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Summary
More and more countries suffer from water stress and water scarcity problems. This is due to the increasing water demand which is the result of the population and economic growth. Therefore, countries have to improve their water efficiency. This requires a lot of research to give more insight in the local water and energy cycles. A simple tool to provide the required information is the Water Accounting plus framework. This framework requires a lot of hydrological and geographical data, which are for some continents hard to obtain from ground measurements only. This is the case in Africa for example. This makes satellite measurements a very attractive open access data source for this framework. But with different products on the market the question is which of the open access data sources gives the best estimate? In this research the open access rainfall estimation products are validated and compared.

In total, 13 open access rainfall products are compared and validated with the use of 62 ground measurements distributed over the Nile Basin. The validation period is from January 2000 to December 2010. Also a 14th ensemble product is added which is made with the use of the estimated relative errors calculated with the triple collocation method.

From the findings, one can conclude that the CHIRPS v1.8 product shows the best correlation and root mean square error for the Nile Basin, while the bias suggests that this product underestimates rainfall a bit. The more popular TRMM3B43 v7 product shows also good results, and has a very good bias. The CHIRPS v1.8 product can be improved by removing the bias with the use of TRMM3B43 v7 data. Challenging would be to improve the spatial resolution of the product further by using other satellite data for example a NDVI map. The ensemble product shows also good results for the validations done on a coarser spatial grid, for the finer spatial grids the results were less promising. This can be explained by the fact that the triple collocation was performed also on a coarser spatial grid, this was needed to meet all the criteria of the triple collocation method.

This report consists of 4 parts. The first part consists of the main article of my research and has a scientific paper format, this document is called “Comparison and Validation of Several Open Access Remotely Sensed Rainfall Products for the Nile Basin”. The other 3 parts consist of supporting materials for this scientific paper. The second part is called “Basic Principles of Satellite Precipitation Measurements” and gives more information about the basics techniques of satellite rainfall measurements and will give some insight in the satellite algorithms. The third part gives some more information about the triple collocation method, this document is named “Triple collocation”. The last part called “Matlab m-files” consists of all the m-files which are used for the scientific paper to make, compare and validate the products. Those files can be opened in Matlab to perform the calculations done in the scientific paper.
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Abstract

This paper describes a comparison and validation of 10 existing open-access and spatially distributed satellite rainfall products, 2 ground-based products and 1 modeled rainfall product. The validation was performed for the Nile basin by using 62 ground-based rain gauge measurements across rainfall zones varying from 50 to 1800 mm/year. Special attention was paid to the Blue Nile sub-basin, which is characterized by complex rainfall patterns. The rainfall products can be categorized into calibrated products where the biased is partially removed, and into biased products where no ground measurements have been consulted. The monthly validation across the entire Nile basin for a 0.25 degree spatial resolution reveals that the individual CMORPH product performs the best for the biased products (Monthly 0.25°: r=0.76; RMSE=80.1%; bias=15.8mm). For the unbiased products, the CHIRPS v1.8 product is superior (Monthly 0.25°: r=0.92; RMSE=49.3%; bias=-14.6mm). The common TRMM 3B43 v7 product (Monthly 0.25°: r=0.89; RMSE=52.3%; bias=-3mm) has a better bias than CHIRPS v1.8. The best products over rugged terrain with complex rainfall patterns in the Blue Nile basin are also TRMM 3B43 v7 and CHIRPS v1.8. Their monthly rainfall values will have a monthly bias of respectively -9.2mm (-8%) and -16.4mm (-15%), the bias for annual values are respectively -93.1mm (-8%) and -181mm (-15%) for the Blue Nile basin. This excellent performance can be explained by the nature of radiometers to measure active and passive microwave signals, besides cold cloud temperatures - and the smart calibration technologies developed during the last 10 years. The additional value of an ensemble rainfall product using triple collocation was investigated as well, and this was added as the 14th product to the analysis. The comparison and validation revealed that this ensemble product is only superior for the larger spatial (2.5 degree) resolutions, for finer spatial resolutions other products outperforms this product as expected, because the triple collocation method was performed on a large spatial scale (0.75 degree) due to the criteria which must be met with this method. The paper also demonstrates that block kriging is preferred to overcome the scale mismatch between rain gauges and large pixel sizes. CHIRPS v1.8 with a spatial resolution of 0.05 degrees and a monthly temporal resolution is recommended for water accounting for the Nile basin, and could be exposed to additional downscaling and bias correction procedures.

1. Introduction

Rainfall is the source of water for food, energy, biodiversity, economy and leisure. Economic and natural capital relies on expected rainfall patterns (Kurukulasuriya and Rosenthal 2013). Accurate measurements of rainfall variability in space and time are needed not only for drought and flood management, but are essential for water resources security in general. Unevenly distributed rainfall in space and time causes a lack of water resources, and affects immediately the withdrawals of various water resources related services (Oki and Kanai 2006). Hydrological models and water accounting tools describe whether sufficient water is available for food production, ecosystem services, energy and local economies, and they describe the competition among water user groups (Rijsberman 2006). Variations in rainfall leads immediately to for instance variable discharge output (Biemans 2012) and also to groundwater recharge and changes in water storage (Narjary and Kamra 2013). This leads to wrong simulation results and sometimes even in wrong conclusions (Vrugt, Diks et al. 2005).

Water scarcity occurs when water supply cannot meet the water demand. More than half of the world will experience physical or economic water problems in 2025 (Seckler 1998). More insight in rainfall statistics can reduce the water scarcity problems due to better planning of storage, retention, allocation, distribution and consumptive use. Water Accounting Plus (WA+) is a new tool which supports these countries in decision making. WA+ is based on an analytical framework introduced by (Molden 1997) and that has been subsequently improved by Karimi (2014). The input data used in WA+ exists of open access data, which makes the datasets political neutral and do not belong to a certain country or organization. The dissemination of water accounts is more effective if
the data has a public domain status (Karimi, Bastiaanssen et al. 2013).

Area average rainfall – as well as the rainfall by land use class - is one of the essential inputs for WA+. Rain gauges, rain radar, multi-spectral radiometers on satellites and telecommunication towers all measure or estimate rainfall. With rain gauge data one can collect a very accurate rainfall measurement in time, but not in space. There are different methods to interpolate the measured rainfall over a basin. The easiest way and also often done in hydrological studies is by averaging all the gauge measurements in a basin and assume this average rainfall as representative for the whole basin. But gauge measurements are only representative for a limited distance from this measurement location. This distance depends on local conditions. The obtained rainfall is often overestimated when this approach is applied (Willmott, Robeson et al. 1994). This overestimating is mainly due to the fact that the observation density diminishes with increasing aridity.

Radar measurements are only available in certain areas, very often in the vicinity of meteorological departments.

Remote Sensing can help to obtain rainfall estimations for a given river basin. Especially in ungauged basins this can be very valuable, but how good are these remotely sensed rainfall products? Several rainfall products have been developed in recent years that are based on satellite measurements. There are several operational rainfall products based on satellite spectral measurements that all have their own uncertainties. Because the quality of the rainfall products developed is subjective, an independent validation should be achieved. Therefore, research is needed to investigate the accuracy of the open access rainfall products. The needed accuracy for the any rainfall product depends on its application (Novella and Thiaw 2013, Serrat-Capdevila, Valdes et al. 2013). Flood forecasting applications for example require greater certainty and reliability than the needed accuracy for reservoir routing. For WA+ a monthly time scale is sufficient, but a finer spatial resolution than currently available is required to relate rainfall to land use (Karimi, Bastiaanssen et al. 2013), local water yield and ecosystem services (De Groot, Wilson et al. 2002, Sutherland, Freckleton et al. 2013). Earlier comparison studies on rainfall products dealt with 2 or 3 different products (Dinku, Connor et al. 2010, Romilly and Gebremichael 2011). The unique features of this paper are (i) the inclusion of 13 open access rainfall products, (ii) time integrated rainfall at monthly time scales and longer, and (iii) inclusion of local scale variability. The study objective is to compare and validate existing open access rainfall data products and investigate which rainfall estimation procedure is the most ideal for monthly Water Accounting procedures.

The open access products investigated are TRMM 3B43 v7, GPCP V2.2, GPCP 1DD, CRU TS3.21, ERA-interim, RFE 2.0, ARC 2.0, CHIRPS v1.8, PERSIANN, CMORPH, GSMaP_MVK, GPCC, and TAMSAT. An explanation of all acronyms is provided in Appendix A. Different methods will be used for defining the ground “truth” from ground measurements. Furthermore, an ensemble rainfall product has been made and validated to verify whether improvements in comparison to the original products can be established.

2. Nile Basin

The study area is the Nile Basin. This transboundary basin is subject to international water conflicts and tension. The Nile basin has insufficient hydrologic, climatic, and meteorological related data and information, resulting in operational problems for decisions makers and their advisors. WA+ studies have been applied to the Nile basin before (Karimi, Molden et al. 2012, Bastiaanssen, Karimi et al. 2014). The basin covers about 3.2 million square kilometres and shared by 11 countries, which have to divide the water fairly for the 200 million people that live inside the basin and which are all depending on the water of the Nile River.

The Nile River has two major tributaries. One tributary is the White Nile, which originates from Lake Victoria and the second tributary is the Blue Nile which originates from Lake Tana. The confluence is at Karthoum. A large rainfall variety occurs along the Nile Basin. Towards the North, the rainy season gets shorter and the amount of rainfall decreases. In the Northern Sudan and Egypt, almost no rainfall is falling, while in some other areas the rainfall can be over 2300mm/year. The Nile Basin Initiative (NBI) divides the basin into 15 sub-basins (see Figure 1B). Blue Nile basin is characterized by mountainous terrain. This causes the rainfall patterns in and around the Blue Nile to be very complex (see Figure 1), which makes the Blue Nile a perfect laboratory for algorithm testing.
3. Materials and methods

3.1 Rainfall products

This research makes use of two different types of rainfall data, namely remote sensed gridded rainfall products and rain gauge point measurements. The open access rainfall products that are compared and validated in this research are: TRMM 3B43, GPCP V2.2, GPCP1DD, CRU TS3.21, ERA-interim, ARC 2.0, CHIRPS v1.8, RFE 2.0, PERSIANN, CMORPH, GSMaP_MVK, TAMSAT, and GPCC. These products use different combinations of specific spectral radiance measurements. The spatially distributed rainfall products can be divided into 3 main categories, namely the model based (ECMWF), ground based (CRU TS3.21 and GPCC), and the satellite based products. The used input data for each product is shown in Table 2.

The spectral radiometers onboard of the geostationary (GEO) satellites such as GOES and Meteosat can be divided into 3 different classes of sensors: GEO-VIS, GEO-NIR, and the GEO-TIR. The GEO-VIS (frequencies between 430 and 790 THz) uses the visible part of the light spectrum resulting in an imagery which could also be seen with the human eyes. This can be used to estimate the thickness of the clouds which could provide useful information about the type of clouds, but the image hardly gives information about the rain intensities.

Furthermore, this information is only useful during daytime. The GEO-NIR (frequencies between 100 and 400 THz) gives information on the properties of the cloud top particles. But also these channels are restricted to use only during daytime, because solar illumination is needed (Kidd, Levizzani et al. 2009). The last GEO sensor is the GEO-TIR (frequencies between 100 and 10 THz), which is also used for the rainfall algorithms and for Africa measured by the SEVIRI sensor onboard the Meteosat satellites. This sensor gives useful information for day and night time, which contains information about the temperature of the cloud top (Kidd, Levizzani et al. 2009). In the remaining of this paper those measurements are called GEO-IR measurements.

The sensors onboard of the low earth orbit (LEO) satellites such as NOAA, DMSP, METOP, and TRMM, can be divided into LEO-active microwave and LEO-passive microwave. The Precipitation Radar (PR) is an example of an active microwave sensor which is onboard of the TRMM satellite and emits and measures the 13.8GHz frequency (Kozu, Kawanishi et al. 2001). This frequency is reflected by water particles and gives insight information on the intensity and distribution of rain, the rain type, and on the melting layer. The melting layer can give more insight in the type of storm. This layer can be found by looking at the reflectivity over the height measured with the PR. In the top of a cloud snowflakes are reflecting the radar signal, but when
this snowflake melts at the melting layer, the liquid water content increases. This increase in water content leads to a larger permittivity, resulting in an increasing radar reflectivity. The reflectivity decreases below the melting layer due to the decreasing concentration below the melting layer (Russchenberg and Ligthart 1996). Therefore, the melting layer can be identified by the radar measurements by looking at the height where the highest reflectivity is measured. From the thickness of this layer rain intensity can be estimated. The information obtained by the PR gives information for different heights resulting in a hydrometeor profile, from which an accurate rainfall estimations can be made.

For the LEO-passive microwave there are a lot of different sensors which measures radiance for the microwave radiance spectrum, for example AMSU-A (frequencies between 24 and 89 GHz) (OSCAR 2014), AMSU-B (frequencies between 89 and 183GHz)(Joyce, Janowiak et al. 2004), SSM/I (frequencies between 19 and 86 GHz)(Liu and Curry 1992), TMI (frequencies between 11 and 86 GHz) (Huffman and Bolvin 2007), and AMSR (frequencies between 7 and 89 GHz)(Joyce, Xie et al. 2010). Those passive sensors cannot measure the full vertical structure of a storm, therefore PMW provides only 2-D rainfall information of the total hydrometeors column (Islam, Srivastava et al. 2014). Frequencies below 50 GHz are used for the emission technique and above for the scattering techniques. Those techniques are explained in the GPCP section. The GPROF algorithm is also used to estimate rainfall with the use of these frequencies. This algorithm is explained in the TRMM section. The emission technique is based on the low emissivity of the “cold” surface water measured by the lower frequencies of the PMW sensors. This offers a contrast against the emissivity of the warmer raindrops. The warmer the whole image, the higher the rain intensity (Wilheit 1986). This technique can only be applied over ocean areas, due to the larger variable emissivity over land and coastal surfaces. Therefore, the emissivity over land provides too little contrast to observe the raindrops. This makes the emission signal from liquid hydrometeors less useful over land and coastal areas. For those areas, the scattering technique measured with the higher frequencies offers a better rainfall estimate. This technique uses the scattering of radiation by the frozen hydrometeors. Unfortunately, the relationship between those frozen hydrometeors and the rainfall at the surface is less physical based than for the emission technique (Huffman, Adler et al. 2007).

The GEO-IR has a poorer accuracy compared to the LEO microwave sensors, because the physics of the GEO-IR sensors do not measure cloud and rainfall properties. The correlation between the cold cloud top temperature and the surface rainfall is relative weak and only useful for deep convective rain events (Huffman, Adler et al. 1997). However, these processes are not the only driving mechanisms behind rainfall, particular in coastal ore mountainous region. Also cirrus clouds, which are formed after a storm appear as very cold cloud to the satellite, although in fact those clouds are not developed deep enough to form rainfall. This leads to inaccuracy in the GEO measurements. The advantage of the GEO measurements is that three GEO satellites are sufficient to cover the whole world, and they are therefore more suitable for interpretation. The LEO active and passive microwave instruments can scan a small part of the Earth. Therefore, an accurate rainfall product that fully covers an area at each time could not exist if the GEO-IR measurements had to be excluded. Table 1 shows essential information and specification of the products. The various product algorithms will be discussed briefly below.

**TRMM 3B43 v7**

The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) is a joint space mission of NASA and JAXA. The main objective of this mission is to monitor and study the tropical rainfall. TRMM includes PR and a TRMM microwave imager (TMI). The PR is the only measuring device in the space which measures the rainfall density and droplet size with the use of radar. It can measure rainfall at different heights, resulting in a vertical rainfall profile. This device is accurate, and therefore this measurement is often seen as the “truth”, but it has still some problems in measuring rainfall lower than 0.7mm/hour (Kawanishi, Kuroiwa et al. 2000). Also some studies showed that this radar is not as accurate as many people think (Nicholson, Some et al. 2003). The TRMM 3B43 has a spatial resolution of 0.25° and a temporal resolution of 3 hours. To get rainfall estimation, TRMM’s developers make use of geostationary satellites (GEO satellites) and low earth orbiting satellites (LEO satellites). The used sensors from the LEO satellites are PR, TMI, AMSR-E, SSM/I, and AMSU. The TMI, AMSR-E, and SSM/I are transformed into rainfall estimation by using the Goddard profiling algorithm (GPROF). This is a physically based algorithm that applies a Bayesian least-squares fit scheme to model the radiances for each pixel by selecting the best fit between thousands of pre-computed microwave channel upwelling radiances combinations based on the PR (Huffman, Adler et al. 2010). The AMSU use a
scattering and emission technique developed by the National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service (NESDIS) to transform the radiances into a precipitation amount. All the LEO precipitation estimates are merged into one product. Due the fact that the LEO satellites cannot cover the whole area, the gaps are filled with rainfall estimations based on GEO satellites. The GEO satellites are converted into rainfall estimations by using a Variable Rainrate (VAR) algorithm, which is based on the simple principle that colder clouds contain more precipitable water.

To merge the LEO and the GEO rainfall estimations, the TRMM product uses a multi-satellite precipitation analysis (TMPA), which includes also ground measurements provided by Global Precipitation Climatology Center (GPCC). More information can be found in Huffman and Bolvin (2007) and on www.trmm.gsfc.nasa.gov.

**GPCP V2.2**

The Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) is a rainfall dataset from 1979 until present on a 2.5° spatial grid and is developed by the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP). The product combines IR sensors from GEO satellites with SSM/I sensors from the LEO satellites. To calculate a rain rate from the SSM/I sensor, the emission algorithm (Wilheit, Chang et al. 1991) and the scattering algorithm (Grody 1991) are used. The emission technique utilize the emission of the rain clouds, this technique is more accurate than the scattering technique because they are more directly related to precipitation since the thermal radiation that is emitted from liquid hydrometeors is sensed directly (Joyce, Xie et al. 2010). The disadvantage is that the emission algorithm is only reliable above oceans, because the surface emissivity of the sea is low and uniform. Over land the scattering technique is more valuable. This is an 85GHz based technique which use the upwelling radiant energy that is scattered by the ice hydrometeors. The sensors detect the scattering of the upwelling radiation by precipitation sized ice particles within the rain layer. The technique calculates the scattering index, which is defined as the difference between in 85 GHz brightness temperature estimated from data at the lower frequency (19 and 22 GHz) channels and the observed one (Cheema 2012). This has a strong correlation with the surface rainfall (Huffman, Adler et al. 1997). The algorithm is calibrated with ground based radar estimations and can detect rain rates higher than 1mm/hour (Adler, Huffman et al. 2003). For the IR measurements the GOES Precipitation Index (GPI) technique is used (Arkin and Meisner 1987). This technique assigns a rain rate to every pixel with a temperature colder than 235K a rain rate of 3mm/hour. With the SSM/I rain estimation, the GPI values can be adjusted (AGPI). The most closely corresponding SSM/I rainfall values are used to determine the ratio between the GPI and the SSM/I. This will result in adjusted spatially varying coefficients which are applied to the full set of GPI estimates. The last step is using the rain gauge data collected by the GPCC to reduce the bias.

**GPCP 1DD**

Another product developed by the WCRP is the GPCP 1DD. 1DD stands for 1 degree daily which reveals the time and spatial resolution of the product. The same data is used as GPCP, but for this product the GPROF algorithm, also used for TRMM, is used to transfer the SSM/I measurements into a rain rate. To get a merged rainfall product a Threshold-Matched Precipitation Index (TMPI) is used. This algorithm allows the threshold and the rain rate values to vary monthly. The values are derived following the probability matching concepts (Kummerow and Giglio 1995). In this concept, monthly GEO IR histograms are derived from 3 hourly 1° GEO sensors and are matched with the SSM/I based frequency of precipitation. The threshold value is found by summing the bins with the lowest brightness temperature of the GEO IR histogram until the cumulative fraction of total pixels matches the SSM/I based fractional coverage (Huffman, Adler et al. 2001). The rain rate is determined by dividing the rain rate of the GPCP satellite/gauge product by the fractional occurrence of rain measured with the GEO IR.

**CRU TS3.21**

The Climatic Research Unit Timeseries (CRU TS) produces monthly rainfall datasets on a 0.5° spatial resolution. Solely in-situ measurements from several databases are used. The databases that are used are Monthly Climatic Data for the World (MCDW), World Weather Records (WWR), and the CLIMAT. The CRU uses a Climate Anomaly Method (CAM) which means that anomalies are calculated from a base period. The base period is the long term monthly average from 1961 till 1990. The gauge data are converted to anomalies by subtracting the base period for that particular station (Harris, Jones et al. 2013). Hereafter, the anomalies are extrapolated within the correlation decay distance which is 450 kilometres for monthly precipitation. Surfaces that are not covered by the correlation decay distance use dummies stations with zero anomalies (Mitchell and Jones 2005). To make a grid, a triangulated linear interpolation is used to convert the rainfall in gridded values.
**CHIRPS v1.8**

The Climate Hazards Group (CHG) developed the Climate Hazards Group IR Precipitation Station (CHIRPS) product. This product is a third generation precipitation procedure based on various interpolation schemes to create spatially continuous grids from raw point data (Funk, Husak et al. 2007). The CHIRPS uses the monthly precipitation climatology (CHPClim), the IR sensors from the GEO satellites, the TRMM 3B42 product, and the ground precipitation observations. The CHPClim is a high resolution global gridded and monthly precipitation averages with the monthly means for the period 1980 till present. The inputs of those maps are climate, satellite and topographic data to develop accurate and unbiased rainfall maps for each pentad (Funk, Michaelsen et al. 2011). The climate inputs are the station observations from GHCN, FAO, and GTS. The RFE 2.0 and GEO IR measurements are used as satellite input and the USGS HYDRO1K is used to get the slope and elevation as topographic input. The result is a 0.05° gridded map with long term mean rainfall for each pentad. This map is multiplied by the IR precipitation (IRP). Furthermore, a linear relation between rain rate and cold cloud duration (CCD) is assumed which is measured by the GEO IR. The constants parameters of this relation are defined by calibrating with the use of the TRMM 3B42 data. This linear relation is called the IR precipitation (IRP). Also the long term IRP is calculated for each pentad, which uses the data from 1981 till present. An unitless value is calculated by dividing the IRP by the long term IRP. This value is multiplied by the CHPClim to get the CHIRP product. Finally, the
CHIRP map uses the station observations to reduce the bias resulting in the CHIRPS product (Funk, Peterson et al. 2013).

**PERSIANN**
University of California developed the Precipitation Estimation from Remotely Sensed Information Using Artificial Neural Networks (PERSIANN). The spatial resolution is 0.25° and the temporal resolution is 30 minutes. The only inputs are the TMI dataset from the TRMM satellite and the GEO IR dataset. Six parameters which can be defined from the GEO IR dataset are used as input for an Artificial Neural Network model. This model exists of two layers. The first layer is the Self Organizing Feature Map (SOFM) which transfers the IR input into the hidden layers (Kohonen 1982). The SOFM is used to classify the variables into a large number of groups associated with different cloud surface characteristics (Sorooshian, Hsu et al. 2000). The second layer is the Modified Grossberg Linear Layer (MGLL). This transfers the hidden layer into the output layer (Grossberg 1969). This component estimates the specific rainfall rate for each input pattern that is classified by the SOFM. The MGLL component and SOFM component are trained separately. The MGLL component is calibrated and updated by using the TMI (Hsu, Gao et al. 1997).

**CMORPH**
Climate Prediction Center (CPC) morphing method (CMORPH) is developed by CPC. The idea of the product is to develop a merged GEO and LEO satellite product with the desirable aspect of the accuracy of the Leo satellites and the spatial and temporal coverage of the IR data. First, the rainfall estimates are produces with the use of the TMI sensor transferred with the GPROF algorithm and with the use of the SSM/I, AMSR and AMSU sensor transferred with the NESDIS algorithm. The GEO IR is used to make a cloud system advection vector map (CSAV). This map is used to propagate and morph the LEO rain rate estimations in time (Joyce, Janowiak et al. 2004). The result is a rainfall estimation product on a 0.25° grid every 3 hours.

**GSMaP_MVK**
The Global Satellite Mapping of Precipitation (GSMaP) developed by JAXA estimates precipitation with an hourly resolution for a 0.1° grid. This product use two morphing techniques from the moving vector obtained from the IR images and a Kalman Filter. The Kalman filter tries to estimate the state of a process from a series of noisy measurements. The series of noisy measurements is obtained from the IR images. Those measurements are statistically correlated with the surface precipitations rate, but have large variances. Research shows that the variation of precipitation rate in 1 hour is normally distributed with zero mean when one compare the propagated rain rate forward in time with the precipitation rate retrieved from the microwave sensor (Ushio, Sasashige et al. 2009). This enables the application of the Kalman filter theory. The Kalman gain is computed to refine the precipitation rate after its propagation (Ushio and Kachi 2010). The GEO IR measurements are used to calculate cloud motion vectors and the previous output of GSMaP_MVK is morphed with the use of this cloud motion vector map. Hereafter the Kalman Filter is applied. The TMI, SSM/I, and AMSR from the LEO satellites are transferred into rainfall estimations by using scattering and emission techniques. To make a merged product the LEO satellite product has the priority. The gaps are filled with the precipitation map determine with the two morphing techniques (Kubota, Shige et al. 2007).

**TAMSAT**
The University of Reading developed a rainfall estimate based on only GEO satellites and ground data. This product is called the Tropical Applications of Meteorology using Satellite data and ground based observations (TAMSAT). The principle of TAMSAT is based upon the Cold Cloud Duration (CCD). This is the total time that the temperature of the top of a cloud is below a certain threshold. This threshold temperature varies in space and month. Therefore, Africa is divided into geographical zones on basis of annual isohyets and similar climatic conditions within the zone (Thorne, Coakeley et al. 2001). With the use of a Contingency table between the ground observations and the CCD, the rain threshold is determined. The rainfall is estimated by assuming a linear regression between CCD and rain rate. The parameters for this regression line are calibrated with the use of historic rain gauge and CCD (Grimes, Pardo-Iguquiza et al. 1999).

**GPCC**
The Global Precipitation Climatology Centre (GPCC) is developed by die Deutcher Wetterdienst (DWD). The full data product is based on 40.000 gauges, the exact amount depends on the quality of individual gauges and varies between 30.000 and 40.000 gauges. To calculate area means on a grid from point data, DWD uses the following steps. First the irregularly distributed gauge observations are interpolated into points of a regular grid. This is done by using an adapted version of SPHEREMAP (Shepard 1968). This interpolation method takes the distance of a station.

**NOGAPS**
NOGAPS is a product of the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP). The product is based on the GPCP data and uses a weighted average of the grid points to estimate the precipitation rate. The product is updated by using a Kalman Filter (Sorooshian, Hsu et al. 2000).
to the grid point into account, the directional distribution of stations, and the gradients of the data field in the grid point environment. Hereafter, the area averaged precipitation is calculated as arithmetic mean from the four corners of the grid cell.

### 3.2 Ground products

For the validation of the open access rainfall products, raw ground point measurements are used. In total 62 ground stations are used. This also includes a dense network of 24 ground measurements for the Blue Nile sub basin. The rest of the Nile Basin has a coarser network (see Figure 2). To overcome the mismatch problem between rain gauges and satellite-based gridded rainfall products, the point data is transferred into gridded data. The interpolation techniques will be discussed in section 3.4.

A disadvantage of these interpolation techniques are the sampling errors which will be introduced in the “truth”. Therefore, comparing grid to pixels was also performed. These results were very difficult to interpret, due to the large

### Table 1: Summary of the different satellite products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Developer</th>
<th>Spatial resolution</th>
<th>Covering area</th>
<th>Temporal resolution</th>
<th>Time span</th>
<th>Ground measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B43 v7</td>
<td>NASA, JAXA</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/50°N-50°S</td>
<td>3 hourly</td>
<td>Jan 1998 - present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP V2.2</td>
<td>WCRP (GEWEX)</td>
<td>2.5°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/90°N-90°S</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Jul 1987 - present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP1DD</td>
<td>WCRP (GEWEX)</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/90°N-90°S</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Oct 1996 - present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRU TS3.21</td>
<td>University of East Anglia</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/90°N-90°S</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Jan 1901 - present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA-interim</td>
<td>ECMWF</td>
<td>0.75°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/90°N-90°S</td>
<td>6 Hourly</td>
<td>Jan 1979 - present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFE 2.0</td>
<td>NOAA (CPC)</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
<td>20°E-55°E/40°N-40°S</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>Jan 2001 - present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC 2.0</td>
<td>NOAA (CPC)</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
<td>20°E-55°E/40°N-40°S</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>Jan 1983 - present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRPS v1.8</td>
<td>CHG</td>
<td>0.05°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/50°N-50°S</td>
<td>Pentads</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSIANN</td>
<td>University of California</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/60°N-60°S</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>Mar 2000 - present</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMORPH</td>
<td>NOAA (CPC)</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/60°N-60°S</td>
<td>3 Hourly</td>
<td>Dec 2002 - present</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSMaP_MVK</td>
<td>JAXA (JST)</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/60°N-60°S</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>May 2000 - present</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAMSAT</td>
<td>University of Reading</td>
<td>0.0375°</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Decadal</td>
<td>Jan 1983 - present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCC</td>
<td>DWD</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>0°E-360°E/90°N-90°S</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Jan 1901 - present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Summary of the used input sensor of the different satellite products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensors</th>
<th>TRMM 3B43</th>
<th>CMORPH</th>
<th>GPCP</th>
<th>GPCP1DD</th>
<th>ERA-interim</th>
<th>RFE 2.0</th>
<th>ARC 2.0</th>
<th>CHIRPS v1.8</th>
<th>PERSIANN</th>
<th>CMORPH</th>
<th>GSMaP_MVK</th>
<th>TAMSAT</th>
<th>GPCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVIRI</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation Radar</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMI</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMI</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSR</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall Measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall Products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFE 2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
differences in correlations that were found between the different ground measurements and satellite products. Even the products correlations of neighbouring stations were showing large differences between each other. These large differences are a result of comparing the grid averaged rainfall measured by the satellite with the exact rainfall measured by the ground station. This comparison between average rainfall and exact rainfall leads only into a good correlation for ground gauges which measurements approaches the areal averages. This made it very difficult to validate the rainfall products based on this comparison. By interpolating these point measurements into average grid rainfall, will make the ground measurements better comparable with the satellite products despite of the introduced sampling errors.

Furthermore, WA+ needs gridded data as input, therefore validation on a grid resolution is more useful for WA+ purposes than on a point resolution. The error introduced in the “truth” due to the interpolation technique is equal for all the products, therefore the validation can still be performed. But the calculated errors are not only caused by the product, but also due to this introduced sampling error inside the “truth”. This has to be kept in mind.

Table 3 shows the location and the name of the ground stations that are involved in this research. Some of those stations are probably also involved in the GPCC products, but it is impossible to know which station is exactly involved the gauges that are used for the GPCC analysis are not identified (Nicholson, Some et al. 2003). Therefore, the problem arises whether the ground measurements used for the validation are completely independent compared to the rainfall satellite products. Nine out of the 13 products specify that ground measurements are used for the calibration (see Table 1). Therefore, a distinction is made between the rainfall products which bias is not reduced (CMORPH, PERSIANN and GSMaP_MVK) and the products that have a reduced bias with the use of ground measurements.

Table 3: Position of the ground measurements used in the validation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sennar</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>33.37</td>
<td>Wereta</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>37.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rashad</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>31.03</td>
<td>Zege</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>37.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obied</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>30.14</td>
<td>Maksegnit</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>37.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyala</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>24.53</td>
<td>Kidmaja</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>36.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahoud</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>28.26</td>
<td>Kimbaba</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>37.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madini</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>33.29</td>
<td>Wetetabay</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>37.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosti</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>32.40</td>
<td>Gundil</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>37.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khar</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>32.55</td>
<td>Abay Sheleko</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>36.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasala</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>36.24</td>
<td>Urana</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>36.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadugli</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>29.43</td>
<td>Wau</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>28.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atbara</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>33.58</td>
<td>Malakal</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>31.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadarf</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>35.24</td>
<td>Juba</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>31.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasher</td>
<td>13.37</td>
<td>25.20</td>
<td>Yetemen</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>38.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duem</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td>Shindi</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>36.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damazine</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>34.23</td>
<td>Debre Marcos</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>37.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babanusa</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>27.49</td>
<td>Teppi</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>35.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonder</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>37.26</td>
<td>Masha</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>35.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asswan</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>32.47</td>
<td>Assosa</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>34.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cairo</td>
<td>30.08</td>
<td>31.24</td>
<td>Begi</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>34.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxor</td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>32.42</td>
<td>Sekela</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>37.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qena</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>Motta</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>37.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asyout</td>
<td>27.03</td>
<td>31.01</td>
<td>Finote</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>37.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Minya</td>
<td>28.05</td>
<td>30.44</td>
<td>Gebeya</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>39.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangla</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>36.42</td>
<td>Kitala</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debre Tabor</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>38.03</td>
<td>El Doret</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>35.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addizemen</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>37.87</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>34.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayikel</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td>37.05</td>
<td>Bukoba</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>31.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adet</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>37.47</td>
<td>Musoma</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>33.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorgora</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>37.30</td>
<td>Mwanza</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>32.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjibara</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>Kigoma</td>
<td>-4.88</td>
<td>29.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfraz</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>37.68</td>
<td>Kigali</td>
<td>-1.96</td>
<td>30.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Ensemble rainfall products

One of the research questions is whether a single preferred product exists, or an ensemble product will have more potential. The triple collocation method has been applied for generating an ensemble rainfall product called TRIPL. This method is used also in other researches to estimate the random errors of a rainfall product (Janssen, Abdalla et al. 2007, Roebeling, Wolters et al. 2012). This method defines a truth from three input datasets and calculates the associated variances at every time or location for each dataset. The estimated variances are then calibrated by the neutral regression approach of Deming (Mandel 1964, Marsden 1999). The approach is based on the minimization of the errors between the estimated truth and the datasets (Janssen, Abdalla et al. 2007). So this recalibrated dataset is then used as new input for the triple collocation. This interpolation process is continued until convergence is obtained. In appendix C provides more background information on the mathematical methodology.

The triple collocation must meet some criteria in order to reduce the errors of a datasets into only the uncorrelated random errors. First condition is that the datasets must be correlated to each other. This was checked, and the correlations between the products were for most regions very high (between 0.7 and 1). For areas without rain a low correlation was calculated. Those areas, no triple collocation were performed (white areas in Figure 3). For the TRIPLE product this is not problematic, because in those areas the six products do not estimate rainfall for this period. So the TRIPLE product will also estimate no rainfall in those areas for this period. The second pre-condition is that all the datasets must be biased corrected, hence PERSIANN, GSMaP_MVK, and CMORPH are excluded for this method. Third criterion, the datasets must have uncorrelated random errors. An assumption was made that the random errors would be uncorrelated if a model based, ground based and satellite based product is used. Hereby the ECMWF and CRU TS3.21 datasets were required in order to make sure that the random errors are uncorrelated. The estimated variances calculated by the triple collocation method were used to calculate the correlations between the variances of the different products. Those correlations were between -0.002 and 0.334; this concludes that there is no correlation between the variances and therefore the random errors are uncorrelated. The third dataset can be one of the unbiased satellite based products (TRMM3B43 v7, TAMSAT, CHIRPS v1.8, GPCP v2.2, GPCP1DD, ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0). Lastly, the errors must be Gaussian distributed. Due to this criteria, no smaller period than six months were used to ensure the
Gaussian distribution of errors, so 66 rainfall estimations (6 months times 11 years) were assumed to give a Gaussian distribution of errors.

The triple collocation method is performed on a 0.75° grid resolution, the finest possible grid due to the model based product which must be included. When the calculations were done on a finer grid, a sampling error in the ECMWF dataset will be introduced due to the performed interpolation technique. Therefore, the triple collocation method is performed on the coarsest grid of the three input dataset. Whereby, the sampling error can be reduced which will lead in a better random error estimation calculated by this method. Satellite products coarser than 0.75° were not used, otherwise the triple collocation had to be performed on a coarser grid.

In the end, the method includes 6 rainfall products, namely TRMM3B43 v7, TAMSAT, CHIRPS v1.8, CRU TS3.21, ECMWF, and RFE 2.0. The triple collocation is performed for the dry period from November until April and the wet period from May until October. The estimated standard deviation of the 6 products calculated with this method, are divided by the product dry and wet average to get the relative standard deviation for the dry and the wet period. Hereafter, a map is made in which a grid is indentified with the product which has the lowest estimated relative standard deviation, see Figure 3. The map shows which product has the lowest estimated relative standard deviation and so which product is the most accurate product for that pixel and for that period. The ensemble product is made by using the monthly rainfall estimation of the product indentified in Figure 3. For the white pixels in Figure 3 a rainfall of zero millimetres is estimated. This TRIPLE product will also be validated with the ground measurements to investigate the improvement.

3.4 Describing the analysis

In this section the analysis for the validation is described. The statistical tools which are used for the validation are discussed in appendix B. Before validation, the transformation of the point data into grid data must be made to compare average rainfall of a grid measured with ground measurements and satellite measurements with each other. The disadvantage of the interpolation techniques used in this research is the general underestimation of high rainfall and general overestimation of the low rainfall, almost all interpolation algorithms suffers from these estimation problems (Bohling 2005). Three different interpolation techniques are carried out in this research, namely grid averaging, block kriging, and variable grid averaging.
For the grid averaging technique, a grid is projected upon the observed data points. For each grid, rainfall estimates are calculated by averaging all the point rainfall data that are located inside the projected grid. The advantage is that this method is very simple and straightforward, but the disadvantage is that for some grids the rainfall estimate only results from one measuring point. This will result in a large sampling error of the rainfall estimate. This is specially the case for the validations done on a larger grid size.

Block kriging is a more sophisticated method to transfer point data into gridded rainfall estimates. The first step in this method is fitting semivariograms from the monthly ground rainfall data points. Those semivariogram gives the relation between the variance and the distance between the measurements. A Gaussian, spherical and exponential curve is fitted through this relation and the fit with the lowest root mean squared error is taken as semivariogram. This semivariogram is different for every month and for every ground measurement point. With the use of this semivariogram the correlation can be found, which is used in the interpolation method. Instead of averaging only the points located inside the grid, what is done in the grid averaging method, this method uses all the data points with a certain weight. This weight depends on the correlation between the point and the grid and the correlation between the points. The advantage of block kriging is that it helps to compensate the clustering effect of data points and block kriging gives and estimation of the error. This estimate error is used to calculate the coefficient of variation (standard deviation divided by the mean) of the estimated “truth”, so one can define how accurate the “truth” is. The disadvantage is that it requires more calculating time than the other two methods and can be felt as difficult to comprehend (Vogelzang and Stoffelen 2012).

The last method is a method based on a variable grid. This variable grid can have every size between a maximum of 5 degrees and a minimum of 0.25 degrees. The only requirement for the grid is that an amount of five ground gauges must be enclosed inside the grid. The average of the five ground measurements will give a good estimation of the rainfall of that grid. When a 2.5 degree grid is used which includes 5 ground measurements an error of 10% can be attained (Xie and Arkin 1995). In this method a maximum grid size of 5 degrees is used, otherwise only grids in the Blue Nile will be formed. For the Blue Nile the grids are smaller due to the higher station concentration, which lead to a lower sampling error. While in the other parts the formed grids are larger, whereas the rainfall patterns are less complex, which will also result in a lower sampling error.

4. Comparison of the open access rainfall products

The yearly average rainfall for the Nile Basin are made by calculating the average rainfall of each product over a 0.1 degree gridded mask which contains the whole Nile basin, the products with a coarser grid were interpolated with the use of the nearest neighbour method. The yearly averages over the whole Nile basin for the 13 products are shown in Table 4. By comparing the average rainfall of the Nile basin over the year, one can see that the differences between the estimations of the products are large, see also Figure 4. The products that were not unbiased (PERSIANN, CMORPH and GSMaP_MVK) show larger rainfall estimation than the other products. The standard deviation between the products in 2002 to 2010 is double the amount compared to the standard deviation in 2000 (see Table 4), this is due to the inclusion of the three biased rainfall products from 2002 to 2010. ARC 2.0, TAMSAT and CHIRPS v1.8 show the lowest amount of rainfall estimation. This is true for a mean, dry and a wet year. ARC 2.0 and TAMSAT are products that use merely IR measurements, CHIRPS v1.8 use also only IR measurements, but it also uses the TRMM3B42 v7 to calibrate the IR measurements and it use the long period climate map (CHPClim) which is among other things based on the RFE 2.0. It seems that those products estimate lower rainfall then the other products which also use PMW measurements directly.

