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What are the present problems?

- In ‘dry’ years, like 2003, with periods of low discharges of the river Rhine, there are already strong restrictions to the loading capacity of the main inland vessels.

- In ‘wet’ years, like 1995, with periods of high discharges there are already some restrictions to the height of (container) vessels with respect to bridges and some speed limitations because of dike (in)stability.
What are the future problems?

- Due to climate change the expectation is that there will be a sea level rise of 1.30 m in 2100. So the Maeslant barrier will close more frequently (1*/10yr > 30*/yr).

- Due to climate change the expectation is that there will be more fluctuation in the discharges of the river Rhine, e.g. in 2050 the ‘dry’ year 2003, will be an average one.

- Together with the continuous upsizing of inland vessels, there will be even more restrictions to the loading capacity and/or height at that time (2050 / 2100).
Analysing steps of working group:

- **System description**
  - Definition of economic and climate scenarios

- **Water depth** (2050) based on climate scenarios

- **Transported volumes** (2050) based on economic scenarios

- **Direct impact** on specific trips of future inland vessels

- **More general impact** on costs, reliability and modal shift
1. In 2050, with high economic growth and climate change, then in worst case 10 days periods 35% of the volume is infeasible and 10% is still feasible, but at higher costs.

2. The increase in unreliability and higher costs leads to a modal shift of 26% of the volume, of which ¾ to rail and ¼ to road transport.

3. In 2050, the closing frequency of the Maeslant barrier may be 1 in every 5 years, but will have no substantial impact on inland waterway transport.
What are short term solutions?

• Better **Information management**: More accurate actual and forecasted waterdepth, draught, height, etc.

• More adequate **River management**: Local dredging, local suppletion, etc.

• **Logistic management**: More stock or storage capacity, other routes, modal shift, extra handling facilities, etc.

• **Added buoyancy** at critical locations (by ship camel)
Added buoyancy? What’s new!

Ship camel, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 1690!

Airbags, Quingdao, China, 2010
What are long term solutions?

- **Fleet management**: Vessels with smaller draft, so broader, longer, light weight, extra buoyancy, etc.

- **River management**: Movable weirs, movable groins, reservoirs and retention basins, etc.
What will be the right policy?

- Calculate **costs and benefits** of most promising short term and long term solutions.

- Try to convince **stakeholders** to make first small steps in their part of the (short term) solution.

- **Monitor** the developments of the main aspects to see if more (long term) solutions are needed.