

Overview of routes to open access

van Wezenbeek, Wilma; Tullney, Marco

Publication date

Document Version Final published version

Citation (APA)

van Wezenbeek, W., & Tullney, M. (2017). Overview of routes to open access. Workshop CESAER, Bruxelles, Belgium. https://zenodo.org/record/268647#.WS2BHk1dAXI

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policyPlease contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



conference of european schools for advanced engineering education and research

Overview: Routes to Open Access

Marco Tullney and Wilma van Wezenbeek TFOS CESAER

Brussels February 2, 2017



Open Access

- free access to research results, especially scholarly publications
- comes in different flavors, from "free to read" to "free to use and build upon"
- mandated by funders, demanded by policy makers, wanted by (some) researchers



Key Routes

- (national) open access strategies
- open access mandates
- open access incentives
- open access awareness
- open access publishing
- open access infrastructure



Open Access Strategies

- implemented by several European countries
- setting open access quotas
- differ in preferred routes
 - gold open access (immediate open access to primary publication)
 - green open access (openly accessible secondary version)
- making open access the standard by around 2020



Open Access Mandates

- mandate open access when possible
- research funders
 - Austrian Science Fund
 - Dutch Science Fund
 - Wellcome Trust
- academic employers
- national law?



Open Access Incentives

- set e.g. by employer, funders, organizations
- reward systems
- internal funding
- expand selection criteria for academic positions
- evaluation of researchers and institutions
- reward best practices, e.g. open licenses



Open Access Awareness

- increase commitment of researchers, institutions
- educating researchers about their rights
- reclaim academic autonomy
- informed decisions about publishing strategy
- sharing best practice examples
- provide information, support



Open Access Publishing

- funding of commercial open access models (expensive)
- explore alternative publishing models
- increase transparency and accountability
- institutional funding, consortial funding of platforms
- flip established journals to open access



Open Access Infrastructure

- for production, dissemination, and aggregation of open access publications
- often run by research libraries or institutes
- open access repositories (institutional or subject-based)
- journal platforms, monograph platforms and preprint platforms (see e.g. <u>ArXiv</u>)
- aggregators like harvesters, search engines (see e.g. <u>BASE</u>), and metarepositories (see e.g. <u>PubMed Central</u>)

CESAER

Germany: DEAL

- project, all major academic organizations involved
- goal: national licenses with a strong open access clause
- with Elsevier, Springer, Wiley
- negotiations started with Elsevier
- ~600 institutions, varying end dates of existing contracts



Germany: DEAL, so far

- ~60 institutions cancelled Elsevier subscriptions, more ready to join, Elsevier deactivated access
- Elsevier losing money each week
- mostly positive reactions so far
- calling editors-in-chief etc. to quit Elsevier journals
- open access has to be at the core of every new contract
- international support possible: ask universities, editors, authors to support the transition to open access, demand open access instead of subscription



Example NL

- Letter secretary of state november 2013
- NL prefers gold route
- Implementation consists of:
 - Negotiating Open Access with publishers
 - Cooperating internationally
 - Intensifying Open Access advocacy
 - Monitoring whether target figures for Open Access publications will indeed be achieved



Lessons learned NL

We believe that four important factors contributed to our success, namely:

- a unique negotiation model, united as consortium
- an influential delegation
- loyalty to principles and
- political involvement



Moving on NL

- Open Access has still the full attention in the Netherlands.
- Negotiations with open access results for 7 out of 8 big deals. (<u>Info in E-Zine</u>).
- National Plan Open Science will be presented on 9 February, and National Platform Open Science will be launched, to be followed on <u>openscience.nl</u> (link active as of 9 February 2017!).



Author information

Marco Tullney, Technische Informationsbibliothek https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5111-2788





Wilma van Wezenbeek, TU Delft http://tulibrarian.weblog.tudelft.nl



Published under a CC BY 4.0 license. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