A boxplot diagram is made of the relative anomalies of the products between 2003 and 2010. The results for the wet months are shown in Figure 5. GSMaP and TAMSAT show small variations over the years during the wet months. TRMM3B43 v7, GPCP, GPCP1DD, CRU TS3.21 and GPCC show similar variations over the years. This is probably due to the bias correction. The correction of those products is done with the GPCC dataset. Therefore, the variations over the years become similar for those datasets. Also RFE 2.0 and ARC 2.0 are bias corrected with GPCC, but those variations over the years are less similar. The biased products show in order of magnitude the same relative variations as the unbiased products, while those annual rainfall amounts are much higher compared to the unbiased products.
Figure 4: The mean annual rainfall over the Nile Basin measured by for the 14 products for the mean over 2003 and 2009, wet year, and a dry year.

Figure 5: The percentage of the anomalies for the whole Nile basin (between 2003 to 2010) of the different products showed in a boxplot diagram for the wet months. The bar in the box defines the median, the upper and lower side of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentage. The plus signs indicate outliers which are defined above 1.5 times the difference between the 25th or 75th percentage. The bars above the box show the highest and the lowest estimation without the outliers.

Figure 6: The variation of the 13 products for the different sub basins showed in a boxplot diagram for 2003, the bar in the box defines the median, the upper and lower side of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentage. The plus signs indicate outliers which are defined above 1.5 times the difference between the 25th or 75th percentage. The bars above the box show the highest and the lowest estimation without the outliers.
Table 4: Yearly mean estimated rainfall for the 13 products over the whole Nile basin in millimeters for 2000 to 2010, the mean and standard deviation of the products for a year is given as well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRMM</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>564</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP 1DD</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>553</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRU</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>638</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMWF</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>451</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRPS</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>555</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>644</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSIANN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>786</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMORPH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSMaP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>739</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAMSAT</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>567</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Mean    | 561    | 616   | 596   | 662   | 605   | 603   | 710   | 684   | 653   | 582   | 613   |
| Deviation | 51    | 68    | 101   | 127   | 143   | 99    | 120   | 108   | 128   | 117   | 120   |

In Figure 6 one can see the large variations for the sub basin scale in 2003. From this one can conclude that the variation is extremely large between the rainfall estimations, this is true for all the years that were investigated in this research. The relative variation is very large for all the sub basins. Due to this large variation the output of hydrologic models can be very different when another rainfall product is used as input. This can even lead to different conclusions and recommendations. Therefore, it is very important to now the strength and weakness for every product. This is done for the Nile Basin in the validation section of this report and the result of this can of course be different for other areas. By looking at the outliers one can see that the ECMWF model estimates a lot more rainfall in the Baro-Akobo-Sobat sub basin compared to the other products. This was not only for 2003, but for all the years studied in this research. So ECMWF overestimates the rainfall in this specific area. This was also found in other studies (Diro, Grimes et al. 2009, Thiemig, Rojas et al. 2012). The ARC 2.0 product has problems in estimating the rainfall in mountainous sub basins. In those areas the rainfall is underestimated. This is due to the estimating problems when only IR measurements are used. This only measures convective storms, while in the mountainous areas a lot of orographic rainfall occurs which is not measured by the IR measurements. Furthermore, the biased products are defined as outlier for many sub basins. In all those sub basins the rainfall is overestimated compared to the other products. The size of the boxplot diagram is very variable for every year, no relationship is found between the different scatter sizes for each sub basin.

5. Validation of the open access rainfall products

The validation is done with the use of ground measurements. The matched satellite estimation and gridded gauge observations from January 2000 till December 2010 were used to validate the rainfall products. The validation is performed on a monthly and yearly temporal resolution and for a 2.5° and a 0.25° spatial resolution for the whole Nile basin and for the Blue Nile sub basin. The validation results of the different ground interpolation methods are discussed below.

5.1 Validation results of the grid averaging and block kriging method

In the grid averaging and block kriging method, the nearest neighborhood method was used to downscale the spatial resolution of a product to a 0.25 degree resolution. Up scaling was performed by averaging the grids. The yearly values are made by summing the monthly estimations. By using block kriging and grid averaging methods the gridded ground data was obtained. The matched gridded ground estimations are used to validate the 14 products for the period from January 2000 to December 2010. Only the grids which contain at 1 or more ground measurements are used for the validation. The results are shown in appendix D. Consequently, the block kriging method shows higher correlation with the satellite data compared to the grid averaging method. Also the RMSE of this method is lower. This is the case for all the spatial and temporal resolutions. The ground measurements can therefore better be transferred by using the block kriging method, because the “truth” value is probably better approximated. Further, these validations show large differences between the biased products and the unbiased products. The correlation, RMSE, and bias are much worse compared to the unbiased products. This difference is due to the incorporation of some ground measurements for the validation which are unfortunately also used for the bias corrections of the unbiased products. This makes the comparison between the biased and unbiased products harder. To do the comparison between those products,
more research is needed in the exact data that is used in the bias removal process, or obtain data which is surely not used for bias correcting. This is not done in this study.

When one compare the validation results of the Nile Basin and the Blue Nile, one can see that the correlation and RMSE is for most products a bit better. The bias is for all products lower, all the unbiased products underestimate the rainfall for the Blue Nile basin. This is probably due to the measurements errors of the IR measurements in mountainous areas. This is based on the cloud top temperature, but in areas with mountains this approach is less accurate to estimate rainfall. This is due to orographic lift which also causes rainfall, which cannot be measured by IR measurements. The products which include PMW in the estimation have a lower underestimation, for those products IR measurements are still needed to cover the whole world.

When the more local 0.25 degree grid is used, the RMSE increases. The estimated errors calculated in the block kriging method were used, to calculate the coefficient of variation which became much lower for the 0.25 degree situation. The coefficient was 0.35 for the 2.5 degree scale and 0.17 for the 0.25 degree scale. Thereby it can be assumed that the gridded ground measurements will approximate the “truth” more for a finer spatial resolution, but still this “truth” contains some errors. The satellite products have more difficulties in estimating the local rainfall leading to a higher RMSE.

On a yearly temporal resolution, the discrepancies between the ground estimations and the satellite estimations are smaller, leading to a lower RMSE. This is due to the reduced sampling error when a large timescale is used. For the monthly 0.25 degree validation the bias becomes more negative, this is due to the possibility to form more grids which includes a ground measurement for the Blue Nile. The rainfall in this mountainous area is underestimated by all the products, so when more grids are formed in this specific area the products get a more negative bias.

5.2 Validation results with the use of the variable grid averaging method

The last validation is with the use of variable grid sizes, see also appendix D. The maximum grid size is 5 degrees. The formed grid approaches the “truth” very well due to the 5 stations that are involved in the estimation.

When one compare this method to the other two methods. One can see that the correlations are a little higher and the RMSE is a little lower. This is because a couple of reasons. Firstly, in all estimations a lot of ground measurements are involved. This makes the ground estimation more accurate. While for the other methods some pixels consist of just 1 ground measurement. Secondly, involving grids with a maximum of 5 degrees also leads to a better correlation, this is also visible when one compares the results of the different spatial resolutions of the block kriging method. The coarser spatial resolution has a higher correlation and a lower RMSE. One could also decrease the maximum grids size, but then only grids will be formed in the Blue Nile, because only this area has such a dense ground gauge network.

Compared with the other validation methods, the bias of the variable grid averaging method is more negative. This is also the result of the more dense ground gauge network for the Blue Nile region, therefore more grids can be formed in this specific area. From the other validation methods, it can already conclude that the Blue Nile basin has a larger negative bias compared to the bias of the total Nile Basin. So when more grids can be formed in the Blue Nile the total bias of the whole Nile Basin becomes also more negative. With this method, the Blue Nile sub basin becomes a very dominant area due to the dense ground network.

5.3 Discussion of the validation

The results of the three validation methods are shown in Appendix D, the results for each product are discussed here. From all the methods the same conclusions can be drawn namely: biased products show a lower correlation compared to the unbiased products and the sequence between products of higher correlation is also the same. But if the different validation methods are compared, one can see that the block kriging method results in a higher correlation for all the products compared to the simple averaging method, so with this method a better relationship is obtained between the products and the ground measurements. The variable grid averaging method has the best correlation and RMSE, but this is also due to the conditions of having 5 measurements within a maximum of 5 degrees. When this conditions is lower a worse correlation and RMSE was founded. Also this method makes the Blue Nile basin very dominant due to the dense ground network, while the validation must be done for the whole Nile Basin. Therefore, the block kriging method is more favourable and this method will be used to compare the products results in the remaining of this section. The validation results of the block kriging method are visualized in Figure 7 in order to make the appendix D more visual. From this figure, one can see that for the mountainous areas of the Blue Nile
the bias is significant more negative compared to the rest of the basin which is relatively flat. This is as mentioned before mainly due to the orographic difficulties which affect the warm rain process and thereby also the rainfall estimates (Dinku, Connor et al. 2010). Also large differences are visible between the products that are unbiased and product that are not. From Figure 7 one can also see that the errors becomes much larger when the temporal resolution becomes finer, the effect is less severe if a finer spatial resolution is used. So if for any reason the RMSE must be reduced, one can better use a larger timescale instead of a larger area. In the remaining of this section, first the products which are not unbiased will be discussed and thereafter the unbiased products. In the end the validation of the ensemble product is discussed. In Table 5 and Table 6 the best and the worst products are shown for the different validations.

The correlation of the products with no bias correction is much lower compared to the unbiased products. This is due to the fact that some data used for the validation can also be used for the bias removal of the unbiased products. Therefore, those two products are not compared with each other. So when only the biased PERSIANN, CMORPH, and GSMaP_MVK are compared, CMORPH is outperforming the other satellite products. CMORPH (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.58, 0.25° r=0.67, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.73, 0.25° r=0.76) has a better correlation for the Nile Basin than the other biased products this is also the case for the Blue Nile sub basin. PERSIANN (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.26, 0.25° r=0.17, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.60, 0.25° r=0.56) shows the worst correlation for all resolutions. The RMSE of CMORPH (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE=76.5%, 0.25° RMSE =53.2%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE =97.7%, 0.25° RMSE =80.1%) is also much better than PERSIANN (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE=88.9%, 0.25° RMSE =71.4%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE =117.3%, 0.25° RMSE =97.7%). The GSMaP_MVK (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE=65.9%, 0.25° RMSE =57.2%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE =89.2%, 0.25° RMSE =82.5%) has the lowest RMSE for the validation done on a 2.5 degrees grid. Further investigation into the results show that for some areas the monthly precipitation is constantly underestimated and for some areas constantly overestimated. The underestimation is generally in the lowlands, while the overestimation is generally in the mountainous areas. This is also visible if one look at the results from the 2.5° and 0.25°, which shows a lower bias for 0.25°. This is due to the availability of more dense ground network which leads to the formation of more grids in the mountainous areas. The biases of those products are therefore also very variable for the different validation and depend a lot on the amount of grids formed in the mountainous areas and in the lowland plain area. When only the Blue Nile is observed one can see that especially in the mountainous areas of the Blue Nile (southern part) the PERSIANN and GSMaP_MVK products underestimate the rainfall enormously for all months, while for the CMORPH product underestimation is still present but less severe. Over the flat lands the products overestimate rainfall intensities, leading that the total bias for the whole basin is very high especially when the validation is performed on a 2.5 degrees resolution. These systematic contrary estimation problems for those areas results in a high RMSE and a low correlation, especially on yearly basis. On yearly basis, these overestimation and underestimation problems are enhanced by the summation of the monthly values. This is also the reason for the very low correlation on the yearly basis, because one gets clustered points which are far below the observed data in the mountainous areas and far above the observed data for the flat lands. Those problems are less severe for CMORPH, but still present. Same estimation problems for CMORPH were concluded in other researches for this particular area also (Bitew and Gebremichael 2010, Habib, El Saadani et al. 2012, Gebremichael, Bitew et al. 2014). Unless those problems in estimating, the CMORPH product has a low RMSE for a local 0.25 degree scale which is even better than some products which are unbiased.

The unbiased products show all high correlations for all validation. The variation in correlation between different temporal resolutions is less compared to the products which are not unbiased. This is mainly due to the better correlation for the yearly validation, which is reduced a lot for the biased products. This improvement is a result of involving ground data to adjust the rainfall estimation of the products. This results also in a better approximation of the yearly rainfall at the places of the measurements and surroundings because no systematic under or overestimation occurs. The correlation of ARC 2.0 (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.71, 0.25° r=0.83, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.77, 0.25° r=0.81) is the lowest of the unbiased products for the whole Nile Basin. Also the RMSE (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE=44%, 0.25° RMSE=47.9%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE=67%, 0.25° RMSE=70.9%) and the bias (Yearly: 2.5° bias=-144.9mm, 0.25° bias=-287.2mm, Monthly: 2.5° bias=-163mm, 0.25° bias=-30.4mm) are outperformed by the other products, especially on a local monthly scale for the Blue Nile basin. ARC 2.0 only uses IR measurements as input.
Figure 7: The validation results expressed in the correlation, RMSE, and bias for the different products with the use of the block kriging method for the Nile basin and the Blue Nile sub basin.
and is unbiased with the GPCC dataset. But the GPI algorithm used in ARC 2.0 has a fixed threshold for determining rain or no rain which can cause large errors where the relation between rain and cloud top temperature is not straightforward. For convective storms in the tropics for example, the GPI gives good results, but above complex topography or estimating rain for the cold season, this algorithm leads to a large error. This makes that the GPI algorithm better for lower latitudes in which deep convective precipitation is more frequent (Xie and Arkin 1995). Also the largest negative bias was found for the ARC 2.0 product compared to the other products, this was also found in other studies (Novella and Thiaw 2013) and could also be concluded in the comparison section of this research for the mountainous areas.

The addition of PMW is already an improvement as one can see in the RFE 2.0 results. The bias of the Blue Nile is especially improved when the validation is done for a larger grid size. Overall, the correlation (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.79, 0.25° r=0.83, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.80, 0.25° r=0.82) and bias (Yearly: 2.5° bias=-89.2mm, 0.25° bias=-249.3mm, Monthly: 2.5° bias=-10.2mm, 0.25° bias=-24.1mm) are better, and the RMSE (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE=35.7%, 0.25° RMSE=44.4%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE=63.2%, 0.25° RMSE=72.2%) is lower. But still ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0 are outperformed by other products, this is also due to the small proportion of PMW that can be used in the product due to the spatial and temporal sampling. From these results one can also see the worse performance of the RFE 2.0 product for the Blue Nile area. The biases of both products (ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0) are very negative for the Blue Nile. Here one can see the GPI’s inability to measure warm cloud precipitation processes especially over complex topography. This conclusion was also found in other studies (Dinku, Ceccato et al. 2007, Novella and Thiaw 2013). Other studies reported also the underestimation of GPI for coastal and mountainous areas due to warm cloud precipitation (Herman, Kumar et al. 1997). Those GPI estimations are good for convective storms but are inaccurate in areas where orographic rainfall is dominating, for example the mountainous areas. Other research also showed the inaccurate rainfall estimation of ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0 products over the complex terrain of Ethiopia (Dinku, Chidzambwa et al. 2008). More details can be obtained by looking at the scatter plots of ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0 of the monthly validation on a 0.25° resolution as shown in Figure 8 (A and B), clearly visible is that in months with higher rainfall, the rainfall products tend to underestimate the rainfall amount. In those months, a lot of large rainfall events occur. The underestimation is due to the error which occurs when a rainfall exceeds an intensity of 20 mm/hour, the satellite products are not capable in detecting this high intensities accurately (Gebremichael, Bitew et al. 2014). For those rain events, the signal is scattered too much to estimate an accurate rainfall intensity, which leads to an underestimation of the rainfall intensity and amount. The other

Figure 8: Scatter plots between the gridded ground measurements on the x-axis and the product on the y-axis. The dazed line is the 1:1 line, the thick solid line the average of the dots inside boxes of 50mm, the gray area the average plus and minus the standard deviation of all the dots inside the box. The products shown are the ARC 2.0 (A), RFE 2.0 (B), ECMWF (C), and the CHIRPS v1.8 (D)
rainfall products have the same problem, but in a lesser extent.

Instead of the addition of PMW one can also locally calibrate IR signals with ground measurements as done in the TAMSAT algorithm. This improves the measurements a lot as can be seen in the Figure 7. Due to this local calibration the total correlation (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.84, 0.25° r=0.87, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.84, 0.25° r=0.86) and the RMSE (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE =34.8%, 0.25° RMSE =40.9%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE =56.2%, 0.25° RMSE =60.3%) is improved compared to ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0. This is a very good result for a product which solely uses IR measurements. The TAMSAT bias for the Blue Nile (Yearly: 2.5° bias=-173.7mm, 0.25° bias=-285.7mm, Monthly: 2.5° bias=-18.2mm, 0.25° bias=30.8mm) is better than the bias of ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0 (Yearly: 2.5° bias=308mm & -233.9mm, 0.25° bias=420.8mm & -386.1mm, Monthly: 2.5° bias=-30.3mm & -20.9mm, 0.25° bias=-44.6mm & -35.8mm respectively). The local calibration of the IR measurements for the Blue Nile can also be seen in the bias of the Blue Nile, which is on a local 0.25 degree scale much better than ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0, which use a global IR calibration and therefore based upon 1 fixed threshold for all areas all over the world.

ECMWF's correlations (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.82, 0.25° r=0.82, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.79, 0.25° r=0.82) are more or less the same as RFE 2.0, but the ECMWF's bias (Yearly: 2.5° bias=-47.2mm, 0.25° bias=-57.9mm, Monthly: 2.5° bias=-5.1mm, 0.25° bias=-4.1mm) is much better. Because the ECMWF rainfall is estimated with the use of a physical based model, it suffers less of the underestimation of rain intensities from the IR measurements, because the empirical relation of cold cloud temperature and rainfall is not used. But the random errors are large and therefore this product is still outperformed by the other products. This is also visible in Figure 8C, which shows a low bias, but a wide uncertainty band. Good to mention is that the ECMWF is outperformed by some products for this certain location. But this product is more accurate at higher latitudes while a satellites product becomes less accurate for higher latitudes due to the placement of the geostationary satellites which are located above the equator. So for higher latitudes ECMWF can be a better product than other satellite products (Xie and Arkin 1996).

GPCP and GPCP1DD show a high correlation (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.88 & 0.85, 0.25° r=0.87 & 0.85, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.88 & 0.87, 0.25° r=0.88 & 0.86 respectively) and also a low RMSE (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE=44.5% & 47.9%, 0.25° RMSE=31.2% & 34.7%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE=50.3% & 52.4%, 0.25° RMSE=56.2% & 60.0% respectively). The bias of the GPCP and GPCP1DD (Yearly: 2.5° bias=27.8mm & -36.6mm, 0.25° bias=-40.1mm & -92.4mm, Monthly: 2.5° bias=0.9mm & 1.3mm, 0.25° bias=-4.6mm & -9.6mm) are also very low. Those products use the GPI algorithm, but adjust this algorithm by making the rainfall amount variable and the GPCP1DD makes also the rainfall threshold variable. So by adjusting the GPI algorithm the estimation are improved a lot compared to the GPI algorithm used in the ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0 products. This was also found in a study of Nicholson (Nicholson, Some et al. 2003). The GPCP shows still better results than the GPCP1DD product, but the GPCP1DD is available for daily basis on a 1 degree spatial resolution which can sometimes also be preferred. But still the GPCP1DD has a very coarse resolution compared to other products.

The products that only use ground measurements, CRU TS3.21 and GPCC, also perform very well. A disadvantage is that those products require a denser rain gauge network to obtain a finer spatial resolution which is needed in the future for WA+. Another option is to incorporate remote sensed measurements to interpolate these products into a finer spatial resolution.

When you look at the overall score, the TRMM 3B43 v7 data and CHIRPS v1.8 data have the best performance. In Figure 8D the scatter plot of CHIRPS v1.8 is shown for the monthly 0.25 degrees resolution. The TRMM data has the best bias (Yearly: 2.5° bias=19.7mm, 0.25° bias=-41.9mm, Monthly: 2.5° bias=1.2mm, 0.25° bias =3mm) of all the products for the Nile basin, and slightly underestimates the rainfall in the mountainous area of the Blue Nile (Yearly: 2.5° bias=-32.6mm, 0.25° bias=-93.1mm, Monthly: 2.5° bias =-3.7mm, 0.25° bias=-9.2mm). But still the RMSE (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE=19.8%, 0.25° RMSE=25.5%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE=41.4%, 0.25° RMSE=51.1%) and the correlation (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.92, 0.25° r=0.86, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.93, 0.25° r=0.91) are very good for the Blue Nile. The correlations of CHIRPS (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.92, 0.25° r=0.93, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.90, 0.25° r=0.92) are the highest for almost every validated resolution and for a monthly scale the RMSE (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE=25.2%, 0.25° RMSE=28.1%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE=47.3%, 0.25° RMSE=49.3%) are the lowest. But CHIRPS v1.8 suffers from underestimation of the rainfall as one can see from the bias (Yearly: 2.5° bias=-91mm, 0.25° bias=-138.8mm, Monthly: 2.5° bias =-11mm, 0.25° bias=-14.6mm). For the Blue Nile CHIRPS v1.8 has the same underestimation, while for the other products the underestimation becomes more if one compares the bias of the product to the bias of the total Nile Basin, except for TAMSAT and ECMWF. Those good results for CHIRPS v1.8, makes
this product the best product for the mountainous areas followed from this validation. The good result for the complex topography is also due to the use of the DEM map inside the product. Furthermore, this product use a long term climate map (CHP Clim) and the temporal component is determined with the use of IRP, this approach is very effective for the systematic climate effects of complex topography as one can see from the results. Also a very fine spatial resolution of 0.05 degrees can be obtained.

The same results for CHIRPS v1.8 were found in a research of Dinku (2014). The research area for this study was Ethiopia and Tanzania which also includes the Blue Nile sub basin. Here, the TAMSAT, ARC 2.0, and CHIRPS v1.8 products were validated, resulting in similar biases for those products as found in this research.

Because of the underestimation of CHIRPS v1.8 in none mountainous areas, one can better use the TRMM 3B43 v7 datasets in those areas. This datasets has a slightly lower correlation and a slightly higher RMSE for the monthly values, but the bias is much better and therefore better for this area when a water balance is considered.

So, followed from the validation with 62 ground measurements the best product can be obtained by using the CHIRPS v1.8 product in the mountainous areas which also have a complex rainfall patterns and use TRMM 3B43 v7 in the other regions of the Nile Basin. In the near future one can try to improve the bias of CHIRPS v1.8 for this particular area. Removing the bias also results in a better RMSE for the CHIRPS v1.8 product. A finer spatial grid can be obtained by importing different maps, such as the NDVI map or using the soil moisture content map to define rain or no rain pixels. In CHIRPS v1.8 the involvement of a climate map and a DEM map shows already promising results.

The TRIPLE product shows good results for all the validations done in this research compared to the other products, and for the yearly 2.5 degrees resolution this ensemble product shows even the best RMSE (Yearly: 2.5° RMSE=21.7%, 0.25° RMSE=34.7%, Monthly: 2.5° RMSE=48%, 0.25° RMSE=58.5%) and correlation (Yearly: 2.5° r=0.93, 0.25° r=0.86, Monthly: 2.5° r=0.89, 0.25° r=0.87).

For a finer degree the results are less promising which was also expected because the triple collocation method could only be performed on a 0.75 degree grid due to the criteria of this method. Therefore, the most accurate dataset is chosen for a 0.75 degree spatial resolution, and not for a finer resolution. But this method gives promising results especially when the triple collocation can be done for a finer spatial resolution. This can be done if, the model based dataset can be replaced by a finer resolution dataset which is not ground or satellite based. This could be radar measurements for example. The radar measurements could receive more accurate rainfall measurements, and to define those areas the triple collocation could be used. So by applying this method one can always create a rainfall product which has a lower estimated error than the three original datasets.

5. Summary

WA+ is a potentially suitable and new analytical tool for the Nile Basin (Barendrecht,
2015), especially when the input data is reliable. But as with any hydrological calculations, this tool requires special attention about the datasets which can be used as input data for the model. The current comparison study demonstrates that considerable rainfall differences exist in the open access products. This lead to diverging conclusions regarding water resources availability, utilisable flows etc, which is exactly opposite to the intentions of WA+: a reliable and approved dataset to be used by all stakeholders. Therefore, a total of 13 different open access rainfall products could be compared and validated with the use of ground measurements. The product's pixels are many times larger than the rain gauges on the ground. This mismatch in spatial scales was solved by converting the classical point data into gridded data using three different upscaling methods. The block kriging method was chosen to use for the validation of the products due to the following reasons. First, the block kriging method showed a systematic higher correlation against all rainfall products. Secondly, the block kriging method could also be applied at sub basin scale, which was not possible for the variable grid averaging method. Due to the intrinsic error in block kriging, the errors found in the validation between ground “truth” and satellite products are not only due to the inaccuracy of the satellite products. Therefore, this interpolation technique introduces a sampling error in the “truth”. The block kriging method provides also an estimation of the standard deviation of this sampling error for each estimated rainfall value. The founded intrinsic error by estimating the “truth” with the block kriging method on monthly scale showed an average coefficient of variation (standard deviation divided by the mean) of 0.17. For the validation, only grids were used which consist of 1 ground measurements or more.

The satellite products were divided into two groups: the calibrated products that aim at reducing the bias and the uncalibrated products. They were not compared with each other because the ground measurements used for the validation could also be used for the bias removal of the unbiased products. The results show that ground measurements used for bias correction result in an improved correlation RMSE, and bias against the ground truth. It is essential to mention that many local rain gauge stations have been considered that are not part of the official WMO stations. The bias products are PERSIANN, GSMaP_MVK, and CMORPH, from which the latter shows the best results. The PERSIANN shows the worst correlation and has a very high RMSE.

ARC 2.0 gives the poorest performance of the unbiased products for the Nile Basin. Apparently, by only using the IR measurements with a GPI algorithm leads to a low correlation and high RMSE. Also the rainfall is largely underestimated, whereas the GPI algorithm has problems in estimating the higher rainfall amounts. The GPI algorithm can be improve by using PMW measurements as the RFE 2.0 product does, but still the same problems occurs due to low proportion of PMW due to spatial and temporal sampling. Another way to improve IR measurements is by calibrate the IR measurement locally as which is done for the TAMSAT product. Better performances are obtained by the algorithm of GPCP and GPCP1DD, the GPCP shows some better results than GPCP1DD. Only the spatial and temporal resolution of the GPCP is much coarser, so sometimes the GPCP1DD is still preferred. When one look at the RMSE and correlation of the validation done for the whole Nile basin, the CHIRPS v1.8 product shows the best result with a RMSE and correlation for the monthly 0.25° validation of 49.3% and 0.92 respectively, but this product underestimates rainfall with a biases between -12% and -14% for the different validations. Therefore, the TRMM 3B43 v7 shows also very good results, with biases between +2% and -4%. While the RMSE (Monthly 0.25° validation: 52.3%) and correlation (Monthly 0.25° validation: 0.89%) are a bit worse than CHIRPS v1.8, the bias is very good.

So, the best products for the WA+ purposes are the TRMM 3B43 v7 product and the CHIRPS v1.8 product. The TRMM 3B43 v7 product has a monthly bias of only -3mm (-3%) for the whole Nile basin for a 0.25° spatial resolution, which is very low. Spatial downscaling such as demonstrated by Duan (2014) in the Blue Nile is necessary for applications in hydrology and water management.

CHIRPS v1.8 product has the overall best RMSE and correlation for the mountainous areas. This product is as the developers say a third generation product and use a DEM map and a long term climate map (CHPChim) resulting in an estimation on a very fine spatial grid. The results are promising, whereas this product has the lowest RMSE (46.6%) and the highest correlation (0.93) for the 0.25° degree monthly validations. CHIRPS v1.8 showed a very fine spatial grid that was obtained by using a DEM map in combination with a long term climate map. Also with little effort, the bias of the CHIRPS v1.8 product can be removed with the use of the TRMM 3B43 v7 data for example.

Also the promising Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) mission must be examined if this data would be superior for the Water Accounting purposes. This successor of the TRMM is already started to make the data available for a 30
minutes temporal resolution on a 0.1 degree spatial grid, but is still in the test phase.

The TRIPLE product showed promising results especially on a coarser resolution in time and space. This is also due to the triple collocation method which was performed on a 0.75 degree grid. It would be favourable if the triple collocation method could be performed on a finer spatial grid. But this needs other correlated databases with different input datasets than the datasets used for the satellite and ground based rainfall estimations, to guarantee uncorrelated random errors between the datasets. This can be radar measurements for example, to replace the model based ECMWF dataset which was the coarsest dataset used for this method. The results from this research showed that the triple collocation method can be used to make a better product

A final remark that has to be mentioned is that the validation was done for the Nile Basin, so the result could be very different in other basins resulting in another superior product for that specific area. The ARC 2.0 and RFE 2.0 showed the poorest correlation, but in regions with more convective storms those products could be superior. Also ECMWF performs better for the higher latitudes. Therefore, a global superior product cannot be concluded from this study only, because each basin and even each sub basin can have its own superior rainfall product.
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### Appendix A: List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4D-VAR</td>
<td>Four Dimensional Variation Assimilation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGPI</td>
<td>Adjusted GOES Precipitation Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSU</td>
<td>Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSR</td>
<td>Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>Africa Rainfall Climatology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>Climate Anomaly Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>Cold Cloud Duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHG</td>
<td>Climate Hazards Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIMAT</td>
<td>Names of the codes for reporting monthly values of meteorological parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMORPH</td>
<td>Climate Prediction Center Morphing Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRP</td>
<td>Climate Hazards Group IR Precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRPS</td>
<td>Climate Hazards Group IR Precipitation Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP Clim</td>
<td>CHG Precipitation Climatology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>Climate Prediction Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRU_TS</td>
<td>Climatic Research Unit Time Series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAV</td>
<td>Cloud System Advection Vector map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Digital Elevation Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWD</td>
<td>Deutcher Wetterdienst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMWF</td>
<td>European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA-interim</td>
<td>ECMWF Interim Reanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEWS NET</td>
<td>Famine Early Warning System Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANAL</td>
<td>JMA Global Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>Geostationary Orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHCN</td>
<td>Global Historical Climatology Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES</td>
<td>Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCC</td>
<td>Global Precipitation Climatology Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP</td>
<td>Global Precipitation Climatology Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP1DD</td>
<td>Global Precipitation Climatology Project 1 Degree Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI</td>
<td>GOES Precipitation Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPROF</td>
<td>Goddard Profiling Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPM</td>
<td>Global Precipitation Measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSMaP</td>
<td>Global Satellite Mapping of Precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSMaP_MVK</td>
<td>Global Satellite Mapping of Precipitation Moving Vector with Kalman version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTS</td>
<td>Global Telecommunication system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDRO1K</td>
<td>Geographic database developed of topographically derived data sets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Integrated Forecast System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Infra Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRP</td>
<td>IR Precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAXA</td>
<td>Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMA</td>
<td>Japan Meteorological Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEO</td>
<td>Low Earth Orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSIANN</td>
<td>Precipitation Estimation from Remotely Sensed Information Using Artificial Neural Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Precipitation Radar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDW</td>
<td>Monthly Climatic Data for the World</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGLL</td>
<td>Modified Grossberg Linear Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBI</td>
<td>Nile Basin Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESDIS</td>
<td>National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>Normalized Difference Vegetation Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFE</td>
<td>African Rainfall Estimation Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>Root Mean Squared Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNC</td>
<td>Rain/No Rain Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVIRI</td>
<td>Spinning Enhanced Visible Infra-Red Imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFM</td>
<td>Self Organizing Feature Map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPHEREMAP</td>
<td>Shephards Method in Spherical Coordinates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM/I</td>
<td>Special Sensor Microwave-Imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAM SAT</td>
<td>Tropical Applications of Meteorology using Satellite data and Ground-Based Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIR</td>
<td>Thermal Infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMI</td>
<td>TRMM Microwave Imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMPA</td>
<td>TRMM Multi-satellite Precipitation Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMPI</td>
<td>Threshold-Matched Precipitation Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM</td>
<td>Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS</td>
<td>United States Geological Survey’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAR</td>
<td>Variable Rain rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>Visible light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA+</td>
<td>Water Accounting Plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCRP</td>
<td>World Climate Research Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWR</td>
<td>World Weather Records</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Statistical tools used for validation

The validation is done with the use of three different techniques to estimate the rainfall of a grid with the use of the ground observations, which are point measurements. Hereafter, this estimated rainfall is used to compare with the estimated rainfall of each product. The following statistics were used to validate the products:

The first statistic is the correlation. This is a measure of the linear dependence of the ground observations and the satellite data and can be defined as:

\[ r = \frac{\text{Cov}(G, S)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(G)} \sqrt{\text{Var}(S)}} = \frac{\sum(G_i - \bar{G})(S_i - \bar{S})}{\sqrt{\sum((G_i - \bar{G})^2)} \sqrt{\sum((S_i - \bar{S})^2)}} \]

The correlation coefficient is the square of the correlation.

The second statistic is the bias, which is a statistical error and can be defined as:

\[ \text{Bias (mm)} = \frac{\sum(S_i - G_i)}{n} \]

One can also define the bias as a percentage:

\[ \text{Bias(\%)} = 100 \times \frac{\sum S_i}{\sum G_i} \]

The third statistic is the root mean squared error (RMSE), which is defined as:

\[ \text{RMSE} = 100 \times \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (S_i - G_i)^2}}{G} \]

In which i is the number of the point, G is the ground data, \( \bar{G} \) is the average of the ground data, and S is the satellite products, \( \bar{S} \) is the average of the satellite data. The n is the number of grids that are used for the validation.
Appendix C: Triple collocation

Triple collocation can be used to estimate the errors and the cross-calibration of three linearly related datasets with uncorrelated errors, as already explained in section 2 of this report. The method assumes that the three rainfall datasets \((R_{x,y,z})\) are related to the hypothetical truth \((R)\) as stated below (Stoffelen 1998):

\[
R_x = \alpha_x + \beta_x R + e_x \\
R_y = \alpha_y + \beta_y R + e_y \\
R_z = \alpha_z + \beta_z R + e_z
\]

(1)

In which \(\alpha_{x,y,z}\) are the offsets, \(\beta_{x,y,z}\) are the gains or calibration constants and \(e_{x,y,z}\) are the residual errors which is assumed to be of zero mean. The datasets are recalibrated to the hypothetical truth \(R\), by defining the datasets as follow:

\[
R_{x,y,z}^* = R + e_{x,y,z}^*
\]

(2)

Those are calculated by eliminating the calibration constants from the observed datasets and from the residual errors.

\[
R_{x,y,z}^* = \frac{R_{x,y,z}}{\beta_{x,y,z}} - \frac{\alpha_{x,y,z}}{\beta_{x,y,z}}
\]

(3)

\[
e_{x,y,z}^* = \frac{e_{x,y,z}}{\beta_{x,y,z}}
\]

(4)

Because the truth is unknown, one of the datasets must act as a reference. The residual errors depend not on the chosen reference. Because the datasets are unbiased the \(\alpha_{x,y,z}=0\). Equation 2 can be rewritten as:

\[
R_x^* - R_y^* = e_x^* - e_y^* \\
R_x^* - R_z^* = e_x^* - e_z^* \\
R_y^* - R_z^* = e_y^* - e_z^*
\]

(5)

By cross multiplying equation 5, the mean residual errors can be determined if a minimum of three datasets are used. The expected values \(<\cdot>\) of the squares of the differences are as follow:

\[
< (R_x^* - R_y^*) (R_x^* - R_z^*) > = < (e_x^*)^2 > - < e_x^* e_y^* > + < e_x^* e_z^* >
\]

\[
< (R_y^* - R_z^*) (R_y^* - R_z^*) > = < (e_y^*)^2 > - < e_y^* e_z^* > + < e_y^* e_z^* >
\]

\[
< (R_z^* - R_y^*) (R_z^* - R_x^*) > = < (e_z^*)^2 > - < e_z^* e_y^* > + < e_z^* e_x^* >
\]

(6)

The \((e_x^*)^2, (e_y^*)^2, \) and \((e_z^*)^2\) are the wanted error variances. The \(e_x^* e_y^*, e_x^* e_z^*, \) and \(e_y^* e_z^*\) are the covariances. An assumption was that the error are uncorrelated, resulting that all the covariances are zero. Therefore, the residual errors are given by:
By assuming that dataset $x$ is calibrated ($\beta_x = 1$), the other two systems can be calibrated by using $x$ as reference. So by using the neutral regression one can find $\beta_y$ and $\beta_z$. The equations used in the neutral regression (Marsden 1999) are stated below to calculate the calibration constant (Janssen, Abdalla et al. 2007):

\[
\beta_y = \frac{-B + \sqrt{(B^2 - 4AC)}}{2A}
\]  

Where:

\[
A = \gamma(R^*_z R^*_y)
\]

\[
B = ((R^*_z)^2) - \gamma((R^*_y)^2)
\]

\[
C = -(R^*_z R^*_y)
\]

\[
\gamma = \frac{(e^*_y)^2}{(e^*_z)^2}
\]

The $\beta_z$ can be found by replacing $y$ with $z$ in equation 8 till 11. After those calculations all the calibration constants are known and one can repeat the whole calculation again but now by using the calibration constant of equations 3 to adjust the observed rainfall. Repeat those steps until convergence is obtained, so when all the calibration constants become 1.

With the error, the standard deviation can be calculated by the following relationship between error and standard deviation:

\[
\sigma_{x,y,z} = \sqrt{(e^*_{x,y,z})^2}
\]

For this report the means of the errors are taken for every grid for a period of time. A large time period is needed to guarantee that the mean errors are Gaussian, which is one of the main criteria for the triple collocation method.

The above calculations are done in Matlab with 1000 iteration steps to ensure convergence. The results of this calculation are shown in the next section. Those calculations are done for two periods, namely the wet and the dry period of the Nile basin. The periods are both 6 months and are from May till October (wet period) and from November till April (dry period). Periods with fewer months were not possible, to ensure a Gaussian distribution of the errors.
### Appendix D: Validation results

#### Table 8: The validation results expressed in correlation, RMSE, and bias for the grid averaging and block kriging method on yearly basis on a 2.5 degree grid for the Nile Basin and the Blue Nile sub-basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.5 Degree Yearly</th>
<th>Nile Basin</th>
<th>Blue Nile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid Averaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Bias</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Kriging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blue Nile</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Bias</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Kriging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 9: The validation results expressed in correlation, RMSE, and bias, for the grid averaging method on yearly basis on a 0.25 degree grid for the Nile Basin and the Blue Nile sub-basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.25 Degree Yearly</th>
<th>Nile Basin</th>
<th>Blue Nile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid Averaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Bias</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Kriging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blue Nile</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Bias</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Kriging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 10: The validation results expressed in correlation, RMSE, and bias for the grid averaging and block kriging method on monthly basis on a 2.5 degree grid for the Nile Basin and the Blue Nile sub-basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.5 Degree Monthly</th>
<th>Nile Basin</th>
<th>Blue Nile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid Averaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Bias</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Kriging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blue Nile</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Bias</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Kriging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 7: The validation results expressed in correlation, RMSE, and bias for the grid averaging and block kriging method on monthly basis on a 0.25 degree grid for the Nile Basin and the Blue Nile sub-basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.25 Degree Monthly</th>
<th>Nile Basin</th>
<th>Blue Nile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid Averaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Bias</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Kriging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blue Nile</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Bias</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
<td>mm (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Kriging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11: The validation result expressed in correlation, RMSE, and bias for the variable grid averaging method on a monthly and a yearly basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Grid Averaging</th>
<th>Yearly</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
<th>Yearly</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corr</td>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>Bias</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>-141.66 (87)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>-150.73 (86)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP3DD</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>-142.13 (87)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRPS</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>-238.59 (77)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMWF</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>-201.27 (81)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>-388.98 (63)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRPS</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>-266.27 (75)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFE</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>-341.45 (67)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAMSAT</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>-288.93 (72)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCC</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>-210.61 (80)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIPLE</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>-294.40 (72)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSIANN</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>-52.55 (95)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMORPH</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>118.04 (113)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSMaIP</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>-66.77 (94)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Introduction

In this chapter the making of the open access precipitation products will be shown. Those products are derived by different developers from different institutes and use different type or combinations of input datasets. In chapter 2 the two main types of satellites will be explained and the current operational satellites with meteorological purposes will be given. Also the equipment onboard of those satellites will be summed. In chapter 3 the basic techniques which are used to measure the atmospheric conditions from space will be discussed. The basic techniques and algorithms that are used to obtain a satellite precipitation estimate will be declared stepwise for all the popular open access rainfall products in chapter 4, without going into too many details. In the last chapter, some tables are given which will visual schematize chapter 4.

