Turbulent states in plane Couette flow with rotation

Matthew Salewski¹ and Bruno Eckhardt^{1,2,a)}

¹*Fachbereich Physik, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Renthof 6, D-35032 Marburg, Germany*

²*J. M. Burgerscentrum, Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 2, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands*

(Received 9 October 2014; accepted 30 March 2015; published online 16 April 2015)

Shearing and rotational forces in fluids can significantly alter the transport of momentum. A numerical investigation was undertaken to study the role of these forces using plane Couette flow subject to rotation about an axis perpendicular to both wall-normal and streamwise directions. Using a set of progressively higher Reynolds numbers up to $Re = 5200$, we find that the momentum flux, measured by the wall shear stress, for a given Re is a non-monotonic function of rotation number, Ro . For low-to-moderate Reynolds numbers, we find a maximum that is associated with flow fields that are dominated by downstream vortices and calculations of 2D vortices capture the maximum also quantitatively. For higher Reynolds numbers, a second stronger maximum emerges at smaller rotation numbers, closer to non-rotating plane Couette flow. It is carried by flows with a markedly 3D structure and cannot be captured by 2D vortex studies. As the Reynolds number increases, this maximum becomes stronger and eventually overtakes the one associated with the 2D flow state. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4917281>]

I. INTRODUCTION

The effects of rotation on shear flows are relevant in many industrial, geophysical, atmospheric, and astrophysical settings. Perhaps the simplest laboratory realization is Taylor-Couette (TC) experiment where fluid flows between independently rotating cylindrical shells.^{1,2} In addition to its historical role in the studies of the transition to turbulence³ and pattern formation,⁴ it has been given the status as a canonical system in which to understand fully turbulent behaviour.^{5–7} These studies have shifted the focus from studies of the different bifurcations and flow patterns back to the key physical properties, namely, the variation of the total torque required to keep the cylinders in motion with the external control parameters.^{2,8–13} Several studies^{14–18} of angular momentum transport have sought to explain the observed scalings and to include them in a wider context in the study of turbulence through the association of heat transport in the Rayleigh-Bénard system.¹⁹

A subsequent finding of these fully turbulent studies is the identification of a torque maximum when subjecting the system to global rotation. Below some threshold of imposed shear, the torque maximum seems to have a weak dependence on rotation;^{20,21} however, for sufficiently high shear rates, the maximum in the torque then seems to occur at a fixed rotation rate which is independent of the shear. The existence and location of the maxima is a consequence of the curvature and the linear stability properties of the flow.^{22–24} In the theoretical explanations, the azimuthally aligned vortices, which occur as the supercritical bifurcation from the laminar baseflow,²⁵ play a significant role. The flow, in analogy to the corresponding TC-state commonly called “Taylor-vortex flow” (TVF), is two-dimensional, and the parallel, counter-rotating vortices advect (relatively) faster-moving fluid from the wall-regions and transport it across to the opposite wall, thereby carrying angular momentum. Such vortices also play a role in rotating plane Couette (RPC) flow.

The cylindrical geometry of the TC-system induces centrifugal instabilities that strongly influence the transport of angular momentum. The curvature is captured by a third control parameter, the

a)bruno.eckhardt@physik.uni-marburg.de

ratio between the radii of the inner and outer cylinders. As this ratio approaches one, the curvature vanishes and the system becomes RPC flow; an experimental realization of this system is given in Refs. 26 and 27. The dependence of the torque on the ratio between the radii of the inner and outer cylinders is at the focus of several ongoing studies, and the results we present here provide the limiting behaviour as the radius ratio approaches one. In this limit, the torque and the flux angular momentum of the TC system become the drag and the flux of linear momentum in RPC.

The numerical simulations which we present here cover a wide range of rotation parameters for a small set of shear parameters, since we are mainly interested in the way rotation influences flow properties and momentum flux values. To see this most clearly, we study the flows for fixed shear rates and varying rotation rates.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we discuss the system, the momentum transport, and the numerical aspects. In Sec. III, we then discuss our results, first for the momentum transport and then for the mean profiles. We conclude with a few remarks in Sec. IV.

II. ROTATING PLANE COUETTE FLOW AND ITS NUMERICAL SIMULATION

A. System and parameters

In the rotating frame, the Navier-Stokes equations for an incompressible fluid in planar geometry are

$$\partial_t \mathbf{U} + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U} - 2\mathbf{U} \times \boldsymbol{\Omega} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \Pi + \nu \Delta \mathbf{U}, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U} = 0; \quad (2)$$

the pressure is modified by the inclusion of a centrifugal force,

$$\Pi(\mathbf{x}, t) = P(\mathbf{x}, t) - \frac{\rho}{2} (\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{x})^2. \quad (3)$$

We use (x, y, z) to denote the streamwise, wall-normal, and spanwise directions, respectively, and similarly use the notation, $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (U_w y + u(\mathbf{x}, t), v(\mathbf{x}, t), w(\mathbf{x}, t))$, for the velocity components in these directions. The top and bottom walls are separated by a distance $2h$ and move oppositely with a velocity difference of $2U_w$. The velocity field is periodic in the stream- and spanwise directions. The rotation occurs around a spanwise axis, hence, $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \Omega \mathbf{e}_z$. In Fig. 1, we show the geometry of this system, the orientation of the axes and walls, and the applied rotational force.

The equations are non-dimensionalized using the wall-velocity, U_w , and half of the channel height, h ; this establishes the Reynolds number, Re , as well as the rotation parameter, Ro_S ,

$$Re = (U_w h) / \nu, \quad (4)$$

$$Ro_S = (2\Omega h) / U_w. \quad (5)$$

The Rossby number used, e.g., in geophysics, is the inverse of Ro_S . Viscosity and the intrinsic lengthscale h then define a velocity scale $v_v = \nu/h$, with which one can construct a “viscous” rotation number, $Ro_V = 2\Omega h^2 / \nu$, which is the ratio of Coriolis to viscous forces; it is also known as the (inverse) Ekman number.²⁸ The two rotation numbers are connected through the relation

$$Ro_V = Re Ro_S. \quad (6)$$

FIG. 1. The orientation of the plane Couette system with wall velocity U_w and an imposed global rotation, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. The orientation of the rotation vector is out-of-the-page and shows the anticyclonic rotation which this paper mainly deals with.

The orientation of the rotation vector significantly affects the flow. The vorticity of the laminar baseflow, $\nabla \times U_b(y) = -U_w/h\mathbf{e}_z$, is constant and negative in the spanwise direction. When the vorticity of the laminar profile and the rotation vector are parallel, the flow is known as “cyclonic” and the rotation has a stabilizing effect on the flow; for anti-parallel pairing of these vectors, the “anti-cyclonic” effect destabilizes the flow. The differences between cyclonic and anti-cyclonic rotation can be understood by considering the Coriolis’ role in the equations of motion, $\mathbf{U} \times \boldsymbol{\Omega}$. In the cyclonic orientation, the high-speed fluid near the walls is redirected towards the walls, where viscous dissipation is at its largest; perturbations here would be damped relatively quickly compared with their ability to elicit a (transient) response. This stabilization of the flow preserves the linear stability of non-rotating plane Couette flow.²⁹ Anti-cyclonic rotation causes the high-speed fluid near the walls to be turned to the flow’s interior, setting up an instability that resolves itself through the formation of the counter-rotating vortices aligned in the streamwise direction. They correspond to the TVF in TC and will hence be referred to by this label. The vortices are invariant in the downstream direction (azimuthal in the TC geometry), so that the flow is effectively two-dimensional.

