correlation is valid even for \( D_g^*/D_h = 1.15 \), which is the case of the near wall subchannel of the hexagonal bundle of this work (Fig. 1f). For a bundle, \( D_g^*/D_h \leq 1 \) means that the rod are moved farther. For the hexagonal bundle this ratio has a non-zero upper limit that is reached when the rods are in contact with each other \( (P/D = 1) \): \( D_g^*/D_h = 2.7 \) and 1.6 for the central and the edge subchannel, respectively. Obviously, this case falls out of the scope of this work as the

\[ f^* = f \text{[Hz]} \sqrt{\frac{m + m^*}{E}} \frac{L_g}{L_s}; \quad v^* = \frac{v}{\sqrt{\frac{E}{I}}} \frac{L_s}{L_g}. \]

(20)
contact between the rods would damage the fuel elements of a nuclear reactor. The experiment performed with an eccentric rod inside a circular channel (Choueiri and Tavoularis, 2014) are also included in the plot (▼ in Fig. 11). Nevertheless, it deserves special care due to the much different geometry than a bundle since the borders of the gap region are not clearly identifiable.

5.2.2. Concluding remarks on coherent structures

Coherent structures are detected inside edge and central sub-channels, as well as inside the interconnecting gap. Their frequency scales linearly with the flow rate, and the wavelength is not dependent on the Reynolds number. The wavelength of the coherent structures appears to scale linearly with the hydraulic diameter of the gap region of the channel as \( \lambda \approx 13 \, D_{h}^{*} \).

5.3. Fluid-structure interaction

This section discusses the results of the fluid-structure interaction measurements. The average frequency of vibration of the silicone rod’s wall, \( f_{\text{wall}} \), the average displacement \( \bar{\xi} \), and the \( \xi_{\text{rms}} \) are obtained with ten series of measurements for each value of the flow rate (see Section 4.3 for details). The stream-wise rate of passage of the coherent structures, measured with LDA in the central subchannel (Fig. 9b), is also used for the analysis. The natural frequency of the silicone rod is estimated depending on the local velocity around the central flexible rod (see Section 2.1 for details). The three series, made non-dimensional, are plotted in Fig. 12.

The trend of \( f_{\text{str}} \) increases linearly, as discussed in Section 5.2. The natural frequency \( \Omega_{n} \) decreases with the velocity of the surrounding fluid: as the flow increases, the damping action of the term \( C_{1} \frac{\Omega_{n}}{m} U_{\infty} D_{a} \) grows under the action of the flow confinement (Païdoussis, 1974), especially with highly confined flows with low P/D ratios. \( f_{\text{wall}} \) shows a nearly constant frequency for \( Re_{e} \approx 29000 \), and drops for higher \( Re_{e} \) numbers (Fig. 12 and more in detail in Fig. 13a). Fig. 12 shows that the frequency of the structures \( f_{\text{str}} \) approaches twice the natural frequency of the rod \( 2\Omega_{n} \), and that the measured frequency of oscillation of the rod wall \( f_{\text{wall}} \) matches \( \Omega_{n} \). Both trends of the mean displacement of the wall, \( \bar{\xi} \), and its root mean square, \( \xi_{\text{rms}} \) (Fig. 14) display a clear peak in the Reynolds number range where \( f_{\text{str}} = 2\Omega_{n} \) (Fig. 12). Fig. 12 can have the following interpretation. Choueiri and Tavoularis (2014) found that the lateral velocity component of the vortex street oscillated with half the rate of passage of the coherent structures in the axial direction \( f_{\text{str}} / 2 \). This was consistent with Meyer and Rehme’s model (sketched in Fig. 13b), and with the experiments reported in Païdoussis et al. (1980) for a pulsating flow. According to the model, the counter-rotating large coherent structures produce a fluctuating velocity field. Decomposing such a field along the span-wise and stream-wise directions \( x \) and \( z \), gives a velocity that fluctuates twice as fast along the stream-wise direction \( V_{z} \) (in Fig. 13b). Conversely, the span-wise component \( U_{x} \) (in Fig. 13b) would oscillate twice as slow around the zero. This fluctuation
of the lateral velocity component would lead to an external force imposed on the rod, fluctuating in time with \( f_{\nu}/2 \). When such force oscillates with \( f_{\nu}/2 = \Omega_n \) (shown in Fig. 12), the rod and the vortex street are synchronized with each other and the magnitude of the oscillations increases (Williamson and Govardhan, 2004), as shown in Fig. 14.

6. Conclusions

This work aimed at studying the structural response of the central rod to large coherent structures occurring in the flow through a hexagonal bundle of rod tightly clustered (\( P/D = 1.11 \)). The flow was studied with LDA while the flow-induced vibrations on the rod were recorded with a high-speed camera. The optical accessibility to the measurement region was achieved by means of the RIM technique. The measurements of the frequency and the displacement showed the synchronization between the rod and the structures when these move with twice the natural frequency of the rod. This condition is characterised by the increased magnitude of the oscillations and by a response near to the natural frequency of the rod. A new correlation for estimating the wavelength of the structures is derived based on dimensional analysis and experiments, resulting in a wavelength that scales linearly with the hydraulic diameter of the gap region. The correlation is valid for different geometries, involving channels with single rods or more complex rod bundles with \( P/D \) (or \( W/D \)) ranging from 1.02 to 1.20. The findings of this work contribute to explain further the physics of the flow-induced vibrations of coherent structures arising in axial rod bundle flows, typical of industrial applications. Furthermore, the correlation that we propose may be helpful in designing industrial components that are not prone to resonance phenomena and, thus, mechanical fatigue.
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