2. Satellites missions

The beginning of the satellite missions is dated since 1957, when the Soviet Union launched the Sputnik 1 into the space. This was the first artificial Earth satellite and was used to deduce the density of the upper atmosphere and provide information about the ionosphere. So this satellite could not be used to determine the rainfall on earth. The first satellite that provided meteorological images of the Earth was the Television InfraRed Observation Satellite (TIROS-1) and was launched on the first of April 1960. This first weather satellite produced and launched by NASA could make visible (VIS) imagery of the Earth. The first records of precipitation datasets started since 1979. This was due to the availability of satellite infrared (IR) datasets that were well-calibrated. With IR measurements, one can determine the higher cloud tops temperature and from this the rainfall can be determined. The precipitation is namely positively correlated with the cloud tops temperature for convective clouds. In combination with the VIS imagery, it was possible to derive the rainfall on Earth. In spite of the possibility to derive rainfall since 1979, nevertheless this was not done on regular basis that time. Since 1987 the precipitation products derived from satellites became more popular due to the increasing demand of rainfall data all over the world and the lack of global coverage of instrumentation to measure quantitative precipitation estimations, like radar measurements (Kidd, Levizzani et al. 2009).

The operational meteorological satellites can be divided into two main types, namely the geostationary satellites (GEO) and the low-Earth orbiting satellites (LEO). Those types will be further discussed in section 2.1 and 2.2 of this chapter.

2.1 Operational Geostationary satellites

Geostationary satellites are stationed at a fixed point above the equator at about 35,800 kilometers above the Earth’s surface. These satellites travel at the same speed and same direction as the rotation of the Earth. As a result, the satellites are stationary relative to the Earth surface. One geostationary satellite can view about one third of the Earth’s surface at any time. Only for the Polar Regions the coverage is not optimal, due to the position of these satellites which is above the equator. In Table 1 the current operational geostationary meteorological satellites are summed.

The geostationary satellites of GOES have for example a coverage of the whole North-America and South-America continents. At this moment GOES-13 fulfills the GOES EAST position and GOES-15 fulfills the GOES WEST position. The coverage of the Polar Region of those two satellites is not
optimal; this is also shown in Figure 1. Imagery obtained from geostationary satellites is primarily VIS and IR, those techniques will be discussed in the next chapter (Kidd, Levizzani et al. 2009).

Table 1: Current Operational Geostationary Meteorological satellites in May 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Launched/End of life (expected)</th>
<th>Instruments for measuring precipitation (see also Table 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JMA (Japan)</td>
<td>MTSAT-2</td>
<td>145° E</td>
<td>2010/2015</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMA/NRS CC</td>
<td>FY-2D</td>
<td>86.5° E</td>
<td>2006/2014</td>
<td>S-VISSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY-2E</td>
<td>105° E</td>
<td>2004/2014</td>
<td>S-VISSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY-2F</td>
<td>112° E</td>
<td>2012/2016</td>
<td>S-VISSR/SXM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISRO</td>
<td>INSAT-3A</td>
<td>93.5° E</td>
<td>2003/2015</td>
<td>VHRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INSAT-3D</td>
<td>82° E</td>
<td>2013/2021</td>
<td>IMAGER/SOUNDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISRO/IMD</td>
<td>KALPANA-1</td>
<td>74° E</td>
<td>2002/2014</td>
<td>VHRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUMETSAT/ESA</td>
<td>Meteosat-7</td>
<td>57.3° E</td>
<td>2006-2016</td>
<td>MVIRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meteosat-8</td>
<td>3.6° E</td>
<td>2002/2016</td>
<td>SEVIRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meteosat-9</td>
<td>9.4° E</td>
<td>2005/2019</td>
<td>SEVIRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meteosat-10</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>2012/2019</td>
<td>SEVIRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA/NOAA</td>
<td>GOES-13</td>
<td>75° W</td>
<td>2006/2015</td>
<td>SOUNDER/IMAGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOES-14</td>
<td>105° W</td>
<td>2009/2016</td>
<td>SOUNDER/IMAGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOES-15</td>
<td>135° W</td>
<td>2010/2020</td>
<td>SOUNDER/IMAGER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Coverage of the GOES geostationary meteorological satellites (GOES 2014)

2.2 Operational Low orbiting satellites

The second satellite category is the Low-Earth orbiting satellites (LEO). They are located 850Km above the Earth’s surface and do not have a fixed point. The orbits are such that they cross the Equator at the same local time. They fly around the Earth in about 100 minutes and are capable to make a scan of all the areas of the world, so also the Polar Regions. A list of LEO satellites is given in
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Table 2. In addition of the VIS and IR sensors, microwave sounders and imagers are also included. These equipments are capable to measure precipitation more accurate and directly, because they collect for example information about the temperature profile, water vapor profile and cloud properties. The radiation measured with those passive microwave sensors is physically more related to rainfall compared to the geostationary infrared measurements. The disadvantage is that the imagery of the LEO satellites is not always available for a certain region; they have a much large time step between local imagery in comparison with the imagery of the geostationary satellites (which is mostly 30 minutes). So data generated by combining those two types of satellites can give coverage at any time with the use of the geostationary satellites and can become more accurate due to the data obtained by the LEO satellites (Kidd, Levizzani et al. 2009).

Table 2: Current Operational Low-Earth Orbit Meteorological satellites in May 2014 (OSCAR 2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Launched/End of life (expected)</th>
<th>Instruments for measuring precipitation (see also Table 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOAA-16</td>
<td>2000/2014</td>
<td>AMSU-A/AMSU-B/AVHRR/HIRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOAA-18</td>
<td>2005/2014</td>
<td>AMSU-A/AVHRR/HIRS/MHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOAA-19</td>
<td>2009/2014</td>
<td>AMSU-A/AVHRR/HIRS/MHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defence/NOAA</td>
<td>DMSP-F13</td>
<td>1995/2014</td>
<td>SSM-I/SSM-T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMSP-F14</td>
<td>1997/2014</td>
<td>SSM-T/SSM-T/SSM-T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMSP-F15</td>
<td>1999/2014</td>
<td>SEM/SSM-T/SSM-T/SSM-T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMSP-F16</td>
<td>2003/2014</td>
<td>SSMIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMSP-F17</td>
<td>2006/2014</td>
<td>SSMIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMSP-F18</td>
<td>2009/2014</td>
<td>SSMIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMSP-F19</td>
<td>2014/2019</td>
<td>SSMIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUMETSAT/ESA</td>
<td>METOP-A</td>
<td>2006/2014</td>
<td>AMSU-A/AVHRR/HIRS/IASI/MHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>METOP-B</td>
<td>2012/2018</td>
<td>AMSU-A/AVHRR/HIRS/IASI/MHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMA/NRSCC</td>
<td>FY-3A</td>
<td>2008/2014</td>
<td>IRAS/MERSI/MWHS/MWRI/MWTS/SBUS/SEM/SIM/TOU/VIRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY-3B</td>
<td>2010/2014</td>
<td>ERM/IRAS/MERSI/MWTS/VIRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY-3C</td>
<td>2013/2016</td>
<td>ERM/IRAS/MERSIMWTS/VIRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA/JAXA</td>
<td>TRMM</td>
<td>1997/2014</td>
<td>CERES/PR/TMI/VIRS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also good to mention is that two special LEO satellites from JMA are planned to be launched in 2019 and 2023. These satellites will have a focus especially on measuring precipitation. Probably this will lead to more accurate rainfall products in the future. Also the Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) mission has already launched a LEO satellite which will replace the TRMM satellite and will increase the accuracy of the rainfall products (OSCAR 2014).

From 1998 many satellites with passive microwave instruments are available in the low Earth orbit. Those instruments can provide the best accurate rainfall estimates, but the disadvantage is that they are also located in the low Earth orbit. This leads to data that has spatial and temporal sampling issues. The GEO satellites instead, can obtain IR data that is spatially complete and available every 15-60 minutes, but this IR data is not as accurate as the data provided by the passive microwave...
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instruments. This situation has led to the development of different methods and algorithms to combine the datasets from the GEO and LEO satellites and sometimes also ground measurements, to obtain a satellite-based rainfall estimate product. The basic techniques for estimation the precipitation will be discussed in the next chapter.

Table 3: List of instruments and its abbreviation used for precipitation measurements (OSCAR 2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List of instruments and its abbreviation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIRS= Atmospheric Infra-Red Sounder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSU-A= Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSU-B= Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSR-E= Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for EOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVHRR= Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERES= Clouds and the Earth’s Radiant Energy System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA(M-KG)-4C= GGAK-M / Radiation Monitoring System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA-K(SKIF-6)= GGAK-E/Corpuscular radiation spectrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA-K(SKIF-E)= GGAK-E/Solar cosmic rays spectrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA-K(GALS-E)= GGAK-E/Detector of galactic cosmic rays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA-K(ISP-2M)= GGAK-E/Solar constant sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA-K(VUS-2)= GGAK-E/Solar UV radiation sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA-K(DIR-E)= GGAK-E/Magnetometer instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIRS=High-resolution Infra Red Sounder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAS= Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMAGER=INSAT imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAS= Infra Red Atmospheric Sounder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMSS= High Resolution VIS/IR Radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERSI= Medium Resolution Spectral Imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHS= Microwave Humidity Sounding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS= Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectro-radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVIRI= Meteosat Visible Infra-Red Imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWTS= Micro-Wave Temperature Sounder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR= Precipitation Radar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUNDER= INSAT sounder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVIRI= Spinning Enhanced Visible Infra-Red Imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM-I= Special Sensor Microwave - Imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM-T= Special Sensor Microwave – Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM-T2= Special Sensor Microwave – Humidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMIS= Special Sensor Microwave – Imager/Sounder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPD= Data Collection and Transmission System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-VISSR= Stretched Visible and Infrared Spin Scan Radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMI= TRMM Microwave Imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHI= Very High Resolution Radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIRR= Visible and Infra-Red Radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIRS= Visible and Infra Red Scanner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Basic techniques

In this part the basic techniques to obtain the datasets which are used to derive a satellite based precipitation estimate will be discussed. In most of the precipitation product, techniques from geostationary and low orbiting satellites are both used to get a combined precipitation estimates which will increase the accuracy of the end product. The better accuracy is the result of the combined advantages of both satellite techniques. All the techniques use different frequencies in the electromagnetic spectrum. Firstly, the techniques of the geostationary satellites will be discussed in section 3.1. In section 3.2, the techniques from the low orbiting satellites will be discussed. Those techniques form the basis of the algorithms that are used to derive the precipitation products, but there are several different algorithms for each technique to convert the measured electromagnetic frequencies into precipitation estimations. Each satellite product uses a different set of algorithms to transfer those frequencies into rainfall estimation.

3.1 Geostationary satellites

As already mentioned, the advantage of this satellite is the spatial and temporal coverage of the dataset. The whole world is covered for all time, because 1 satellite covers already a third of the total surface of the earth. The disadvantage is the accuracy of the technique due to the large distance between the satellite and the earth’s surface, this has an effects upon the frequencies that can be used due to this distance (only VIS, NIR or TIR). So, only lower frequencies can be detected because of the travel distance of those frequencies is larger. The relation between rainfall and those frequencies is less accurate. Furthermore, the coverage of the polar regions is not optimal due to the location of the satellite is above the equator (Kidd, Levizzani et al. 2009).

3.1.1 Passive microwave techniques

Passive microwave techniques are techniques which do not send a signal, but only detects an electromagnetic signal with a certain frequency. This signal is emitted from the Earth surface or is reflected sunlight by the Earth. The advantage is that less energy is needed to obtain a measurement, this is especially ideal in space where a little amount of energy can be generated or stored.

Visible light technique

The most direct way to observe clouds is with the use of the visible part (VIS) of the electromagnetic spectrum (frequencies between 430 and 790 THz). This results in an imagery which shows what we would also observe with our own eyes. Clouds are relatively bright against the Earth’s black surface. The brightness also depends on the thickness of the clouds. From the VIS images only, it is hard to obtain the rain intensity, but they can provide useful information about the type of clouds. Those imageries are only useful during daytime, when there is enough sunlight. During the night time no clouds can be detected. The instruments used for those measurements are for example SEVIRI sensors.

Near infrared technique

Near infrared channels (frequencies between 400 and 100 THz) are also very useful, because they can provide information on the properties of the cloud top particles. The obtained data contains information about the size of the cloud top particles and if the particles are liquid or ice. Those channels are restricted to use only during daytime, because solar illumination is needed (Kidd, Levizzani et al. 2009). SEVIRI sensor is an example of an instrument which can detect near infrared frequencies.
Infrared technique
The infrared technique (frequencies between 100 and 10 THz) uses a sensor which detects the infrared frequencies which is emitted by the heat of the vapor inside the cloud top. From this emitted frequency one can obtain the temperature of the cloud top. The rainfall estimation is based upon the simple principle of: a colder cloud top contains more precipitable water resulting in higher rainfall intensities. Those imageries are very useful in combination with the VIS and near IR imagery to obtain precipitation. IR images are available during both day and night (Kidd, Levizzani et al. 2009). The disadvantage is that relation between the measured IR radiance and the surface rainfall has a relatively weak correlation and only detects deep convective rain events (Huffman, Adler et al. 1997). Drizzle is difficult to detect when only the cloud top temperature is measured, because there is not a clear temperature threshold between the distinction of rain or no rain. The instruments that can measure IR are for example the SEVIRI sensor.

3.2 Low orbiting satellites
Low orbiting satellites rainfall estimates can greatly increase the accuracy of the precipitation data obtained from the GEO satellite. The only disadvantage is the spatial coverage of the LEO satellites. The whole Earth cannot be covered by those satellites resulting in spatial gaps inside the data which must be filled with other datasets or extrapolation techniques.

3.2.1 Passive microwave
The passive microwave sensors are also situated on low orbiting satellites. By detecting different frequencies the precipitation estimations can be obtained with the use of a retrieval algorithm. The scattering and emission techniques are the two most important techniques to retrieve rainfall from the passive microwave sensors. Both will be described here.

Scattering technique
The basic scattering technique will be discussed here. In different products, different algorithms are used to convert the measured frequencies into rainfall estimation. Those algorithms are described in different papers, but the basic scattering technique remains the same. Scattering based rainfall retrieval techniques use the scattering by ice particles at the rain cloud top. Larger amount of ice particles associate with a heavier rainfall intensity. In order to obtain the scattering information, frequencies of 50GHz or higher must be measured (Liu and Curry 1992). SSM-I, TMI, or MHS are sensors which can measure those frequencies. Those sensors detect the scattering of the upwelling radiation by precipitation sized ice particles within the rain layer. This technique can be used above land and oceans. Some care must be taken to remove the errors because of strange scattering features. Those errors are mostly located in deserts or snow surfaces.

Emission technique
Emission based techniques utilize the emission of the rain clouds. This technique is more accurate than the scattering technique because they are more directly related to precipitation since the thermal radiation that is emitted from liquid hydrometeors is sensed directly (Joyce, Xie et al. 2010). More emission relates to higher rain intensity. The emitted radiation of rain clouds is more than the radiation emitted by the background ocean and cloud free atmosphere (Liu and Curry 1992). Above land, this technique is not applicable due to the large variation of the emitted radiation above land surfaces. Frequencies of 50GHz or lower are used in the algorithm to obtain the emission based rainfall estimation. The SSM-I, TMI, or AMSU-A are sensors which can detect those frequencies.
3.2.2 Active microwave
The low orbiting satellite TRMM has also an active microwave sensor which can detect rainfall. An active microwave sensor sends an electromagnetic signal towards the Earth and measures the reflected signal from the Earth. Those signals have a stronger reflection and are therefore more accurate. The disadvantage is the energy consumption of those sensors, a lot more energy is needed to send those signals and measure the reflected signal.

Precipitation radar
Radar measurements are mostly performed from the ground surface and give very accurate information about a rain event. At this moment, the TRMM and GPM satellites have the only in-space precipitation radars. This precipitation radar makes three dimensional maps of the storm structure. The 3D is a result of knowing the time between sending the signal and measuring the reflected signal. This gives insight information on the intensity and distribution of the rain, the rain type, and on the ice layer. The resolution is 5 kilometers and the radar has a swat width of 215 kilometer. This swat width is relatively small and makes it impossible to frequently scan the whole Earth. So the TRMM precipitation radar measures just a small fraction of the world every day. To cover the whole Earth, other techniques are required to cover a much larger part of the Earth, for example the passive microwave sensors from the LEO satellites can be used which has a swat width of 700 kilometers or more. But those sensors measure only one value, while the precipitation radar measures at different height (three dimensional). Therefore, the PR can give much more information about the rainfall profile inside the storm and this makes the precipitation radar more accurate and valuable to use for the precipitation estimations. The radar measures at one frequency which is 13.8GHz (OSCAR 2014). This frequency is emitted by the satellite and the reflected signal is measured by the same satellite. This reflection is caused by the water particles inside the clouds, rain drops in the air or the land surface. The reflected signal and time between emitting and measuring gives information about the intensity of the rain at different heights above the ground. The precipitation radar can measure above land and ocean and has a sensitivity of 0.7mm/hour.

Figure 2: TRMM satellite and the attached instruments (NASA 2011)
4. Rainfall product algorithms

This section will explain how the open access rainfall product developers produce the rainfall datasets which are used in this research. All the popular open access rainfall products for Africa will be explained one by one, because all the developers use different types of algorithms and combine different type of data from satellites and ground measurements to come up with a rainfall estimate.

4.1 TRMM 3B43

TRMM 3B43 is a product of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) which is a joint space mission of the NASA and the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA). The main objective of this mission is to monitor and study the tropical rainfall.

Table 4: Characteristics of the TRMM 3B43 rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRMM 3B43</th>
<th>TMI and PR/TCI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input sensor satellite/ Retrieval Algorithm</td>
<td>TMI/GPROF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSR-E/GPROF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSM-I/GPROF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MHS/NESDIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSU/NESDIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GEO-IR/VAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEO-IR/VAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input gauge</td>
<td>Yes (Monthly rain gauge/GPCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Scale, extent</td>
<td>0.25° x 0.25°, 0°E-360°E/50°N-50°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal scale, extent</td>
<td>3 hourly, 1 January 1998-present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRMM 3B43 rainfall product has the formal name “TRMM and Other Data Precipitation Data Set”. The applied algorithm is the Version 7 TRMM Multi-Satellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA). This method depends on two different types of satellite sensors as input data, namely microwave and IR measurements (Huffman, Adler et al. 2010). The microwave data are obtained by a variety of LEO satellites, including the TMI from the TRMM satellite, SSM-I from the DMSP satellites AMSR-E from Aqua satellite, AMSU and MHS from the NOAA and METOP satellites. The Precipitation Radar (PR) is also used from the TRMM satellite, which can provide three-dimensional maps of storm structure as discussed before. In the beginning of the TRMM 3B43 dataset, there were only 3 LEO satellites available. Thereby, only 40% of the Earth’s surface was covered by a microwave dataset. Nowadays, this coverage problem is already improved due to the increasing amount of LEO satellites in space. Furthermore, some new sensors are in the process of being involved into the TMPA, for example the SSMIS from the DMSP F16 and F17 satellites and MHS from the NOAA 18 and METOP satellites to improve the coverage. Another problem was malfunctioning of the instruments during some periods of time, leading to a data gaps inside the continuous data, which leads to the availability of less data for the TMPA for some periods. First, the algorithms for obtaining the precipitation data from the different measured radiances will be discussed. In 4.1.4 the TMPA principle will be declared, this principle combines the different rainfall datasets to obtain a combined precipitation product which will improve the accuracy of the product a lot.
4.1.1 Retrieval Algorithm for TMI, AMSR-E and SSM-I sensors

The first major input data source for TMPA is the microwave data. All the microwave observations from TMI, AMSR-E and SSM-I sensors are converted into a precipitation estimate with the use of a sensor-specific version of the Goddard Profiling Algorithm (GPROF). The GPROF algorithm aims to retrieve the instantaneous rainfall and the vertical structure of the rainfall (Kummerow, Hong et al. 2001) and uses a Bayesian least-squares fit scheme to the observed microwave radiances for each pixel. This is done by selecting the best combination from an extensive library of hydrometeor profiles. This library is based on the data collected by the TRMM Precipitation Radar (GPROF 2010 library) and based on a cloud model which generate pre-computed microwave channels (GPROF 2004 library). These pre-computed microwave channels are cloud-model-based relations between hydrometeor profiles and microwave brightness temperatures (Huffman, Adler et al. 2007). The algorithm reduces to a scattering procedure when rainfall estimates are calculated over land and coastal surface areas, by using only the higher frequency channels. The emission technique and scattering technique are used above the oceans. The TMI and the Precipitation Radar are both on the TRMM satellite and measures the same area at the same time. This gives the opportunity to use the Precipitation Radar to calibrate the microwave datasets with the use of the GPROF 2010 library, in this case the TMI, to transfer those into a precipitation estimate. The Precipitation Radar cannot be used to estimate precipitation for the whole surface of the Earth. This is because this radar can only scan a small amount of land in the short period of time compared to the microwave sensors, see also Figure 3. Furthermore, the Precipitation Radar is only present in the TRMM satellite while more microwave sensors are installed on several other satellites.

Figure 3: TRMM satellite view (the TMI and PR over VIRS) of the Bay of Bengal on 13 November 2013, the band width of TMI and PR are shown (Pierce 2013)
Another advantage of the passive microwave sensors is that they use a small amount of energy, which is very useful when measuring in space. During the processing of the microwave data, they are screened for contamination by surface effects. The objectives of this algorithm, is the providing of instantaneous rainfall rates, the vertical structure of precipitation, and the associated latent heating (Kummerow, Hong et al. 2001). A NESDIS algorithm is used to obtain the rainfall estimates from the AMSU-B and MHS sensors. This algorithm also exist for the SSM-I sensor, but in the TMPA the GPROF algorithm is used for this sensor, because of better results. The GPROF algorithm for SSM-I sensor was modified to produce rainfall rates similar to those of the NESDIS rainfall algorithm, which will be explained in the CMORPH section.

4.1.2 Retrieval Algorithm for AMSU-B and MHS sensors
The operational versions of the Zhao and Weng algorithm as described in their papers (Zhao and Weng 2002, Weng, Zhao et al. 2003), convert the microwave observations from AMSU-B and MHS into precipitation estimates. This algorithm is based on the scattering technique and is used by the National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service (NESDIS). The algorithm performs a physical retrieval of ice water path (with the use of the 89GHz and 150GHz channel). The convergence to the rain rate is made based on cloud data from the fifth-generation Pennsylvania State University-National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Mesoscale Model (MM5) and also on comparisons with in situ data (Joyce, Janowiak et al. 2004). The MM5 model is an empirically derived relationship between retrieved ice amounts in the rain layer and in the tops of convective clouds to actual surface rain. This scattering technique is used above land and ocean surfaces. But is not the most accurate in comparison with the rain rate obtained with the GPROF algorithm from the other passive microwave sensors. In contrast with the GPROF, the NESDIS do not retrieve the vertical rainfall structure and do not use one of the GPROF’s libraries. The NESDIS algorithm is also further discussed in the CMORPH section which makes use of the NESDIS algorithm also.

4.1.3 Retrieval Algorithm for the IR data
The second major data source for TMPA is the GEO-IR data. The advantage of this data source is the time and space coverage. The GEO satellites can provide data for the whole Earth’s surface at any time. The IR sensor use thermal IR to measure the cloud-top temperature in order to estimate precipitation. However, the correlation between the cloud-top temperature and the precipitation is very poorly at fine time and space scales, but relatively well correlated if a larger time and space scale is used. With a daily time scale and a space scale of 2.5° x 2.5° the correlation is improved a lot (Arkin and Meisner 1987). The Climate Prediction Center (CPC) merges the GEO-IR data into grids of 4 kilometers. The dataset is corrected for zenith-angle viewing effects and inter-satellite calibration difference.

4.1.4 Combining products with TMPA
The TMPA employs three additional data sources, including TRMM Combined instruments (TCI) estimates, this estimation results in the TRMM 2B31 product and combines data from the TMI and the Precipitation Radar. The TMPA is computed in four stages:

1. The microwave precipitation estimates are inter-calibrated and combined
2. Providing IR precipitation estimates using the calibrated microwave precipitation
3. The microwave and IR rainfall estimations are combined
4. Gauged rainfall data are integrated
Basic Principles of Satellite Precipitation Measurements

**Step 1:**
All the microwave datasets are averaged to a 0.25° spatial grid over a +/- 90 minutes time range from the nominal 3 hourly observation times. The best data source to adopt in the calibration is the TCI data source. Which is a multi-sensor based data by combining the TMI and the Precipitation Radar of the TRMM satellite. By applying the TCI-TMI calibration, one can use the TMI coverage to calibrate other sensors from other satellites. This is needed because the coincidence of TCI coverage with any of the sensors other than TMI is sparse. The TMI calibrations of the other microwave sensors are adequately represented by climatologically-based coefficients representing large areas (Huffman, Adler et al. 2010). Some calibrations are given separate climatologically adjustment one for land and another for the oceans (for example the TMI-AMSR-E and the TMI-AMSU/MHS calibrations) and the TMI-SSM/I calibration uses separate calibrations for five oceanic latitude bands. The TCI-adjusted AMSU/MHS calibration has some additional issues in detectability deficiency over land.

The TMI calibration results in microwave estimates which are all calibrated for each sensor on several satellites. From all these calibrated rainfall data, a single rainfall map is obtained. This map called the High Quality (HQ) microwave combination field uses the best available microwave rainfall data. The sequence of the best data is as follows, firstly the TCI data, if this data is not present for a grid than the TCI-adjusted TMI, AMSR-E, SSM/I and SSMIS are used. So, only TCI is used if available. Otherwise, all the TCI-adjusted data are used and averaged if necessary. The TCI-adjusted AMSU/MHS estimates are only used if none of the other microwave estimates are available.

**Step 2:**
In this step the IR data are rescaled to the 0.25° spatial resolution. To do this, some pre-processing is required. Full coverage of IR cloud top temperature is provided for the 3-hourly synoptic times. The passive microwave data is used to calibrate the IR data. The calibration goes as follows: First, the IR cloud top temperatures and the time-space matched HQ precipitation rates are accumulated for a month into histograms. The data that is used for this monthly histogram are the 3 hourly values with a spatial resolution of 0.25°x0.25° values on a 1°x1° target grid, aggregated to overlapping 3°x3° windows with the 1°x1° target grid in the middle. This window is used to convert IR cloud top temperature to precipitation rates (Huffman, Adler et al. 2007). The transformation into precipitation rates is just the “colder the cloud the more precipitation” principle, so the coldest 0.25° x 0.25° averaged cloud top temperature gets the greatest observed HQ precipitation rate. The result is a spatially varying threshold value that is determined by the fractional coverage of precipitation for the microwave data. A cloud top temperature warmer than this threshold will be assigned with zero precipitation. This approach is also called the Variable Rainrate (VAR) algorithm. If a grid has a lack of coincident data throughout the month mainly due to cold-land dropouts or quality control, a smooth-filled algorithm is applied which uses coincident data from surrounding grid boxes. The HQ-IR calibration coefficients computed for a month are used for the 3 hourly IR dataset during that month (Huffman, Adler et al. 2010). Those coefficients are used to improve the bias of the IR data. This calibrated IR data is used when the passive microwave data is not available.

**Step 3:**
The next step is combining the HQ and IR precipitation estimates. The HQ estimates are used where possible and the remaining grid boxes are filled with the HQ-calibrated IR estimates, see also Figure 4.
Step 4:
In the last step the monthly rainfall gauge are introduced. The rain-gauge data are provided by the Global Precipitation Climatological Center (GPCC) and by the Climate Assessment and Monitoring System (CAMS). First, all the 3 hourly merged HQ-IR estimates are summed over the month. The result is the monthly-satellite (MS) product. The MS and the gauge are combined by using inverse-error-variance weighting to create a post-real-time monthly satellite-gauge combination (SG). For all grids the SG/MG ratio is determined and then applied to scale each 3 hourly field in the month. The fields have the details of the satellite data, but have nearly neutral monthly bias compared to gauges (Huffman, Adler et al. 2007). All the TMPA steps are clearly visualized in the block diagram shown in Figure 5.

Figure 4: Top: TRMM Precipitation Radar (PR) orbits in one day; Middle: Infrared data from TRMM Visible and Infrared Scanner (VIRS) orbits in one day; Bottom: NOAA NCEP Global IR image merged from five geostationary satellites (Negri, Adler et al. 2003).
Figure 5: Block diagram for both the Real Time and research product algorithms, showing input data (left side), processing (center), output data (right side), data flow (thin arrows), and processing control (thick arrows). The items on the slanted shading run asynchronously for the Real Time algorithm, and the items on the grid shading are only performed for the research product. “Best” in the top center shaded box is the TMI GPROF precipitation estimate for the Real Time algorithm and the TMI–PR combined algorithm precipitation estimate for the research product. (Huffman, Adler et al. 2007)
4.2 CMORPH

CMORPH stands for the Climate Prediction Center (CPC) morphing method and is a product that was developed to combine the desirable aspect of the accuracy of the passive microwave and the spatial and temporal coverage of the IR data. The IR data is only used to analyze the cloud movements and the microwave data is used to derive all the rainfall estimates. So by using the motion of the clouds, one can get insight in the propagation of the passive microwave based derived rainfall estimation for each half hour for the whole Earth. The microwave data that is used are the SSM-I from the FY-13 satellite, SSMIS from the FY-16 and the DMSP satellites, the AMSR-E from the aqua satellite, the TMI from the TRMM satellite, and the AMSU-B from the NOAA satellites. The NESDIS algorithm is used to convert the brightness temperature measured with the AMSU sensor in a rainfall estimate and the GPROF algorithm is used for the TMI sensor. The GPROF algorithm is already discussed in the TRMM section. So only the NESDIS algorithm for the SSM-I/SSMIS sensor will be discussed here.

Table 5: Characteristics of the CMORPH rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMORPH</th>
<th>TMI/GPROF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input sensor satellite/ Retrieval Algorithm</td>
<td>SSMIS/NESDIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input gauge</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Scale, extent</td>
<td>0.25° x 0.25°, 0°E-360°E/60°N-60°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal scale, extent</td>
<td>3 hours, December 2002 - present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.1 Retrieval Algorithm for SSM-I/SSMIS sensor

The NESDIS algorithm for the SSM-I/SSMIS is a scattering technique which is applicable over land and ocean. The rain rate is derived with an empirical relationship between the ice amount (which is formed inside the rain layer or in the tops of convective clouds) and the actual surface rainfall. With this relation one can estimate the precipitation amounts, because more scattering due to larger or more ice particles relates to higher rain intensities (Joyce, Janowiak et al. 2004). This scattering technique is used above land and ocean surfaces and uses the higher frequencies (89- or 150-GHz channel). Furthermore, an additional algorithm provides rainfall estimates over ocean surfaces with a method that is based upon the absorption of the upwelling radiation by rain and cloud water by using the lower frequency bands (19-,22- and 37-GHz) (Joyce, Xie et al. 2010). This technique is called the emission technique as discussed earlier. The rainfall estimates obtained from these thermal emission channels are more accurate than the estimates from the scattering techniques because the relationship between the emission and rainfall is more direct. The lower frequencies used in the emission technique are not measurable with the AMSU-B sensor, but can be measured with the SSM-I and MTI sensors. The use of these additional frequencies channels makes the estimated rain rate for the oceans a lot more accurate. Also the AMSR-E can detect the scattering and emission signatures.

4.2.2 Normalization of the microwave derived precipitation estimates

The rainfall estimations based on the SSM-I, AMSR-E and TMI sensors are similar, this is because those sensors are equipped with channels that can detect scattering and emission signatures.
Therefore, the algorithm can use those similar frequencies to generate comparable rainfall estimations. The rainfall estimates obtained from the AMSU-B sensor are not very similar in comparison with the SSM-I, AMSR-E and TMI rainfall estimation. The AMSU-B sensor has only channels with high frequencies, so only precipitation that can be detected from the scattering signature is estimated. Also the AMSU-B rainfall estimation algorithm can detect a maximum rain rate of 20mm/hour accurately, while the SSM-I algorithm can detect a maximum rain rate of 35mm/hour. Those variations can cause large differences between both oceanic rainfall estimates, and therefore it is necessary to devise a normalization procedure. The SSM-I and TMI were chosen as the normalization standard, due to the higher accuracy and finer spatial resolution. Normalization of the AMSU-B rainfall estimate over land was not needed, due to the reasonable match between AMSU-B and TMI/SSM-I rainfall estimates over land (Joyce, Janowiak et al. 2004).

4.2.3 Combining satellite products with the morphing technique
First, the Earth is divided in a grid (0.0727°x0.0727°) and each grid in the half hourly analyses is tagged with a satellite identifier representing the sensor that is used to produce the rainfall estimate. If only one passive microwave sensor is available this sensor is used. When there is overlap of passive microwave sensors the order of precedence is based on the spatial resolution and the availability of both emission-based and scattering-based estimates over the ocean. The result is the following order of precedence, first use the TMI, then from AMSR-E, followed by SSMI and finally AMSU-B (Joyce, Xie et al. 2010). If none of the passive microwave sensors are available for a grid than the rainfall estimate will be generated by the “morphing” technique.

The next step is to make the cloud system advection vector (CSAV) map of the world with the use of the IR images obtained from the geostationary satellites. Those maps are used to propagate the passive microwave derived rainfall for each half hour of the day over the Earth. The CSAV map is derived with the use of the cloud motion which is determined by looking at the correlation between IR imagery at two different time intervals for the same place. In order to reduce the complexities, no cloud targeting is performed. The direction and speed of cloud tops is detected with the IR sensor, but a disadvantage is that it may not always correlate well with the propagation of the lower precipitating layer of the system. The chosen spatial lag correlation scale for the map must be large enough to include the sharp contrast of the cloud shield edges, this helps to focus on the motion of the entire cloud system. If the chosen grid scale is too small than the focus is on the smaller clouds that may be imbedded within the entire cloud system complex, the result of this is that the sensor detects possibly not only the steering current but also higher and faster moving clouds which makes the result very complex. If the scale is chosen to large than the resulting CSAV information may miss the variability of the steering current. Therefore, a spatially lagging that overlaps 5° latitude/longitude and IR regions centered at 2.5° latitude/longitude intervals are concluded to be large enough to measure the movement of the entire cloud system and small enough to measure the variations in the steering currents (Joyce, Janowiak et al. 2004). The cloud system speed is determined as follows. In a 5°x5° grid box, which contains multiple 8 kilometers pixel resolution IR data at a certain time, is used to look at the spatial correlation among the IR pixel brightness temperatures of that image in comparison with the image made a half hour later. This is repeated, but with all iterations the spatial domain of the grid box is shifted in the latitude or longitude direction. The combination of shifted latitude and longitude direction that has the highest correlation determines the CSAV. If no clouds are detected the CSAV is zero. Now the CSAV and the used PMW are determined, the morphing technique can be applied. This technique is illustrated in Figure 6. The
CSAV is used to determine the speed and direction of the precipitation clouds. The gaps between two PMW measurements at one location are filled by using the propagation speed of the CSAV and the intensities are determined with the morphed technique (inversely weighting both forward and backward in time) by summing the propagation forward in time ("a" in Figure 6) and the propagation backward in time ("b" in Figure 6) both multiplied with the time interpolation weights. The final products are half hourly estimates with a spatial scale of 0.0727° x 0.0727°, but this fine scale is only available for the period December 2002 till August 2005. That is why in this research the 3 hourly rainfall estimates are used with a spatial scale of 0.25° x 0.25°. This dataset is available for the period December 2002 till present.

Figure 6: Depiction of the propagation and morphing process for a region in the South Pacific. The analyses at 0330 and 0500 UTC are actual passive microwave estimates, i.e., no propagation or morphing has been applied to these data. The 0400 and 0430 UTC are (a) propagated forward in time, (b) propagated backward in time, and (c) propagated and morphed (Joyce, Janowiak et al. 2004)
4.3 GPCP V2.2
The Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) is a rainfall estimation dataset from 1979 till present and combines ground observations and satellite precipitation data into 2.5°x2.5° global grids. In order to produce this dataset it uses the SSM/I and SSMIS instruments from the DMSP satellites, the AVHRR from the NOAA satellites, AIRS instruments from the AQUA satellite, the IR images of the GEO satellites and the rain gauge data dataset from the GPCC.

Table 6: Characteristics of the GPCP V2.2 rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input sensor satellite/algorith datasets</th>
<th>GPCP V2.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSMIS/Emission algorithm (Wilheit, Chang et al. 1991) and Scattering algorithm (Grody 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AIRS/Data processing (Susskind and Pfaendtner 1989, Susskind, Piraino et al. 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVHRR/GPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GEO-IR/GPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input gauge</td>
<td>Yes (Monthly rain gauge/GPCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Scale, extent</td>
<td>2.5° x 2.5°, 0°E-360°E/90°N-90°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal scale, extent</td>
<td>Monthly, July 1987 - present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.1 Retrieval Algorithm for the SSM-I/SSMIS and AIRS
For the SSM-I/SSMIS instruments two algorithms are used to obtain the rainfall estimates. One algorithm is especially for the ocean parts and uses the lower frequencies bands (19 GHz, 22 GHz and 37 GHz channels). This algorithm is called the emission algorithm. The rainfall estimates obtained from this algorithm are only reliable over the ocean because here the surface emissivity is low and uniform. Above the land surfaces, the emissivity is higher and extremely heterogeneous, which makes a rainfall estimation based upon the scattering algorithm a better choice. For the ice surfaces the AIRS rainfall estimates is the best option.

The retrieval algorithm for the SSM-I/SSMIS emission based precipitation product uses the Wilheit et al. (1991) histogram approach. This algorithm uses the 19GHz and 22GHz channels to determine the rainfall amount by making a histogram in which the brightness temperature is plotted against the number of occurrences. The used brightness temperature for the rainfall estimate is obtained by taking two times the brightness temperature of the 19GHz channel minus the brightness temperature of the 22GHz channel. This leads to a clear distinction between rain and no rain in the brightness temperature histogram, because the histogram can be modeled by assuming a normal distribution for no rain and a log normal distribution for rain. The parameters needed for the distributions are obtained iteratively by comparing computed histogram with the observed histogram. The modeled fit is applied to a histogram which exists of the full month of data. The rain rate that corresponds to the intersection of the two distributions (normal and log normal) is typically of the order of 0.5mm/hour. Also the freezing level (which is obtained from the 19GHz and the 22GHz channels) is used to derive the rain estimation. The flow chart of this process is also shown in Figure 7. The individual rainfall estimates are determined for a 2.5°x2.5° grid (Wilheit, Chang et al. 1991).
The second algorithm for the SSM-I/SSMIS scattering precipitation product is based on the Scattering Index (Grody 1991). This algorithm is an 85GHz based scattering technique, which has separate components for land and ocean. At the higher frequencies, the upwelling radiant energy is scattered by the ice hydrometeors. This effect have a strong correlation with the surface rainfall and is detectable over land and water (Huffman, Adler et al. 1997). The algorithm is calibrated with ground based radar measurements (Adler, Huffman et al. 2003) and can detect a rain rate as low as 1mm/hour.