There are further connections between RPC flow and TC flow. In both systems, the base flow is unidirectional, parallel to the walls, and has a (relatively) simple dependence on the distance from the walls. Furthermore, the rotation is around a vector that is orthogonal to both the base flow direction and wall-normal direction; this is the z -axis in RPC, making the spanwise direction the axial direction in TC. The streamwise direction then translates to the azimuthal direction. The link between these systems was studied by Dubrulle *et al.*³⁰ who sought a set of parameters that could be used in rotating shear flows in both cylindrical and planar geometries. Their parameters Re_D and Ro_D depend on the radius ratio of the TC system, but are chosen such that they remain finite in the limit of the planar system. Their parameters (marked with a subscript “D”) are related to ours by

$$Re = Re_D/4, \quad (7)$$

$$Ro_S = -Ro_D. \quad (8)$$

In addition, the stability properties of RPC are closely related to TC.³¹ Both systems have transitions to turbulence via supercritical and subcritical mechanisms depending on the parameter values. In both cases, there is a supercritical bifurcation with the laminar baseflow transitioning to two-dimensional TVF,³² with vortices aligned in the streamwise direction. Bifurcations from the Taylor vortices to the wavy vortices^{25,33,34} and their variations^{35,36} lead to turbulence via the Ruelle-Takens scenario.³ Daly *et al.*³⁷ have recently reviewed secondary and tertiary states found in RPC, characterizing their bifurcations and stability properties. On the subcritical side, the limit of TC to RPC sees the transition mechanism change from centrifugally driven to shear driven.³⁸ Turbulence is via the onset of growing turbulent spots, becoming turbulent stripes which eventually fill the entire domain,^{39–41} this latter view was originally confirmed in pipe flow⁴² and subsequently in a TC-approximation to plane Couette flow.⁴³

The dynamics of both systems remain similar even beyond the bifurcation diagrams. For example, the experimental studies in RPC^{26,27,44,45} show a strong overlap with the well-known results from TC.^{4,46} Tsukahara *et al.*²⁷ made a detailed parameter scan of the dynamics seen in RPC and created a state space diagram in the spirit of Andereck *et al.*,⁴⁶ where one can directly see an overlap in the dynamics. However, we note that the parameters of Andereck *et al.*⁴⁶ are the outer and inner Reynolds numbers, Re_o and Re_i , and hence are different from Ro_V and Re used in Tsukahara *et al.*²⁷ The relation between these sets of parameters is given by Dubrulle *et al.*³⁰

B. Momentum flux

The physical quantity we use to distinguish different flow states is the momentum flux between the moving walls. Here, we briefly derive this quantity and relate it to its analogue in the TC-system. Following Eckhardt *et al.*,¹⁷ we start with the streamwise component of the velocity field, decomposed into a base-profile and the fluctuations as $U = U_w y + u$,

$$\partial_t u = -vU_w - U_w \partial_x u - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)u - \partial_x p + \nu \Delta U + 2\Omega v. \quad (9)$$

$\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$ is the vector of fluctuating components. Though the laminar contribution vanishes in the dissipative term of the above equation, we keep the full streamwise velocity as it is retained in the momentum flux (see below). We define spatial averages in the stream- and spanwise directions by

$$\langle A(y, t) \rangle_{xz} = \frac{1}{L_x L_z} \int_0^{L_x} \int_0^{L_z} A(x, y, z; t) dx dz; \quad (10)$$

time-averages are similarly defined. Averaging Eq. (9) in space and time, the resultant quantity gives the conserved momentum flux, or current,

$$J^u = \langle uv \rangle_{xz, t} - \nu \partial_y \langle U \rangle_{xz, t}. \quad (11)$$

Near the walls, it reduces to the local “wall shear stress” τ_w .⁴⁷ To make the connection of the present system to the torque measurements and dimensionless quantities in the TC system, we divide the momentum flux by its laminar value to obtain the dimensionless momentum flux, Nu , which serves as the analogue of the Nusselt number in TC flow and Rayleigh-Bénard convection; see Refs. 14 and 18. Noting that $J_{\text{lam}}^u = \nu U_w/h$, the momentum-Nusselt number is defined as

$$Nu = \frac{J^u}{J_{\text{lam}}^u} = \frac{h}{U_w \nu} \left(\langle uv \rangle_{xz, t} - \nu \partial_y \langle U \rangle_{xz, t} \right). \quad (12)$$

As in other cases, it consists of a Reynolds-stress, $\langle uv \rangle_{xz, t}$, and a viscous gradient of the streamwise velocity in the wall-normal direction, and while each of these depends upon y , the momentum flux, spatio-temporally averaged, is y -independent (see Ref. 17 or Sec. 7.1 in Ref. 47). Since J^u is constant in y and its value may be taken at the boundaries of the system, $y = \pm 1$. For rigid, impermeable walls, $\langle uv \rangle_{xz, t}|_{y=\pm 1} = 0$ since $v|_{y=\pm 1} = 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} J^u|_{y=\pm 1} &= -\nu \partial_y \langle U \rangle_{xz, t}|_{y=\pm 1} \\ &= \tau_w. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The friction Reynolds number Re_τ is defined with the wall shear stress τ_w as

$$Re_\tau \equiv \frac{h}{\nu} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_w}{\rho}} = \frac{h}{\nu} \sqrt{J^u}, \quad (14)$$

so that the relation between Nusselt number and Re_τ becomes

$$Re_\tau = \sqrt{Re Nu}. \quad (15)$$

One can similarly construct a measure of the skin-friction coefficient,

$$c_f = \frac{2\tau_w}{\rho U_w^2}, \quad (16)$$

which can be found in shear flows near a wall.^{47,48} The skin-friction is related to the Nusselt number analogue in TC by^{11,13}

$$c_f = \frac{2}{U_w^2} \left(\frac{U_w \nu}{h} Nu \right) = \frac{2}{Re} Nu. \quad (17)$$

A second point to note is that J^u does not contain the rotation rate explicitly, since the spatial average of the incompressibility condition leads to $\langle v \rangle_{xz} = 0$. As a consequence, the effects of the rotation have to show up in the momentum transport through their effects on the flow.

C. Numerical aspects

For the direct numerical simulations (DNS), we use the code `channelflow` developed by Gibson,⁴⁹ used and verified extensively, see, e.g., Refs. 50–56. For this work, we implemented an OpenMP interface, extending `channelflow` to run on shared-memory processors. We also

configured the FFTW3 library⁵⁷ which `channelflow` uses to run with multi-threading, obtaining a moderate increase in speed.

To treat the Coriolis force, we used the so-called “rotational” form⁵⁸ of the nonlinear term, $\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla U^2 - \mathbf{U} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{U}$, so that Eq. (1), made dimensionless as explained above Eq. (4), becomes

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{U} \times ((\nabla \times \mathbf{U}) + Ro_S \mathbf{e}_z) = -\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2} U^2) + \frac{1}{Re} \Delta \mathbf{u}. \quad (18)$$

The code evolves the full velocity field $\mathbf{U} = y \mathbf{e}_x + \mathbf{u}$, containing both the laminar profile and the fluctuations, in the nonlinear term. The time-stepping for `channelflow` is a semi-implicit, multistep-backwards finite-difference scheme with the modified nonlinear term including the Coriolis force, treated explicitly, while the solution of the linear terms is done implicitly; this scheme is a common treatment for including the Coriolis force, see, for example, Refs. 59 and 60.