The SSM-I data from the retrieval algorithm and the Scattering Index are accumulated on a separate daily 0.333°x0.333° grid. All these grids are averaged to obtain a monthly 2.5°x2.5° grid.

The emission technique eliminates the land surfaces, so near the coast emission estimates for the month can be the result of relatively few pixels during averaging process. Therefore, only the emission estimate is taken when it has at least 75% of the sampling of the scattering technique. For lower sampling values a weighted interpolation between the two is used (Huffman and Bolvin 2013). This interpolation is stated as follows:

\[
R_{combined} = \frac{N_{emiss}R_{emiss} + (N_{scat} - N_{emiss})R_{scat}}{N_{scat}}
\]

Where N is the number of samples; emiss, and scat denote emission and scattering. The result is a merged microwave map.
For the ice covered surfaces of the Earth the AIRS rainfall estimates are the most optimal. Before the AIRS data was available the TOVS data from the NOAA satellites were used. The same algorithm can be applied for both datasets. This approach is described in several researches (Susskind and Pfaendtner 1989, Susskind, Piraino et al. 1997). The merged precipitation product will be the coverage of the SSM-I data (which is limited by the DMSP satellites orbits and measurements above cold land surfaces) filled with globally complete AIRS data between the 40° North and South belt. When moving more towards the poles, the SSM-I data becomes less reliable. Therefore just outside this zone, the SSM-I and TOVS data are averaged by using equal weighting. And moving further toward the poles the data is filled with TOVS data that have been adjusted to a zonal averaged presumed bias (Huffman and Bolvin 2013).

4.3.2 Retrieval Algorithm for the IR estimates
The IR estimates are produced by the GEO satellites. The global IR precipitation estimates are derived from a merger of these data using the GOES Precipitation Index (GPI) technique (Arkin and Meisner 1987). This simple technique gives a precipitation rate of 3mm/hour for cells with a brightness temperature of 235K or lower. For higher brightness temperature a precipitation rate of 0 mm/hour is assigned. From October 1996 till present, the GPI data are accumulated on 1°x1° grid every 3 hours. The gaps in the GEO IR data (part of the Indian Ocean until June 1998 and outside the 40° North and South belt) are filled with the LEO IR (AVHRR) data from the NOAA polar orbiting satellites. This LEO IR data is also converted into GPI estimates by using a procedure described in other researches (Janowiak and Arkin 1991) but will not be discussed further here, because this technique is only used for data from 1998 or older and not used for the modern precipitation estimations.

4.3.3 Obtaining the AGPI from the GPI
Now the microwave images are known and the GPI from the GEO IR and LEO IR are derived, one can make the adjusted GPI (AGPI). The AGPI combines the microwave rainfall estimation obtained from the Retrieval algorithm and the Scattering Index with the GPI rainfall estimation. The 3 hourly GPI rainfall values that correspond most closely in time to the local overpass time of the microwave rainfall values (from the merged SSM-I, SSMIS and AIRS precipitation estimates) are used to determine the ratio between both. This will result in adjusted spatially varying coefficients which are applied to the full set of GPI estimates. This procedure will reduce known biases in the IR estimates, while the high sampling rate of the GEO satellites is retained. The GPI from the LEO IR (LEO AGPI) are adjusted by using smoothly varying interpolation of the microwave-IR adjustment ratio (Adler, Huffman et al. 2003), because not all the 3 hours in a month are covered by the LEO GPI rainfall estimation or the SSM-I/SSMIS.

4.3.4 Combining satellite products to obtain GPCP
The AGPI, LEO AGPI and the microwave estimates are combined to get the multi satellite product. First the GEO AGPI is used between the 40° North and South belt if they are available. If the GEO AGPI is not available in this zone a weighted combination is used between the LEO AGPI and the merged microwave rainfall estimations. The weights are determined by using the inverse error variances of the respective estimates. This is done because the LEO IR has not enough sampling to cover the whole surface. Outside the 40° North and South belt the merged microwave rainfall estimates are used.

The last step is combining the rain gauge data collected by the GPCC. This dataset consist of more than 70.000 stations around the Earth. The large scale (5x5 grid box) average of the multi satellite
product is adjusted to agree with the large scale average of the land gauges to get the gauge adjusted satellite product. If the large scale average of the gauge gives low precipitation but higher than the multi satellite product, than the large scale average of the gauge will replace the multi satellite product value. This keeps the bias of both products close to each other. Finally, this product and the gauge data are combined with inverse error variance weighting to produce the final product. The resulting end product allows important local variation in gauge-sparse areas, but still respects the overall gauge bias. The flow chart is also shown in Figure 8.

![Flow chart of satellite-gauge-model precipitation combination technique](image)

Figure 8: Flow chart of satellite-gauge-model precipitation combination technique (Adler, Huffman et al. 2003)
4.4 GPCP 1DD

Another product of the Global Precipitation Climatology Project is the GPCP 1DD. 1DD stands for “1 degree daily” which reveals the time and spatial resolution of this product. It uses the GEO IR brightness temperature histograms which were modified to increase the resolution to a 1°x1° grid, with data every 3 hour since 1997. Also the AVHRR (LEO IR) GPI estimates from the NOAA satellites are used. The SSM-I/SSMIS sensors from the DMSP satellites are also used and the GPROF algorithm is applied to derive the rainfall estimates on a 0.5°x0.5° grid. As discussed before, GPROF is a physically based retrieval producing rainfall estimates. This is done by matching observed radiances from all seven SSM-I channels to cloud-model-based radiances. Furthermore, the GPCP Satellite/Gauge (SG) product described before is used and is box interpolated into a 1°x1° grid.

Table 7: Characteristics of the GPCP 1DD rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPCP 1DD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input sensor satellite/ Retrieval Algorithm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMIS/GPROF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRS or TOVS/data processing (Susskind and Pfaendtner 1989, Susskind, Piraino et al. 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdSND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVHRR/TMPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO-IR/TMPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input gauge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (Monthly rain gauge/GPCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spatial Scale, extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1° x 1°, 0°E-360°E/90°N-90°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporal scale, extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily, October 1996 - present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.1 Obtaining the TMPI from the GPI

The GPI technique is already discussed before so here it will be discussed briefly. This technique assigns a rain rate of 3mm/hour to all pixels with a brightness temperature lower than 235K. So this technique uses a constant rain rate and threshold. The already discussed AGPI technique allows the rain rate to vary monthly according to calibration between the time/space matched GPI and the SSM-I/SSMIS sensors. The GPCP 1DD product uses the TMPI algorithm, which uses the GPCP SG product, the SSM-I/SSMIS rainfall estimated derived with the GPROF algorithm, and the GEO IR histograms. This algorithm allows the threshold and the rain rate values to vary monthly. The values are derived by following the probability matching concepts as described in several papers (Kummerow and Giglio 1995, Xu, Gao et al. 1999). The GEO IR histograms derived from the 3 hourly 1°x1° grid GEO IR sensors are matched with the LEO microwave-based frequency of precipitation for a monthly period. For stability, the monthly matched accumulations are smoothed with a 7x7 grid-boxcar filter. The threshold value is found by summing the bins with the lowest brightness temperature of the GEO IR histogram until the cumulative fraction of total pixels matches the microwave-based fractional coverage, the corresponding brightness temperature is the threshold value. This threshold is used for the whole month and applied to the grid-box (Huffman, Adler et al. 2001). The rain rate is determined by dividing the rain rate of the GPCP satellite/gauge product by the fractional occurrence of rain measured with the GEO IR. This makes the monthly sum of the daily TMPI the same as the GPCP satellite/gauge product.

4.4.2 Retrieval algorithm for the TOVS and AIRS

The Adjusted Sounding-based precipitation estimates (AdSND) are derived with the TOVS and AIRS estimations for daily and 1°x1° grid resolution. These estimates are used for outside the 40° north
and south region. For this region, the TMPI rainfall estimations become less accurate. The amount of rain days derived from the TOVS/AIRS are systematically higher in comparison to the TMPI rain days. Therefore, the number of TOVS/AIRS rain days are reduces. This is done by computing the ratio between the TMPI rain days and the TOVS/AIRS rain days for the 39°-40° North and South areas. This ratio derived for all pixels over the entire hemisphere is used to reduce the TOVS/AIRS rain days by zeroing the smallest daily TOVS/AIRS rain accumulations. The remaining TOVS/AIRS rain days are rescaled to sum to the monthly GPCP satellite/gauge product.

4.4.3 Combining satellite product to obtain the GPCP1DD

The TMPI and AdSND are combined into a daily 1°x1° scale precipitation estimation dataset. The TMPI is used within the 40° North to South band and the AdSND elsewhere. Smoothing is performed at the data boundaries (40° North and South). This is done by calculating the difference field between both rainfall estimates (TMPI-AdSND) between the 39°- 40° North and South zone. The difference field is linearly reduced towards zero for grid boxes poleward of the 40° boundaries using a constant rate of 2mm/day per degree of latitude. A smoothing on a 3x3 grid box template is used. In the end the difference field is added to the AdSND field. In Figure 9 the block diagram is shown for the whole GPCP 1DD algorithm (Huffman and Bolvin 2013).

Figure 9: Block diagram of the 1DD algorithm. The solid lines are the data flow for computing coefficients and production data flow is shown with dashed lines. The shaded blocks are gridded data fields (Huffman, Adler et al. 2001, Dee 2012).
4.5 PERSIANN

PERSIANN is an abbreviation of Precipitation Estimation from Remotely Sensed Information using Artificial Neural Networks and is developed at the University of Arizona. It uses the GEO IR measurements as input for the adaptive Artificial Neural Network (ANN) model. To improve this model independent estimates are provided by the TRMM 2A12 rain rate estimation to update the network parameters. The input for the TRMM 2A12 rainfall estimates are obtained by using only the TMI channels from the TRMM satellite converted with the GPROF algorithm. The TMI is chosen because of the higher spatial resolution compared to the SSM-I sensors due to the lower orbit of the TRMM spacecraft (Joyce, Xie et al. 2010). The end product is a half hourly product with a spatial resolution of 0.25°x0.25°.

Table 8: Characteristics of the PERSIANN rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input sensor satellite/ Retrieval Algorithm</th>
<th>PERSIANN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input gauge</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Scale, extent</td>
<td>0.25° x 0.25°, 0°E-360°E/60°N-60°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal scale, extent</td>
<td>30 minutes, March 2000 - present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5.1 ANN model

An ANN model is used very often in various fields of technology and science, especially in fields which involves time series forecasting, pattern recognition and process control. The structure is mathematically proven to be an universal function approximator, which can map any complicated nonlinear function to an arbitrary degree of accuracy (Hsu, Gao et al. 1997). The input for the ANN model is obtained from the GEO satellites. A 5x5 pixel moving window is considered to derive rainfall estimations for the central pixel of the moving window. So not only the target pixel is included, but also at the surrounding pixels. The ANN model consists of two components, namely the SOFM and the MGLL component. The SOFM component transfers the input IR data into the hidden layer and the MGLL component transfers the hidden layer into an estimation of rainfall. Both components will be discussed below.

4.5.1 SOFM

The Self Organizing Feature Map (SOFM) transfers the input IR data into the hidden layers (Kohonen 1982). The SOFM is used to classify the variables into a large number of groups (hidden nodes) associated with different cloud surface characteristics (Sorooshian, Hsu et al. 2000). An important requirement to get plausible rainfall estimations is the selection of input variables. These variables must provide sufficient information to capture the cloud structure. But too many variables will make the model too complicated. For the PERSIANN SOFM six input variables from the GEO IR satellite are used. Those are shown in Table 9. In this table the first 2 variables give information about the target pixel and the other variables give information about the cloud structural features. The SOFM make an input-hidden layer transformation which performs an automatic clustering of the input space. The hidden layer exists of a limited amount of hidden notes which all have a different variable vector, see also Figure 10. The model looks at the six variables and places it in the hidden node which seems to be the most comparable of all of the nodes in the hidden layer (the “winner” node). In the beginning of the SOFM, the hidden layer must be trained. It uses the input variables to improve and update the hidden layer by reorganizing the hidden notes so that their parameter vectors mimic the distribution.
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Because there is a limited amount of hidden nodes, the hidden layer becomes a filter that puts each variable vector in the most comparable hidden node.

Table 9: The input variables for the ANN model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_b^1$</td>
<td>The brightness temperature of the centre pixel (red)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURF</td>
<td>Index which describes if the pixel is over land, ocean or coast.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_b^3$</td>
<td>The mean brightness temperature of the 3x3 pixel (purple)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDT$_b^3$</td>
<td>The standard deviation of the brightness temperature of the 3x3 pixel (purple)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_b^5$</td>
<td>The mean brightness temperature of the 5x5 pixel (yellow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDT$_b^5$</td>
<td>The standard deviation of the brightness temperature of the 5x5 pixel (yellow)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10: Visualization of the Modified Counter Propagation Network ANN model (Hsu, Gao et al. 1997)
4.5.3 MGLL
The second component in the ANN model is the Modified Grossberg Linear Layer (MGLL). This component transfers the hidden layer into the output layer (Grossberg 1969). The MGLL component computes the specific rainfall rate for each input pattern that is classified by the SOFM. In the transformation, not only the “winner” node is used, but also the surrounding nodes are used. The MGLL layer has the same number of nodes as the hidden layer and they are connected to the MGLL layer by a vector of parameters which transfers the “winner” node and the surrounding into a precipitation rate. The MGLL component and the SOFM component are trained separately. The MGLL component is updated and calibrated by using the TRMM 2A12 product. The difference in both rainfall estimations are used to make small adjustments to the vector of parameters in the neighborhood of the “winner” node (Hsu, Gao et al. 1997). A block diagram of the PERSIANN algorithm is shown in Figure 11.

Figure 11: Block diagram of the PERSIANN algorithm (Brown 2006)
4.6 GSMaP

GSMaP (Global Satellite Mapping of Precipitation) estimate precipitation rate on an hourly and a global resolution. The spatial resolution is 0.1°x0.1°. This product uses the GEO IR sensors and a handful of LEO passive microwave sensors. The used LEO passive microwave sensors are the SSM-I from the DMSP satellites, the AMSR-E from the AQUA satellite and the TMI from the TRMM satellite. Furthermore, the Precipitation Radar is used from the TRMM satellite. The algorithm to convert the brightness temperature obtained from the LEO passive microwave sensors to surface rain is described in several researches (Aonashi, Shibata et al. 1996, Kubota, Shige et al. 2007) and will be briefly discussed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 10: Characteristics of the GSMaP rainfall product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GSMaP_MVK</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input sensor satellite/ Retrieval Algorithm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR/ GANAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMI/Scattering algorithm (Aonashi, Shibata et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMIS/ Scattering algorithm (Aonashi, Shibata et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSR-E/Scattering algorithm (Aonashi, Shibata et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO-IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input gauge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spatial Scale, extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1° x 0.1°, 0°E-360°E/60°N-60°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporal scale, extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hourly, May 2000 – November 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6.1 RTM algorithm for the GSMaP product for the forward model

This algorithm tries to find the optimal precipitation estimate which is when the Radiative Transfer Model (RTM) field of view averaged brightness temperature have the best fit with the observed brightness temperature. This algorithm exists of two parts. The flow chart of this algorithm is shown in Figure 13. The left part, is the process of making the lookup tables (LUTs) which shows the relationship between rainfall rate and brightness temperature. The LUTs for homogeneous precipitation are calculated from forward calculations with a four-stream RTM by linearly interpolate the neighboring four boxes. These tables are computed for a daily scale for a 5°x5° grid and for all sensor frequencies. The input for the RTM exist of atmospheric variables that are given by the JMA Global Analysis (GANAL). The RTM is classified by eight precipitation types and also uses the Precipitation Radar as input for the model.

**Figure 12:** The LUT for the brightness temperature (Tb) and type 8 at a grid point on 1 January 1998. The solid lines are labeled and denote the value of precipitation inhomogeneity (Kubota, Shige et al. 2007)
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observed hydrometeor profiles.

The right hand side of the flow chart is the retrieval part. First the observed polarization corrected temperature (PCT) will be calculated for the 85GHz channel (PCT85). The first estimation of the precipitation is computed by using the LUTs for the PCT85 by assuming a homogeneous rainfall (solid line with value “1” in Figure 12). The PCT85 can be calculated with the following formula (Spencer, Goodman et al. 1989):

\[ PCT85 = 1.81 \times (\text{brightness temperature of the vertical 85GHz channel}) - 0.81 \times (\text{brightness temperature of the horizontal 85GHz channel}) \]

The next step is to look at the first estimation of the precipitation, observed brightness temperatures measured with the passive microwave sensors and the database (LUTs and TRMM Precipitation Radar) to indentify all cells if it is a “rain” or “no rain” cell. For a “rain” cell some conditions must be met. The conditions for a “rain” cell depends also if the cell is located above water, land or coast. Several papers are written to determine these conditions and will not be further described here, because of the large amount of existing conditions (Petty 1994, McCollum and Ferraro 2005, Seto, Takahashi et al. 2005).

The “rain” cells are used to estimate the precipitation inhomogeneities, those inhomogeneities are used to correct the LUTs by assuming the lognormal distributions (Kubota, Shige et al. 2007). This method is described in another study (Kummerow and Giglio 1995).

Over the ocean also the emission based estimation can be used to convert the brightness temperature into a rain rate. Over land only the scattering technique is used. The emission based estimation is done by calculating the PCT37 and convert this to a rainfall estimate with the use of the LUTs. The PCT37 is the polarization corrected temperature at 37GHz and can be calculated with the following formula:

\[ PCT37 = 2.17 \times (\text{brightness temperature of the vertical 37GHz channel}) - 1.18 \times (\text{brightness temperature of the horizontal 37GHz channel}) \]

Figure 13: Flow chart for the GSMaP rain-retrieval algorithm (Kubota, Shige et al. 2007)
By comparing the rain rates obtained from the PCT37 and the PCT85 with the rain rate obtained from the Precipitation Radar one can conclude that the rain rate obtained with the PCT85 is better for rain rates higher than 20 mm/hour and the PCT37 rain rate estimate is better for rain rates lower than 10 mm/hour. Between the 10 and 20 mm/hour a weighed rain rate is taken.

4.6.2 Kalman Filter for the retrieval part
The GSMaP uses the hourly IR images to create a moving vector map. The process to make this map is the same as the method used for the moving vector map in the CMORPH estimations. This map is made by calculating the correlations between two consecutive IR images. The offset value in longitudinal and latitudinal directions that shows the maximum correlation is the moving vector that is used. With this moving vector map the precipitation pixels obtained from the microwave sensors (with the use of the scattering technique) are propagated forward in time and the rain rate is reformed by using the Kalman filter. When the next microwave overpass estimates the new rain rate, this procedure is done for the latest microwave overpass. The Kalman filter tries to estimate the state of a process from a series of noisy measurements. The series of noisy measurements is obtained from the IR images. Those measurements are statistically correlated with the surface precipitations rate, but they have large variances. Research shows that the variation of precipitation rate in 1 hour is normally distributed with zero mean when one compare the propagated rain rate forward in time with the precipitation rate retrieved from the microwave sensor (Ushio, Sasashige et al. 2009). This enables the application of the Kalman filter theory. The Kalman gain is computed to refine the precipitation rate after its propagation (Ushio and Kachi 2010). The filter predicts the precipitation rate from the microwave sensors and the morphed products obtained in the same way as done in the CMORPH approach and refine the prediction based on the relationship between the IR brightness temperature and surface rainfall rate. The result is an hourly rainfall estimate at a 0.1°x0.1° scale. In Figure 14 the flow chart of the GSMaP algorithm is shown.

![Flow chart of the GSMaP algorithm](Ushio and Kachi 2010)
4.7 RFE 2.0

The African Rainfall Estimation Algorithm Version 2 (RFE 2.0) is a merging technique which has been shown to have a much lower bias and random error compared to individual precipitation data sources, leading to an increasing accuracy of rainfall estimates (Xie and Arkin 1996). The RFE 2.0 is the successor of the RFE 1.0 and has many improvements. This new algorithm has an improved accuracy, increased calculation speed, and the method to estimate daily precipitation is improved.

The input datasets for this product are the SSM-I data from the DMSP satellites, the AMSU (AMSU-A and AMSU-B) data from the NOAA satellites, the IR sensors from the geostationary satellites and the precipitation data from the Global Telecommunications Station (GTS) archive provided by NOAA’s Climate Prediction Center. There are approximately 1000 GTSs available for the African continent, but the used number is much lower due to poor station maintenance or erroneous data. The GPI algorithm which is already discussed in the GPCP part is also used to convert the half hourly IR data into a precipitation estimate. The algorithm for the AMSU and the SSM-I will be discussed below and also the merging based RFE 2.0 algorithm will be discussed.

Table 11: Characteristics of the RFE 2.0 rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RFE 2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input sensor satellite/ Retrieval Algorithm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSU/NOAA Scattering Algorithm (Ferraro and Marks 1995) Emission Algorithm (Wilheit, Chang et al. 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMIS/ NOAA Scattering Algorithm (Ferraro and Marks 1995) Emission Algorithm (Wilheit, Chang et al. 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO-IR/GPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input gauge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (Monthly rain gauge/GPCC (GTS))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spatial Scale, extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1° x 0.1°, 20°E-55°E/40°N-40°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporal scale, extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hourly, January 2001 – December 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7.1 Retrieval Algorithm for the AMSU and the SSMIS sensor

The retrieval algorithm in RFE 2.0 to convert the passive microwave sensors into rain rate estimations uses the same principle as other retrieval algorithms. Namely, for land surfaces the scattering technique and for ocean surfaces the more accurate emission technique. The input for the scattering technique is the 85GHz channel and for the emission technique the 19GHz channel, 22GHz channel, and 37GHz channel are used. The scattering technique used in this algorithm (Ferraro and Marks 1995), is an improvement of the original scattering algorithm defined by Grody (see GPCP). The main improvement is the enhanced sensitivity to oceanic scattering because of a separate algorithm for land and ocean. Furthermore, the screening of frozen ground and unvegetated land is improved (Ferraro and Marks 1995). The emission algorithm uses the 19GHz and the 37GHz channels variations in the brightness temperature which are mainly caused by clouds, water vapor and rain. The smaller variations are caused due to wind on the ocean surface. Some variations can be reduced by using vertical polarization measurements to filter non rain based variations out of the measurements. For example, the 22GHz band is used to remove the contributions due to water vapor. For obtaining the rain rate the 19GHz channel is used instead of the 37GHz channel, because this measurement of liquid water gives the best results for all ranges expected over the global oceans. The formula to get the rain rate is as follows (Ferraro and Marks 1995):

\[ \text{Rain Rate} = \frac{B_{19} - B_{37}}{C} \]
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\[ \text{Rain rate (mm)} = -6.723 \left[ \ln(290 - \text{vertical brightness temperature} \ 19\text{GHz}) - 2.850 \right. \\
\left. - 0.405 \ln(290 - \text{vertical brightness temperature} \ 22\text{GHz}) \right] \]

The AMSU-B rainfall retrieval is very similar as the one used for the SSM-I instruments.

4.7.2 Two step merging process

The next step is merging the data sources. This is needed to get a complete spatial coverage and lowers the random errors. The merging process consists of two steps. The first step is to reduce the random errors of all the satellites sources. This is done by combining GPI, SSM-I and AMSU data linearly through a maximum likelihood estimation method to determine the weighting coefficient. The weighting equation is the following:

\[ W_i = \frac{\sigma_i^{-2}}{\sum \sigma_i^{-2}} \]

In which \( W_i \) is the weighting coefficient for source \( i \), \( \sigma_i^2 \) the random error for source \( i \). The random error is defined for each grid and each source monthly. So when the random error is smaller (better accuracy) the weighting coefficient of that source is higher.

Over land areas, the GPCC precipitation analysis is used as a standard references. To calculate the errors, the mean difference between the monthly rainfall estimation obtained from a certain sensor (source field) and the monthly GPCC rainfall estimation is calculated for a \( 7 \times 7 \) array centered on the target grid. This array is subtracted from the source field so the modified source field is created. This is done for each grid. For the target cell the monthly random error for each individual source is defined as the root-mean difference between the modified source field and the GPCC analysis calculated on the same \( 7 \times 7 \) array. Near the coast the \( 7 \times 7 \) array is not completely filled by the GPCC analysis, for those areas only the subset for which the values are available are used.

Over oceanic areas, the GPCC analysis is not available. In those areas the atoll gauge precipitation observations of Morrissey and Greene (1991) are used (Xie and Arkin 1996) instead of the GPCC rainfall estimations to calculate the random errors.

Now the weighting coefficients are determined, the rainfall estimates from the different sources (\( S_i \)) are combined to calculate the precipitation estimate (\( S \)) which will have a reduced random error. The following equation is used:

\[ S = \sum W_i S_i \]

The second step in the merging process is to compare this satellite precipitation estimate with the GPCC rain gauge data set to remove the bias as much as possible. The precipitation will maintain the shape of the combined satellite precipitation estimates, while the magnitude is inferred from the GPCC dataset. For location within the direct surrounding of a GPCC rain gauge gets the magnitude of precipitation as measured with the GPCC rain station. When the distance from the stations increases, the rainfall estimates rely more on the combined satellite precipitation estimate (NOAA 2001).

In Figure 15 the flow chart of the RFE 2.0 is shown.
Figure 15: Flow chart of the RFE 2.0 algorithm
4.8 ARC 2.0

The Africa Rainfall Climatology (ARC1) has the same algorithm as the RFE 2.0. The only difference is that the passive microwave sensors are not used. This makes it possible to derive a rainfall estimate for a much longer period, namely from 1983 till present. The ARC 2.0 is the improved version and uses recalibrated IR imagery. This makes it possible to obtain high resolution historical rainfall estimations and allows users to see rainfall phenomena on local scales. The ARC 2.0 method only uses the GPI and the GPCC datasets. Those datasets are reliable and has a better coverage than passive microwave data. The disadvantage of the exclusion of the passive microwave rainfall estimations is that it tends to lead to a failure in capturing the locally heavy precipitation events (Love, Kumar et al. 2004). In Table 12 the correlation and bias between the ground observations and rainfall satellite estimates using a variety of input combinations are listed. As one can see is the correlation of the ARC estimations slightly lower in comparison to the RFE 2.0 estimations, but due to the better bias, the ARC 2.0 estimations seem to be very plausible (Novella and Thiaw 2013).

Table 12: Monthly accumulated rainfall as estimated and compared with station gauge observations in the Sahel during December 1999 (Love, Kumar et al. 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Bias (mm/day)</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPI only</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM-I only</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSU only</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI+SSM-I+AMSU+GPCC</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RFE inputs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI+GPCC</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ARC inputs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: Characteristics of the ARC 2.0 rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARC 2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input sensor satellite/ Retrieval Algorithm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO-IR/GPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input gauge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (Monthly rain gauge/GPCC(GTS))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spatial Scale, extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1° x 0.1°, 20°E-55°E/40°N-40°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporal scale, extent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hourly, January 1983 – present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.8.1 Two step merging process

The merging steps in the ARC algorithm are the same as the steps of the RFE algorithm, but due to the exclusion of the passive microwave estimates, there is only one weighting coefficient for the GPI estimations. So this dataset is solely based upon the GPI estimations. So the first step calculates the random error of the data input. The second step corrects the bias with the use of the gauge data. In Figure 16 the ARC algorithm is shown.
Figure 16: Flow chart of the ARC 2.0 algorithm
4.9 CHIRPS

CHIRP stands for Climate Hazards Group IR Precipitation Station and is a third generation precipitation procedure which is based on various interpolation schemes to create spatially continuous grids from raw point data (Funk, Husak et al. 2007). The data sources that are used to produce the CHIRPS rainfall product are the monthly precipitation climatology (CHPClim), the IR sensors from the GEO satellites and the MODIS satellite, the TRMM 3B42 product from NASA, and the ground precipitation observations obtained from a variety of sources.

Table 14: Characteristics of the CHIRPS rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHIRPS</th>
<th>Input sensor satellite/ Retrieval Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRMM 3B42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MODIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GEO-IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input gauge</td>
<td>Yes (multiple sources)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional input</td>
<td>CHPClim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Scale, extent</td>
<td>0.05° x 0.05°, 0°E-360°E/50°N-50°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal scale, extent</td>
<td>Pentads, January 1983 – Present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.9.1 Retrieval algorithm for the GEO-IR

First, the IR precipitation (IRP) is obtained with the use of IR images and the TRMM 3B42 rainfall product for each pentad. First, the Cold Cloud Duration (CCD) is calculated. This is determined by summing the total IR observations that are lower than 235K for each pixel. The rain rate is calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{rain rate} = a \times \text{CCD} + b \quad \text{(IRP)}
\]

The TRMM 3B42 product is used to train the monthly models of rainfall and derives the coefficients “a” and “b”.

The long term IRP means (from 1981 till present) are calculated for each pentad. The current IRP is then divided by the long term IRP means to produce an unitless value that represents the variations in time from the long term mean. A value below 1 means that the rainfall in that pentad was below normal and if this value is higher than 1, than the rainfall was more than average (Funk, Peterson et al. 2013).

4.9.2 CHPClim

The Climate Hazards Precipitation Climatology (CHPClim) is a high resolution map of monthly precipitation averages which shows the monthly means for the period 1980-present. The input data for those maps are climate data, satellite data and topographic data to develop accurate and unbiased long term rainfall maps for each pentad. The inputs are listed in Table 15.

Table 15: A summary of data input for the CHPClim map (Funk, Michaelsen et al. 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Inputs</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station observations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Seasonal rainfall</td>
<td>GHCN, FAO, GTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[mm]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Seasonal air</td>
<td>GHCN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature [°C]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite observations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The climate data consist of datasets from the ground measurements. Those are point measurements and therefore not continuous in space. An interpolation technique is needed to “connect” those point measurements. Therefore, the geography dataset and the satellite dataset are used. The geography datasets can be used to guide interpolations of precipitation, but the link between geography and precipitation is indirect. High elevations or steep slopes can experience more precipitation, but this is not always the case. Therefore, also satellite observations, which are much more related to the physics of the associated processes, are used to obtain a better spatial prediction. The satellite precipitation fields (in this case the RFE 2.0) often struggles to estimate the absolute magnitude of in situ observations correctly, but it is very accurate in terms of local slopes of precipitation. This slope can be used to guide the spatial interpolation of station data for point estimates of long term means (Funk, Michaelsen et al. 2011). The result is a precipitation map with the long term mean for all 72 pentads in a year.

4.9.3 CHIRP product

Now the unitless value (IRP divided by the long term IRP) that represents the variations in time and the corresponding CHPClim that represent the variation in space are known for each pentad, one can multiply both values to get the precipitation estimation for that pentad. The result is the CHIRP rainfall estimate map.

4.9.4 CHIRPS product

The next step to produce the CHIRPS product is to blend stations measurements within the CHIRP product. The majority of the stations observations are taken from four sets of global climate observations, namely the monthly Global Historical Climate Network Version 2 archive, the daily Global Historical Climate Network archive, the global summary of the day dataset (GSOD), and the daily Global Telecommunication System (GTS) archive. For each grid in the CHIRP map, the five nearest observations from the target pixel are used to calculate an adjustment ratio for the CHIRP value. All the five stations are assigned with a weight, which is proportional to the square of their expected correlation. The closer the station is to the target pixel, the higher the weight. The five weights are scaled to sum to 1. From these weights, one can make one rainfall estimation map from the five stations by multiplying the weights of the station estimations and summing them up. This value is used to adjust the CHIRP estimate. The CHIRP modification process also accounts for the estimated correlation of the CHIRP. This correlation is estimated to be 0.5. For a target pixel located at a station location, the expected correlation of the stations is 1 (1²=1), while the estimated correlation of the CHIRP is 0.5 (0.5²=0.25). So for a target pixel located at a station location, the value
of this target pixel is for 80% based upon the station rainfall estimation and 20% upon the CHIRP estimation. When the target pixel is located in an area where the expected correlation of the nearest station is 0, then the CHIRPS has the same rainfall estimate as the CHIRP. The flow chart of the CHIRP algorithm is shown in Figure 17.

Figure 17: Flow chart of the CHIRPS algorithm
4.10 ERA-interim

The ERA-interim product produces by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) is the latest version to estimate global weather conditions including precipitation amounts. The end product contains a global precipitation estimate dataset for every 6 hours. This product uses multiple in-situ data and satellite data from different sensors and satellites. The sensors that are used are the AMSU, HIRS, SSMI and SSU sensors from the NOAA satellites, AIRS from the AQUA satellite and the GEO-IR from the GOES and Meteosat satellites. Also in-situ measurements are used, like the data from weather stations, buoys, ships and aircrafts. All the input components are shown in Figure 20. The ERA-interim uses the inputs from the satellites and in-situ data to run a physically based model. ECMWF has developed an own atmospheric model and data assimilation system which is called the Integrated Forecast System (IFS).

Table 16: Characteristics of the ERA-interim rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERA-interim</th>
<th>AMSU/IFS</th>
<th>AIRS/IFS</th>
<th>AMSR/IFS</th>
<th>HIRS/IFS</th>
<th>MSU/IFS</th>
<th>SSMI/IFS</th>
<th>GEO-IR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input sensor satellite/Retrieval Algorithm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input gauge</td>
<td>Yes (multiple sources)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Scale, extent</td>
<td>0.75° x 0.75°, 0°E-360°E/90°N-90°S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal scale, extent</td>
<td>6 hourly, January 1979 – Present</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.10.1 IFS

The current physical model that is used is the CY40r1 since 22 November 2013. The model equations makes it possible to extrapolate information from locally observed parameters to unobserved parameters in a physically meaningful way and can extrapolate this information forward in time (Dee, Uppala et al. 2011). The model consist of three fully coupled components, namely one for the atmosphere, land surface, and ocean waves.

The model provides constraints to enforce a physically realistic analysis and to ensure that the variables are consistent with one another. The initial conditions for the model are produced with the use of data assimilation. The purpose of data assimilation is to calculate the best possible

sequential, intermittent assimilation:

![Sequential, intermittent assimilation diagram](image)

Figure 18: Representation of sequential data assimilation as a function of time (Bouttier and Courtier 1999)
atmospheric state by using the observations from satellites and in-situ data combined with the short range forecast provided by the previous model forecast. The time step cycle of one data assimilation process is 12 hours. The model state is updated to reflect the observations and to produce a new forecast which can be used in the following determination of the initial state, see also Figure 18. The initial conditions for the atmosphere (which are needed to determine the rainfall estimation) are processed in space and time by a four-dimensional variation assimilation system (4D-VAR). In 4D-VAR, the observations and short range forecasts are combined by calculating a weighted average. This is determined with the use of a flow dependent structure function (Thépaut, Courtier et al. 1996), more information of the 4D-VAR algorithms can be found in a paper of Thépaut. The weights depend on the characteristic errors of the observations and the model forecast, which can be mathematically described as a minimization problem of a function. The forecast model calculates the precipitation based on the modeled temperature, wind and humidity information (Dee, Uppala et al. 2011). The flow chart of the ERA-interim is shown in Figure 19.

Figure 19: Flow chart of the IFS model to calculate the precipitation estimate
Figure 20: Timeline of inputs for the ERA-interim (Dee 2012)
4.11 CRU

The Climatic Research Unit Timeseries (CRU TS) produces datasets which contain globally monthly timeseries of daily maximum and minimum temperatures, cloud cover, and also precipitation estimations for land surfaces only. The input for this rainfall estimation contains only in-situ measurements from several databases. So no satellite data is included in estimation of the precipitation.

Table 17: Characteristics of the CRU rainfall product

| CRU TS3.21 |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| **Input sensor satellite/ Retrieval Algorithm** | - |
| **Input gauge** | Yes (CLIMAT,MCDW,WWR) |
| **Spatial Scale, extent** | 0.5° x 0.5°, 0°E-360°E/90°N-90°S |
| **Temporal scale, extent** | monthly, January 1901 – Present |

4.11.1 Obtaining the CRU precipitation estimate

The in-situ database is provided by CLIMAT, Monthly Climatic Data for the World (MCDW), and the World Weather Records (WWR) and merged using the WMO Station Identifier. The WWR is an additional source with monthly climate data at the national scale measured by the national meteorological services. The disadvantage of this data is that a lot of datasets are not supplied with a WMO Station Identifier, which makes merging the datasets more complicated. The merging process tries to find the same stations in different datasets. When this WMO Station Identifier is not available, metadata is used (location, elevation, station name, country name). The result is one dataset with in-situ measurements.

The CRU estimates are constructed using the Climate Anomaly Method (CAM). This method uses station series to calculate the average and standard deviation for the base period, which is included in the gridding operations. The base period is 1961 till 1990 and more than 75% of the data must be available to calculate the normal for each month. From the in-situ measurements, outliers are defined as 4 times the standard deviations from the normal. All the in-situ stations which pass the constraints are included in the gridding process. Those stations are converted to anomalies by subtraction the normal of the base period for that particular station (Harris, Jones et al. 2013).

The anomalies are extrapolated within the correlation decay distance (CDD), which is 450 kilometers for precipitation. The surface area that is not covered by the correlation decay distance uses dummy stations with zero anomalies to ensure that the interpolated surface do not extrapolate station information to unwarranted distances (Mitchell and Jones 2005). The gridding operation uses a triangulated linear interpolation and convert values for a 0.5° spatial grid (Harris, Jones et al. 2013). The result is a global gridded map filled with anomalies. The CRU end product is made by summing the gridded climatology map of the base period and the global gridded map with anomalies. The flow chart is shown in Figure 21.
Figure 21: Flow chart of CRU to calculate the precipitation estimate
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4.12 TAMSAT
The University of Reading developed a rainfall estimation product based on satellite data. This product is called the Tropical Applications of Meteorology using SATellite data and ground based observations (TAMSAT). The data involved in this product are only the GEO-IR images from the Meteosat satellites and the rain gauge measurements from WMO Global Telecommunications System or from national meteorological services (Milford, McDougall et al. 1994).

Table 18: Characteristics of the TAMSAT rainfall product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TAMSAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input sensor satellite</strong>/ <strong>Retrieval Algorithm</strong></td>
<td>GEO-IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input gauge</strong></td>
<td>Yes (monthly rain gauge)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spatial Scale, extent</strong></td>
<td>0.0375° x 0.0375°, Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporal scale, extent</strong></td>
<td>Decadal, January 1983 – Present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.12.1 Obtaining the TAMSAT precipitation estimate
TAMSAT method is based on the assumption that cloud top temperatures of tropical storms can give information about the rainfall produced by these clouds. First, the Cold Cloud Duration (CCD) is determined for all pixels. This is the total time that the temperature of the top of a cloud is below a certain threshold. This threshold temperature is different in space and also varies each month of the year. Therefore, Africa is divided into geographical zones on basis of annual isohyets and similar climatic conditions within the zone (Thorne, Coakeley et al. 2001), see also Figure 22. By using historic rain gauge records from 1983 till present the threshold temperature can be determined by creating a contingency table, see Table 19. The CCD values are extracted for all pixels containing rain gauges and those pairs are sorted into the geographical zones. The geographical zone needs to have a minimum of 100 rain gauge/CCD pairs in order to provide reliable calibrated threshold temperature. The best threshold temperature for that particular zone and month is obtained when the following criteria are met:

\[
R_{11} + R_{22} \gg R_{12} + R_{21}
\]

\[
R_{12} \cong R_{21}
\]

Table 19: Contingency table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rain Gauge P = 0</th>
<th>Rain Gauge P &gt; 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCD=0</td>
<td>CCD&gt;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{11} )</td>
<td>( R_{12} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{21} )</td>
<td>( R_{22} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 22: Calibration zones for August, for each zone the calibration parameters are determined (Reading 2014)
Now the optimal threshold is chosen for each month and all zones, the CCD is calculated on a pixel basis over 1 day. This gridded daily CCD totals are summed over a decadal.

Secondly, this CCD is converted into a rainfall estimation by assuming a linear relationship between rainfall and CCD:

$$Rainfall = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \times CCD$$

In which $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are the calibration parameter and can be obtained by the using the historic rain gauge records from 1983 till present. This calibration process tries to obtain the most optimal linear relationship between the rain gauge record and the CCD. The parameters and temperature threshold varies each calendar month and are different for each geographical zone, while this zone varies also each month.

At the boundary between two calibration zones, linear interpolation across a band of 20 pixels on either side of the boundary is applied to smooth the discontinuities (Milford, McDougall et al. 1994). The flow chart of this method is shown in Figure 23.

Figure 23: Flow chart of TAMSAT to calculate the precipitation estimate
5. Concluding tables

In this chapter some tables are shown which give some additional insight in the techniques and sensors that are used to obtain the precipitation estimation of a product. All the information in this chapter is already discussed in the previous chapters, therefore only some tables will be shown without any explanation. The techniques are shown in Table 20 and in Table 21 the used sensors are for each precipitation estimates are shown. In Figure 24 All the algorithms are visualized with flow charts.

Table 20: The precipitation products and the used techniques to obtain that estimation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Techniques</th>
<th>TRMM</th>
<th>CMORPH</th>
<th>GPCP</th>
<th>GPCP 1DD</th>
<th>PERSIANN</th>
<th>GPM</th>
<th>RFE 2.0</th>
<th>ARC 2.0</th>
<th>CHIRPS</th>
<th>ERA-Interim</th>
<th>CRU</th>
<th>TAMSAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEO IR for GPI, AGPI or TMPI</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO IR for motion vector</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO IR for Cold Cloud Duration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO IR for VAR algorithm</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO IR for Artificial Neural Network</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation Radar</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 2A12 (TMI only)</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 2B31 (TMI and PR)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPROF</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEO (emission and scattering technique)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain Gauge measurements</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All kinds of satellite observations as</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>input for a model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 21: The used sensors to obtain the precipitation estimation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensors</th>
<th>TRMM 3B43</th>
<th>CMORPH</th>
<th>GPCP</th>
<th>GPCP 1DD</th>
<th>PERSIANN</th>
<th>GPM</th>
<th>RFE 2.0</th>
<th>ARC 2.0</th>
<th>CHIRPS</th>
<th>ERA-Interim</th>
<th>CRU</th>
<th>TAMSAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SEVIRI</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Precipitation Radar</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• AMSU</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SSMI</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• TMI</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• AMSR</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall Measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ground Measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall Products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• TRMM 3B42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RFE 2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 24: Flow chart of the 12 algorithms
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Triple Collocation: Theory and application for the Nile Basin
1. Introduction
In the hydrology, it is very important to get information on the spatial and temporal error structures of large precipitation data. If more information and insights of the spatial and temporal errors can be given, one can make better decisions in which dataset fits the purposes of his research the best. A tool to quantify error structures for large scale data sets is the triple collocation method which was introduced by Stoffelen in 1998 (Stoffelen 1998). This method calculates the error variances of different data sources without specifying a reference dataset as a reference. In many methods the system is being tested against a reference system which is assumed to be perfect, but this situation is just merely the case. This makes the triple collocation method very powerful when the truth is unknown, but the errors need to be quantified. The method is particularly applied in hydrology and oceanography to compare remote sensing, in-situ and modeled data with each other (Zwieback, Scipal et al. 2012). The method is applicable when a minimum of 3 data sources are available, which covers the same area. Important to remember is that this method calculates an estimation of the absolute error for each product. When the requirements of this method are not fully met, the real absolute error can be completely different compared to the results calculated with the triple collocation method. A limitation of the triple collocation method is the fact that three independent measurement systems are needed and they must give simultaneous collocated measurements. This makes this method usually limited by the availability of in-situ observation locations and the satellite overpass times (Vogelzang and Stoffelen 2012).

In this report the errors will be estimated for the whole Nile basin for the whole year and for the wet and the dry period. This is done by using the triple collocation method combined with the neutral regression method. An 11 year period, from January 2000 till December 2010, is taken into account.

In this report the triple collocation method will be calculated for three datasets for the Nile Basin. In section 2 a raw description of the approach is given by discussing the main theory. In section 3 the criteria for the method will be discussed and the data sets will be checked whether they meet these criteria. In section 4 the theory of triple collocation will be explained and hereafter the results will be shown for the used datasets in the results section. Section 6, the results will be discussed followed by the conclusions which will be drawn in section 7.
Triple Collocation: Theory and application for the Nile Basin
2. Method

Pairs of observations are usually not enough to give a good estimation of the errors of each product, therefore a multiple collocation analysis can be done to give some insight in the absolute error of each product (Abdalla, Janssen et al. 2011). The method used in this report to give insight in the errors of six datasets, is by estimating the errors by using the triple collocation method. This method defines a truth from three input datasets and calculates the associated errors at every time or location for each dataset; this is also shown in Figure 1. These estimated errors are then calibrated by using the neutral regression approach of Deming which is described in a couple of papers (Mandel 1964, Marsden 1999). This approach is based on the minimization of the errors between the estimated truth and the datasets. This neutral regression will adjust the dataset a bit in order to decrease the systematic error part in the estimated errors. The recalibrated dataset is then used as new input to estimate the errors with the triple collocation again. This interpolation process is continued until convergence is obtained (Janssen, Abdalla et al. 2007). So in every iteration step the datasets are adjusted by the neutral regression approach and the new truth will be defined and errors are calculated by the triple collocation method. Some criteria must be met when a triple collocation is applied in order to get accurate error estimations. Those criteria are given and discussed in section 3 and the mathematical description is shown in the next section 4 of this report.

![Figure 1: The estimated truth and errors calculated by the triple collocation method](image)
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3. Criteria for the method
For triple collocation a couple of criteria must be met. The main criteria are summed bellow:

1. Three datasets are needed to do the comparison
2. The random errors must be uncorrelated
3. The datasets must be correlated to each other
4. The datasets must be biased corrected
5. The errors are assumed to be Gaussian distributed

In the remaining of this section those criteria will be discussed one by one.

3.1. Criteria 1
The triple collocation method needs a minimum of three datasets to do the comparison. A criterion is that those datasets must also involve the same spatial and temporal scale. For many datasets this can be a problem but for satellite datasets which are used in this report, this criterion is not the most difficult criterion to meet. This is because of the globally and spatially coverage of the satellites, resulting that all datasets can have the same monthly scale and cover the same area.

3.2. Criteria 2
A more difficult criterion to fulfill is that the random errors must be uncorrelated. This difficulty is due to the same sensors that are involved in the different datasets; this makes the random errors correlated resulting that the triple collocation cannot be applied when two of those datasets are involved. When all the datasets are compared to each other one can find indeed three different datasets, which use different sensors and methods to come up with the rainfall product. Therefore, the triple collocation can be applied with those datasets. The first dataset is the CRU_TS3.21 dataset, which uses solely ground point measurements which are extrapolated by using the Climate Anomaly Method and is interpolated to a grid with a triangulated linear interpolation technique. The second dataset is the ECMWF model for rainfall. This model uses a lot of different input data, which also includes the satellite sensor data and many more other data sources like data from weather stations on the land and the ocean, data from ships, airplanes, buoys, and many other data sources. All this collected data is used as input for the model which calculates many hydrologic parameters, like humidity, temperature, radiation and rainfall. The third model can be one of the other 10 datasets, all those datasets includes the sensors from the GEO (geostationary) and/or LEO (low earth orbiting) satellites. The data set that is used in this report is the RFE 2.0, CHIRPS v1.8, TAMSAT, and TRMM3B43 v7 dataset. To calculate the errors, an 11 year period is used started from January 2000 to December 2010. The whole Nile Basin is the research area. Another problem is that all those datasets have different grid sizes. If the three datasets in the triple collocation consist of different grid sizes, the resulting error estimated with this method will also consist of a sample error which is also called the representation error. This error can be taken into account as an error correlation between the two systems which has a higher resolution, but the representation error can also be minimized by rescaling the finer grid sizes to the coarsest grid size (Roebeling, Wolters et al. 2012). Therefore, in this report the coarsest grid size is used as standard for the triple collocation. This was the ECMWF dataset which has a grid size of 0.75 degree. The other datasets are rescaled to this standard by averaging pixels.
3.3. Criteria 3

Another criterion is that the datasets must be correlated with each other. Therefore, the correlation is calculated by rescaling the three datasets to a 0.75 grid size. The results are shown in Figure 2. As one can see is the correlation between the products very high in the wet locations of the Nile Basin. So this criterion is fulfilled for this area. For the dry areas the correlation is very low, but this is not a problem because here the yearly rainfall is zero or almost zero. This will result in a very small or no error output from the triple collocation method and therefore this area does not necessarily need to have a high correlation between the products.
3.4. Criteria 4
Another criterion for the three datasets is that they all must be bias corrected. This assumption is fulfilled for most of the datasets, because all datasets are bias corrected by the developers before making them available for public use. Due to this criteria the third satellite dataset cannot be the PERSIANN, CMORPH or GSMaP datasets, because those are not bias corrected.

3.5. Criteria 5
The last main criterion is that the errors must be Gaussian distributed with a zero mean. This can be guaranteed when the errors are calculated for a long time period. The mean of the errors will then be Gaussian distributed. A time span of 11 year is taken into account so with the consisting 132 months the error can be calculated and be assumed that this is Gaussian distributed. In this report the year is divided into two periods so in one period 66 months are used to calculate the mean error. If more periods in a year are chosen, the Gaussian distribution of errors cannot be guaranteed because too few months are involved. This can be solved by using more years of data.

3.6. Applying triple collocation
With respect of those criteria’s stated above, the triple collocation will be applied four times in this research. The datasets used in those four calculations are:

1. RFE 2.0, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF
2. CHIRPS v1.8, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF
3. TAMSAT, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF
4. TRMM3B43 v7, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF
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4. Theory Triple Collocation

Triple collocation can be used to estimate the errors and the cross-calibration of three linearly related datasets with uncorrelated errors, as already explained in section 2 of this report. The method assumes that the three rainfall datasets \((R_{x,y,z})\) are related to the hypothetical truth \((R)\) as stated below (Stoffelen 1998):

\[
\begin{align*}
R_x &= \alpha_x + \beta_x R + e_x \\
R_y &= \alpha_y + \beta_y R + e_y \\
R_z &= \alpha_z + \beta_z R + e_z
\end{align*}
\]

In which \(\alpha_{x,y,z}\) are the offsets, \(\beta_{x,y,z}\) are the gains or calibration constants and \(e_{x,y,z}\) are the residual errors which is assumed to be of zero mean. The datasets are recalibrated to the hypothetical truth \(R\), by defining the datasets as follow:

\[
R^*_{x,y,z} = R + e^*_{x,y,z}
\]

Those are calculated by eliminating the calibration constants from the observed datasets and from the residual errors.

\[
\begin{align*}
R^*_{x,y,z} &= \frac{R_{x,y,z}}{\beta_{x,y,z}} - \frac{\sigma_{x,y,z}}{\beta_{x,y,z}} \\
e^*_{x,y,z} &= \frac{e_{x,y,z}}{\beta_{x,y,z}}
\end{align*}
\]

Because the truth is unknown, one of the datasets must act as a reference. The residual errors depend not on the chosen reference. Because the datasets are unbiased the \(\alpha_{x,y,z}=0\). Equation 2 can be rewritten as:

\[
\begin{align*}
R^*_x - R^*_y &= e^*_x - e^*_y \\
R^*_x - R^*_z &= e^*_x - e^*_z \\
R^*_y - R^*_z &= e^*_y - e^*_z
\end{align*}
\]

By cross multiplying equation 5, the mean residual errors can be determined if a minimum of three datasets are used. The expected values \((<>\)\) of the squares of the differences are as follow:

\[
\begin{align*}
< (R^*_x - R^*_y) (R^*_x - R^*_z) > &= < (e^*_x)^2 > - < e^*_x e^*_y > + < e^*_x e^*_z > \\
< (R^*_y - R^*_x) (R^*_y - R^*_z) > &= < (e^*_y)^2 > - < e^*_y e^*_x > + < e^*_y e^*_z > \\
< (R^*_z - R^*_x) (R^*_z - R^*_y) > &= < (e^*_z)^2 > - < e^*_z e^*_x > + < e^*_z e^*_y >
\end{align*}
\]

The \((e^*_x)^2\), \((e^*_y)^2\), and \((e^*_z)^2\) are the wanted error variances. The \(e^*_x e^*_y\), \(e^*_x e^*_z\), and \(e^*_y e^*_z\) are the covariances. An assumption was that the error are uncorrelated, resulting that all the covariances are zero. Therefore, the residual errors are given by:
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\[
\langle (e_x^*)^2 \rangle = \langle (R_x^* - R_y^*) (R_x^* - R_z^*) \rangle \\
\langle (e_y^*)^2 \rangle = \langle (R_y^* - R_x^*) (R_y^* - R_z^*) \rangle \\
\langle (e_z^*)^2 \rangle = \langle (R_z^* - R_x^*) (R_z^* - R_y^*) \rangle \\
\text{(6)}
\]

By assuming that dataset \( x \) is calibrated (\( \beta_x = 1 \)), the other two systems can be calibrated by using \( x \) as reference. So by using the neutral regression one can find \( \beta_y \) and \( \beta_z \). The equations used in the neutral regression (Marsden 1999) are stated below to calculate the calibration constant (Janssen, Abdalla et al. 2007):

\[
\beta_y = \frac{(-B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC})}{2A} \quad \text{(7)}
\]

Where;

\[
A = \gamma \langle R_x^* R_y^* \rangle \quad \text{(8)}
\]
\[
B = \langle ((R_x^*)^2) - \gamma \langle (R_y^*)^2 \rangle \rangle \quad \text{(9)}
\]
\[
C = -\langle R_x^* R_y^* \rangle \quad \text{(10)}
\]
\[
\gamma^* = \frac{\langle (e_z^*)^2 \rangle}{\langle (e_y^*)^2 \rangle} \quad \text{(11)}
\]

The \( \beta_z \) can be found by replacing \( y \) with \( z \) in equation 8 till 11. After those calculations all the calibration constants are known and one can repeat the whole calculation again but now by using the calibration constant of equations 3 to adjust the observed rainfall. Repeat those steps until convergence is obtained, so when all the calibration constants become 1.

With the error, the standard deviation can be calculated by the following relationship between error and standard deviation:

\[
\sigma_{x,y,z} = \sqrt{\langle (e_{x,y,z}^*) \rangle^2}
\]

For this report the means of the errors are taken for every grid for a period of time. A large time period is needed to guarantee that the mean errors are Gaussian, which is one of the main criteria for the triple collocation method.

The above calculations are done in Matlab with 1000 iteration steps to ensure convergence. The results of this calculation are shown in the next section. Those calculations are done for two periods, namely the wet and the dry period of the Nile basin. The periods are both 6 months and are from May till October (wet period) and from November till April (dry period). Periods with fewer months were not possible, to ensure a Gaussian distribution of the errors.
5. Results

In this section the results of the triple collocation method are shown for the Nile Basin. In Figure 3 the mean annual precipitation for the Nile Basin is shown, here one can see the large variation of annual rainfall in the Nile Basin. In this research the RFE 2.0, CHIRPS v1.8, TAMSAT, and TRMM3B43 v7 are chosen as reference (so $\beta_x = 1$). But when choosing CRU_TS3.21 or ECMWF as reference, the same estimated errors will be calculated. This is also done to check the calculations and indeed the same estimated errors were calculated. In section 5.1 the dry period will be shown. This period is from November to April. Hereafter, the wet period will be shown. This is from May to October. At last, the results of the whole period will be shown. The used datasets are the RFE 2.0, CHIRPS v1.8, TAMSAT, and TRMM3B43 v7 for the first dataset and the second and third datasets are always CRU_TS3.21 and ECMWF to fulfil the criteria as stated in section 3. In the next section, those results will be discussed.

Figure 3: Mean annual precipitation for the Nile Basin (STRATFOR 2011)
5.1 Dry period

For the years 2000 to 2010 the dry months, six months each year, are used to calculate the standard deviation. The used dry months are November to April. In Figure 4 the results are shown when RFE 2.0, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF are used in the triple collocation method. When CHIRPS v1.8 is used instead of RFE 2.0 one gets the results as shown in Figure 5. In Figure 6, TAMSAT is used instead of RFE 2.0. Also the TRMM3B43 v7 dataset is used in this method and those results can be seen in Figure 7. All figures have the same colour bar scale for the standard deviation, so they can easily be compared with each other. One can see that the estimated error for CRU_TS3.21 and ECMWF remains almost the same, no matter which third dataset is used.

![Figure 4: Standard deviation in mm of the RFE 2.0, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin from November to April](image1)

![Figure 5: Standard deviation in mm of the CHIRPS v1.8, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin from November to April](image2)
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Figure 6: Standard deviation in mm of the TAMSAT, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin from November to April

Figure 7: Standard deviation in mm of the TRMM3B43 v7, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin from November to April
### 5.2 Wet period

For the same years, the wet months are used to calculate the standard deviation for this period. Figure 8 shows the standard deviation when RFE 2.0, CRU_T S3.21, and ECMWF are used for the triple collocation method. In Figure 9 the CHIRPS v1.8, CRU_T S3.21v, and ECMWF are used. The results when TAMSAT is used instead of RFE 2.0 are shown in Figure 10. Also the TRMM3B43 v7 is used and these results are shown in Figure 11.

---

**Figure 8:** Standard deviation in mm of the RFE 2.0, CRU_T S3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin from May to October

**Figure 9:** Standard deviation in mm of the CHIRPS v1.8, CRU_T S3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin from May to October
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Figure 10: Standard deviation in mm of the TAMSAT, CRU_T53.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin from May to October

Figure 11: Standard deviation in mm of the TRMM3B43 v7, CRU_T53.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin from May to October
5.3 Whole period

For the same years, the whole year is considered to calculate the annual standard errors. The same datasets are used. Only the first dataset is variable and all the results are shown in Figure 12 to Figure 15 for the RFE 2.0, CHIRPS v1.8, TAMSAT and TRMM3B43 v7 respectively as first dataset.

Figure 12: Standard deviation in mm of the RFE 2.0, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin for the whole year.

Figure 13: Standard deviation in mm of the CHIRPS v1.8, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin for the whole year.
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**Figure 14:** Standard deviation in mm of the TAMSAT, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin for the whole year.

**Figure 15:** Standard deviation in mm of the TRMM3B42 v7, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF, estimated with the triple collocation method on a 0.75 degree grid for the Nile Basin for the whole year.
6. Discussion
For the validity of the derived error model, two assumptions are important. Firstly, the residual errors need to be uncorrelated and secondly, there must be linear relations between the three datasets (Roebeling, Wolters et al. 2012). The first assumption is not completely fulfilled, because the datasets do not use completely different datasets as input for their rainfall estimations. But all the datasets use different retrieval techniques. The ECMWF uses a lot of input data like buoys, airplanes, dropsondes, radiosondes, but also the geostationary and the passive microwave sensors that are also used in the CHIRPS v1.8, RFE 2.0, TAMSAT and TRMM3B43 v7 rainfall estimates. Because the ECMWF uses a lot of different data sources as input data for a model, the assumption was made that the ECMWF is completely different than the CHIRPS v1.8, RFE 2.0, TAMSAT, and TRMM3B43 v7 estimations which uses just the geostationary and passive microwave sensors and calculate rainfall by using a retrieval algorithm. As a result, it is assumed that the residual errors are uncorrelated, so the errors resulting from the use of the same sensors are assumed to be negligible. The second is not completely fulfilled if a 0.05 degree grid is used because the sampling resolutions are different, so it might be necessary to use a more sophisticated calibration approach to minimize the systematic errors. Here the datasets are just rescaled to the dataset with the coarsest grid to minimize the residual errors. This is shown in the estimated error on a 0.75 degree grid. Therefore, this assumption is also fulfilled.

One can see that the places where the correlations between products were zero or almost zero, the resulting estimated error is also zero. These are also the areas where no rain is detected, so this area is not very important for this analysis. Furthermore, some pixels have strange values. In those areas no convergence was reached. This can have two reasons; the first reason is that more iteration steps are needed to reach convergence. The second reason is that convergence cannot be reached due to low correlation between the datasets. The strange pixels are especially located in the areas which are characterized as the areas with a low correlation factor. Therefore, the second reason is most reasonable for most pixels.

When one takes a look at the dry period one can see that the standard deviations in the Nile basin are low. This is due to the smaller amount of rain during this period. In general, the ECMWF has the larger standard deviations in the Nile basin especially in the southern part compared with the other datasets. The RFE 2.0 has some problems at latitude of 7N and longitude of 35E, and also TAMSAT, TRMM3B43 v7, and ECMWF has some larger standard deviations in this particular area. In general, the CRU_TS3.21, TAMSAT, and CHIRPS v1.8 have the lowest errors when only the Nile basin is taking into account. All the datasets have the largest errors in the southern part of the Nile Basin, so around Lake Victoria. On the left side just outside the Nile basin, one can see a large standard deviation for the TRMM3B43 v7 and TAMSAT database. In general one can conclude that the CHIRPS v1.8, TAMSAT and CRU_TS3.21 have the lowest mean standard deviation when the whole Nile Basin is taken into account, but on local scale also other datasets can have a lower error.

In the wet period the ECMWF has a large standard deviation in the right center of the Nile Basin (35E latitude, 10N longitude), this is the Baro-Akobo-Sobat region in which a lot of researches concluded that the ECMWF overestimates the rainfall extremely in this area(Thiemig, Rojas et al. 2012). Also CRU_TS3.21 has some larger errors in this particular area. The TRMM3B42 v7 has a larger standard deviation in the whole center part compared to the CHIRPS v1.8, TAMSAT, and RFE 2.0. In the southern part of the Nile Basin, the TAMSAT and the CHIRPS v1.8 has the lowest standard deviation
in the wet period. Overall, the CHIRPS v1.8 and TAMSAT shows also in the wet period the lowest standard deviation in the Nile Basin.

The triple collocation method seems to be able to give reasonable standard deviation. It is also remarkable that the patterns and values of the CRU_TS3.21 and ECMWF are almost the same, no matter which dataset is used as first dataset. So the resulting standard deviations when RFE 2.0, CRU_TS3.21, and ECMWF are used are almost the same as the results of CRU_TS3.21 and ECMWF when CHIRPS v1.8 is used instead of RFE 2.0. This makes the method more reliable, because the standard deviation does not depends a lot on 1 dataset.

One last remark, one can see a much higher error in the TAMSAT dataset outside the Nile Basin on the left side of Lake Victoria. Remarkable is that the edges of this area are very strict, and it looks like a square. When one goes into detail inside the algorithm used in TAMSAT one can get an explanation for this. As one can see in Figure 16, TAMSAT uses calibration zones to determine the rainfall. One of the calibration zones is exactly the square with higher standard deviation as one can see in our results. From this one can conclude that this calibration zone can be calibrated better. For the Nile Basin this is not very important because it is out of the scope, but it is good to mention this in this sub research.

Figure 16: Calibration zones for August, for each zone the calibration parameters are determined (Reading 2014)
6. Summary

The triple collocation is a powerful tool to give insight in the error when the truth is unknown. With this method, it is possible to make an estimation of the error, but it is important to take care of the many criteria which have to be fulfilled before this method is able to estimate the errors accurately. Because of those criteria, the possibility of using some datasets or the combination of some datasets is not possible without introducing an additional error in your error estimation calculations. For this research, those criteria results in the obligation of using the ECMWF and CRU_Ts3.21 datasets and that only the third dataset is the only variable dataset. This third dataset, one can choose between the RFE 2.0, TRMM3B43 v7, CHIRPS v1.8, and the TAMSAT dataset. So the use of this method is limited and not all the datasets or a combination of datasets can be used.

The result of triple collocation shows some useful information which seems to be very plausible. The standard deviation of the ECMWF and CRU_Ts3.21 does not depend much on the input data of the third database. So one gets almost the same standard deviation for ECMWF and CRU_Ts3.21 if RFE 2.0, ECMWF, and CRU_Ts3.21 are used as when CHIRPS v1.8 is used instead of RFE 2.0.

The first dataset (RFE 2.0, CHIRPS v1.8, TAMSAT, or TRMM3B43 v7) is chosen as reference for the triple collocation, but when CRU_Ts3.21 or ECMWF is chosen as reference; by definition the same estimated errors must be calculated. So to check the calculations also the other two datasets were chosen as reference system, which resulted in the same estimated errors.

From this analysis one can conclude in general that the CHIRPS v1.8, CRU_Ts3.21, and TAMSAT have the lowest standard deviation inside the Nile Basin in the dry season. And for the wet the CHIRPS v1.8 and TAMSAT shows the lowest standard deviation in general.

Locally, another dataset than mentioned above can have a lower standard deviation.

This result can be used to make an ensemble rainfall product of all the used datasets. With this result, one can make a decision of which dataset can be used in which area. So for every pixel one can select the product with the lowest standard deviation.
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0. Introduction
In this report all the m-file which are used for the scientific paper are shown. More analysis were done, but they were not published in the paper and therefore those m-files are not shown in this report. In the figure below the content of this report is shown.

Blue parts in the m-files say something about the data input of that command. So from where this data is downloaded or with which m-file this data is calculated.

In section 7, all the website and FTP servers are shown from where all the rainfall data used in this study is downloaded.
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1. Open access product

1.1 Making clipped .tiff files from products
M-files for making all the rainfall products in .geotiff format.

1.1.1 TRMM

for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMaug20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMjul20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMmar20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMapr20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMmay20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMjune20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMjul20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMaug20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMsep20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
for y=1:10
    uitlezen = sprintf('%s\Data\TRMM\TRMM%02d\%02d\%02d\TRMM%02d\TRMMdec20%02d.nc', year, month, day, hour, minute, second);
    TRMM = ncread(uitlezen);
end
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**1.1.2 GPCP**

m-file 2

clear all;
close all;
cicl;

uitlezen=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GPCP\GPCP_mean_monthly.nc'); % downloaded GPCP
GPCP=ncread(uitlezen,'nc');
d=[31 29 31 30 31 30 31 31 30 31 30 31];
dateschrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GPCP\GPCP_1DD_v2.1_199610-201312.nc','y'); % downloaded GPCP

for y=1:10
    B=B';
    B=(B(:,:)*d(y+1));
end

for m=1:12
    begin=sum(d(1:y+1))+92+3*365;
    eind=begin+d(y+1);
    TRMM=TRMM'*31*24;
    TRMM=ncread(uitlezen,'nc');
    TRMM=TRMM(:,:,k);
end

for y=1:10
    for m=1:12
        all
    end
end

for m=1:12
    all
end

for m=1:12
    begin=sum(d(1:y+1))+92+3*365;
    eind=begin+d(y+1);
    TRMM=TRMM'*31*24;
    TRMM=ncread(uitlezen,'nc');
    TRMM=TRMM(:,:,k);
end

for y=1:10
    for m=1:12
        all
    end
end

for m=1:12
    all
end

1.1.3 GPCP1DD

m-file 3

clear all;
close all;
cicl;

GPCP1DD=ncread('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GPCP\GPCP_1DD_v2.1_199610-201312.nc','y'); % downloaded GPCP1DD

for y=0:0.1
    for m=1:12
        begin=sum(d(1:y+1)+92+3*365); % dataset started from januari 1996, so select the right day
        eind=begin+d(y+1); % select the right last day
        A=GPCP(1:y,m);
        for k=begin:eind % sum the days to get the monthly total
            A(k)=GPCP(k,m);
        end
    end
end

cicl;

% downloaded dataset started from januari 1979, so januari 2000 is 2520th month
A(1:2520)=GPCP(1:2520,:); % transfer mm/day into mm/month
B=B';
R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [-180 180], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'North');
dateschrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GPCP\GPCP_1DD_v2.1_199610-201312.nc','y'); % downloaded GPCP1DD
geotiffwrite(dateschrijven, TRMM, R);
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1.1.4 CRU

m-file 4

clear all;
close all;
d;

%dataset 1 from 1991 till 2000
for m=1:12
k=(12*(y*12)+(d(y*12+m)+1));
end

%dataset 2 from 2001 till 2010
for m=1:12
k=(12*(y*12)m);
end

1.1.5 ECMWF

m-file 5

clear all;
close all;
c;d;

%ECMWF R=geotiffwrite(C\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ECMWF\ECMWFFiles\); % downloaded ECMWF

for y = 0:10
for m=1:12
begin=sum(d(1:(y*12+m)))+1;
eind=end+dy*12+end;
for k=begin:eind
A=zeros(104,107);
A(:,k)=ECMWF(:,:,k);
A=A+B;
end
end

end

end

end
1.1.6 ARC

Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper

clear all;
close all;
diag;

for k=1:10;
    a=[1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0];
    s=(f+1); % loop year for 2000,2004 en 2008
    f=dir('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif'); % downloaded ARC
    B=zeros(801,751);
    dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif',f,s,k);
    [A,R]=geotiffread(dataschrijven);
    B=A+B;
    end

% may
B=zeros(801,751);
for k=1:1;
    uitlezen=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif',f,s,k);
    [A,R]=geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
    end
datastotvuren = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif',f,s,k);
geotiffwrite(datastotvuren, B, R);

% june
B=zeros(801,751);
for k=1:1;
    uitlezen=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif',f,s,k);
    [A,R]=geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
    end
datastotvuren = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif',f,s,k);
geotiffwrite(datastotvuren, B, R);

% july
B=zeros(801,751);
for k=1:1;
    uitlezen=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif',f,s,k);
    [A,R]=geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
    end
datastotvuren = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif',f,s,k);
geotiffwrite(datastotvuren, B, R);

% august
B=zeros(801,751);
for k=1:1;
    uitlezen=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif',f,s,k);
    [A,R]=geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
    end
datastotvuren = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\ARC2.0.*.tif',f,s,k);
geotiffwrite(datastotvuren, B, R);

Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper

% This file creates a gtiff file and transfer the daily rainfall sum into monthly values.
% downloaded CHIRPS

% 1.1.7 CHIRPS

clear all;
close all;
clic;

for y=1:10
    for m=1:12
        openchirps=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\%data\\\20%02d\\\%data\\\ARC2.0\\20%02d\%20%02d\%02d\%02d.tif',f,u,k);
        if CHIRPS(f,k)==NaN;
            R = geotiffread('RasterSize', size(CHIRPS), ['ColumnsStartFrom', 'North']);
            dataSchrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\%data\\\20%02d\\\%data\\\ARC2.0\\20%02d\%20%02d\%02d\%02d.tif',f,u,k);
            geotiffwrite(dataSchrijven, CHIRPS, R);
        end
    end
end

1.1.8 RFE

% This file creates a gtiff file and transfer the daily rainfall sum into monthly values.
% downloaded RFE

for h=1:10
    d=[0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0];
    s(h);  % downloads the file
    CHIRPS = geotiffread(openchirps);
    dataSchrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\%data\\\20%02d\\\%data\\\CHIRPS\%20%02d\%02d\%02d.tif',f,u,k);
    geotiffwrite(dataSchrijven, CHIRPS, R);
end
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% januari
u=1;
for k=1:31
    uitlezen = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(FEWSNET)\africa_re.20%02d0%02d.tif',u,k);
    [A,R] = geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
end
R1 = georasterref([RasterSize, size(A)], [Latlim, -40.05 40.05], [Lonlim, -20.05 55.05], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'North');
datastrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(20%02d0%02d)\FEWSNET\',u);
geotiffwrite(datastrijven, B, R1);

% februari
u=2;
for k=1:28
    uitlezen = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(FEWSNET)\africa_re.20%02d0%02d.tif',u,k);
    [A,R] = geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
end
datastrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(20%02d0%02d)\FEWSNET\',u);
geotiffwrite(datastrijven, B, R1);

% maart
u=3;
for k=1:31
    uitlezen = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(FEWSNET)\africa_re.20%02d0%02d.tif',u,k);
    [A,R] = geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
end
datastrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(20%02d0%02d)\FEWSNET\',u);
geotiffwrite(datastrijven, B, R1);

% april
u=4;
for k=1:30
    uitlezen = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(FEWSNET)\africa_re.20%02d0%02d.tif',u,k);
    [A,R] = geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
end
datastrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(20%02d0%02d)\FEWSNET\',u);
geotiffwrite(datastrijven, B, R1);

% mei
u=5;
for k=1:31
    uitlezen = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(FEWSNET)\africa_re.20%02d0%02d.tif',u,k);
    [A,R] = geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
end
datastrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(20%02d0%02d)\FEWSNET\',u);
geotiffwrite(datastrijven, B, R1);

% juni
u=6;
for k=1:30
    uitlezen = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(FEWSNET)\africa_re.20%02d0%02d.tif',u,k);
    [A,R] = geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
end
datastrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(20%02d0%02d)\FEWSNET\',u);
geotiffwrite(datastrijven, B, R1);

% juli
u=7;
for k=1:31
    uitlezen = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(FEWSNET)\africa_re.20%02d0%02d.tif',u,k);
    [A,R] = geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
end
datastrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(20%02d0%02d)\FEWSNET\',u);
geotiffwrite(datastrijven, B, R1);

% august
u=8;
for k=1:31
    uitlezen = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(FEWSNET)\africa_re.20%02d0%02d.tif',u,k);
    [A,R] = geotiffread(uitlezen);
    B=A+B;
end
datastrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\(TU Delft) Afstuderen\Data\(20%02d0%02d)\FEWSNET\',u);
geotiffwrite(datastrijven, B, R1);
1.1.9 TAMSAT
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```matlab
%9 september
B=zeros(801,751);
for k=1:30;
    uitlezen=sprintf('[/Users/[y]/Documents/[TU Delft]/Afstuderen]/Data/[TAMSAT]/%02d%02d_%02d.nc',y,m,k); % downloaded TAMSAT
    [A,R]=geotiffread(uitlezen);% downloaded TAMSAT.
    B=A+B;
end
dataschrijven = sprintf('[/Users/[y]/Documents/[TU Delft]/Afstuderen]/Data/[TAMSAT]/%02d%02d%02d.tif',y,m,k);
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
edata = geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
end
```

1.1.10 GSMaP

To make a grif file for the GSMaP product, 3 m-files were needed. Three datasets where downloaded, which consist the Africa, South Africa and Asia region of the products. All three datasets
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were needed, otherwise the whole Nile Basin is not covered. It starts with a daily excel file, which is transferred into a monthly matlab files.

```matlab
clc;
close all;
clear all;

% transferred into a monthly
were needed, otherwise the whole Nile Basin is not covered.

for u=1:12
    naamdir = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\(TU Delft\\(Afstuderen)\\Data\\(GSMaP)\\20%02d\\%02d\\%02d\\daily\\\*.csv',f,u);
    P = dir(naamdir);
    numfiles = length(P)/3;
    for k = 1 : numfiles
        naam = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\(TU Delft\\(Afstuderen)\\Data\\(GSMaP)\\20%02d\\%02d\\%02d\\daily\\\*.csv',f,u,k);
        % downloaded GSMaP
        B = csvread(naam,1,2);
        A(:,length(B),k)=B;
    end

    % calculations and saving
    total=zeros(200000,1);
    total=total';
    total=sum(A');
    total=zeros(200000,1);
    end

    Coordinates=csvread('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\(TU Delft\\(Afstuderen)\\Data\\(GSMaP)\\200004\\\*.csv','f,u);
    save(opslaan,'filetotalafrica.mat','f,u);
end

% For North Africa
for u=1:12
    naamdir = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\(TU Delft\\(Afstuderen)\\Data\\(GSMaP)\\20%02d\\%02d\\%02d\\daily\\\*.csv',f,u,2);
    P = dir(naamdir);
    numfiles = length(P)/3;
    for k = 1 : numfiles
        naam = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\(TU Delft\\(Afstuderen)\\Data\\(GSMaP)\\20%02d\\%02d\\%02d\\daily\\\*.csv',f,u,k);
        % downloaded GSMaP
        B = csvread(naam,1,2);
        A(:,length(B),k)=B;
    end

    % calculations and saving
    total=zeros(200000,1);
    total=total';
    total=sum(A');
    total=zeros(200000,1);
    end

    Coordinates=csvread('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\(TU Delft\\(Afstuderen)\\Data\\(GSMaP)\\200004\\\*.csv','f,u);
    save(opslaan,'filetotalmiddleeast.mat','f,u);
end

% For South Africa
for u=1:12
    naamdir = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\(TU Delft\\(Afstuderen)\\Data\\(GSMaP)\\20%02d\\%02d\\%02d\\daily\\\*.csv',f,u,2);
    P = dir(naamdir);
    numfiles = length(P)/3;
    for k = 1 : numfiles
        naam = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\(TU Delft\\(Afstuderen)\\Data\\(GSMaP)\\20%02d\\%02d\\%02d\\daily\\\*.csv',f,u,k);
        % downloaded GSMaP
        B = csvread(naam,1,2);
        A(:,length(B),k)=B;
    end

    % calculations and saving
    total=zeros(200000,1);
    total=total';
    total=sum(A');
    total=zeros(200000,1);
end
```
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total=total*24;
Coordinates=csvread('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\2000\\%02d\\%02d\\gsm_m_v52221_20000401_daily_002-232_09_AfH5N.csv',1,0); %downloaded GSMaP
file1=Coordinates(:,1);
file2=Coordinates(:,2);
file3=total(:,1);
filetotalsouthafrica=zeros(length(file1),3);
filetotalsouthafrica(1:length(file1),1)= file1;
filetotalsouthafrica(1:length(file1),2)= file2;
filetotalsouthafrica(1:length(file1),3)= file3(1:length(file1),1);
opslaan = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\%02d\\%02d\\filetotalsouthafrica.mat',f,u);
save(opslaan,'filetotalsouthafrica'); end end

Those created matlab files are then opened as follows to create 2 gtiff files.

for f=0 for u=5:12
  
  % for Africa
databestandafrica = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\%02d\\%02d\\filetotalafrica\',f,u);
  load(databestandafrica);
  latafrica=3.95 : 0.1 : 39.95;
  lonrafrica=0.05 : 0.1 : 34.95;
  lonlafrica=341.05 :0.1 : 359.95;
  lonafrica=[lonlafrica lonrafrica];
  latafrica=latafrica';
  latafricaones=ones(1,540);
  latafricaheel=latafrica*latafricaones;
  lonasiaones=ones(361,1);
  lonasiaheel=lonasiaones*lonasia;
  Zafrica=ones(361,540);
  for k = 1:126711
    Zafrica((k-361*(floor(k/361.0000000000001))),ceil(k/361)+189)=filetotalafrica(k,3);
  end
  for k = 126712:195301
    Zafrica((k-361*(floor(k/361.0000000000001))),ceil(k/361)-351)=filetotalafrica(k,3);
  end
  
  % for Asia
databestandasia = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\%02d\\%02d\\filetotalmiddleeast\',f,u);
  load(databestandasia);
  latasia= 3.95 : 0.1 : 39.95;
  lonasia= 35.05 : 0.1 : 64.95;
  latasia=latasia';
  latasiaones=ones(1,300);
  latasiaheel=latasia*latasiaones;
  lonafricaheel=lonasiaheel*latasiaheel;
  Zasia=ones(361,300);
  for k = 1:108300
    Zasia((k-361*(floor(k/361.0000000000001))),ceil(k/361)-351)=filetotalmiddleeast(k,3);
  end
  
  % make one map
  rain=[Zafrica Zasia];
  lat = [latasiaheel latasiachel];
  lon = [lonasiaheel lonasiachel];
  
  % write tiff
  R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(rain), 'Latlim', [3.9 40], 'Lonlim', [-19 65], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
  opslaanam=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\%02d\\%02d\\rain.tif',f,u);
  geotiffwrite(opslaanam, rain, R);
end
end

for f=1:10 for u=1:12
  
  % for Africa
databestandafrica = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\%02d\\%02d\\filetotalafrica\',f,u);
  load(databestandafrica);
end
end
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```matlab
latafrica= 3.95 : 0.1 : 39.95;
lonafrica= 0.05 : 0.1 : 34.95;
lonafrica= 341.05 : 0.1 : 359.95;
lonafrica= [lonafrica lonafrica];
latafrica= latafrica';
latafricaones= ones(1,540);
latafricaheel= latafrica*latafricaones;
lonafricaones= ones(361,1);
lonafricaheel= lonafricaones*lonafrica;
Zafrica= ones(361,540);
for k = 1:126711
    Zafrica((k-361*(floor(k/361.0000000000001))),ceil(k/361)+189)=filetotalafrica(k,3);
end
for k = 126712:195301
    Zafrica((k-361*(floor(k/361.0000000000001))),ceil(k/361)-351)=filetotalafrica(k,3);
end
%% For Asia
databestandasia = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\20%02d\\%02d\\filetotalmiddleeast',f,u);
load(databestandasia);
latasia= 3.95 : 0.1 : 39.95;
lonaasia= 35.05 : 0.1 : 64.95;
lataisia= lataisia';
lataisiaones= ones(1,300);
lataisiaheel= lataisia*lataisiaones;
lonaasiaones= ones(361,1);
lonaasiaheel= lonaasiaones*lonaasia;
Zasia= ones(361,300);
for k = 1:108300
    Zasia((k-361*(floor(k/361.0000000000001))),ceil(k/361))=filetotalmiddleeast(k,3);
end
%% make one map
rain= [Zafrica Zasia];
lat = [latafricaheel latasiaheel];
lon = [lonafricaheel lonasiaheel];
%% write tiff
R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(rain), 'Latlim', [3.9 40], 'Lonlim', [-19 65], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
opslaannaam=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\20%02d\\%02d\\rain.tif',f,u);
geotiffwrite(opslaannaam, rain, R);
end
%% For Asia
databestandasia = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\20%02d\\%02d\\filetotalmiddleeast',f,u);
load(databestandasia);
latasia= 3.95 : 0.1 : 39.95;
lonaasia= 35.05 : 0.1 : 64.95;
lataisia= lataisia';
lataisiaones= ones(1,300);
lataisiaheel= lataisia*lataisiaones;
lonaasiaones= ones(361,1);
lonaasiaheel= lonaasiaones*lonaasia;
Zasia= ones(361,300);
for k = 1:108300
    Zasia((k-361*(floor(k/361.0000000000001))),ceil(k/361))=filetotalmiddleeast(k,3);
end
%% make one map
rain= [Zafrica Zasia];
lat = [latafricaheel latasiaheel];
lon = [lonafricaheel lonasiaheel];
%% write tiff
R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(rain), 'Latlim', [3.9 40], 'Lonlim', [-19 65], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
opslaannaam=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\20%02d\\%02d\\rain.tif',f,u);
geotiffwrite(opslaannaam, rain, R);
end
end
%% For South Africa
databestandsouthafrica = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\GSMaP\\20%02d\\%02d\\filetotalsouthafrica',f,u);
load(databestandsouthafrica);	latafrica= -14.95 : 0.1 : 3.95;
lonafrica= -8.55 : 0.1 : 48.05;
tatafkafrica= latafkafrica';
tatafkafricaones= ones(1,540);
lonafricaheel= lonafrica*lonafrica;
```
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In the last part the 2 gtiff files are combined into 1 gtiff file which covers the whole Nile basin.