The rotational form of the nonlinear term requires dealiasing,⁶¹ and hence, we use a 2/3-dealiasing rule for all of our simulations. The gridpoint resolutions for our simulations are $N_x \times N_y \times N_z = 108 \times 71 \times 108$, $192 \times 81 \times 192$, $256 \times 113 \times 256$, and $384 \times 129 \times 384$ for $Re = 650, 1300, 2600$, and 5200 , respectively. Resolution was checked by statistical convergence of volume-averaged quantities for successively increased resolutions, specifically using the relationship between the momentum flux and the kinetic energy dissipation rate, $\varepsilon = \nu J''/h^2$, as suggested in Refs. 62 and 63 for Rayleigh-Bénard and Refs. 17 and 20 for TC.

We examine turbulent regimes for $Re = 650, 1300, 2600$, and 5200 . The Reynolds number of $Re = 1300$, and multiples thereof, was chosen as this has been used as a number of studies for plane Couette flow, with and without rotation.^{64–68} Preliminary tests using $Re = 1300$ showed that box size did not have an influence on the critical rotation number when the width of the box was above 0.5π , and similarly for the streamwise length. We decided then to use boxes of $L_x \times L_z = 4\pi h \times 2\pi h$. Even though this box is smaller than the ones used in other studies, we find that the friction Reynolds numbers Re_τ match other simulations in larger domains rather well.^{60,69–71} The components of J'' given in Ref. 68 for $Ro_S = 0$ and 0.7 agree with our results. Our results are also consistent with other large-domain studies but with different values of Re , though mostly without rotation, Refs. 60 and 69–71. Finally, recent numerical studies of the turbulent TC-system with short azimuthal (streamwise) lengths are, in some cases, consistent with available experimental measures²⁰ and in others agree solidly.²⁴

In addition to the turbulent simulations, `channelflow` is capable of finding and continuing exact coherent solutions, such as Taylor vortices, using a Newton-hookstep search-algorithm⁷² with pseudo-arclength continuation scheme. Since the search-algorithm employs the DNS for the Newton method, no additional modifications were needed to include the Coriolis forcing. The continuation program was adapted to follow solutions in rotation number, but this required no significant changes to the main algorithm.

III. RESULTS

We begin the presentation of our results with the dependence of the friction force on shear and rotation, followed by a discussion of the velocity fields and the mean profiles.

A. Global force measurements

The results for the wall-normal momentum flux are shown in Fig. 2. One frame shows the friction Reynolds number, Re_τ vs. Ro_V , the other the momentum-Nusselt number against Ro_S . For all Reynolds numbers shown, the general trend is that the momentum flux increases for increasing anti-cyclonic rotation and reaches a maximum value in the low-to-moderate anti-cyclonic rotation regime. For low Reynolds numbers, there is a single maximum, but for higher Re , there are two: a narrow one at small rotation and a broad one for larger Ro_S ; henceforth, these will be called the “narrow peak” and the “broad peak,” respectively. Moreover, we found that rotation suppresses the turbulence, as noted before in Refs. 66–68. One notes that the maxima in the Re_τ vs. Ro_V plot are

FIG. 2. The friction Reynolds number, Re_τ , and momentum transport flux, Nu , against the rotation parameters Ro_V and Ro_S , respectively. The small shaded region near the base of the Re_τ -axis in (a) gives the horizontal extent of the domain described in Ref. 27. The error bars indicate the statistical uncertainty extracted from the fluctuating signals.

not aligned and the range that can be covered varies with Re_τ . In contrast, when plotted against Ro_S , the maxima do line up, suggesting that Ro_S is the more appropriate parameter.

The cyclonic regime in RPC, where rotation has a stabilizing effect, is entirely subcritical; bifurcations from the laminar baseflow occur only when Re goes to infinity.⁷³ The empirically found state-space of Ref. 27 shows sustained turbulence for this region until $Re = 1100$. Outside of these findings, there are currently no critical sets of parameters $\{Ro_S, Re, L_x, L_z\}$ that determine where turbulence will exist for significantly long times. For this reason, the cyclonic rotation numbers we report here are chosen from simulations which sustain turbulence long enough to obtain reasonable statistics.

The overall variation of the momentum flux with Ro_S has an unexpected explanation: it follows closely the curve of the momentum flux of Taylor vortex flow, Nu_{TVF} , which is an exact solution^{31,34} and consists of a pair of streamwise independent, counterrotating vortices. Since all three velocity fields are independent of x , this flow is 2-dimensional flow. In Fig. 3, we compare the data from the turbulent simulation for $Re = 1300$ with the momentum transport for two Taylor vortex

FIG. 3. Comparison of the momentum transport flux, Nu , again as a function of Ro_S , for a fully turbulent simulation and the exact Taylor vortex flow solutions at $Re = 1300$; graphs (a) and (b) show the absolute and rescaled value of Nu , respectively. In both graphs, the shape of the turbulent momentum transport curve resembles those of the computed TVF solutions. In graph (b), the rescaling is chosen using a rotation number where the turbulent simulations are statistically 2D, specifically where the flow is most like the TVF, which occurs for $0.04 \leq Ro_S \leq 0.1$; the shape of the plot is unchanged if another Ro_S within this range is used.

solutions, one with a spanwise wavelength coinciding with the boxwidth the turbulent simulations, $\lambda_z = 2\pi h$, and the other with half the wavelength; these solutions correspond to a flow with one and two vortex pairs, respectively. These solutions were found using the linear instability of the laminar flow at low Reynolds numbers and one rotation number, usually $Ro_S = 0.1$, and were then continued to higher Reynolds and different rotation numbers using the hookstep Newton-solver implemented in `channelflow`.⁵² As the TVF solution is 2D, we were able to take advantage of its streamwise-invariance to reduce the computational load using a shortened streamwise box-length, $L_x \rightarrow L_x/8$. In continuing this solution to $Re = 1300$, we found no further instabilities that significantly changed its properties, such as its 2D character or time-independence.

We first note that the 2D TVF solutions in Fig. 3(a) have the same variation with Ro_S . The solution with the spanwise wavelength $\lambda_z = \pi h$ has two pairs of counterrotating vortices in the full domain of $L_z = 2\pi h$. This wavelength is closer to the critical wavelength, $\lambda_z^{(c)} \approx 4h$,³¹ and uses the domain more efficiently, producing a higher flux compared to the solution with a single vortex pair, $\lambda_z = L_z$. The 2D TVF states also share a similar Ro_S -variation with the turbulent RPC flow simulation; however, an absolute quantitative agreement is lacking. When the curves are rescaled using the momentum flux at a rotation number where the turbulent simulations are statistically 2D, a collapse of the data is found; see Fig. 3(b). The dominance of the TVF-solution in the momentum flux can be rationalized by the presence of the streamwise vortices and their redirection of streamwise energy into the wall-normal flow, thus having a significant impact on the transfer of momentum.⁵⁹ The role of the noise generated by the turbulent fluctuations on streamwise vortices reduces their transport effectiveness, redirecting the energy from the coherent vortices, hence the discrepancy between the turbulent and exact solutions. We further note the data points in Fig. 3(b) which do not collapse onto the TVF curves, for $Ro_S = 0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6$, correspond to flow states with two pairs of vortices.