1.1.11 PERSIANN

6 Hourly data was downloaded, but some were missing. Therefore, another m file was made for the 3 hourly data. This file sums two 3 hourly data, to fill in the matching 6 hourly data.

This file sums the 6 hourly values into maps with monthly values.
Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper

% April
for p = 91:s:120+s;
  for k=1:4
    t(0)=0;
    opendeze=printfil'C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbfn(openedeze,[400 1440],'float');
    for r=1:1440
      if A(0,r)<0;
        A(0,r)=0;
      end
      end
      B=A+B;
      end
  end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'latlim', [-50 50], 'lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\\(20%02d\)\(04\)\\PERSIANN\',p);
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
B=zeros(400,1440);

% May
for p = 121+s:151+s;
  for k=1:4
    t(0)=0;
    opendeze=printfil'C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbfn(openedeze,[400 1440],'float');
    for r=1:1440
      if A(0,r)<0;
        A(0,r)=0;
      end
      end
      B=A+B;
      end
  end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'latlim', [-50 50], 'lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\\(20%02d\)\(05\)\\PERSIANN\',p);
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
B=zeros(400,1440);

% June
for p = 152+s:181+s;
  for k=1:4
    t(0)=0;
    opendeze=printfil'C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbfn(openedeze,[400 1440],'float');
    for r=1:1440
      if A(0,r)<0;
        A(0,r)=0;
      end
      end
      B=A+B;
      end
  end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'latlim', [-50 50], 'lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\\(20%02d\)\(06\)\\PERSIANN\',p);
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
B=zeros(400,1440);

% July
for p = 182+s:212+s;
  for k=1:4
    t(0)=0;
    opendeze=printfil'C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbfn(openedeze,[400 1440],'float');
    for r=1:1440
      if A(0,r)<0;
        A(0,r)=0;
      end
      end
      B=A+B;
      end
  end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'latlim', [-50 50], 'lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\\(20%02d\)\(07\)\\PERSIANN\',p);
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
B=zeros(400,1440);

% August
for p = 213+s:243+s;
  for k=1:4
    t(0)=0;
    opendeze=printfil'C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbfn(openedeze,[400 1440],'float');
    for r=1:1440
      if A(0,r)<0;
        A(0,r)=0;
      end
      end
      B=A+B;
      end
  end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'latlim', [-50 50], 'lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\\(TU Delft\\\)Afstuderen\\Data\\\(20%02d\)\(08\)\\PERSIANN\',p);
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
B=zeros(400,1440);
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```matlab
% September
for p= 244+1:273+4;
    t=0;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\PERSIANN\',p); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbfn(opendeze,[400 1440],"float");
    for r=1:1440
        for j=1:4
            o=1:400
            A(o,j)=0;
            end
            B=A+B;
            end
    end
end
% October
for p= 274+1:304+4;
    t=0;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\PERSIANN\',p); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbfn(opendeze,[400 1440],"float");
    for r=1:1440
        for j=1:4
            o=1:400
            A(o,j)=0;
            end
            B=A+B;
            end
    end
end
% November
for p= 305+1:334+4;
    t=0;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\PERSIANN\',p); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbfn(opendeze,[400 1440],"float");
    for r=1:1440
        for j=1:4
            o=1:400
            A(o,j)=0;
            end
            B=A+B;
            end
    end
end
% December
for p= 335+1:365+4;
    t=0;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\PERSIANN\',p); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbfn(opendeze,[400 1440],"float");
    for r=1:1440
        for j=1:4
            o=1:400
            A(o,j)=0;
            end
            B=A+B;
            end
    end
end
```
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```
end

%% first name raw6hr
for p=1:6
    o=1:400;
    B=A+B;
end

%% January
for p=1:31;
    t=14;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%03d.bin',p); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadfn(opendeze,[400 1440],'
    end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize',size(B),'
end

%% February
for p=23:59+4;
    t=14;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%03d.bin',p); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadfn(opendeze,[400 1440],'
    end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize',size(B),'
end

%% March
for p=60:90+4;
    t=14;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%03d.bin',p); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadfn(opendeze,[400 1440],'
    end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize',size(B),'
end

%% April
for p=91:120+4;
    t=14;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%03d.bin',p); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadfn(opendeze,[400 1440],'
    end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize',size(B),'
end

%% May
for p=121:151+4;
    t=14;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%03d.bin',p); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadfn(opendeze,[400 1440],'
    end
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize',size(B),'
end

% downloaded PERSIANN
```
for September
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
dataschrijven = sprintf('B=zeros(400,1440);
for r=1:1440
    if A(r,:)>0
        A(r,:)=0;
    end
    B=B+r;
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [0 360], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
datashrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
B=zeros(400,1440);

% August
for p = 182+212*s;
    for k=1:4
        t=0(k);
        opendezo=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t);
        A=loadbf(openopendezo, [400 1440], 'float');
        for r=1:1440
            if A(r,:)>0
                A(r,:)=0;
            end
            B=B+r;
        end
        R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [0 360], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
datashrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
B=zeros(400,1440);

% July
for p = 182+212*s;
    for k=1:4
        t=0(k);
        opendezo=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t);
        A=loadbf(openopendezo, [400 1440], 'float');
        for r=1:1440
            if A(r,:)>0
                A(r,:)=0;
            end
            B=B+r;
        end
        R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [0 360], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
datashrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
B=zeros(400,1440);

% June
for p = 152+181*s;
    for k=1:4
        t=0(k);
        opendezo=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t);
        A=loadbf(openopendezo, [400 1440], 'float');
        for r=1:1440
            if A(r,:)>0
                A(r,:)=0;
            end
            B=B+r;
        end
        R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [0 360], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
datashrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
B=zeros(400,1440);

% May
for p = 122+151*s;
    for k=1:4
        t=0(k);
        opendezo=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t);
        A=loadbf(openopendezo, [400 1440], 'float');
        for r=1:1440
            if A(r,:)>0
                A(r,:)=0;
            end
            B=B+r;
        end
        R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [0 360], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
datashrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
B=zeros(400,1440);

% April
for p = 92+120*s;
    for k=1:4
        t=0(k);
        opendezo=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t);
        A=loadbf(openopendezo, [400 1440], 'float');
        for r=1:1440
            if A(r,:)>0
                A(r,:)=0;
            end
            B=B+r;
        end
        R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [0 360], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
datashrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
B=zeros(400,1440);

% March
for p = 62+90*s;
    for k=1:4
        t=0(k);
        opendezo=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t);
        A=loadbf(openopendezo, [400 1440], 'float');
        for r=1:1440
            if A(r,:)>0
                A(r,:)=0;
            end
            B=B+r;
        end
        R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [0 360], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
datashrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
B=zeros(400,1440);

% February
for p = 32+60*s;
    for k=1:4
        t=0(k);
        opendezo=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t);
        A=loadbf(openopendezo, [400 1440], 'float');
        for r=1:1440
            if A(r,:)>0
                A(r,:)=0;
            end
            B=B+r;
        end
        R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [0 360], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
datashrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
B=zeros(400,1440);

% January
for p = 0+30*s;
    for k=1:4
        t=0(k);
        opendezo=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t);
        A=loadbf(openopendezo, [400 1440], 'float');
        for r=1:1440
            if A(r,:)>0
                A(r,:)=0;
            end
            B=B+r;
        end
        R = georasterref('RasterSize', [50 50], 'Latlim', [0 360], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
datashrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\raw6hr0%d%d%02d.bin', j,p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
B=zeros(400,1440);
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```matlab
for p = 274:1:304 +4;
    k=1:4;
    opendeze = sprintf('%s\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstudeeren\data\20%02d\(PERSIANN\); % loaded
    A=loadbfn(opendeze,[400 1440],
    for r = 1:1440
        for o =1:400
            if A(o,r) > 0;
                A(o,r) = 0;
            end
            end
            B = A + B;
        end
    end
    Bzeros(400,1440);
end

% October
for p = 305 +1:334 +4;
    k=1:4;
    opendeze = sprintf('%s\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstudeeren\data\20%02d\(PERSIANN\); % loaded
    A=loadbfn(opendeze,[400 1440],
    for r = 1:1440
        for o =1:400
            if A(o,r) > 0;
                A(o,r) = 0;
            end
            end
            B = A + B;
        end
    end
    Bzeros(400,1440);
end

% November
for p = 335 +1:365 +4;
    k=1:4;
    opendeze = sprintf('%s\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstudeeren\data\20%02d\(PERSIANN\); % loaded
    A=loadbfn(opendeze,[400 1440],
    for r = 1:1440
        for o =1:400
            if A(o,r) > 0;
                A(o,r) = 0;
            end
            end
            B = A + B;
        end
    end
    Bzeros(400,1440);
end

% December
for p = 365 +1:395 +4;
    k=1:4;
    opendeze = sprintf('%s\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstudeeren\data\20%02d\(PERSIANN\); % loaded
    A=loadbfn(opendeze,[400 1440],
    for r = 1:1440
        for o =1:400
            if A(o,r) > 0;
                A(o,r) = 0;
            end
            end
            B = A + B;
        end
    end
    Bzeros(400,1440);
end
% Half first name half second name
for p = 1201:1:1240;
    k=1:4;
    opendeze = sprintf('%s\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstudeeren\data\20%02d\(PERSIANN\); % loaded
    A=loadbfn(opendeze,[400 1440],
    for r = 1:1440
        for o =1:400
            if A(o,r) > 0;
                A(o,r) = 0;
            end
            end
            B = A + B;
        end
    end
    Bzeros(400,1440);
end
```
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% February
for p = 22:59+4;
  for k=1:4
    t=0;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\raw6h0%03d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],’float’);
    for r=1:1440
      for o=1:400
        if A(o,r)<=0;
          A(o,r)=0;
        end
        end
        B=A+B;
      end
    end
    R = geotarrell('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
    dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\%02d\%02d\%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
  end
end
% March
for p = 60+1:90+4;
  for k=1:4
    t=0;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\msdl\_6h0\%03d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],’float’);
    for r=1:1440
      for o=1:400
        if A(o,r)<=0;
          A(o,r)=0;
        end
        end
        B=A+B;
      end
    end
    R = geotarrell('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
    dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\%02d\%02d\%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
  end
end
% April
for p = 91+1:120+4;
  for k=1:4
    t=0;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\raw6h0%03d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],’float’);
    for r=1:1440
      for o=1:400
        if A(o,r)<=0;
          A(o,r)=0;
        end
        end
        B=A+B;
      end
    end
    R = geotarrell('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
    dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\%02d\%02d\%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
  end
end
% May
for p = 121+1:151+4;
  for k=1:4
    t=0;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\msdl\_6h0\%03d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],’float’);
    for r=1:1440
      for o=1:400
        if A(o,r)<=0;
          A(o,r)=0;
        end
        end
        B=A+B;
      end
    end
    R = geotarrell('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
    dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\%02d\%02d\%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
  end
end
% June
for p = 152+1:181+4;
  for k=1:4
    t=0;
    opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\raw6h0%03d%02d.bin',p,t); % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],’float’);
    for r=1:1440
      for o=1:400
        if A(o,r)<=0;
          A(o,r)=0;
        end
        end
        B=A+B;
    end
  end
end
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% November
for p = 305+334+<12>
for k=1:4
    t=k;
    opendeze+spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\ms64_6h%02d%03d%02d.bin',[p,0]);  % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],float';
    for r=1:1440
        for o=1:400
            if A(o,r)>0;
                A(o,r)=0;
                end
                end
                B+=r;
                end
                end
                R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
                datashrijven = spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\11\PERSIANN.j';
                geotiffwrite(datashrijven, B, R);
                B=zeros(400,1440);

% December
for p = 335+365+<12>
for k=1:4
    t=k;
    opendeze+spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\ms64_6h%02d%03d%02d.bin',[p,0]);  % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],float';
    for r=1:1440
        for o=1:400
            if A(o,r)>0;
                A(o,r)=0;
                end
                end
                B+=r;
                end
                end
                R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
                datashrijven = spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\12\PERSIANN.j';
                geotiffwrite(datashrijven, B, R);
                B=zeros(400,1440);

% January
for p = 31+<12>
for k=1:4
    t=k;
    opendeze+spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\ms64_6h%02d%03d%02d.bin',[p,0]);  % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],float';
    for r=1:1440
        for o=1:400
            if A(o,r)>0;
                A(o,r)=0;
                end
                end
                B+=r;
                end
                end
                R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
                datashrijven = spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\01\PERSIANN.j';
                geotiffwrite(datashrijven, B, R);
                B=zeros(400,1440);

% February
for p = 28+<12>
for k=1:4
    t=k;
    opendeze+spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\ms64_6h%02d%03d%02d.bin',[p,0]);  % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],float';
    for r=1:1440
        for o=1:400
            if A(o,r)>0;
                A(o,r)=0;
                end
                end
                B+=r;
                end
                end
                R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
                datashrijven = spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\02\PERSIANN.j';
                geotiffwrite(datashrijven, B, R);
                B=zeros(400,1440);

% March
for p = 31+<12>
for k=1:4
    t=k;
    opendeze+spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\PERSIANN\ms64_6h%02d%03d%02d.bin',[p,0]);  % downloaded PERSIANN
    A=loadbn(opendeze,[400 1440],float';
    for r=1:1440
        for o=1:400
            if A(o,r)>0;
                A(o,r)=0;
                end
                end
                B+=r;
                end
                end
                R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
                datashrijven = spriet(C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\03\PERSIANN.j';
                geotiffwrite(datashrijven, B, R);
                B=zeros(400,1440);
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```matlab
if A(o,r)<0;
    A(o,r)=0;
end
end
end
B=A+B;
end
R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(B), 'Latlim', [-50 50], 'Lonlim', [0 360], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\20%02d\12\PERSIANN\%d');
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);

Next m-file is to fill in the missing 6 hours with 3 hourly data.

```matlab
m-file 15
clear all;
close all;
cic;

%7; %fill in the missing year
d=326; %fill in the missing day
for u=[0 6 12 18]
p=u+3;
opendeze=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\3uur\m6s4_3h%02d%03d%02d.bin',j);
%downloaded PERSIANN
A=loadbfn(opendeze,[480 1440],'float');
B=loadbfn(opendeze,[480 1440],'float');
C=A+B;
for r=1:1440
    for k=1:480
        if C(k,r)==-19998;
            C(k,r)=-9999;
        end
    end
end
D=zeros(400,1440);
D(:,:)=C(41:440,:);
D=D';
tim=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\PERSIANN\\raw6hr%02d%03d%02d.bin',j,d,u);
fileID = fopen(tim, 'w');
fwrite(fileID,D,'float','b');
close(fileID);
u=u+6;
end
```

1.1.12 CMORPH

```matlab
m-file 16
clear all;
close all;
cic;

d=[1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10]
for y=3:10
    for m=1:12
        e=d((y-3)*12+m);
        D=zeros(316,308);
        for k=1:e;
            opencmorph=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\CMORPH\\66708.cmorph_precip.cmorph.3hr-025deg.20%02d%02d%02d.nc',y,m,k);
%downloaded CMORPH
            CMORPH=ncread(opencmorph,'cmorph_precip');
            C=zeros(316,308);
            for b=1:8; %one file consist of 8 times 3 hourly data
                A=CMORPH(:,:,b);
                for q=1:316
                    for w=1:308
                        if isnan(A(q,w));
                            A(q,w)=0;
                        end
                    end
                end
            end
            B=A*3; % the unit is mm/hour so not mm/3hours so multiply by 3
            C=B+C;
            end
        end
        D=D';
        R = georasterref('RasterSize', size(D), 'Latlim', [-38 39], 'Lonlim', [-21 58], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\20%02d\12\CMORPH\%d\%m\%y');
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, B, R);
end
```

TUDelft
1.1.13 GPCP

GPCC is already clipped for the wanted region.

1.2 Clipping the data

Now all the data has the same gtiff format, the next step is to clip all data for the same region. The region used in this research is set on 40N-10S Latitude and 20E-50E Longitude.
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```matlab
% FEWSNET
for y=1:28
    U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\ECMWF.tif',y,m);
    % result m-file 5
    [ECMWF F10]=geotiffread(U);
    ECMWFclip=ECMWF(1:501,401:701);
    R10=georasterref(RasterSize, size(ECMWFclip), [10.125 39.375], 19.875 50.625, 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'North');
    dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\ECMWFclip\%y\m.m',y,m);
    geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, ECMWFclip, R10);
end

% TAMSAT
for y=0:5
    U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\TAMSAT.tif',y,m);
    % result m-file 9
    [TAMSAT F6]=geotiffread(U);
    TAMSATclip=TAMSAT(1:1287,1036:1844);
    R6=georasterref(RasterSize, size(TAMSATclip), [1287.5 1036], 19.8 50.1, 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'North');
    dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\TAMSATclip\%y\m.m',y,m);
    geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, TAMSATclip, R6);
end

% GSMaP
for y=6
    U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GSMaP.tif',y,m);
    % result m-file 9
    [GSMaP F4]=geotiffread(U);
    GSMaPclip=GSMaP(1:500,391:690);
    R4=georasterref(RasterSize, size(GSMaPclip), [500.625 391], 19.8 50.1, 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'North');
    dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GSMaPclip\%y\m.m',y,m);
    geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, GSMaPclip, R4);
end

% ECMWF
for y=10
    U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\ECMWF.tif',y,m);
    % result m-file 10
    [ECMWF F4]=geotiffread(U);
    ECMWFclip=ECMWF(1:66,56:96);
    R10=georasterref(RasterSize, size(ECMWFclip), [10.2 38.025], 19.875 50.625, 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'North');
    dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\ECMWFclip\%y\m.m',y,m);
    geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, ECMWFclip, R10);
end
```
1.3 Visualizing the products

1.3.1 Average for each basin and subbasin of each product.

The average estimated rainfall for each product is calculated for the whole basin and for all subbasins. This is done by resize all products into a 0.1 degree grid. A mask was created of each subbasin with the same spatial resolution. This mask can be used to select the area which must me averaged. Then the average of this area is calculated. The interpolation techniques used are nearest neighbor, bicubic, and linear interpolations, but there were no large differences found between those interpolation techniques. The m-file calculates the average rainfall for one basin at the time and also with one interpolation technique at the time. So this file was adapted and runned many times in order to get all the needed results.

The averages takes also into account the difference in area for a latitude and longitude. A latitude and longitude of 1 degree is more kilometers near the equator, therefore the area is also larger. Therefore first the following m-file was made which is used later on to take this effect into account.

m-file 19

%% 100% making of the area.mat
% file 19
% this part takes the area differences into account for each grid due to the changing kilometers for a latitude and longitude
b=zeros(500,300);
for k=1:500
for r=1:300

R2=georasterref('RasterSize',size(FEWSNETclip),Latlim,[-10.05 40.05],Lonlim,[19.95 50.05],'ColumnsStartFrom','North');
dataschrijven = sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\2002\12\FEWSNETclip','y,m);
geotiffwrite(dataschrijven, FEWSNETclip, R2);
end
end

%% CMORPH

for y=3:10
for m=1:12
U=strcmp('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\2002\12\CMORPH.tif','y,m);
end
end

%% PERSIANN

for y=0
for m=1:12
U=strcmp('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\2002\12\PERSIANN.tif','y,m);
end
end

%% GPCP 1DD

for y=0.10
for m=1:12
U=strcmp('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\2002\12\GPCP1DD.tif','y,m);
end
end

The average estimated rainfall for each product is calculated for the whole basin and for all subbasins. This is done by resize all products into a 0.1 degree grid. A mask was created of each subbasin with the same spatial resolution. This mask can be used to select the area which must me averaged. Then the average of this area is calculated. The interpolation techniques used are nearest neighbor, bicubic, and linear interpolations, but there were no large differences found between those interpolation techniques. The m-file calculates the average rainfall for one basin at the time and also with one interpolation technique at the time. So this file was adapted and runned many times in order to get all the needed results.

The averages takes also into account the difference in area for a latitude and longitude. A latitude and longitude of 1 degree is more kilometers near the equator, therefore the area is also larger. Therefore first the following m-file was made which is used later on to take this effect into account.
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The following m-file is used to calculate the area of the masks.

```matlab
A=zeros(500,300);
A(k,r)=1;
B(k,r)=areamat(A,R2,wgs84Ellipsoid('kilometers'));
end
end
B=flipud(B);
save('area.mat','B');
```

The following m-file is used to calculated the area of the masks.

```matlab
clear all;
close all;
clc;
%% Fill in the region and interpolation technique
method=sprintf('nearest');%bicubic nearest or bilinear
gebied=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\(Data)\\%02d\\Nilebasin');% downloaded shapefiles
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelhazal, baroa kobosobat,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% mainnile3, mainnile4, semlikilalbert, sudd, tekezzeatbara or
% victorianile
%% make a mask
Nilebasin = shaperead(gebied,'UseGeoCoords',true);
inLat=Nilebasin.Lat;
inLon=Nilebasin.Lon;
gridDensity=10;
[inGrid,InRefVec]=vec2mtx(inLat,inLon,gridDensity);
Masker=zeros(500,300);
Mask = imfill(inGrid,'holes');
a1=floor(Nilebasin.BoundingBox(1,2)*10)/10-0.2;
a2=floor(Nilebasin.BoundingBox(2,2)*10)/10+0.2;
a3=floor(Nilebasin.BoundingBox(1,1)*10)/10-0.2;
a4=floor(Nilebasin.BoundingBox(2,1)*10)/10+0.2;
R1 = georasterref('RasterSize', size(Mask),'Latlim', [a1 a2],
'Lonlim', [a3 a4],'ColumnsStartFrom',
'south');
%geoshow(Mask,R1,'DisplayType', 'Texturemap');
%geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
grote=size(Mask);
b1=grote(1)-1;
b2=grote(2)-1;
c1=(a1+10)*10+1;
c2=(a3-20)*10+1;
Masker(c1:c1+b1,c2:c2+b2)=Masker(c1:c1+b1,c2:c2+b2)+Mask;
R2 = georasterref('RasterSize', size(Masker),'Latlim', [-10 40],
'Lonlim', [20 50],'ColumnsStartFrom',
'south');
load('area.mat','B');
B=flipud(B);
B=B.*Masker;
for q=1:500
for w=1:300
if isnan(B(q,w));
B(q,w)=0;
end
end
end
count=sum(Masker==1);
totalcount=sum(count);
C=max(B,[],1);
C=max(C,[],2);
B(:,:)=B(:,:)./C(1,1);
BECMWF=Masker(14:end,:);
BCMORPH=Masker(11:end,:);
BTAMSAT=Masker(21:end,:);
clearvars -except Masker totalcount
T=zeros(12,132);
P=zeros(12,132);
TRMM,CRU,GPCP,CMORPH,ARC 2.0, GPCP10D,CHIRPS
P(1,:)=[ones(1,12) zeros(1,120)];
P(2,:)=[0 ones(1,120) zeros(1,12)];
P(3,:)=[zeros(1,120) ones(1,12)];
P(4,:)=[0 zeros(1,120)];
P(5,:)=[zeros(1,120) ones(1,12)];
P(6,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(7,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(8,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(9,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(10,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(11,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(12,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
```

Matlab code 18:

```matlab
T=zeros(12,132);
P=zeros(12,132);
P(1,:)=[ones(1,12) zeros(1,120)];
P(2,:)=[0 ones(1,120) zeros(1,12)];
P(3,:)=[zeros(1,120) ones(1,12)];
P(4,:)=[0 zeros(1,120)];
P(5,:)=[zeros(1,120) ones(1,12)];
P(6,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(7,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(8,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(9,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(10,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(11,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
P(12,:)=[zeros(1,120)];
```
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```matlab
PTRMM=PTRMM.*B;
PaveTRMM=sum(PTRMM)/totalcount;
k=k+12;
T(1,k)=PaveTRMM;
eend
clear k m y U TRMM PaveTRMM Pgem

%% GPCP
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GPCPclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file 18
        [GPCP,F2]=geotiffread(U);
        GPCP=resize(GPCP,25,method,0);
        PaveGPCP=sum(GPCP)/totalcount;
k=k+12;
        T(2,k)=PaveGPCP;
eend
clear k m y U GPCP PaveGPCP Pgem

%% GPCP 1DD
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GPCP1DDclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file 18
        [GPCP,F3]=geotiffread(U);
        GPCP1DD=resizem(GPCP1DD,10,method,0);
        PGPCP1DD=GPCP1DD.*Masker;
        PGPCP1DD=PGPCP1DD.*B;
        PavePGPCP1DD=sum(PGPCP1DD)/totalcount;
k=k+12;
        T(3,k)=PavePGPCP1DD;
eend
clear k m y U GPCP1DD PaveGPCP1DD PGPCP1DD Pgem

%% CRU
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\CRUclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file 18
        [CRU,F4]=geotiffread(U);
        if isnan(CRU(q,w))
            CRU(q,w)=0;
eend
        CRU=resizem(CRU,5,method,0);
        PaveCRU=sum(CRU)/totalcount;
k=k+12;
        T(4,k)=PaveCRU;
eend
clear k m y U CRU PaveCRU PaveCRU Pgem

%% ECMWF
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\ECMWFclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file 18
        [ECMWF,F5]=geotiffread(U);
        ECMWF=resize(ECMWF,7.5,method,0);
        PaveECMWF=sum(ECMWF)/totalcount;
k=k+12;
        T(5,k)=PaveECMWF;
eend
clear k m y U ECMWF PaveECMWF PaveECMWF Pgem

%% ARC 2.0
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\ARCclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file 18
        [ARC,F6]=geotiffread(U);
        ARC2=zeros(500,300);
        for q=1:500
            for w=1:300
                ARC2(q,w)=(ARC(q,w)+ARC(q+1,w)+ARC(q,w+1)+ARC(q+1,w+1))/4;
eend
        ARC2=resizem(ARC2,7.5,method,0);
        PaveARC=sum(ARC2)/totalcount;
k=k+12;
        T(6,k)=PaveARC;
eend
clear k m y U ECMWF PaveECMWF PaveARC Pgem
```
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%% CHIRPS
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\(Data\\(\020\%02d\)\020\%02d\)CHIRPSclip.tif',y,m);
        CHIRPS=resizem(CHIRPS,0.5,method,0);
        for q=1:500
            for w=1:300
                if isnan(CHIRPS(q,w));
                    CHIRPS(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
        PCHIRPS=CHIRPS.*Masker;
        PCHIRPS=PCHIRPS.*B;
        PaveCHIRPS=sum(PCHIRPS);
        Pgem=sum(PaveCHIRPS)/totalcount;
        k=m+y*12;
        T(7,k)=Pgem;
    end
end
clear k m y
%% RFE 2.0
for i=1:132
    if P(6,i)==1
        y=floor(i/12.00001);
        m=y*12;
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\(Data\\(\020\%02d\)\020\%02d\)FEWSNETclip.tif',y,m);
        [RFE F8]=geotiffread(U);
        RFE2=zeros(500,300);
        for q=1:500
            for w=1:300
                RFE2(q,w)=(RFE(q,w)+RFE(q+1,w)+RFE(q,w+1)+RFE(q+1,w+1))/4;
            end
        end
        PRFE=RFE2.*Masker;
        PavePRFE=sum(PRFE);
        Pgem=sum(PavePRFE)/totalcount;
        k=m+y*12;
        T(8,k)=Pgem;
        else T(8,i)=NaN;
    end
end
clear k m y
%% PERSIANN
for i=1:132
    if P(5,i)==1
        y=floor(i/12.00001);
        m=y*12;
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\(Data\\(\020\%02d\)\020\%02d\)PERSIANNclip.tif',y,m);
        [PERSIANN F9]=geotiffread(U);
        PERSIANN=resizem(PERSIANN,2.5,method,0);
        PPERSIANN=PERSIANN.*Masker;
        PPERSIANN=PPERSIANN.*B;
        PavePPERSIANN=sum(PPERSIANN);
        Pgem=sum(PavePPERSIANN)/totalcount;
        k=m+y*12;
        T(9,k)=Pgem;
        else T(9,i)=NaN;
    end
end
clear k m y
%% CMORPH
for i=1:132
    if P(4,i)==1
        y=floor(i/12.00001);
        m=y*12;
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\(Data\\(\020\%02d\)\020\%02d\)CMORPHclip.tif',y,m);
        [CMORPH F10]=geotiffread(U);
        CMORPH=resizem(CMORPH,2.5,method,0);
        for q=1:490
            for w=1:300
                if isnan(CMORPH(q,w));
                    CMORPH(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
        PCMORPH=CMORPH.*MaskerCMORPH;
        PCMORPH=PCMORPH.*BCMORPH;
        PavePCMORPH=sum(PCMORPH);
        Pgem=sum(PavePCMORPH)/totalcount;
    end
end
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```matlab
% GSMaP
for i=1:132
if P(3,i)==1
y=floor(i/12.00001);
m=i-(y*12);
U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\GSMaPclip.tif',y,m);
[TAMSAT F12]=geotiffread(U);
TAMSAT=resizem(TAMSAT,0.375,method,0);
TAMSAT2(:,:)=TAMSAT(3:end,3:end-1);
for q=1:480
for w=1:300
if isnan(TAMSAT2(q,w));
TAMSAT2(q,w)=0;
end
end
PTAMSAT=TAMSAT2.*MaskerTAMSAT;
PTAMSAT=PTAMSAT.*B;
PaveTAMSAT=sum(PTAMSAT)/totalcount;
k=m*12;
T(12,k)=PaveTAMSAT;
else
T(12,i)=NaN;
end
end
clear TAMSAT TAMSAT2 PavemTAMSAT PaveTAMSAT PaveTAMSAT PaveTAMSAT

% GPCC
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\GPCCclip.tif',y,m);
for q=1:100
for w=1:60
if isnan(GPCC(q,w));
GPCC(q,w)=0;
end
end
GPCC=resizem(GPCC,5,method,0);
PaveGPCC=sum(GPCC)/totalcount;
k=m*12;
T(13,k)=PaveGPCC;
else
T(13,i)=NaN;
end
end
clear k m y U GPCC PavemGPCC PGPCC PaveGPCC

% TRIPLE
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
k=m*12;
U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GridBlock\%02d\TRIPLE%03d.mat',y,k);
load(U);
TRIPLE=aveTRIPLE;
for q=1:200
for w=1:120
if isnan(TRIPLE(q,w));
TRIPLE(q,w)=0;
end
end
TRIPLE=resizem(TRIPLE,2.5,method,0);
PaveTRIPLE=sum(TRIPLE)/totalcount;
k=m*12;
T(14,k)=PaveTRIPLE;
else
T(14,i)=NaN;
end
end
```

1.3.2 Difference between point measurements

This m-file is used to see the difference between the point measurements from the ground and the grid measurements from the remote sensing product. This was not used in the paper, because the differences were to big due to the sampling error.
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```matlab
Meting(47).Lat=7.2;          Meting(47).Lon=35.42;                Meting(47).Name='Teppi';
Meting(48).Lat=7.73;          Meting(48).Lon=35.48;                Meting(48).Name='Masha';
Meting(49).Lat=10.02;          Meting(49).Lon=34.32;                Meting(49).Name='Assisa';
Meting(50).Lat=9.35;          Meting(50).Lon=34.53;              Meting(50).Name='Begi';
Meting(51).Lat=11;          Meting(51).Lon=37.13;                Meting(51).Name='Sekela';
Meting(52).Lat=11.083;          Meting(52).Lon=37.867;                Meting(52).Name='Motta';
Meting(53).Lat=10.41;          Meting(53).Lon=37.16;                Meting(53).Name='Finote';
Meting(54).Lat=9.2;          Meting(54).Lon=39.38;              Meting(54).Name='Gebeya';
y1=Meting(v).Lat;
x1=Meting(v).Lon;
B=A(1:11,2:13);
B=B';
C=reshape(B,1,132);
T(1,:)=\[ones(1,80)  0  ones(1,51)\];
% TAMSAT
T(2,:)=\[0 0 0 0 ones(1,127) 0\];
% GSMaP
T(3,:)=\[zeros(1,36) ones(1,96)\];
% CMORPH
T(4,:)=\[0 0 ones(1,130)\];
% PERSIANN
T(5,:)=\[zeros(1,12) ones(1,120)\];
% RFE 2.0
P=[11,132];
%% TRMM 2
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\02\TRMMclip.tif',y,m);
        \[TRMM F1\]=geotiffread(U);
        TRMM=resizem(TRMM,2.5,method,0);
        Kolom=ceil((x1-20)/0.1);
        Rij=ceil((40-y1)/0.1);
        D=TRMM(Rij,Kolom);
        k=y*12+m;
        E=C(1,k);
        P(k,1)=E;
        P(k,2)=D;
    end
    end
D=0;
%% GPCP 3
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\02\GPCPclip.tif',y,m);
        \[GPCP F2\]=geotiffread(U);
        GPCP=resizem(GPCP,25,method,0);
        Kolom=ceil((x1-20)/0.1);
        Rij=ceil((40-y1)/0.1);
        D=GPCP(Rij,Kolom);
        k=y*12+m;
        E=C(1,k);
        P(k,3)=D;
    end
    end
%% GPCP1DD 4
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\02\GPCP1DDclip.tif',y,m);
        \[GPCP1DD F3\]=geotiffread(U);
        GPCP1DD=resizem(GPCP1DD,10,method,0);
        Kolom=ceil((x1-20)/0.1);
        Rij=ceil((40-y1)/0.1);
        D=GPCP1DD(Rij,Kolom);
        k=y*12+m;
        E=C(1,k);
        P(k,4)=D;
    end
    end
%% CRU 5
for m=1:12
    U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\02\CRUclip.tif',y,m);
    \[CRU F4\]=geotiffread(U);
    for q=1:100
        for w=1:60
            if isnan(CRU(q,w));
                CRU(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
    end
    CRU=resizem(CRU,5,method,0);
    Kolom=ceil(x-20)/0.1;
    Rij=ceil(y1-0)/0.1;
    D=CRU(Rij,Kolom);
    k=y*12+m;
    E=C(1,k);
    P(k,5)=D;
end
end
%% ECMWF 6
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\02\ECMWFclip.tif',y,m);
        \[ECMWF F5\]=geotiffread(U);
        ECMWF2=zeros(65,40);
        for q=1:65
            for w=1:40
                ECMWF2(q,w)=(ECMWF(q,w)+ECMWF(q+1,w)+ECMWF(q,w+1)+ECMWF(q+1,w+1))/4;
            end
        end
    end
end
```
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ECMWF3=zeros(200,121);
ECMWF3(1:4,1:121)=NaN;
ECMWF3(1:200,1)=NaN;
ECMWF3(200,1:121)=NaN;
ECMWF3(5:199,2:121)=resizem(ECMWF2,3,method,0);

ECMWF4=ECMWF3(1:200,1:120);

Kolom=ceil((x1-20)/0.1);
Rij=ceil((40-y1)/0.1);
D=ECMWF4(Rij,Kolom);

k=y*12+m;
E=C(1,k);
P(k,6)=D;

%% ARC 2.0
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\ARCclip.tif',y,m);
        ARC2=zeros(500,300);
        for q=1:500
            for w=1:300
                ARC2(q,w)=(ARC(q,w)+ARC(q+1,w)+ARC(q,w+1)+ARC(q+1,w+1))/4;
            end
        end
        Kolom=ceil((x1-20)/0.1);
        Rij=ceil((40-y1)/0.1);
        D=ARC2(Rij,Kolom);
        k=y*12+m;
        E=C(1,k);
P(k,7)=D;

%% CHIRPS 8
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\CHIRPSclip.tif',y,m);
        CHIRPS=resizem(CHIRPS,0.5,method,0);
        D=CHIRPS(Rij,Kolom);
        k=y*12+m;
        E=C(1,k);
P(k,8)=D;

%% RFE 9
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        if T(5,t)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\FEWSNETclip.tif',y,m);
            RFE2=zeros(500,300);
            for q=1:500
                for w=1:300
                    RFE2(q,w)=(RFE(q,w)+RFE(q+1,w)+RFE(q,w+1)+RFE(q+1,w+1))/4;
                end
            end
            Kolom=ceil((x1-20)/0.1);
            Rij=ceil((40-y1)/0.1);
            D=RFE2(Rij,Kolom);
            k=y*12+m;
            E=C(1,k);
P(k,9)=D;
        else
            P(k,9)=NaN;
        end
    end
end

%% PERSIANN 10
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        if T(4,t)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\PERSIANNclip.tif',y,m);
            PERSIANN=resizem(PERSIANN,2.5,method,0);
            D=PERSIANN(Rij,Kolom);
            k=y*12+m;
            E=C(1,k);
P(k,10)=D;
        else
            P(k,10)=NaN;
        end
    end
end

%% CMORPH 11
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
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```matlab
% Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper

k=y*12+m;
if T(3,t)==1;
    U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\(Data\%02d\%02d\)CMORPHclip.tif\',y,m);
    % result m-file
    CMORPH(k,q)=C;
else
    P(k,11)=NaN;
end
Kolom=ceil((x1-20)/0.1);
Rij=ceil((y1-39)/0.1);
D=CMORPH(Rij,Kolom);
k=y*12+m;
E=C(1,k);
P(k,11)=D;
else
    P(k,11)=NaN;
end
end
end
%% GSMaP 12
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        k=y*12+m;
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\(Data\%02d\%02d\)GSMaPclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file
        [GSMaP F11]=geotiffread(U);
        Kolom=ceil((x1-20)/0.1);
        Rij=ceil((40-y1)/0.1);
        D=GSMaP(Rij,Kolom);
        k=y*12+m;
        E=C(1,k);
P(k,12)=D;
        else
    P(k,12)=NaN;
end
end
end
%% TAMSAT
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        if T(1,t)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\(Data\%02d\%02d\)TAMSATclip.tif',y,m);
            % result m-file
            [TAMSAT F12]=geotiffread(U);
            TAMSAT=resizem(TAMSAT,3,method,0);
            TAMSAT2=zeros(4000,2400);
            TAMSAT2(1:430,1:2400)=NaN;
            TAMSAT2(156:end,:)=TAMSAT(1:end-16,17:end-11);
            aantal=0.1/0.0125;
            A=size(B);
            for i=1:A(1);
                for j=1:A(2);
                    TAMSAT2(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(TAMSAT2((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
                end
            end
            Kolom=ceil((x1-20)/0.1);
            Rij=ceil((40-y1)/0.1);
            D=TAMSAT2(Rij,Kolom);
k=y*12+m;
E=C(1,k);
P(k,13)=D;
else
    P(k,13)=NaN;
end
end
end
%% opslaan P
opslaan=sprintf('Vergelijkmetgrond\(nearest1\%s\)',naam);
save(opslaan,'
P');
end
```
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```matlab
set(gca, 'Title', 'TRMM', 'GCP', 'GCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT', 'FontSize', 7);
set(gca, 'Title', 'TRMM', 'GCP', 'GCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT', 'FontSize', 7);
set(gca, 'Title', 'TRMM', 'GCP', 'GCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT', 'FontSize', 7);

figure(2);
for i=1:11
    data=P(2+(12*(i-1)),:);
    bar(data,1);
    h=subplot_tight(3,4,i,0.02);
    set(gca, 'Title', 'TRMM', 'GCP', 'GCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT', 'FontSize', 7);
    title(titel);
end

figure(3);
for i=1:11
    data=P(3+(12*(i-1)),:);
    bar(data,1);
    h=subplot_tight(3,4,i,0.02);
    set(gca, 'Title', 'TRMM', 'GCP', 'GCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT', 'FontSize', 7);
    title(titel);
end

figure(4);
for i=1:11
    data=P(4+(12*(i-1)),:);
    bar(data,1);
    h=subplot_tight(3,4,i,0.02);
    set(gca, 'Title', 'TRMM', 'GCP', 'GCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT', 'FontSize', 7);
    title(titel);
end

figure(5);
for i=1:11
    data=P(5+(12*(i-1)),:);
    bar(data,1);
    h=subplot_tight(3,4,i,0.02);
    set(gca, 'Title', 'TRMM', 'GCP', 'GCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT', 'FontSize', 7);
    title(titel);
end

figure(6);
for i=1:11
    data=P(6+(12*(i-1)),:);
    bar(data,1);
    h=subplot_tight(3,4,i,0.02);
    set(gca, 'Title', 'TRMM', 'GCP', 'GCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT', 'FontSize', 7);
    title(titel);
end

figure(7);
for i=1:11
    data=P(7+(12*(i-1)),:);
    bar(data,1);
    h=subplot_tight(3,4,i,0.02);
    set(gca, 'Title', 'TRMM', 'GCP', 'GCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT', 'FontSize', 7);
    title(titel);
end

figure(8);
```
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for i=1:11
    data=[12*11-11];
    h=subplot(111);
    bar(data,1);
    set(gca, 'TickLabel', {'TRMM', 'GPCP', 'GPCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT'}, 'FontSize', 7);
    title(sprintf('mm rain in %s December', i));
    saveas(h, 'FileName', title); % Save the figure
end

figure(12);
for i=1:11
    data=[12*11-11];
    h=subplot(111);
    bar(data,1);
    set(gca, 'TickLabel', {'TRMM', 'GPCP', 'GPCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT'}, 'FontSize', 7);
    title(sprintf('mm rain in %s October', i));
    saveas(h, 'FileName', title); % Save the figure
end

figure(13);
for i=1:11
    data=[12*11-11];
    h=subplot(111);
    bar(data,1);
    set(gca, 'TickLabel', {'TRMM', 'GPCP', 'GPCP1DD', 'CRU', 'ECMWF', 'ARC 2.0', 'CHIRPS', 'WFE 2.0', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMSAT'}, 'FontSize', 7);
    title(sprintf('mm rain in %s August', i));
    saveas(h, 'FileName', title); % Save the figure
end
```

end
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The results were this kind of figures for each point measurement and each month:

**mm rain in September**

1.3.3 Boxplot graphs

Next m-files are to make graphs with the variations and the boxplot graphs.
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```matlab
h=plot(x,T(r,x),'d');
hold on
r=9;
h=plot(x,T(r,x),'rp');
hold on
r=10;
h=plot(x,T(r,x),'mh');
hold on
r=11;
h=plot(x,T(r,x),'c>');
hold on
r=12;
h=plot(x,T(r,x),'k<');
hold on
legend('TRMM', 'GPCP', 'GPCP1DD', 'CRU', 'CHIRPS', 'RFE', 'PERSIANN', 'CMORPH', 'GSMaP', 'TAMsat', 'Location', 'EastOutside');
titel=sprintf('20%02d %s',y,tekst(t,:));
title(titel);
set(gca,'XTickLabel',...[ 'jan', 'feb', 'mar', 'apr', 'may', 'jun', 'jul', 'aug', 'sep', 'okt', 'nov', 'dec']);
saveas(h,K,'png');
h=0;
close all
end

Result for one basin and one year:

![Graph showing precipitation data for 2002 blue Nile basin](image)

```
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```matlab
% Matlab m-file: m-file 25
clear all
close all
dlc

Nilebasin = shaperead('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\Plaatjes\\Boxplot\\%s.eps',titel);
saveas(h,K,'eps');

Result of one basin and one year:

### 2000 Nilebasin

![Boxplot of Nilebasin 2000](image)

1.3.4 Total Yearly Rainfall

```matlab
m-file 25
clear all
close all
dlc

Nilebasin = shaperead('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\Layers\\sub\\Nilebasin',...\'UseGeoCoords',true);

inLat=Nilebasin.Lat;
inLon=Nilebasin.Lon;
B=colormap;
B=flipud(B);

%% TRMM
for y=0:10
A=zeros(200,120);
for m=1:12
U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d%02d\TRMMclip.tif',y,m);
[TRMM F1]=geotiffread(U);
A=TRMM+A;
end
geoshow(A,F1,...\'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
hold on;
M=max(A);%
T=sprintf('Yearly rain TRMM 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
title(T);
colorbar;

%set(gca,
%h=geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,...\'DisplayType','line',...\'Color','k');
caxis(0);maxA(3);%
K=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\Plaatjes\\TRMMtotaal20%02d.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end

%% CMORPH
for y=3:10
A=zeros(196,120);
```
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for m=1:12
    U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\%CMORPHclip.tif',y,m); % result m-file 18
    A=CMORPH+A;
end
geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
hold on;
M=max(A(:));
T=sprintf('Yearly rain CMORPH 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
title(T);
axis([20 50 -10 39]);
colorbar;

for m=1:12
    U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\%02d\%02d\%CMORPHclip.tif',y,m); % result m-file 18
    [CMORPH F1]=geotiffread(U);
    A=CMORPH+A;
end
geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
hold on;
M=max(A(:));
T=sprintf('Yearly rain CMORPH 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
title(T);
axis([20 50 -10 39]);
colorbar;

for m=1:12
    U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\%02d\%02d\%CMORPHclip.tif',y,m); % result m-file 18
    [CMORPH F1]=geotiffread(U);
    A=CMORPH+A;
end
geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
hold on;
M=max(A(:));
T=sprintf('Yearly rain CMORPH 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
title(T);
axis([20 50 -10 39]);
colorbar;

%% RFE
for y=1:10
    A=zeros(501,301);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\%RFEclip.tif',y,m); % result m-file 18
        [RFE F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:501
            for w=1:301
                if (RFE(q,w))<0;
                    RFE(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
        A=RFE+A;
    end
    geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
    hold on;
    M=max(A(:));
    T=sprintf('Yearly rain RFE 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
    title(T);
colorbar;
    axis([20 50 -10 40]);
h=geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
caxis([0 max(A(:))]);
K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%RFEclip.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end

%% ARC
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(501,301);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\%ARCclip.tif',y,m); % result m-file 18
        [ARC F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:501
            for w=1:301
                if (ARC(q,w))<0;
                    ARC(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
        A=ARC+A;
    end
    geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
    hold on;
    M=max(A(:));
    T=sprintf('Yearly rain ARC 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
    title(T);
    axis([20 50 -10 40]);
h=geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
caxis([0 max(A(:))]);
K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%ARCclip.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end

%% GPCP
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(501,301);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\%GPCPclip.tif',y,m); % result m-file 18
        [GPCP F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:501
            for w=1:301
                if (GPCP(q,w))<0;
                    GPCP(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
        A=GPCP+A;
    end
    geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
    hold on;
    M=max(A(:));
    T=sprintf('Yearly rain GPCP 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
    title(T);
    axis([20 50 -10 40]);
h=geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
caxis([0 max(A(:))]);
K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%GPCPclip.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end
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% GPCP
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(20,12);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GPCPclip.tif',y,m);
        [GPCP F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:20
            for w=1:12
                if (GPCP(q,w))<0;
                    GPCP(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
    end
    geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType',Texturemap);  
    colormap(B);
    hold on;
    M=max(A(:,1));
    T=sprintf('Yearly rain GPCP 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
    title(T);
    colorbar;
    axis([20 50 10 40]);
    h=geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
    caxis([0 max(A(:))]);
    K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\GPCPtotaal20%02d.tif',y);
    saveas(h,K,'png');
end

% CHIRPS
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(1000,600);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\CHIRPSclip.tif',y,m);
        [CHIRPS F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:1000
            for w=1:600
                if (CHIRPS(q,w))<0;
                    CHIRPS(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
        CHIRPS=CHIRPS+A;
    end
    geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType',Texturemap);  
    colormap(B);
    hold on;
    M=max(A(:,1));
    T=sprintf('Yearly rain CHIRPS 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
    title(T);
    colorbar;
    axis([20 50 10 40]);
    h=geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
    caxis([0 max(A(:))]);
    K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\CHIRPS totaal20%02d.tif',y);
    saveas(h,K,'png');
end
```

% GPCP
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(50,30);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GPCP1DDclip.tif',y,m);
        [GPCP F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:50
            for w=1:30
                if (GPCP(q,w))<0;
                    GPCP(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
    end
    geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType',Texturemap);
    colormap(B);
    hold on;
    M=max(A(:));
    T=sprintf('Yearly rain GPCP 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
    title(T);
    colorbar;
    axis([20 50 10 40]);
    h=geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
    caxis([0 max(A(:))]);
    K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\GPCP1DDtotaal20%02d.tif',y);
    saveas(h,K,'png');
end
```

% CHIRPS
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(1000,600);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\CHIRPSclip.tif',y,m);
        [CHIRPS F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:1000
            for w=1:600
                if isnan(CHIRPS(q,w));
                    CHIRPS(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
    end
    CHIRPS=CHIRPS+A;
end
```

```matlab
% GPCP1dd
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(50,30);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GPCP1DDclip.tif',y,m);
        [GPCP F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:50
            for w=1:30
                if (GPCP(q,w))<0;
                    GPCP(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
    end
    geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType',Texturemap);
    colormap(B);
    hold on;
    M=max(A(:));
    T=sprintf('Yearly rain GPCP1DD 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
    title(T);
    colorbar;
    axis([20 50 10 40]);
    h=geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
    caxis([0 max(A(:))]);
    K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\GPCP1DDtotaal20%02d.tif'.y);
    saveas(h,K,'png');
end
```

% CHIRPS
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(1000,600);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\CHIRPSclip.tif',y,m);
        [CHIRPS F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:1000
            for w=1:600
                if isnan(CHIRPS(q,w));
                    CHIRPS(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
    end
    CHIRPS=CHIRPS+A;
end
```

```matlab
% GPCP
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(20,12);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GPCPclip.tif',y,m);
        [GPCP F1]=geotiffread(U);
```

% CHIRPS
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(1000,600);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\CHIRPSclip.tif',y,m);
        [CHIRPS F1]=geotiffread(U);
```

% GPCP1dd
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(50,30);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GPCP1DDclip.tif',y,m);
        [GPCP F1]=geotiffread(U);
```
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```matlab
for q=1:20
    for w=1:12
        if (GPCP(q,w)<0);
            GPCP(q,w)=0;
        end
        end
    A=GPCP+A;
end
gеoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
hold on;
M=max(A(:,:,1));
T=sprintf('Yearly rain GPCP 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
text(T);
colorbar;
axis([20 50 -10 40]);
геосhow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
caxis(10 max(A(:)));
K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\Plaatjes\GPCPtotaal20%02d.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end

%% CRU
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(100,60);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\\%02d\%02d\CRUclip.tif',y,m);
        [CRU F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:100
            for w=1:60
                if (CRU(q,w)<0);
                    CRU(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
        A=CRU+A;
    end
gеосhow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
hold on;
M=max(A(:));
T=sprintf('Yearly rain CRU 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
text(T);
colorbar;
axis([20 50 -10 40]);
hеосhow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
caxis(10 max(A(:)));
K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\\%02d\%02d\CRUtotaal20%02d.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end

%% ECMWF
for y=0:10
    A=zeros(66,41);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\\%02d\%02d\ECMWFclip.tif',y,m);
        [ECMWF F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:66
            for w=1:41
                if (ECMWF(q,w)<0);
                    ECMWF(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
        A=ECMWF+A;
    end
gеосhow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(B);
hold on;
M=max(A(:,:,1));
T=sprintf('Yearly rain ECMWF 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
text(T);
colorbar;
axis([20 50 -10 40]);
hеосhow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
caxis(10 max(A(:)));
K=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\\%02d\%02d\ECMWTotaal20%02d.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end

%% TAMSAT
for y=0:5
    A=zeros(1287,809);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\\%02d\%02d\TAMSATclip.tif',y,m);
        [TAMSAT F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:1287
            for w=1:809
                if (TAMSAT(q,w)<0);
                    TAMSAT(q,w)=0;
                end
            end
        end
        A=TAMSAT+A;
```
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```matlab
for w=1:809
    if (TAMSAT(q,w)<0; TAMSAT(q,w)=0;
end
end
A=TAMSAT+A;
end
ggeoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(b); hold on;
M=max(A); T=
 sprintf('Yearly rain TAMSAT 20%02d Maximum = %4.0f mm',y,M);
title(T);
colorbar;
axis([20 50 -10 38]);
h=geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k');
caxis([0 max(A)];
K=sprintf('%C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\Plaatjes\TAMSATtotaal20%02d.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end
for y=7:10
    A=zeros(1287,809);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('%C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\TAMSATclip.tif',y,m);
        [TAMSAT F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:1287
            for w=1:809
                if (TAMSAT(q,w))<0; TAMSAT(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
        A=TAMSAT+A;
    end
    geoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(b);
    hold on;
    M=max(A); T=sprintf('%C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\Plaatjes\TAMSATtotaal20%02d.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end
end

%% GSMaP
for y=2:9
    A=zeros(500,300);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('%C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\GSMaPclip.tif',y,m);
        [GSMaP F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:500
            for w=1:300
                if (GSMaP(q,w))<0; GSMaP(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
        A=GSMaP+A;
    end
    ggeoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(b);
    hold on;
    M=max(A); T=sprintf('%C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\Plaatjes\GSMaPtotaal20%02d.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end

%% PERSIANN
for y=1:10
    A=zeros(200,120);
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('%C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\%02d\%02d\PERSIANNclip.tif',y,m);
        [PERSIANN F1]=geotiffread(U);
        for q=1:200
            for w=1:120
                if (PERSIANN(q,w))<0; PERSIANN(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
        A=PERSIANN+A;
    end
    ggeoshow(A,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
colormap(b);
    hold on;
    M=max(A); T=sprintf('%C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\Plaatjes\PERSIANNtotaal20%02d.tif',y);
saveas(h,K,'png');
end
```
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Results are annual rainfall maps of all the products for every year. And also the maximum measured rainfall is shown.

![Yearly rain TRMM 2003 Maximum = 3256 mm](image-url)
Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper

2. Ground Measurements

The ground measurements have each an excel file which has the same structure. Those excel file are collected by matlab and all those measurements are also saved in a .mat file called metingenmat.mat. Sometimes, the .mat file is opened by matlab, this is done when enough memory is available. This makes the m-file quicker. But when not enough memory is available, matlab opens the .xls files one by one. The metingenmat.mat is for the monthly rainfall values and the metingenmatjaar.mat is for the yearly rainfall values. They are made as follows:

Monthly:

m-file 26

clear all;
close all;
cic;

% eerst maak een map met alle metingen.


% downloaded and organized ground measurements
A=xlsread(open);
B=A(1:11,2:13);
C=reshape(B,1,132);
P1(:,v)=C(:,
C=C’;
C=0;
end

m-file 27

clear all;
close all;
cic;

% eerst maak een map met alle metingen.


% downloaded and organized ground measurements
A=xlsread(open);
B=A(1:11,2:13);
C=reshape(B,1,132);
P1(:,v)=C(:,
C=C’;
C=0;
end

Yearly:

m-file 28

clear all;
close all;
cic;

% eerst maak een map met alle metingen.


% downloaded and organized ground measurements
A=xlsread(open);
B=A(1:11,2:13);
C=reshape(B,1,132);
P1(:,v)=C(:,
C=C’;
C=0;
end

ground=0;
save(‘Metingenmat’,’ground’);

Here a map is made which shows the location of the ground measurements:
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dc:

% map limits
latlim = [35; 10];
lonlim = [20; 45];

% plot empty map
assume('evalcutor_grf',on);'Mapatlimit',latlim,'Mapatlimit',lonlim);
tightmap; framem on; plabel on;
set(gca,'Color',[0.8 0.8 0.8]);
setlgc('piclabellocation',10,'Plabellocation',10,'Munelocation',10,'Mbelowlocation',10);

% load shapefiles

% point ground measurements
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### Meting Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>31.55</td>
<td>Sennar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>31.05</td>
<td>Rashad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.167</td>
<td>30.233</td>
<td>Obeid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>24.483</td>
<td>Yeali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>28.433</td>
<td>Yabouday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>33.48</td>
<td>Madim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>32.67</td>
<td>Xouli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>32.549</td>
<td>Khar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.467</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>Kasula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>Kadugul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>33.97</td>
<td>Atbara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.033</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>Gadera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.617</td>
<td>25.333</td>
<td>Fashur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>32.33</td>
<td>Duam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>34.38</td>
<td>Dadanmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.333</td>
<td>27.817</td>
<td>Babansou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>12.550</td>
<td>37.417</td>
<td>Gondor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.966</td>
<td>32.783</td>
<td>Axawat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>31.04</td>
<td>Cairo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.67</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>Luxor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>Zama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>27.18</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>Asyoutd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.08</td>
<td>30.73</td>
<td>Elimnya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>11.117</td>
<td>36.417</td>
<td>Dangil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.883</td>
<td>38.033</td>
<td>Debtasbort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>11.117</td>
<td>37.867</td>
<td>Adiszeemen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>12.533</td>
<td>37.050</td>
<td>Altayor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>11.267</td>
<td>37.467</td>
<td>Adey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>12.250</td>
<td>37.300</td>
<td>Giegora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.000</td>
<td>37.045</td>
<td>Engnaba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>12.183</td>
<td>37.683</td>
<td>Enfradz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>11.917</td>
<td>37.683</td>
<td>Woreda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>11.488</td>
<td>37.317</td>
<td>Zegf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>12.367</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>Makugnaf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>11.000</td>
<td>36.800</td>
<td>Yemayla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>11.550</td>
<td>37.380</td>
<td>Kimbadi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>11.367</td>
<td>37.050</td>
<td>Weitadabu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>10.950</td>
<td>37.070</td>
<td>Gondor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>11.383</td>
<td>36.870</td>
<td>AbaySheko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.892</td>
<td>36.856</td>
<td>Iranu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>28.01</td>
<td>Wau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>31.39</td>
<td>Makalla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>Juba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>31.13</td>
<td>Yemewat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>36.57</td>
<td>Shind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>37.45</td>
<td>Debremans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>35.42</td>
<td>Teppi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>35.48</td>
<td>Masia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>34.32</td>
<td>Assosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>34.53</td>
<td>Sajo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>37.31</td>
<td>Sakele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>11.083</td>
<td>37.867</td>
<td>Mutheya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>37.16</td>
<td>Finiesta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>39.38</td>
<td>Gbeya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>Klala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>35.224</td>
<td>Elikret</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>34.75</td>
<td>Klamu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mething(58) Lat=1.333;</th>
<th>Mething(58) Lon=31.817;</th>
<th>Mething(58) Name='Bukoba';</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mething(59) Lat=1.5;</td>
<td>Mething(59) Lon=33.8;</td>
<td>Mething(59) Name='Musoma';</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mething(60) Lat=2.467;</td>
<td>Mething(60) Lon=12.917;</td>
<td>Mething(60) Name='Mwanza';</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mething(61) Lat=4.88;</td>
<td>Mething(61) Lon=29.63;</td>
<td>Mething(61) Name='Kigoma';</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mething(62) Lat=1.96;</td>
<td>Mething(62) Lon=30.13;</td>
<td>Mething(62) Name='Kigali';</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

%% put on or off the shapefiles
Eritrea.plot = 1;
Sudan.plot = 1;
Southsudan.plot = 1;
Chad.plot = 1;
Centralafrica.plot = 1;
Democraticcongo.plot = 1;
Rwanda.plot = 1;
Tanzania.plot = 1;
Libya.plot = 1;
Israel.plot = 1;
Ethiopia.plot = 1;
Egypt.plot = 1;
Jordan.plot = 1;
Saudi.plot = 1;
Yemen.plot = 1;
Nilebasin.plot = 1;

if (Eritrea.plot == 1);
geoshow(Eritrea.Lat,Eritrea.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Sudan.plot == 1);
geoshow(Sudan.Lat,Sudan.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Southsudan.plot == 1);
geoshow(Southsudan.Lat,Southsudan.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Chad.plot == 1);
geoshow(Chad.Lat,Chad.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Centralafrica.plot == 1);
geoshow(Centralafrica.Lat,Centralafrica.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Democraticcongo.plot == 1);
geoshow(Democraticcongo.Lat,Democraticcongo.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Kenya.plot == 1);
geoshow(Kenia.Lat,Kenia.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Burundi.plot == 1);
geoshow(Burundi.Lat,Burundi.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Uganda.plot == 1);
geoshow(Uganda.Lat,Uganda.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Tanzania.plot == 1);
geoshow(Tanzania.Lat,Tanzania.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Libya.plot == 1);
geoshow(Libya.Lat,Libya.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Israel.plot == 1);
geoshow(Israel.Lat,Israel.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Ethiopia.plot == 1);
geoshow(Ethiopia.Lat,Ethiopia.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Egypt.plot == 1);
geoshow(Egypt.Lat,Egypt.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Jordan.plot == 1);
geoshow(Jordan.Lat,Jordan.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Saudi.plot == 1);
geoshow(Saudi.Lat,Saudi.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Yemen.plot == 1);
geoshow(Yemen.Lat,Yemen.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
end

if (Nilebasin.plot == 1);
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```matlab
geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','r')
end
geoshow(Rivers,'DisplayType','line','Color','b')
meting=geoshow(Meting,'Marker','o','MarkerFaceColor','c','MarkerEdgeColor','k','MarkerSize',4);
legend(meting,'Ground Measurement','Location','EastOutside');
title('Nile Basin and location Ground Measurements');
```

Result:

3. Triple Collocation product

First the correlation is checked between the products, because the triple collocation is only valuable if the correlation between the products is high enough. So first the correlation is calculated.

```matlab
P=zeros(6,132);
P(1,:)=ones(1,132); % TRMM,CRU,GPCP,ARC 2.0, GPCP1DD,CHIRPS
P(2,:)= [ones(1,80) 0 ones(1,51)]; % TAMSAT
P(3,:)= [0 0 0 0 ones(1,127) 0]; % GOSAT
P(4,:)= [zeros(1,36) ones(1,96)]; % CMORPH
P(5,:)= [0 0 ones(1,130)]; % PERSIANN
P(6,:)= [zeros(1,12) ones(1,120)]; % RFE 2.0
Fgoed=georasterref('RasterSize', [500,300], 'Latlim', [-10 40], 'Lonlim', [20 50], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'North');
method=sprintf('nearest'); %bicubic nearest or bilinear
%% open matrix
for y=1:10;
    for m=1:12;
        n=m+y*12;
        if P(2,n)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\TRMM\%02d\ECMWFclip.tif',y,m);
        end
        ECMWF2=zeros(65,40);
        for q=1:65
            for w=1:40
                ECMWF2(q,w)=(ECMWF(q,w)+ECMWF(q+1,w)+ECMWF(q,w+1)+ECMWF(q+1,w+1))/4;
            end
        end
        ECMWF3=zeros(200,121);
        ECMWF3(1:4,1:121)=NaN;
        ECMWF3(1:200,1)=NaN;
        ECMWF3(1:200,1:121)=resizem(ECMWF2,3,'nearest','nearest');
        ECMWF4=ECMWF3(1:200,1:120);
```
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```
ECMWF=resize(ECMWF4,1/3,method,0);  
ECMWFcor(:,:,n)=ECMWF;  
U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\ECMWFclip.tif',y,m); % result m-file 18  
[ECMWF F4]=geotiffread(U);  
ECMWFcor(:,:,n)=ECMWF;  
CRUcor(:,:,n)=CRU;  
U=sprintf('20\%02d\%02d\CHIRPSclip.tif',j,m); % result m-file 18  
[CHIRPS F4]=geotiffread(U);  
CHIRPSgoed(:,:,n)=resizem(CHIRPS,1/3,'nearest',0);  
CHIRPScor(:,:,n)=CHIRPSgoed(:,:,n);  
%for TRMM instead of CHIRPS  
U=sprintf('20\%02d\%02d\TRMMclip.tif',j,m); % result m-file 18  
[TRMM F4]=geotiffread(U);  
TRMMgoed(:,:,n)=resizem(TRMM,1/3,'nearest',0);  
CHIRPScor(:,:,n)=TRMMgoed(:,:,n);  
%for TAMSAT instead of CHIRPS  
t=y*12+m;  
k=y*12+m;  
U=sprintf('20\%02d\%02d\TAMSATclip.tif',y,m); % result m-file 18  
[TAMSAT F12]=geotiffread(U);  
TAMSAT=resizem(TAMSAT,3,'nearest',0);  
TAMSAT2=zeros(4000,2400);  
TAMSAT2(1:430,1:2400)=NaN;  
TAMSAT2(156:1:end,1:16:17:end-11);  
gridDensity=0.75;  
B=zeros(66,40);  
aantal=gridDensity/0.0125;  
%A=k+(B);  
%for t=1:k(1);  
%    for o=1:k(2);  
%        aveTAMSAT(i,o)=nanmean(nanmean(TAMSAT2((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(o-1)*aantal+1:o*aantal)));  
%    end  
%end  
%TAMSATgoed(:,:,n)=aveTAMSAT;  
%CHIRPScor(:,:,n)=TAMSATgoed(:,:,n);  
end  
end  
end  
for r=1:66;  
    h=waitbar(0,'Please Wait....');  
    steps=500;  
    waitbar(r/steps)  
    for k=1:40;  
        for i=1:n;  
            ECMWFvec(i)=ECMWFcor(r,k,i);  
            CHIRPSvec(i)=CHIRPScor(r,k,i);  
            CRUvec(i)=CRUcor(r,k,i);  
        end  
        ECMWFvec=ECMWFvec';  
        CRUvec=CRUvec';  
        CHIRPSvec=CHIRPSvec';  
        M=corrcoef([ECMWFvec CHIRPSvec]);  
        N=corrcoef([CHIRPSvec CRUvec]);  
        B=corrcoef([CRUvec ECMWFvec]);  
        ECMWFCHIRPS(r,k)=M(2,1);  
        CHIRPSCRU(r,k)=N(2,1);  
        CRUECMWF(r,k)=B(2,1);  
        ECMWFvec=0;  
        CHIRPSvec=0;  
        CRUvec=0;  
    end  
    close(h)  
end  
save('ECMWFCHIRPS075.mat','ECMWFCHIRPS');  
save('CHIRPSCRU075.mat','CHIRPSCRU');  
save('CRUECMWF075.mat','CRUECMWF');  
```

```text
%% figure  
Nilebasin=shaperead('Nilebasin','UseGeoCoords',true);  
nLat=Nilebasin.Lat;  
nLon=Nilebasin.Lon;  
gridDensity=1.333333333333333;  
[gridRefVec]=vec2mtx(nLat,nLon,gridDensity);  
Marker=zeros(66,40);  
Mask = imfill(gridRefVec,'holes',1);  
a1=ROI(Nilebasin.BoundingBox,BoundingBox,1.2*10/1.333333333333333-0.2);  
a2=ROI(Nilebasin.BoundingBox,BoundingBox,1.333333333333333*0.2);  
> subplot_tight(1,3,1,0.05)  
geoshow(ECMWFCHIRPS,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap')  
geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k','LineWidth',3);  
axis([22 45 -8 35]);  
caxis([22 45 -8 35]);  
title('ECMWF and CHIRPS','FontSize',12,'FontWeight','Bold');  
> subplot_tight(1,3,2,0.05)  
geoshow(CHIRPSCRU,F1,'DisplayType','Texturemap')  
geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k','LineWidth',3);  
axis([22 45 -8 35]);  
caxis([22 45 -8 35]);  
title('CRU and ECMWF','FontSize',12,'FontWeight','Bold');  
> subplot_tight(1,3,3,0.05)  
```
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The results are the following maps in which high correlations are shown. So the triple collocation can be done.

Now the errors are calculated by using the triple collocation method. This is done for the whole year, the dry period and the wet period.
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```matlab
% CMORPH
P(4,:)=[zeros(1,36) ones(1,96)];
% PERSIANN
P(5,:)=[0 0 ones(1,130)];
% RFE 2.0
P(6,:)=[zeros(1,12) ones(1,120)];

Fgoed=georasterref('RasterSize', [66,40], 'Latlim', [-10 40], 'Lonlim', [20 50], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'North');

method=sprintf('nearest');
%Xbicubic nearest or bilinear

X=[];
Y=[];
F=zeros(66,40);
Z=[];
D=zeros(66,40);

h=waitbar(0, 'please wait... ');
for m=1:12;
    m=m*12;
    waitbar(m/132,h);
    if T(1,n)==1;
        U=sprintf('20%02d%02d\CRUclip.tif',j,m);
        [CRU F4]=geotiffread(U);
        CRUgoed(:,:,n)=resizem(CRU,2/3,method,0);
        U=sprintf('20%02d%02d\ECMWFclip.tif',j,m);
        [ECMWF F1]=geotiffread(U);
        ECMWFgoed(:,:,n)=resizem(ECMWF,1/3,method,0);
        U=sprintf('20%02d%02d\CHIRPSclip.tif',j,m);
        [CHIRPS F7]=geotiffread(U);
        CHIRPSgoed(:,:,n)=resizem(CHIRPS,(0.2)/3,method,0);
        if T(1,n)==1;
            U=sprintf('20%02d%02d\TRMMclip.tif',j,m);
            [TRMM F7]=geotiffread(U);
            TRMMgoed(:,:,n)=resizem(TRMM,1/3,method,0);
    end
end

Q=zeros(66,40,6);
W=waitbar(0, 'please wait... ');
for w=1:40
    ECMWF2=q+1,w+1)=ECMWF(q+w+1);
end

% For TRMM instead of CHIRPS
%U=sprintf('20%02d%02d\TRMMclip.tif',j,m);
%[TRMM F7]=geotiffread(U);
%TRMMgoed(:,:,n)=resizem(TRMM,1/3,method,0);

% For TAMSAT instead of CHIRPS
%U=sprintf('20%02d%02d\TAMSATclip.tif',j,m);
%[TAMSAT F7]=geotiffread(U);
%TAMSATgoed(:,:,n)=resizem(TAMSAT,1/3,method,0);

end

%% open all maps and resize
Q=zeros(66,40,6);
W=waitbar(0, 'please wait... ');
for w=1:40;
    waitbar(w/66,h);
    for m=1:12;
        m=m*12;
        for r=1:40;
            TAMSATgoed(:,:,n)=avaTAMSAT;
            end
        end
    end

end
```
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```matlab
i=1;
Xt=X';
Yt=Y';
Zt=Z';
byt=1;
bzt=1;
for i=1:1000;
Xt=Xt./bx;
Yt=Yt./by;
Zt=Zt./bz;
ext=(nanmean((Xt-Yt).*(Xt-Zt)));
eyt=(nanmean((Yt-Xt).*(Yt-Zt)));
ezt=(nanmean((Zt-Xt).*(Zt-Yt)));
gammay=(ext)/(eyt);
Ay=gammay*nanmean(Xt.*Yt);
By=nanmean(Xt.^2)-gammay*nanmean(Yt.^2);
Cy=-nanmean(Xt.*Yt);
by=(-By+sqrt(By^2-4*(Ay*Cy)))/(2*Ay);
gammaz=(ext)/(ezt);
Az=gammaz*nanmean(Xt.*Zt);
Bz=nanmean(Xt.^2)-gammaz*nanmean(Zt.^2);
Cz=-nanmean(Xt.*Zt);
bt=(-Bz+sqrt(Bz^2-4*(Az*Cz)))/(2*Az);
byt=byt*by;
bzt=bzt*bz;
extot=sqrt(abs(ext))*bx;
eytot=sqrt(abs(eyt))*byt;
eztot=sqrt(abs(ezt))*bzt;
i=i+1;
end
if by>=0;
Q(y,x,1)=bx;
Q(y,x,2)=by;
Q(y,x,3)=bz;
Q(y,x,4)=abs(extot);
Q(y,x,5)=abs(eytot);
Q(y,x,6)=abs(eztot);
else
Q(y,x,1:6)=NaN;
end
X=0;
Y=0;
Z=0;
end
end
save('CHIRPSwet.mat','Q'); % also RFEwet, TAMSATwet, TRMMwet, CHIRPSdry, TRMMDry, RFEdry and TAMSATdry are created by changing period and product
% Nilebasin=shaperead('Nilebasin','UseGeoCoords',true);
```

The results of the CHIRPS, CRU and ECMWF for the whole year are shown below:

![Image of CHIRPS, CRU, and ECMWF results](image-url)

The products used in the TRIPLE product are resized with the nearest neighbor method to a 0.25 or 2.5 degrees spatial resolution with the use of the following m-file:

```
Subplot_tight(3,1,0.05); geoshow(C(:,1),F,DisplayType,'Texturemap','h=colorbar;axis([10 10 10 10])') set(gca,'String','Standard Deviation','FontSize',10); axis([22 45 -8 35]); geoshow(Nilebasin.lat,Nilebasin.lon,DisplayType,'line',Color,'k',LineWidth,3) ELM ('CHIRPS','Fontsize',12,'FontWeight','Bold');
Subplot_tight(3,1,0.05); geoshow(C(:,1),F,DisplayType,'Texturemap','h=colorbar;axis([10 10 10 10])') set(gca,'String','Standard Deviation','FontSize',10); axis([22 45 -8 35]); geoshow(Nilebasin.lat,Nilebasin.lon,DisplayType,'line',Color,'k',LineWidth,3) ELM ('CRU','Fontsize',12,'FontWeight','Bold');
Subplot_tight(3,3,0.05); geoshow(C(:,4),F,DisplayType,'Texturemap','h=colorbar;axis([10 10 10 10])') set(gca,'String','Standard Deviation','FontSize',10); axis([22 45 -8 35]); geoshow(Nilebasin.lat,Nilebasin.lon,DisplayType,'line',Color,'k',LineWidth,3) ELM ('ECMWF','Fontsize',12,'FontWeight','Bold');
```
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```matlab
clear all;
close all;
cl;
grid=0.25;
b=zeros(50,300,grid);
maat=0.25;
T(1,:)=[ones(1,80) 0 ones(1,51)]; % TAMSAT
T(2,:)=[0 0 0 0 0 0 ones(1,127)]; % GSMaP
T(3,:)=[zeros(1,160) ones(1,96)]; % CMORPH
T(4,:)=[0 0 ones(1,130)]; % PERSIANN
T(5,:)=[zeros(1,12),ones(1,120)]; % SARF 2.0

% TRMM
for y=1:10
for m=1:12
U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\y20%02d\%02d\TRMMPclip.tiff',y,m); % result m-file
aveTRMM=TRMM; % result m-file
A=size(aveTRMM);

% GPCP
for y=1:10
for m=1:12
U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\y20%02d\%02d\GPCPclip.tiff',y,m); % result m-file
aveGPCP=GPCP; % result m-file

% CRU
for y=1:10
for m=1:12
U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\y20%02d\%02d\CRUclip.tiff',y,m); % result m-file
aveCRU=CRU; % result m-file

% ECMWF
for y=1:10
for m=1:12
U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\y20%02d\%02d\ECMWFclip.tiff',y,m); % result m-file
aveECMWF=ECMWF; % result m-file

% ARC
for y=1:10
for m=1:12
U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\y20%02d\%02d\ARCclip.tiff',y,m); % result m-file
aveARC=ARC; % result m-file
```
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```
end
end
ARC2=resizem(ARC2,'nearest',0);
aantal=grid/0.05;
A=size(B);
for i=1:A(1);
    for j=1:A(2);
        aveARC(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(ARC2((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
    end
end
k=y*12+m;
opslaan=sprintf(['GridBlock\Triplekaarten\ARC%03d.mat','

save(opslaan,'aveARC');
end
end
%% CHIRPS
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf(['C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\CHIRPSclip.tif','

        [CHIRPS F7]=geotiffread(U);
        aantal=grid/0.05;
        A=size(B);
        for i=1:A(1);
            for j=1:A(2);
                aveCHIRPS(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(CHIRPS((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
            end
        end
        k=y*12+m;
        opslaan=sprintf(['GridBlock\Triplekaarten\CHIRPS%03d.mat','

        save(opslaan,'aveCHIRPS');
end
end
%% RFE
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        if T(5,t)==1;
            U=sprintf(['C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\FEWSNETclip.tif','

            [RFE F8]=geotiffread(U);
            RFE2=zeros(500,300);
            for q=1:500
                for w=1:300
                    RFE2(q,w)=(RFE(q,w)+RFE(q+1,w)+RFE(q,w+1)+RFE(q+1,w+1))/4;
                end
            end
            RFE2=resizem(RFE2,'nearest',0);
            aantal=grid/0.05;
            A=size(B);
            for i=1:A(1);
                for j=1:A(2);
                    aveRFE(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(RFE2((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
                end
            end
            k=y*12+m;
            opslaan=sprintf(['GridBlock\Triplekaarten\RFE%03d.mat','

            save(opslaan,'aveRFE');
end
end
%% PERSIANN
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        if T(4,t)==1;
            U=sprintf(['C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\PERSIANNclip.tif','

            [PERSIANN F9]=geotiffread(U);
            avePERSIANN=PERSIANN;
            k=y*12+m;
            opsлаan=sprintf(['GridBlock\Triplekaarten\PERSIANN%03d.mat','

            save(opslaan,'avePERSIANN');
end
end
%% CMORPH
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        if T(3,t)==1;
            U=sprintf(['C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\20%02d\%02d\CMORPHclip.tif','