Returning to Fig. 2, the most striking feature is the presence of a second peak at $Ro_S \sim 0.02$ for $Re \geq 2600$. As quantitative measures of the increased momentum transport, we normalized the momentum transport flux by its non-rotating value, Fig. 4(a), and using a value nearer to the TVF-maximum, Fig. 4(b). Fig. 4(a) highlights the relative increase in the region for smaller rotation numbers, $Ro_S \lesssim 0.1$. It can be seen from this figure that, in general, the Taylor vortices become weaker when the Reynolds number is increased. For $Re = 650$, there is a 72% enhancement in momentum transport compared to the non-rotating case. For $Re = 1300$, the enhancement is reduced to 67% for the double vortex pair state; other simulations have shown an enhancement of 54% for the single vortex pair state. The enhancement is reduced further to 41% and 15% for Reynolds numbers 2600 and 5200, respectively. Conversely, we see that the narrow peak increases with Reynolds number. In the curve for $Re = 1300$, there is a slight bump at $Ro_S = 0.02$; it will be demonstrated later that this

FIG. 4. The momentum transport fluxes' variation with rotation, distinguished using the same symbols as in Fig. 2. In graph (a), the fluxes are normalized by their respective values at zero-rotation to compare to the non-rotating transport, specifically showing the development of the “narrow” peak. As was used previously in Fig. 3(b), graph (b) uses $Ro_S = 0.1$ for the normalization showing that the Ro_S -variation of the momentum transport flux, which distinguishes the broad peak, is robust with respect to Re .

corresponds to the same peak in the larger Re -cases. Given that the steps taken in rotation number, $\Delta Ro_S = 0.01$ for $Ro_S < 0.1$, do not necessarily coincide with the actual positions of the maxima, we estimate enhancements of 10%, 13%, and 20% for $Re = 1300$, 2600, and 5200, respectively. The value of $Nu(0)$ also increases with Reynolds number as in Fig. 2, but not as rapidly as the new peak. The accompanying plot, Fig. 4(b), shows again the coincidence of the curves when using a normalizing value taken from the TVF. Finally, we remark that the respective Ro_S -positions of the peaks do not change appreciably with Reynolds number over the range studied here. This is clear for the broad peak considering the above data. However, for the narrow peak, it is less clear with its presence found only for $Re = 2600$ and 5200; in the end of Sec. III B, we give evidence using spectral energy modes showing that the mechanism underlying this peak is already present at the lower Re .

B. Velocity fields

While the outer, broad maximum is connected with 2D Taylor vortices, the narrow peak has fundamentally different dynamics. To demonstrate this, we show the flow fields and their properties for various cases of rotation, $Ro_S = 0, 0.02, 0.1$, and 0.2 , in Fig. 5 (Multimedia view). The case of the highest Reynolds number, $Re = 5200$, shows the most notable differences. The figure shows snapshots of the streamwise velocity field plotted in the xz -plane in the middle of the gap, $y = 0$, and its streamwise averaged profile in the yz -plane with the averaged wall- and spanwise flows depicted with streamlines. Additionally, movies have been made for $Ro_S = 0.0, 0.02, 0.06, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, and can be seen in Figs. 5 and 6 (Multimedia view).

The first such case we present is that for zero-rotation in Fig. 5(a) (Multimedia view). For the non-rotating case, there is no Coriolis force to maintain large streamwise structures, such as Taylor vortices. Some large streamwise streaks do appear, but these do not persist for appreciable times. The accompanying vortices, whose normal extent does not fill the channel's height, are similarly fleeting. The streamwise average of the flowfield shows some of the remaining cross-stream flows, revealing little coherence and a interfering tangle of vortices. Without the anti-cyclonic rotation to sustain the vortices for extended periods of time, the wall-wise transport of momentum can only be less efficient without the collaboration among the present structures.

When rotation is included, even if for small values like $Ro_S \simeq 0.01$, we see a dramatic change in the flow state. The image in Fig. 5(b) (Multimedia view) corresponds to the narrow peak for

FIG. 5. Images of the streamwise flowfield, u , in the xz -plane at $y = 0$ (left) along with its streamwise-averaged flowfield, $\langle u \rangle_x$, shown as a yz -plane at $x = 0$ (right) for $Re = 5200$. The velocity in the xz -plane has been rescaled by a factor of 2.5 in comparison to the color-bar scale; this was done to increase the contrast in the flow. The streamlines are used here to provide a qualitative impression of the flowfield. Accompanying movies of the flows in (a)-(d), as well as for $Ro_S = 0.06$ and 0.3 , are given in the accompanying movies. (Multimedia view) [URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4917281.1>] [URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4917281.2>] [URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4917281.3>] [URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4917281.4>] [URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4917281.5>] [URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4917281.6>]

FIG. 6. Snapshots of the streamwise-averaged flowfield, $\langle U_w + u \rangle_x$, for $Re = 5200$ and $Ro_S = 0.3$ as it evolves in time, $\Delta t = 30$, from a 2-vortex pair state, $t = 0$, to a single pair state, $t = 210$; the starting time is arbitrary. Timesteps $t = 180$ – 240 , i.e., the bottom row, are additionally visualized in a movie. (Multimedia view) [URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4917281.7>]

$Re = 5200$. In comparison to the non-rotating case, we see that there are significant vortical structures spanning the streamwise length; they are contiguous for all times observed. Together, the vortices are also flattened out and fill the yz -plane, in both average and instantaneous (not shown) flows. It seems to be a Taylor vortex pair, however, with a streamwise modulation, much like that of the so-called wavy-vortex flow which is the first bifurcation from the TVF-solution in both RPC³⁴ and Taylor-Couette flow.^{25,33} Observing movies of this flow state shows that they are not constant in time, with the modulation increasing and decreasing in amplitude; this would rather suggest a comparison to periodic orbits found in this system at lower Re .^{37,74} We note a marked distinction between this and the non-rotating flowstate, mainly in the spatial coherence.

Finally, we show flowfields near to the second peak in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) (Multimedia view), for $Ro_S = 0.1$ and 0.2 , respectively, leading to the peak within the range $0.1 \leq Ro_{S,\max} \leq 0.3$. We see in both images that the flow is mostly two-dimensional, with some small-scale fluctuations; the overhead, mid-plane plot (left in both figures) shows two pairs of (alternating) by high-speed streaks and the xz -averaged plot (right) distinctly shows two vortex pairs. Observing these flowfields in time shows that the streaks are being rapidly advected in the streamwise direction. The main occurrence in this rotation number region is the strengthening of the vortices, matched by increased advection of the streaks. Both of these features are consistent with the laminar case of TVF,⁵⁹ it is as if the turbulent fluctuations do not matter.

For $Ro_S = 0.1$, the streaks are relatively contiguous in the streamwise direction and there seem to be no large-scale fluctuations to upset the two-dimensionality of the flow. One can appreciate this in the lefthand image of Fig. 5(c) (Multimedia view). The vortices for this rotation number are always present and relatively robust in their spanwise positions. Similarly, and seen in the righthand image, the vortex-diameters are roughly equal and also stable in time. Films of the simulations were on the order of 300 time-units, and snapshots separated by 50 time-units over the 5000 time-unit simulation length showed little positional changes overall. We note that this region of quasi-2D flow begins, seemingly rather abruptly, for $Ro_S \geq 0.06$ and continues until the broad peak.

The flow beyond the broad peak is markedly different. In Fig. 5(d) (Multimedia view), the streaks do not show the same measure of spatiotemporal coherence. An interesting feature of the vortices near the peak is an oscillating spanwise compression/extension fluctuation; an occurrence of this can be seen in the figure where the vortices centered at 0.5π and 1.5π are of different sizes. Moreover, for $Ro_S \leq 0.1$, such fluctuations do not occur but when $Ro_S \geq 0.3$, the fluctuations are significantly larger and demonstrate a competition between state with one and two vortex pairs, see Fig. 6 (Multimedia view).

The visualizations give evidence that the peaks found in the momentum flux are dominated by distinct coherent structures which are largely 2- or 3-dimensional. These observations suggested that the contributions to the peaks can be studied using simple Fourier decompositions of the stream- and spanwise energy, which we represent with the wallwise-averaged spectral energy modes, $\tilde{E}_{ln}$; the indices stand for the downstream and spanwise Fourier modes. The full relation that gives the spectral energy for a given Fourier mode is

$$\tilde{E}_{ln}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y-1} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{lmn}(t)|^2, \quad (19)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{lmn}$ are the complex Fourier-Chebyshev coefficients. The single pair of vortices in the narrow peak, shown above in Fig. 5(b) (Multimedia view), suggests a contribution from the spanwise mode $\tilde{E}_{01}$, whereas the $\tilde{E}_{02}$ -mode becomes dominant for the double-vortex pair state of the broad peak, as seen in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) (Multimedia view). For the streamwise modulation seen in Fig. 5(b) (Multimedia view), we also expect a contribution due to the $\tilde{E}_{10}$ -mode. Focusing on the orthogonal modes is a choice made empirically; examining the full set of modes, $\tilde{E}_{ln}$, shows that low-index modes with either $l = 0$ or $n = 0$ are usually the strongest in the anti-cyclonic region where quasi-2D flows are encountered. The discussion of the wallwise-averaged modes considered below is therefore limited to $l, n = 1, \dots, 4$ since including $l, n \geq 5$ gives no further information as these are comparatively small. The plots in Fig. 7 show that the $\tilde{E}_{10}$ -mode has a strong presence in an acute region of Ro_S eventually becoming the narrow peak for larger Re , and that this is rapidly reduced for slightly larger rotation numbers where the flow is primarily 2-dimensional. The $\tilde{E}_{10}$ -mode re-emerges at the broad peak, and thereafter, all $\tilde{E}_{10}$ -modes with $l \neq 0$ decrease. We can compare these results to a related DNS study by Tsukahara,⁷⁵ who also found coherent streamwise wavy-vortex structures coexisting with a turbulent background for rotation numbers within the region of the narrow peak, namely, $Ro_S \approx 0.0267$ and $Ro_S = 0.04$, for $Re = 750$. The

FIG. 7. Left and right, respectively, are the stream- ($n=0$) and spanwise ($l=0$) spectral energy modes, $\langle \tilde{E}_{ln} \rangle_t$ for mode-numbers $l, n \in [1, 4]$, averaged in time, plotted against the rotation number, Ro_S . Note that the range for the streamwise modes is roughly a factor of four bigger.

domains used there were significantly larger than ours, $L_x^{(\text{Tsu.})} \times L_z^{(\text{Tsu.})} \approx 16.3L_x \times 16.3L_z$, and allowed the dynamics more room in choosing the optimal wavelengths; the wavelength of the streamwise modulation was considerably longer compared to ours, $\lambda_x^{(\text{Tsu.})} = 29h \gtrsim 2\lambda_x$. Interestingly, the pre-multiplied energy spectra at mid-channel measured in Ref. 75 show a rapid decrease of the energy associated with $\lambda_x^{(\text{Tsu.})} = 29h$ between $Ro_S \approx 0.0267$ and $Ro_S = 0.04$. Moreover, the spanwise wavelength was also measured to be $\lambda_z^{(\text{Tsu.})} \approx 2\pi h$, for these rotation numbers; this is consistent with our own findings where the $\tilde{E}_{01}$ -mode being the strongest for rotation numbers near to the narrow peak. This eventually changes in all cases to the $\tilde{E}_{02}$ -mode being the largest; in addition, the onset of the latter mode is delayed when $Re = 650$ and fluctuates with Ro_S for $Re = 1300$. These two observations suggest at least some competition between single-pair and two-pair vortex states, with the latter becoming the more stable of the two as Reynolds number is increased. The wavelength of the two-pair state is closer to the optimal wavelength suggested by numerical simulations of the Taylor-Couette system with a comparable rotation number.²⁰

C. Mean profiles and fluctuations

We now wish to understand the increase in the momentum transport associated with the narrow peak and to contrast it with the broad peak. First, in the definition of Nu in Eq. (12), there are two contributions, $\langle uv \rangle_{xz,t}$ and $\partial_y \langle U \rangle_{xz,t}$, which vary with the y -position. Profiles for J^u and these components are plotted in Fig. 8 for Ro_S near the narrow peak.

At and around the narrow maximum, seen in graphs (b) and (c), the quasi-Reynolds stress component $\langle uv \rangle_{xz,t}$ is larger than Nu for a region centered in the middle of the channel and spanning roughly 40% of the width. Though the difference is not large, with $(\langle uv \rangle_{xz,t} - Nu)/Nu \lesssim 0.2\%$, it implies that the gradient of the mean-flow, $\partial_y \langle U \rangle_{xz,t}$, must be negative in this region. There is no analogous finding for components' profiles corresponding to the broad peak (not shown), so that this feature is unique to the narrow peak.

The negative region of $\partial_y \langle U \rangle_{xz,t}$ implies a counterflow in the mid-channel of the meanflow. Fig. 9 shows profiles of the meanflow, with and without the laminar contribution, for the rotation numbers near the narrow and broad peaks. Most of the profiles have a monotonically increasing slope. In various high- Re non-rotating experiments of plane Couette flow, Reichardt⁷⁶ showed, and later supported theoretically by Busse,⁷⁷ that the meanflow in the center of the channel has a slope of $+1/4$; this is arguably confirmed for the non-rotating case (a) and those near the broad peak, (e) and (f). However, panels (b) and (c), which correspond to the narrow peak, show a nearly flat region near the middle of the channel where the profile displays a slight negative slope, and therefore a weak counterflow. For this, nearly flat region in the total meanflow, $\langle U \rangle_{xz,t} = U_w y + \langle u \rangle_{xz,t}$, the laminar flow is compensated by the mean of the deviation term, $\langle u \rangle_{xz,t}$, which from the previous figure, it is known that the laminar flow is slightly over-compensated.

FIG. 8. The momentum transport flux and its components' profiles normalized by the laminar momentum transport flux, J_{lam} , which gives these quantities in their absolute scale so that they can be compared with Fig. 2(b). The insets in graphs (b) and (c) magnify the region near $y = 0$, where $\langle uv \rangle_{xz,t}$ intersects and becomes larger than Nu , indicating a region where $\partial_y \langle U \rangle_{xz,t} < 0$.

FIG. 9. The streamwise velocity profiles for the total meanflow, $\langle U \rangle_{xz,t} = U_w y + \langle u \rangle_{xz,t}$, and the mean flow excluding the laminar contribution, $\langle u \rangle_{xz,t}$, for $Re = 5200$, using various rotation numbers associated with the narrow (top row) and broad (bottom row) peaks. Additional lines are added for reference: dotted line with slope of -1 , dashed with slope 0 , and dotted-dashed with slope 0.25 . Insets in graphs (b) and (c) magnify the region near $y = 0$, where $\langle U \rangle_{xz,t}$ displays a slight counterflow resulting in $\partial_y \langle U \rangle_{xz,t} < 0$.

There are some additional features of the $\langle u \rangle_{xz,t}$ -profile to be noted. First, there is a turning-point by each wall corresponding to high-speed fluid being advected from the opposite wall. Despite a lack of continually coherent vortices, the non-rotating flow still produces this profile, suggesting that intermittent vortices can cooperate to enhance the momentum transport. The peaks of $\langle u \rangle_{xz,t}$ change non-monotonically with Ro_s , reaching a maximum between the narrow and broad peaks. The second feature of the $\langle u \rangle_{xz,t}$ -profiles is that there is a “width” associated with each peak, measured using the full-width at half-maximum; we discuss this feature qualitatively in what follows. For larger rotation rates, a strong crossflow quickly sweeps the streamwise flow to the opposing walls, deforming the profile there rather than in the center; this results in the narrowing of the $\langle u \rangle_{xz,t}$ -profiles’ peaks. In contrast, at low- Ro_s , weaker vortices move the streamwise component through the mid-channel more slowly, which promotes coupling between the streamwise and crossflow and results in broader maxima.

Since the cooperation of the streamwise and crossflow velocities is believed to be responsible for the narrow peak via the quasi-Reynolds stress in the momentum flux, it is reasonable to consider the mean velocities in the mid-channel where $\langle uv \rangle_{xz,t}$ is the largest. However, the averages of the crossflow velocities vanish, $\langle v \rangle_{xz,t} = \langle w \rangle_{xz,t} = 0$ at $y = 0$, and in order to get a measure of their magnitudes, we instead extracted from the data the quasi-Reynolds stress profiles of the squared velocity components, $\langle u_i^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$, defined using the same spatial-averaging in Eq. (10) with additional time-averaging. These are plotted in Fig. 10, where to compare against the momentum flux, they are normalized with J_{lam}^u . Note that these stresses also provide information about the RMS-fluctuations using the relation $u_{i,\text{RMS}} = (\langle u_i^2 \rangle_{xz,t} - \langle u_i \rangle_{xz,t}^2)^{1/2}$; in the case of the crossflow, the stresses are equal to the squared fluctuations whereas this only holds for u at the mid-channel where the mean streamwise flow vanishes.

For all graphs, these quantities are significantly larger than Nu . In graphs (a) and (b), the mid-channel minimum of $\langle u^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$, and hence $u_{i,\text{RMS}}^2$, is stronger than the crossflow stresses/fluctuations. Without rotation, the spanwise component in the mid-channel is stronger than with rotation; this is consistent with the observed flowfields, as shown in Fig. 10(a). At anti-cyclonic rotation just above zero, the baseflow is destabilized, large coherent vortices form, and the wall-normal flow develops.

FIG. 10. The quasi-Reynolds stress profiles for the normal components, $\langle u^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$, at $Re = 5200$, using rotation numbers associated with the narrow (top row) and broad (bottom row) peaks. The normalization is made with the laminar momentum flux, J_{lam}^u . Note the thin-lined representations of the crossflow components scale with the right ordinate axis.

Fig. 10(b) then shows that at Nu_{max} , the mid-channel regions of $\langle v^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ and $\langle w^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ intersect, with $\langle v^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ continuing to increase until just after $Ro_S \sim 0.04$, where it matches $\langle u^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$. Thus, when the flow transitions towards a quasi-2D state, $\langle v^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ is the largest in the mid-channel, as shown in Fig. 10(c).

Following the increase in Ro_S , both $\langle v^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ and $\langle w^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ continuously increase with the strengthening of the vortices until the broad maximum, Fig. 10(e). Already by $Ro_S = 0.1$, Fig. 10(d), $\langle v^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ is quite large in the mid-channel, taking a parabolic shape that is different from its profile for lower Ro_S ; its maximum is larger than those of $\langle u^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ and $\langle w^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$. At $Ro_S = 0.2$, both $\langle v^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ and $\langle w^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ have increased further and in the middle of the channel, $\langle w^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ coincides with $\langle u^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$. For even larger rotation rates, both components of the crossflow are stronger than the streamwise flow in the mid-channel.

Returning to the profiles, we offer the interpretation that the strength of the streamwise flow, which affects both the quasi-Reynolds stress component and the gradient of the mean flow, is largest in the center of the channel until the force reaches its maximum. It is also significant that $\langle v^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ surpasses $\langle w^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ here since this denotes an increasing wallwise flow in this region, allowing for a better coupling with the streamwise flow. The mid-channel strengths of $\langle u^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ and $\langle v^2 \rangle_{xz,t}$ are decreasing and increasing, respectively, and they intersect for $Ro_S > 0.04$. This alludes to a narrow range of Ro_S where there is an optimal mixing that causes the slight streamwise counterflow in the mid-channel, as in Fig. 9(b).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we have numerically explored the turbulent plane Couette system with anti-cyclonic global rotation imposed. This study was carried out for moderate-to-large Reynolds numbers, $Re = 650, 1300, 2600, 5200$. In accordance with other numerical results for RPC,^{65–68} and similar to recent experiments in Taylor-Couette systems,^{5,6} our results show that the dimensionless momentum transport flux, Nu , is strongly influenced by the rotation. However, as we have demonstrated, changes in the momentum flux with rotation are attributed to different flow states and do not come from explicit rotation related terms in the momentum balance.

For all Reynolds numbers, the turbulent momentum flux can be mainly attributed to the underlying Taylor vortex solution over a wide range for $Ro_S \gtrsim 0.04$. This finding was supported through

comparisons between Nu calculated from exact solutions of the TVF and from the turbulent simulations for $Re = 1300$, as well as examinations of the velocity fields and their spectral representations.

One of the more intriguing findings reported here is a marked deviation from this TVF-behavior, where for $Re \gtrsim 2600$ and within a narrow range of low rotation numbers, $Ro_S = 0.01 - 0.04$, there is an abrupt increase in Nu , seen as a second peak. It is also significant that for $2600 < Re < 5200$, this narrow peak becomes larger in amplitude than the broad peak associated with the TVF, creating a discontinuous shift in the Ro_S -position of the flux maximum. The flow associated with this narrow peak consists of a single pair of somewhat flattened counter-rotating vortices; this is in contrast to the broad peak which favors a smaller spanwise wavelength resulting in two vortex pairs. Interestingly, the flow of the narrow peak also includes a streamwise modulation, resembling the wavy vortex flow also identified in this and the TC-system. This modulation is apparent in all Reynolds numbers as seen in the streamwise Fourier modes, $\tilde{E}_{l,0}$. Furthermore, we observed a temporal aspect as the streamwise modulation changes in time.

Analysis of the mean-velocity profiles and quasi-Reynolds stresses shows distinct relationships among the velocity fluctuations, described here using $u_{i,RMS}^2$, associated with the peaks; this highlights the crucial role that the coupling between the streamwise and wallwise velocities plays in the transport maxima. In the broad peak, the mid-channel fluctuations of the wallwise velocity are the strongest followed by the streamwise fluctuations; this relationship is reversed when the narrow peak emerges for large Re . Contributions from the streamwise modulation and its meandering motion to the narrow peak's profile are an open issue.

The results here are obtained in boxes which are small compared to other studies mentioned in Secs. II C and III B. We noted agreement between our results and those from those large domain simulations, though we should stress that the dynamics are constrained by Eq. (12), which is independent of the domain size. Despite this, we caution that the presence of large-scale coherent structures will have dynamically relevant lengthscales associated with them and will modify the momentum flux based on the structures' ability to accommodate to a given domain size. Therefore, we recommend further studies into the effect of the domain size, particularly with respect to the narrow peak. Moreover, non-periodic boundary conditions in the spanwise direction, as in the case of the experiments, will change the flux balance, but one can expect that for sufficiently wide domains, the properties from the periodically continued ones can be recovered.

The observation that the 2D solutions can capture much of the momentum flux of the 3D systems may be viewed as an extreme example of a coherent structure (in this case, the 2D vortices) dominating the properties of a turbulent flow. It would be interesting to trace this state and follow its bifurcations as parameters are changed and to check where and to which extend it continues to dominate the momentum flux.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Hannes Brauckmann for many fruitful discussions and comments. We would also like to thank a referee for many helpful comments from a careful and thorough reading of our manuscript. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

¹ M. F. A. Couette, *Études sur le Frottement des Liquides* (Gauthiers-Villars, 1890).

² G. I. Taylor, "Fluid friction between rotating cylinders. I. Torque measurements," *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **157**(892), 546–564 (1936).

³ J. Guckenheimer, "Strange attractors in fluid dynamics," in *Dynamical Systems and Chaos*, Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 179, edited by L. Garrido (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983), pp. 149–156.

⁴ D. Coles, "Transition in circular Couette flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **21**(03), 385–425 (1965).

⁵ M. S. Paoletti and D. P. Lathrop, "Angular momentum transport in turbulent flow between independently rotating cylinders," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 024501 (2011).

⁶ D. P. M. van Gils, S. G. Huisman, G.-W. Bruggert, C. Sun, and D. Lohse, "Torque scaling in turbulent Taylor-Couette flow with co- and counterrotating cylinders," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 024502 (2011).

⁷ S. G. Huisman, D. P. M. van Gils, S. Grossmann, C. Sun, and D. Lohse, "Ultimate turbulent Taylor-Couette flow," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 024501 (2012).

⁸ F. Wendt, *Turbulente Strömungen Zwischen Zwei Rotierenden Konaxialen Zylindern* (Pöppinghaus, 1933).

⁹ G. P. Smith and A. Townsend, "Turbulent Couette flow between concentric cylinders at large Taylor numbers," *J. Fluid Mech.* **123**, 187–217 (1982).

- ¹⁰ D. P. Lathrop, J. Fineberg, and H. L. Swinney, "Turbulent flow between concentric rotating cylinders at large Reynolds number," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**(10), 1515–1518 (1992).
- ¹¹ D. P. Lathrop, J. Fineberg, and H. L. Swinney, "Transition to shear-driven turbulence in Couette-Taylor flow," *Phys. Rev. A* **46**(10), 6390 (1992).
- ¹² G. S. Lewis and H. L. Swinney, "Velocity structure functions, scaling, and transitions in high-Reynolds-number Couette-Taylor flow," *Phys. Rev. E* **59**(5), 5457 (1999).
- ¹³ F. Ravelet, R. Delfos, and J. Westerweel, "Influence of global rotation and Reynolds number on the large-scale features of a turbulent Taylor-Couette flow," *Phys. Fluids* **22**(5), 055103 (2010).
- ¹⁴ B. Eckhardt, S. Grossmann, and D. Lohse, "Scaling of global momentum transport in Taylor-Couette and pipe flow," *Eur. Phys. J. B* **18**(3), 541–544 (2000).
- ¹⁵ B. Dubrulle and F. Hersant, "Momentum transport and torque scaling in Taylor-Couette flow from an analogy with turbulent convection," *Eur. Phys. J. B* **26**(3), 379–386 (2002).
- ¹⁶ A. Racina and M. Kind, "Specific power input and local micromixing times in turbulent Taylor-Couette flow," *Exp. Fluids* **41**(3), 513–522 (2006).
- ¹⁷ B. Eckhardt, S. Grossmann, and D. Lohse, "Torque scaling in turbulent Taylor-Couette flow between independently rotating cylinders," *J. Fluid Mech.* **581**(1), 221–250 (2007).
- ¹⁸ B. Eckhardt, S. Grossmann, and D. Lohse, "Fluxes and energy dissipation in thermal convection and shear flows," *Europhys. Lett.* **78**(2), 24001 (2007).
- ¹⁹ F. H. Busse, "The twins of turbulence research," *Physics* **5**, 4 (2012).
- ²⁰ H. J. Brauckmann and B. Eckhardt, "Direct numerical simulations of local and global torque in Taylor-Couette flow up to $Re = 30\,000$," *J. Fluid Mech.* **718**, 398–427 (2012).
- ²¹ R. Ostilla, R. J. A. M. Stevens, S. Grossmann, R. Verzicco, and D. Lohse, "Optimal Taylor-Couette flow: Direct numerical simulations," *J. Fluid Mech.* **719**, 14–46 (2013).
- ²² D. P. M. van Gils, S. G. Huisman, S. Grossmann, C. Sun, and D. Lohse, "Optimal Taylor-Couette turbulence," *J. Fluid Mech.* **706**, 118–149 (2012).
- ²³ H. J. Brauckmann and B. Eckhardt, "Intermittent boundary layers and torque maxima in Taylor-Couette flow," *Phys. Rev. E* **87**, 033004 (2013).
- ²⁴ S. Merbold, H. J. Brauckmann, and C. Egbers, "Torque measurements and numerical determination in differentially rotating wide gap Taylor-Couette flow," *Phys. Rev. E* **87**(2), 023014 (2013).
- ²⁵ P. Chossat and G. Iooss, "Primary and secondary bifurcations in the Couette-Taylor problem," *Jpn. J. Appl. Math.* **2**(1), 37–68 (1985).
- ²⁶ N. Tillmark and P. H. Alfredsson, "Experiments on rotating plane Couette flow," in *Advances in Turbulence VI*, Fluid Mechanics and its Applications Vol. 36, edited by S. Gavrilakis, L. Machiels, and P. A. Monkewitz (Springer, Netherlands, 1996), pp. 391–394.
- ²⁷ T. Tsukahara, N. Tillmark, and P. H. Alfredsson, "Flow regimes in a plane Couette flow with system rotation," *J. Fluid Mech.* **648**, 5–33 (2010).
- ²⁸ H. P. Greenspan, *The Theory of Rotating Fluids*, Cambridge Monographs on Mechanics and Applied Mathematics (Cambridge University Press, 1968).
- ²⁹ P. J. Schmid and D. S. Henningson, *Stability and Transition in Shear Flows* (Springer Verlag, 2001), Vol. 142.
- ³⁰ B. Dubrulle, O. Dauchot, F. Daviaud, P.-Y. Longaretti, D. Richard, and J.-P. Zahn, "Stability and turbulent transport in Taylor-Couette flow from analysis of experimental data," *Phys. Fluids* **17**(9), 095103 (2005).
- ³¹ D. K. Lezius and J. P. Johnston, "Roll-cell instabilities in rotating laminar and turbulent channel flows," *J. Fluid Mech.* **77**, 153–175 (1976).
- ³² G. I. Taylor, "Stability of a viscous liquid contained between two rotating cylinders," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **223**, 289–343 (1923).
- ³³ G. Iooss, "Secondary bifurcations of Taylor vortices into wavy inflow or outflow boundaries," *J. Fluid Mech.* **173**, 273–288 (1986).
- ³⁴ M. Nagata, "On wavy instabilities of the Taylor-vortex flow between corotating cylinders," *J. Fluid Mech.* **188**, 585–598 (1988).
- ³⁵ M. Nagata, "Three-dimensional traveling-wave solutions in plane Couette flow," *Phys. Rev. E* **55**(2), 2023 (1997).
- ³⁶ M. Nagata, "Tertiary solutions and their stability in rotating plane Couette flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **358**(1), 357–378 (1998).
- ³⁷ C. A. Daly, T. M. Schneider, P. Schlatter, and N. Peake, "Secondary instability and tertiary states in rotating plane Couette flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **761**, 27–61 (2014).
- ³⁸ H. Faisst and B. Eckhardt, "Transition from the Couette-Taylor system to the plane Couette system," *Phys. Rev. E* **61**(6), 7227 (2000).
- ³⁹ P. Manneville and Y. Pomeau, "Different ways to turbulence in dissipative dynamical systems," *Physica D* **1**(2), 219–226 (1980).
- ⁴⁰ A. Lundbladh and A. V. Johansson, "Direct simulation of turbulent spots in plane Couette flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **229**, 499–516 (1991).
- ⁴¹ Y. Duguet, P. Schlatter, and D. S. Henningson, "Formation of turbulent patterns near the onset of transition in plane Couette flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **650**, 119 (2010).
- ⁴² K. Avila, D. Moxey, A. de Lozar, M. Avila, D. Barkley, and B. Hof, "The onset of turbulence in pipe flow," *Science* **333**(6039), 192–196 (2011).
- ⁴³ L. Shi, M. Avila, and B. Hof, "Scale invariance at the onset of turbulence in Couette flow," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**(20), 204502 (2013).
- ⁴⁴ P. H. Alfredsson and N. Tillmark, "Instability, transition and turbulence in plane Couette flow with system rotation," in *IUTAM Symposium on Laminar-Turbulent Transition and Finite Amplitude Solutions*, Fluid Mechanics and its Applications Vol. 77, edited by T. Mullin and R. Kerswell (Springer, Netherlands, 2005), pp. 173–193.

- ⁴⁵ K. Hiwatashi, P. H. Alfredsson, N. Tillmark, and M. Nagata, "Experimental observations of instabilities in rotating plane Couette flow," *Phys. Fluids* **19**, 048103 (2007).
- ⁴⁶ C. D. Andereck, S. S. Liu, and H. L. Swinney, "Flow regimes in a circular Couette system with independently rotating cylinders," *J. Fluid Mech.* **164**(3), 155–183 (1986).
- ⁴⁷ S. B. Pope, *Turbulent Flows* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- ⁴⁸ L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Course of Theoretical Physics Vol. 6, 2nd ed., translated from Russian by J. B. Sykes and W. H. Reid (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1987), pp. 227–229.
- ⁴⁹ J. F. Gibson, "Channelflow: A spectral Navier-Stokes simulator in C++," Technical Report, University New Hampshire, 2012, <http://www.Channelflow.org>.
- ⁵⁰ T. M. Schneider, J. F. Gibson, M. Lagha, F. De Lillo, and B. Eckhardt, "Laminar-turbulent boundary in plane Couette flow," *Phys. Rev. E* **78**(3), 037301 (2008).
- ⁵¹ J. F. Gibson, J. Halcrow, and P. Cvitanović, "Visualizing the geometry of state space in plane Couette flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **611**(1), 107–130 (2008).
- ⁵² J. F. Gibson, J. Halcrow, and P. Cvitanović, "Equilibrium and travelling-wave solutions of plane Couette flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **638**(1), 243–266 (2009).
- ⁵³ J. Halcrow, J. F. Gibson, P. Cvitanović, and D. Viswanath, "Heteroclinic connections in plane Couette flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **621**, 365–376 (2009).
- ⁵⁴ T. M. Schneider, J. F. Gibson, and J. Burke, "Snakes and ladders: Localized solutions of plane Couette flow," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**(10), 104501 (2010).
- ⁵⁵ T. M. Schneider, D. Marinc, and B. Eckhardt, "Localized edge states nucleate turbulence in extended plane Couette cells," *J. Fluid Mech.* **646**, 441 (2010).
- ⁵⁶ T. Kreilos and B. Eckhardt, "Periodic orbits near onset of chaos in plane Couette flow," *Chaos* **22**(4), 047505 (2012).
- ⁵⁷ M. Frigo and S. G. Johnson, "The design and implementation of FFTW3, special issue on "Program Generation, Optimization, and Platform Adaptation"," *Proc. IEEE* **93**(2), 16–231 (2005).
- ⁵⁸ C. Canuto, M. Y. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni, and T. A. Zang, *Spectral Methods in Fluid Dynamics* (Springer-Verlag, 1988).
- ⁵⁹ F. Rincon, G. I. Ogilvie, and C. Cossu, "On self-sustaining processes in Rayleigh-stable rotating plane Couette flows and subcritical transition to turbulence in accretion disks," *Astron. Astrophys.* **463**(3), 817–832 (2007).
- ⁶⁰ G. Brethouwer, Y. Duguet, and P. Schlatter, "Turbulent–laminar coexistence in wall flows with Coriolis, buoyancy or Lorentz forces," *J. Fluid Mech.* **704**, 137–172 (2012).
- ⁶¹ T. A. Zang, "On the rotation and skew-symmetric forms for incompressible flow simulations," *Appl. Numer. Math.* **7**(1), 27–40 (1991).
- ⁶² G. Ahlers, S. Grossmann, and D. Lohse, "Heat transfer and large scale dynamics in turbulent Rayleigh–Bénard convection," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 503–537 (2009).
- ⁶³ O. Shishkina, R. J. A. M. Stevens, S. Grossmann, and D. Lohse, "Boundary layer structure in turbulent thermal convection and its consequences for the required numerical resolution," *New J. Phys.* **12**(7), 075022 (2010).
- ⁶⁴ R. Kristoffersen, K. H. Bech, and H. I. Andersson, "Numerical study of turbulent plane Couette flow at low Reynolds number," *Advances in Turbulence IV*, Fluid Mechanics and its Applications Vol. 18, edited by F. T. M. Nieuwstadt (Springer, Netherlands, 1993), pp. 337–343.
- ⁶⁵ K. H. Bech, N. Tillmark, P. H. Alfredsson, and H. I. Andersson, "An investigation of turbulent plane Couette flow at low Reynolds numbers," *J. Fluid Mech.* **286**, 291–326 (1995).
- ⁶⁶ K. H. Bech and H. I. Andersson, "Secondary flow in weakly rotating turbulent plane Couette flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **317**, 195–214 (1996).
- ⁶⁷ K. H. Bech and H. I. Andersson, "Turbulent plane Couette flow subject to strong system rotation," *J. Fluid Mech.* **347**, 289–314 (1997).
- ⁶⁸ M. Barri and H. I. Andersson, "Computer experiments on rapidly rotating plane Couette flow," *Commun. Comput. Phys.* **7**(4), 683–717 (2010).
- ⁶⁹ D. V. Papavassiliou and T. J. Hanratty, "Interpretation of large-scale structures observed in a turbulent plane Couette flow," *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow* **18**(1), 55–69 (1997).
- ⁷⁰ B. Debusschere and C. J. Rutland, "Turbulent scalar transport mechanisms in plane channel and Couette flows," *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **47**(8), 1771–1781 (2004).
- ⁷¹ T. Tsukahara, H. Kawamura, and K. Shingai, "DNS of turbulent Couette flow with emphasis on the large-scale structure in the core region," *J. Turbul.* **7**, N19 (2006).
- ⁷² D. Viswanath, "Recurrent motions within plane Couette turbulence," *J. Fluid Mech.* **580**, 339 (2007).
- ⁷³ M. Nagata, "Three-dimensional finite-amplitude solutions in plane Couette flow: Bifurcation from infinity," *J. Fluid Mech.* **217**, 519–527 (1990).
- ⁷⁴ G. Kawahara and S. Kida, "Periodic motion embedded in plane Couette turbulence: Regeneration cycle and burst," *J. Fluid Mech.* **449**, 291–300 (2001).
- ⁷⁵ T. Tsukahara, "Structures and turbulent statistics in a rotating plane Couette flow," *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **318**, 022024 (2011).
- ⁷⁶ H. Reichardt, "Gesetzmäßigkeiten der geradlinigen turbulenten Couetteströmung," in *Mitteilungen aus dem Max-Planck-Institut für Strömungsforschung* (Max-Planck-Institut für Strömungsforschung, Göttingen, 1959), Vol. 22.
- ⁷⁷ F. H. Busse, "Bounds for turbulent shear flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **41**, 219–240 (1970).