            [CMORPH F10]=geotiffread(U);
            CMORPH2=zeros(200,120);
            CMORPH2(1:4,:)=NaN;
            CMORPH2(5:200,:)=CMORPH;
            aveCMORPH=CMORPH2;
            k=y*12+m;
            opsлаan=sprintf(['GridBlock\Triplekaarten\CMORPH%03d.mat','

            save(opslaan,'aveCMORPH');
end
end
```
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```matlab
%% GSMaP
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
    t=y*12+m;
    k=y*12+m;
    if T(2,t)==1;
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(20\%02d\%02d\)GSMaPclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file 18
        GSMaP=resizem(GSMaP,2,'nearest',0);
        aantal=grid/0.05;
        A=size(B);
        for i=1:A(1);
            for j=1:A(2);
                aveGSMaP(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(GSMaP((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
            end
        end
        k=y*12+m;
        opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\GSMaP%03d.mat',k);
        save(opslaan,'aveGSMaP');
    end
end
end
%% TAMSAT
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
    t=y*12+m;
    k=y*12+m;
    if T(1,t)==1;
        U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(20\%02d\%02d\)TAMSATclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file 18
        TAMSAT=resizem(TAMSAT,3,'nearest',0);
        TAMSAT2=zeros(4000,2400);
        TAMSAT2(1:430,1:2400)=NaN;
        TAMSAT2(156:end,:)=TAMSAT(1:end-16,17:end-11);
        aantal=grid/0.0125;
        A=size(B);
        for i=1:A(1);
            for j=1:A(2);
                aveTAMSAT(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(TAMSAT2((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
            end
        end
        k=y*12+m;
        opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock\Tripkarten\TAMSAT%03d.mat',k);
        save(opslaan,'aveTAMSAT');
    end
end
end
%% GPCC
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
    U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(20\%02d\%02d\)GPCCclip.tif',y,m);
    % result m-file 17
    GPCC12=resizem(GPCC,2,'nearest',0);
    aveGPCC=resizem(GPCC12,'nearest',0);
    k=y*12+m;
    opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock\Tripkarten\GPCC%03d.mat',k);
    save(opslaan,'aveGPCC');
end
end
```

By using these errors maps (CHIRPSdry.mat, TAMSATdry.mat, TRMMdry.mat, RFEdry.mat, CHIRPSwet.mat, TAMSATwet.mat, TRMMMwet.mat, and RFEwet.mat), the triple collocation products was made with the following m-file:

```matlab
m-file 32
clear all;
close all;
dic;
%calculating the averages over the period, is needed for the relative errors
for k=1:132,
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\\(20\%02d\%02d\)TRMM\%03d.mat',k); % result m-file 31
    load(open);
    TRMM=resizem(aveTRMM,1/3,'nearest');
    TRMMtot(:,:,k)=TRMM;
end
for m=5:10,
    k=0:m:121;
    TRMM(:,:,k)=TRMM;
end
for m=1:11:12;
    aveTRMM=nanmean(aveTRMM(:,:,k),3);
end
```

---

**TUDelft** 58
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TRMMdry(:,j)=nanmean(TRMMtot(:,j),3);
end
for k=1:132;
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
TRMM=nanmean(TRMMtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    CRU=nanmean(CRUtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=[1:11 12];
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
ECMWF=nanmean(ECMWFtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    ECMWF=nanmean(ECMWFtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=[1:11 12];
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
CHIRPS=nanmean(CHIRPStot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    CHIRPS=nanmean(CHIRPStot(:,j),3);
end
for m=[1:11 12];
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
TRMM=nanmean(TRMMtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    TRMM=nanmean(TRMMtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=[1:10 12];
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
CHIRPS=nanmean(CHIRPStot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    CHIRPS=nanmean(CHIRPStot(:,j),3);
end
for m=[1:9 12];
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
ECMWF=nanmean(ECMWFtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    ECMWF=nanmean(ECMWFtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=[1:9 12];
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
CHIRPS=nanmean(CHIRPStot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    CHIRPS=nanmean(CHIRPStot(:,j),3);
end
for m=[1:9 12];
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
TRMM=nanmean(TRMMtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    TRMM=nanmean(TRMMtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=[1:9 12];
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
CHIRPS=nanmean(CHIRPStot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    CHIRPS=nanmean(CHIRPStot(:,j),3);
end
for m=[1:9 12];
    open=sprintf('C:\Users\(Tim)\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\(GridBlock)\Triplekaarten\%03d',j); % result m-file 31
load(open);
ECMWF=nanmean(ECMWFtot(:,j),3);
end
for m=5:10;
    k=0:12*48m 60m 72m 84m 96m 108m 120m];
    ECMWF=nanmean(ECMWFtot(:,j),3);
end
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```matlab
load('ProductTriple/RFEdry','Q'); % result m-file 30
Q=Q(:,:,4);
load('ProductTriple/CHIRPSdry','Q'); % result m-file 30
Q=Q(:,:,4);
load('ProductTriple/CHIRPSdry','Q'); % result m-file 30
Q=Q(:,:,5);
load('ProductTriple/CHIRPSdry','Q'); % result m-file 30
Q=Q(:,:,6);
load('ProductTriple/TAMSATdry','Q'); % result m-file 30
Q=Q(:,:,4);
load('ProductTriple/TRMMdry','Q'); % result m-file 30
Q=Q(:,:,4);

% calculating relative errors
P(:,:,1)=Qrfewet(:,:)./RFEwet(:,:);
P(:,:,2)=Qchirpswet(:,:)./CHIRPSwet(:,:);
P(:,:,3)=Qcruwet(:,:)./CRUwet(:,:);
P(:,:,4)=Qecmwfwet(:,:)./ECMWFwet(:,:);
P(:,:,5)=Qtamsatwet(:,:)./TAMSATwet(:,:);
P(:,:,6)=Qtrmmwet(:,:)./TRMMwet(:,:);
P(:,:,7)=Qrfedry(:,:)./RFEdry(:,:);
P(:,:,8)=Qchirpsdry(:,:)./CHIRPSdry(:,:);
P(:,:,9)=Qcrudry(:,:)./CRUdry(:,:);
P(:,:,10)=Qecmwfdry(:,:)./ECMWFdry(:,:);
P(:,:,11)=Qtamsatdry(:,:)./TAMSATdry(:,:);
P(:,:,12)=Qtrmmdry(:,:)./TRMMdry(:,:);

% assign pixels with the product with the lowest relative error
for x=1:6;
    for y=1:40;
        minimaalwet(x,y)=nanmin(P(x,y,1:6));
    end
end
for x=1:66;
    for y=1:40;
        minimaaldry(x,y)=nanmin(P(x,y,7:12));
    end
end
for x=1:66;
    for y=1:40;
        if minimaalwet(x,y)==P(x,y,1);
            welkewet(x,y)=1;
        elseif minimaalwet(x,y)==P(x,y,2);
            welkewet(x,y)=2;
        elseif minimaalwet(x,y)==P(x,y,3);
            welkewet(x,y)=3;
        elseif minimaalwet(x,y)==P(x,y,4);
            welkewet(x,y)=4;
        elseif minimaalwet(x,y)==P(x,y,5);
            welkewet(x,y)=5;
        elseif minimaalwet(x,y)==P(x,y,6);
            welkewet(x,y)=6;
        end
    end
end
for x=1:66;
    for y=1:40;
        if minimaaldry(x,y)==P(x,y,7);
            welkedry(x,y)=1;
        elseif minimaaldry(x,y)==P(x,y,8);
            welkedry(x,y)=2;
        elseif minimaaldry(x,y)==P(x,y,9);
            welkedry(x,y)=3;
        elseif minimaaldry(x,y)==P(x,y,10);
            welkedry(x,y)=4;
        elseif minimaaldry(x,y)==P(x,y,11);
            welkedry(x,y)=5;
        elseif minimaaldry(x,y)==P(x,y,12);
            welkedry(x,y)=6;
        end
    end
end

% plot map with the assigned product
subplot(1,2,1);
R2 = georasterref('RasterSize', size(welkewet), 'Latlim', [-9.5 40], 'Lonlim', [20 50], 'ColumnsStartFrom', 'north');
h=geoshow(welkewet,R2,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
Nilebasin = shaperead('Layers\SubNilebasin\Lon', 'UseGeoCoords',true);geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','b')
subplot(1,2,2);
h=geoshow(welkedry,R2,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
Nilebasin = shaperead('Layers\SubNilebasin\Lon', 'UseGeoCoords',true);geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','b')
geotiffwrite('nattriple.tif',welkewet,R2);
```

% Make TRIPLE product
Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper

TRIPLE2=resizem(welkewet,3,‘nearest’); TRIPLE3=[198:200;NaN]; welkewet=TRIPLE3;
TRIPLE2=resizem(welkedry,3,‘nearest’); TRIPLE3=[198:200;NaN]; welkedry=TRIPLE3;

for j=0:10;
    for m=1:12;
        k=m+j*12;
        T(1,:)=zeros(1,12) ones(1,120)
        T(2,:)=ones(1,80) 0 ones(1,51)
        %RFE 2.0
        T(3,:)=ones(1,3)
        % TAMSAT
        U=sprintf(’C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GridBlock\Kaarten025\CHIRPS%03d.mat’,k); % result m-file 3
        load(U);
        CHIRPSgoed=aveCHIRPS;
        U=sprintf(’C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GridBlock\Kaarten025\CRU%03d.mat’,k);
        load(U);
        CRUgoed=aveCRU;
        if T(1,k)==1
            U=sprintf(’C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GridBlock\Kaarten025\RFE%03d.mat’,k);
            load(U);
            RFEgoed=aveRFE;
        else
            RFEgoed(:,:)=CHIRPSgoed(:,:);
        end
        U=sprintf(’C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GridBlock\Kaarten025\ECMWF%03d.mat’,k);
        load(U);
        ECMWFgoed=aveECMWF;
        U=sprintf(’C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GridBlock\Kaarten025\TRMM%03d.mat’,k);
        load(U);
        TRMMgoed=aveTRMM;
        t=t+12m;
        k=k+12m;
        if T(2,k)==1
            U=sprintf(’C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\GridBlock\Kaarten025\TAMSAT%03d.mat’,k);
            load(U);
            TAMSATgoed=aveTAMSAT;
        else
            TAMSATgoed(:,:)=CHIRPSgoed(:,:);
        end
    end
end
Eindproduct(:,:,k)=producttriple(:,:);
Eindproduct(199:200,:,:)=NaN;
save(’Eindproduct.mat’);

The TRIPLE endproduct is saved and also the map with the assigned products are made. This map is shown below for the wet and dry period.
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4. Prepare maps for validation

4.1 Spatial resolution of the products

Because the validation is done for 0.25 and 2.5 degrees, the maps must be resampled to those spatial resolution. When the product must become coarser, the average is taken. When the product must become finer the nearest neighbor interpolation technique is used. Those maps are saved for all months and for all the products. The 2.5 degrees is shown below:
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```matlab
for m=1:12
    U=sprintf('C:\%s\Documents\\%s\TRMM\%02d\TRMMclip.tif',y,m);
    aantal=grid/0.25;
    A=size(B);
    for i=1:A(1);
        for j=1:A(2);
            aveTRMM(i,j)=mean(mean(TRMM((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
        end
    end
    k=y*12+m;
    Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten\TRMM\%02d\TRMM\%03d.mat',maat,k);
    save(Opslaan,'aveTRMM');
end
end
% GPCP
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\%s\Documents\\%s\TRMM\%02d\GPCPclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file
        [GPCP F2]=geotiffread(U);
        aveGPCP=GPCP;
        k=y*12+m;
        Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten\GPCP\%02d\GPCP\%03d.mat',maat,k);
        save(Opslaan,'aveGPCP');
    end
end
% GPCP1DD
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\%s\Documents\\%s\TRMM\%02d\GPCP1DDclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file
        [GPCP1DD F3]=geotiffread(U);
        GPCP1DD2=resizem(GPCP1DD,2,'nearest',0);
        aantal=grid/0.5;
        A=size(B);
        for i=1:A(1);
            for j=1:A(2);
                aveGPCP1DD(i,j)=mean(mean(GPCP1DD2((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
            end
        end
        k=y*12+m;
        Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten\GPCP1DD\%02d\GPCP1DD\%03d.mat',maat,k);
        save(Opslaan,'aveGPCP1DD');
    end
end
% CRU
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\%s\Documents\\%s\TRMM\%02d\CRUclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file
        [CRU F4]=geotiffread(U);
        aantal=grid/0.5;
        A=size(B);
        for i=1:A(1);
            for j=1:A(2);
                aveCRU(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(CRU((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
            end
        end
        k=y*12+m;
        Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten\CRU\%02d\CRU\%03d.mat',maat,k);
        save(Opslaan,'aveCRU');
    end
end
% ECMWF
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\%s\Documents\\%s\TRMM\%02d\ECMWFclip.tif',y,m);
        % result m-file
        [ECMWF F5]=geotiffread(U);
        ECMWF2=zeros(4,40);
        for q=1:65
            ECMWF2(q,:)=ECMWF(q,:)+ECMWF(q+1,:)+ECMWF(q+1,:)+ECMWF(q+1,:);[
            end
            end
            ECMWF3=zeros(200,121);
            ECMWF4(1:4,1:121)=NaN;
            ECMWF4(1:200,1:121)=NaN;
            ECMWF4(200,1:121)=NaN;
            ECMWF4(1:200,200,1:121)=resizem(ECMWF2,3,'nearest',0);
            aantal=grid/0.25;
            A=size(B);
            for i=1:A(1);
                for j=1:A(2);
                    aveECMWF(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(ECMWF3((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
                end
            end
            k=y*12+m;
            Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten\ECMWF\%02d\ECMWF\%03d.mat',maat,k);
            save(Opslaan,'aveECMWF');
        end
    end
end
end
```
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%% ARC 2.0
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
    U=printf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\\ARCclip.tif',y,m); % result m-file
    ARC2=zeros(500,300);
    for q=1:500
        for w=1:300
            ARC2(q,w)=(ARC(q,w)+ARC(q+1,w)+ARC(q,w+1)+ARC(q+1,w+1))/4;
        end
        end
    aantal=grid/0.1;
    A=size(B);
    for i=1:A(1);
        for j=1:A(2);
            aveARC(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(ARC2((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
        end
        end
    k=y*12+m;
    opslaan=printf('GridBlock\\Kaarten%02d\\ARC%03d.mat',maat,k);
    save(opslaan,'aveARC');
end
end

%% CHIRPS
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
    U=printf('C:\\ Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\\CHIRPSclip.tif',y,m);
    CHIRPS=zeros(500,300);
    for q=1:500
        for w=1:300
            CHIRPS(q,w)=(CHIRPS(q,w)+CHIRPS(q+1,w)+CHIRPS(q,w+1)+CHIRPS(q+1,w+1))/4;
        end
        end
    aantal=grid/0.1;
    A=size(B);
    for i=1:A(1);
        for j=1:A(2);
            aveCHIRPS(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(CHIRPS((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
        end
        end
    k=y*12+m;
    opslaan=printf('GridBlock\\Kaarten%02d\\CHIRPS%03d.mat',maat,k);
    save(opslaan,'aveCHIRPS');
end
end

%% RFE
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
    if T(5,t)==1;
        U=printf('C:\\ Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\\FEWSNETclip.tif',y,m);
        FFEWSNET=zeros(500,300);
        for q=1:500
            for w=1:300
                FFEWSNET(q,w)=(FFEWSNET(q,w)+FFEWSNET(q+1,w)+FFEWSNET(q,w+1)+FFEWSNET(q+1,w+1))/4;
            end
            end
        aantal=grid/0.1;
        A=size(B);
        for i=1:A(1);
            for j=1:A(2);
                aveRFE(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(FFEWSNET((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
            end
            end
        k=y*12+m;
        opslaan=printf('GridBlock\\Kaarten%02d\\RFE%03d.mat',maat,k);
        save(opslaan,'aveRFE');
    end
end

%% PERSIANN
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
    if T(4,t)==1;
        U=printf('C:\\ Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\\PERSIANNclip.tif',y,m);
        PERSIANN=zeros(500,300);
        for q=1:500
            for w=1:300
                PERSIANN(q,w)=(PERSIANN(q,w)+PERSIANN(q+1,w)+PERSIANN(q,w+1)+PERSIANN(q+1,w+1))/4;
            end
            end
        aantal=grid/0.1;
        A=size(B);
        for i=1:A(1);
            for j=1:A(2);
                avePERSIANN(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(PERSIANN((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
            end
            end
        k=y*12+m;
        opslaan=printf('GridBlock\\Kaarten%02d\\PERSIANN%03d.mat',maat,k);
        save(opslaan,'avePERSIANN');
    end
end

%% CMORPH
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
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```matlab
% GSMaP 12
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        k=y*12+m;
        if T(2,t)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\CMORPH\clip.tif',y,m);
            % result m-file
            [GSMaP F11]=geotiffread(U);
            aantal=grid/0.1;
            A=size(B);
            for i=1:A(1);
                for j=1:A(2);
                    aveGSMaP(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(GSMaP((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
                end
            end
            k=y*12+m;
            Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock Kaarten%02d GSMaP%03d.mat',maat,k);
            save(Opslaan,'aveGSMaP');
        end
    end
end
%% TAMSAT
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        k=y*12+m;
        if T(1,t)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\TAMSAT\clip.tif',y,m);
            % result m-file
            [TAMSAT F12]=geotiffread(U);
            TAMSAT=resizem(TAMSAT,3,'nearest',0);
            TAMSAT2=zeros(4000,2400);
            TAMSAT2(1:430,1:2400)=NaN;
            TAMSAT2(156:end,:)=TAMSAT(1:end-430,17:end-11);
            aantal=grid/0.0125;
            A=size(B);
            for i=1:A(1);
                for j=1:A(2);
                    aveTAMSAT(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(TAMSAT2((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
                end
            end
            k=y*12+m;
            Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock Kaarten%02d TAMSAT%03d.mat',maat,k);
            save(Opslaan,'aveTAMSAT');
        end
    end
end
%% Triple product
load('TripleProduct2','Eindproduct'); % result m-file
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        k=y*12+m;
        if T(1,t)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\CMORPH\clip.tif',y,m);
            % result m-file
            [CMORPH F10]=geotiffread(U);
            CMORPH2=zeros(200,120);
            CMORPH2(1:4,:)=NaN;
            CMORPH2(5:200,:)=CMORPH;
            aantal=grid/0.25;
            A=size(B);
            for i=1:A(1);
                for j=1:A(2);
                    aveCMORPH(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(CMORPH((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
                end
            end
            k=y*12+m;
            Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock Kaarten%02d CMORPH%03d.mat',maat,k);
            save(Opslaan,'aveCMORPH');
        end
    end
end
%% GSMaP 12
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        k=y*12+m;
        if T(3,t)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\CMORPH\clip.tif',y,m);
            % result m-file
            [CMORPH F10]=geotiffread(U);
            CMORPH2=zeros(200,120);
            CMORPH2(1:4,:)=NaN;
            CMORPH2(5:200,:)=CMORPH;
            aantal=grid/0.25;
            A=size(B);
            for i=1:A(1);
                for j=1:A(2);
                    aveCMORPH(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(CMORPH((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
                end
            end
            k=y*12+m;
            Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock Kaarten%02d CMORPH%03d.mat',maat,k);
            save(Opslaan,'aveCMORPH');
        end
    end
end
%% TAMSAT
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        k=y*12+m;
        if T(1,t)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\TAMSAT\clip.tif',y,m);
            % result m-file
            [TAMSAT F12]=geotiffread(U);
            TAMSAT=resizem(TAMSAT,3,'nearest',0);
            TAMSAT2=zeros(4000,2400);
            TAMSAT2(1:430,1:2400)=NaN;
            TAMSAT2(156:end,:)=TAMSAT(1:end-430,17:end-11);
            aantal=grid/0.0125;
            A=size(B);
            for i=1:A(1);
                for j=1:A(2);
                    aveTAMSAT(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(TAMSAT2((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
                end
            end
            k=y*12+m;
            Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock Kaarten%02d TAMSAT%03d.mat',maat,k);
            save(Opslaan,'aveTAMSAT');
        end
    end
end
%% Triple product
load('TripleProduct2','Eindproduct'); % result m-file
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        t=y*12+m;
        k=y*12+m;
        if T(1,t)==1;
            U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\20%02d\\%02d\CMORPH\clip.tif',y,m);
            % result m-file
            [CMORPH F10]=geotiffread(U);
            CMORPH2=zeros(200,120);
            CMORPH2(1:4,:)=NaN;
            CMORPH2(5:200,:)=CMORPH;
            aantal=grid/0.25;
            A=size(B);
            for i=1:A(1);
                for j=1:A(2);
                    aveCMORPH(i,j)=nanmean(nanmean(CMORPH((i-1)*aantal+1:i*aantal,(j-1)*aantal+1:j*aantal)));
                end
            end
            k=y*12+m;
            Opslaan=sprintf('GridBlock Kaarten%02d CMORPH%03d.mat',maat,k);
            save(Opslaan,'aveCMORPH');
        end
    end
end
```

4.2 Select pixels which are used for the validation

Because for some pixel no ground measurements are located, the pixels are selected by using the following m-file. This is done to make the calculations quicker and the possibility to calculate just a particular area of the whole basin. So also a subbasin can be calculated. This map creates ones at grids where a minimum of one ground measurements is located.

```matlab
m-file: m-files used for the scientific paper

sizeblockarea = 2.5;
for xas = 1:30/size;
    for yas = 1.5/size;
        x1 = beginlon + sizeblock/2, eindlon - sizeblock/2; 
        y1 = beginlat + size/sizeblock, eindlat - size/sizeblock;
        % excel with ground measurement data
        sizeblock = 0.5;
        for xas = 1.30/size;
            for yas = 1.5/size;
                for m = 1:12;
                    for p = 0.1:
                        beginlonpoint = 20*(xas - 1.0); 
                        eindlonpoint = 20*(xas); 
                        beginlatpoint = 40; 
                        eindlatpoint = 40;
                        eindlonpoint = 20 + (xas)*size + sizeblockarea; 
                        beginlonpoint = 20 + (xas)*size;
                        % Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper
                        for meting = 1:62
                            Meting(1).Lon = 13.33; 
                            Meting(1).Lat = 33.37; 
                            Meting(2).Name = Senna; 
                            Meting(2).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(3).Name = Obied; 
                            Meting(3).Name = Nyala; 
                            Meting(4).Name = Naiou; 
                            Meting(5).Name = Madani;
                            Meting(6).Name = Kosti; 
                            Meting(7).Name = Khar; 
                            Meting(8).Name = Kosti; 
                            Meting(9).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(10).Name = Dangla; 
                            Meting(11).Name = Gondor; 
                            Meting(12).Name = Nyala;  
                            Meting(13).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(14).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(15).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(16).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(17).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(18).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(19).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(20).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(21).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(22).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(23).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(24).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(25).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(26).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(27).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(28).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(29).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(30).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(31).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(32).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(33).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(34).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(35).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(36).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(37).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(38).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(39).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(40).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(41).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(42).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(43).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(44).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(45).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(46).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(47).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(48).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(49).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(50).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(51).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(52).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(53).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(54).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(55).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(56).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(57).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(58).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(59).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(60).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(61).Name = Rashad; 
                            Meting(62).Name = Rashad; 
                        end
                    end
                end
            end
        end
    end
end
```
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A certain area is selected with the use of the following m-file.

```matlab
% make a mask of the selected area for the selected spatial resolution
% shapefile with the subbasins or basins
% Nikonbasin, albertnilebahraljabal, baroakobosobat, baroakoko
% kavirongirgi, lakeudit, lakevictoria, mainnile1, mainnile2, mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakavictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
% Nilebasin, albertnilebahraljabal, bahrelghazal, baroakobosobat,
% gome, gomearlaba, victorianile
% mainnile3, mainnile4,
% bluenile,kagera, lakevictoria, lowerwhitenile, mainnile1, mainnile2,
5. Interpolation techniques

Before validating the products, three interpolation techniques are used to transfer the ground point measurements into gridded measurements. The m-files of those techniques are shown below. The validation is also done in those m-files.

5.1 Simple averaging

Simple averaging just average the ground measurements which are in the same grid. The used grid sizes are 0.25 and 2.5 degrees. This is done for yearly and monthly rainfall.

5.1.1 yearly simple averaging

To calculate the yearly simple averaging technique the following m-file is used:
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```matlab
clc;
close all;

for j=0:10;
    for i=1:20:
        if O(i,j)==1 && Gebied(i,j)==1;
            PX(i,j,t)=0;
        end
        else
            GX(i,j)=0;
            PX(i,j,t)=0;
        end
    end
end
save[GridBlackPatch2Shuangfei.mat','PX'];
```
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for welke=1;
T(5,:)=zeros(1,12)';
ones(1,120)';
T(3,:)=zeros(1,36)';
ones(1,96)';
T(1,:)=ones(1,80)';
0';
ones(1,51)';

for
load('Metingenmat', 'grond');
% consist of all the ground measurements
% result m-file
for grid is 0.25
for
y1=60-(y-1)*grid;
y2=65-(y)*grid;
for
x2=20-(x)*grid;
x1=20+(x)*grid;
welke=welke+1;
for
if y1 <= y2 & x1 <= x2 & lon<=v & lon<=x2;
metinggrid(welke)=grond(m,v);
welke=welke+1;
else metinggrid(welke)=NaN;
welke=welke+1;
end
end
end

T(1,1)=ones(1,60)';
0 ones(1,51)];
T(2,1)=zeros(1,127)];
GMAP
T(4,1)=zeros(1,130)];
PERIANN
T(4,1)=zeros(1,122) ones(1,120)];
RFE 2.0
waitbar(k/132)
for
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%KMM03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveTRIPLE');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%GPCC03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveTRIPLE');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%GCRD03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveGPCC');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%CRU03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveGPM');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%EPM03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveCHIRPS');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \% ephem03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveTRMM');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%TRMM03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveECMWF');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%ECMWF03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveGPCC');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%GPCC03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveCMORPH');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%CMORPH03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveTRMM');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%TRMM03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'avePERSIANN');
openfig('GridBlock Kaarten025 \%PERSIANN03d.mat', k);
result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
load(openfig, 'aveSIMN');
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```matlab
end
if T(3,k)==1;
    openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten025\CMORPH%03d.mat',k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
    load(openen,'aveCMORPH');
end
if T(2,k)==1;
    openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten025\GSMaP%03d.mat',k);
    load(openen,'aveGSMaP');
end
if T(1,k)==1;
    openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten025\TAMSAT%03d.mat',k);
    load(openen,'aveTAMSAT');
end
% load('GridBlock\Pixels25bluenile.mat','PX'); %PX for subbasin
% K=PX;%PX for subbasin
PX=0;%PX for subbasin
load('GridBlock\Pixels025.mat','P') % result m-file 35
K=P;
K=permute(K,[2 1 3]);
P=0;
for i=1:50/grid;
    for j=1:30/grid;
        if K(i,j,k)==1;
            Vergelijken(1,welke)=AverageGrid(i,j,k);
            Vergelijken(2,welke)=aveTRMM(i,j);
            Vergelijken(3,welke)=aveGPCP(i,j);
            Vergelijken(4,welke)=aveGPCP1DD(i,j);
            Vergelijken(5,welke)=aveCRU(i,j);
            Vergelijken(6,welke)=aveECMWF(i,j);
            Vergelijken(7,welke)=aveARC(i,j);
            Vergelijken(8,welke)=aveCHIRPS(i,j);
            Vergelijken(9,welke)=aveRFE(i,j);
            Vergelijken(10,welke)=avePERSIANN(i,j);
            Vergelijken(11,welke)=aveCMORPH(i,j);
            Vergelijken(12,welke)=aveGSMaP(i,j);
            Vergelijken(13,welke)=aveTAMSAT(i,j);
            welke=welke+1;
        end
    end
end
tekst3=['TRMM    ' 'GPCP    ' 'GPCP1DD ' 'CRU     ' 'ARC     ' 'CHIRPS  ' 'RFE     ' 'PERSIANN' 'CMORPH  ' 'GSMaP ' 'TAMSAT ' 'GPCC    ' 'TRIPLE  '];
letters=[4 4 7 3 5 3 6 3 8 6 5 6 4 6];
for welkproduct=1:15;
    Vergelijktwee=[];
    Vergelijktwee(1,:)=Vergelijken(1,:);
    Vergelijktwee(2,:)=Vergelijken((welkproduct+1),:);
    for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
        if Vergelijktwee(1,i)<0;
            Vergelijktwee(1,i)=NaN;
        end
    end
    for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
        if Vergelijktwee(2,i)<0;
            Vergelijktwee(2,i)=NaN;
        end
    end
    Vergelijktwee=Vergelijktwee(:,all(~isnan(Vergelijktwee)));
    for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
        if Vergelijktwee(1,i)<0;
            Vergelijktwee(1,i)=NaN;
        end
    end
    Grund=Vergelijktwee(1,:);
    Product=Vergelijktwee(2,:);
    gemGrund=nanmean(Grund);
    gemProduct=nanmean(Product);
    sumGrund=sum(Grund);
    sumProduct=sum(Product);
    boven=sum((Grund-gemGrund).^2).*(Product-gemProduct);
    onder=sqrt(sum((Grund-gemGrund).^2)).*sqrt(sum((Product-gemProduct).^2));
    Corr(welkproduct)=boven/onder;
    Verschil=Product-Grund;
    Bias(welkproduct)=-sum(Verschil:length(Vergelijktwee))/length(Vergelijktwee);
    Bias2(welkproduct)=sum(Verschil)/sumGrund;
    RMSE(welkproduct)=100*sqrt(nanmean(Verschil.^2))/gemGrund;
end
end
```

% make scatter figure
5.1.2 Monthly simple averaging

To calculate the monthly simple averaging technique the following m-file is used:

```matlab
figure(1);
Vergelijktwee=[];
Vergelijktwee(1,:)=Vergelijken(1,:);
for i=1:length(Vergelijken);
    if Vergelijken(i,1)<=0;
        Vergelijken(i,1)=NaN;
    end
end
for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
    if Vergelijktwee(i,1)<=0;
        Vergelijktwee(i,1)=NaN;
    end
end
Grond=Vergelijken(1,:);
Product=Vergelijktwee(1,:);
hold on;
plot(1:6,1:6);
hold on;
p=figure(2);
plot(1:10,1:10);
Grond=Vergelijken(1,:);
Product=Vergelijktwee(1,:);
hold on;
end
Vergelijkenwee(1,:)=Vergelijken(1,:);
Vergelijkenwee=[];
figure(1);
```

The m-file above calculates the monthly simple averaging for the data from the scientific paper. It reads in the files used for the scientific paper and plots the data. The monthly averaging is performed by selecting the data points where the value is less than or equal to zero and replacing them with NaN (Not a Number) to indicate that these values should not be included in the average. The average is then calculated by comparing the Grond (ground) and Product (estimated) data and plotting the results. The `plot` function is used to visualize the data, and the `hold on` command is used to ensure that all data is plotted on the same graph.
```matlab
function [m, v] = grondjaar(k, v)
    if bi==0;
        bi=isnan(grondjaar(k,v));
        for y1=40
            y2=40
            if lat<=y1 && lat>=y2 && lon>=x1 && lon<=x2;
                metinggrid(welke)=NaN;
            else metinggrid(welke)=NaN;
            end
        end
    else metinggrid(welke)=grondjaar(k,v);
        if lat<=y1 && lat>=y2 && lon>=x1 && lon<=x2;
            metinggrid(welke)=grondjaar(k,v);
            end
        end
    end
    m(k)=m(k)+1;
    v(k)=v(k)+1;
end
```
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for p=1:11;
    for m=1:12;
        k=12*(p-1)+m;
        waalbar=[k/11,k];
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\TRMMN03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveTRMM);
        T1(k,:)=aveTRMM;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\GPCP903d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveGPCP);
        T2(k,:)=aveGPCP;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\GPCP103d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveGPCP1DD);
        T3(k,:)=aveGPCP1DD;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\CRUN03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveCRU);
        T4(k,:)=aveCRU;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\ECMWF%03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveECMWF);
        T5(k,:)=aveECMWF;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\ARC%03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveARC);
        T6(k,:)=aveARC;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\CHIRPSN03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveCHIRPS);
        T7(k,:)=aveCHIRPS;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\GPCC%03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveGPCC);
        T8(k,:)=aveGPCC;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\ECMWF%03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveECMWF);
        T9(k,:)=aveECMWF;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\PERSIANN03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, avePERSIANN);
        T10(k,:)=avePERSIANN;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\CMORPHN03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveCMORPH);
        T11(k,:)=aveCMORPH;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\GSMaN03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveGSMaP);
        T12(k,:)=aveGSMaP;
        openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Gaarten%02d\TAMSATN03d.mat','getal,k); % result m-file 33 for grid is 0.25
        load(openen, aveTAMSAT);
        T12(k,:)=aveTAMSAT;
        end
    end
    for grid is 0.25
        aveTRMM=nanmean(T1,[1:12].';');
        aveGPCP=nanmean(T2,[1:12].';');
        aveGPCP1DD=nanmean(T3,[1:12].';');
        aveCRU=nanmean(T4,[1:12].';');
        aveECMWF=nanmean(T5,[1:12].';');
        aveARC=nanmean(T6,[1:12].';');
        aveCMORPH=nanmean(T7,[1:12].';');
        aveGSMaP=nanmean(T8,[1:12].';');
        avePERSIANN=nanmean(T9,[1:12].';');
        aveTAMSAT=nanmean(T10,[1:12].';');
        aveECMWF=nanmean(T11,[1:12].';');
        aveGSMaP=nanmean(T12,[1:12].';');
        end
    end
end

% result m
file 33 for grid is 0.25
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```matlab
if T(1,j)==1; aveTAMSAT=nanmean(T12(1+(j-1)*12:(j-1)*12+12,:),1)*12; end
load('GridBlockPixels25bluenile.mat', 'PX') % Is Pixels025bluenile.mat if grid is 0.25 is chosen
K=PX;
K=permute(K,[2 1 3]); PX=0;
for x=1:50/grid;
  for y=1:30/grid;
    if K(x,y,j)==1;
      Vergelijken(1,welke)=AverageGrid(x,y);
      Vergelijken(2,welke)=aveTRMM(1,x,y);
      Vergelijken(3,welke)=aveGPCP(1,x,y);
      Vergelijken(4,welke)=aveGPCCP1DD(1,x,y);
      Vergelijken(5,welke)=aveCRU(1,x,y);
      Vergelijken(6,welke)=aveECMWF(1,x,y);
      Vergelijken(7,welke)=aveARC(1,x,y);
      Vergelijken(8,welke)=aveCHIRPS(1,x,y);
      Vergelijken(14,welke)=aveGPCC(1,x,y);
      Vergelijken(15,welke)=aveTRIPLE(1,x,y);
      if T(5,j)==1; Vergelijken(9,welke)=aveRFE(1,x,y);
        else Vergelijken(9,welke)=NaN;
      end
      if T(4,j)==1; Vergelijken(10,welke)=avePERSIANN(1,x,y);
        else Vergelijken(10,welke)=NaN;
      end
      if T(3,j)==1; Vergelijken(11,welke)=aveCMORPH(1,x,y);
        else Vergelijken(11,welke)=NaN;
      end
      if T(2,j)==1; Vergelijken(12,welke)=aveGSMaP(1,x,y);
        else Vergelijken(12,welke)=NaN;
      end
      if T(1,j)==1; Vergelijken(13,welke)=aveTAMSAT(1,x,y);
        else Vergelijken(13,welke)=NaN;
      end
      welke=welke+1;
    end
  end
end
tekst3=['TRMM  GPCP  GPCP1DD  CRU  ECMWF  ARC  CHIRPS  RFE  PERSIANN  CMORPH  GSMaP  TAMSAT  GPCC  TRIPLE '];
letters=[4 4 7 3 5 3 6 3 8 6 5 6 4 6];
for welkproduct=1:14;
  Vergelijktwee=[];
  Vergelijktwee(1,:)=Vergelijken(1,:);
  Vergelijktwee(2,:)=Vergelijken((welkproduct+1),:);
  for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
    if Vergelijktwee(1,i)<=0;
      Vergelijktwee(1,i)=NaN;
    end
  end
  for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
    if Vergelijktwee(2,i)<=0;
      Vergelijktwee(2,i)=NaN;
    end
  end
  Vergelijktwee(1,:)=Vergelijktwee(1,:),all(~isnan(Vergelijktwee)));
  Vergelijktwee(2,:)=Vergelijktwee(2,:);for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
    if Vergelijktwee(1,i)<=0;
      Vergelijktwee(1,i)=NaN;
    end
  end
  Grond=Vergelijktwee(1,:);
  Product=Vergelijktwee(2,:);
  gemGrond=nanmean(Grond);
  gemProduct=nanmean(Product);
  sumGrond=sum(Grond);
  sumProduct=sum(Product);
  boven=sum((Grond-gemGrond).^2)*sum(Product-gemProduct)/(sumProduct.^2));
  onder=(sum(Grond-gemGrond).*sum(Product-gemProduct));
  Corr(welkproduct)=boven/onder;
  Verschil=Product-Grond;
  Bias(welkproduct)=sum(Verschil)/length(Vergelijktwee);
  Bias2(welkproduct)=sumProduct/sumGrond;
  RMSE(welkproduct)=100*sqrt(nanmean(Verschil.^2))/gemGrond;
end
%% Make figure
welkproduct=1:14;
Vergelijktwee=[];
Vergelijktwee(1,:)=Vergelijken(1,:);
Vergelijktwee(2,:)=Vergelijken((welkproduct+1),:);
for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
  if Vergelijktwee(1,i)<=0;
    Vergelijktwee(1,i)=NaN;
  end
end
  if Vergelijktwee(1,i)<=0;
    Vergelijktwee(1,i)=NaN;
  end
end
```
Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper

5.1.3 Visualizing monthly rainfall correlation, RMSE, and bias

With these calculated ground measurements for every pixel, the correlation, RMSE, and bias of the products are calculated for each pixel and for each product and shown in a map.
Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper
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```matlab
load(openen, 'avePERSIANN');
avePERSIANNtot(1:20,1:12,k)=avePERSIANN;
else
avePERSIANNtot(1:20,1:12,k)=NaN;
end
if T(3,k)==1;
openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten25\(\%CMORPH\%03d.mat',k);
else
aveCMORPHtot(1:20,1:12,k)=NaN;
end
if T(2,k)==1;
openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten25\GSMaP\%03d.mat',k);
else
aveGSMaPtot(1:20,1:12,k)=NaN;
end
if T(1,k)==1;
openen=sprintf('GridBlock\Kaarten25\TAMSAT\%03d.mat',k);
else
aveTAMSATtot(1:20,1:12,k)=NaN;
end
TRIPLE(y,x,k)=nanmean(nanmean(aveTRIPLE((y-1)*10+1:y*10,(x-1)*10+1:x*10)));
for i=1:20;
  for j=1:12;
    welke=1;
    Vergelijken(:,welke)=AverageGrid(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(2,welke)=aveTRMMtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(3,welke)=aveGPCPtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(4,welke)=aveGPCP1Dtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(5,welke)=aveCRUtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(6,welke)=aveECMWFtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(7,welke)=aveARCtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(8,welke)=aveCHIRPStot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(9,welke)=aveRFEtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(10,welke)=avePERSIANNtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(11,welke)=aveCMORPHtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(12,welke)=aveGSMaPtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(13,welke)=aveTAMSATtot(i,j,k);
    welke=welke+1;
  end
  Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageTRIPLe(i,j,k);
end
Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageRFEtot(i,j,k);
Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageTAMSATtot(i,j,k);
for welke=1:132;
  Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageGrid(i,j,k);
end
Cor=corr2(Grond,Product);
RMSE=norm2(Grond-Product);
Bias=Cor+RMSE;
for i=1:20;
  for j=1:12;
    welke=1;
    Vergelijken(:,welke)=AverageGrid(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(2,welke)=aveTRMMtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(3,welke)=aveGPCPtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(4,welke)=aveGPCP1Dtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(5,welke)=aveCRUtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(6,welke)=aveECMWFtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(7,welke)=aveARCtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(8,welke)=aveCHIRPStot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(9,welke)=aveRFEtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(10,welke)=avePERSIANNtot(i,j,k);
    Vergelijken(11,welke)=aveCMORPHtot(i,j,k);
    vergelijken(12,welke)=aveGSMaPtot(i,j,k);
    vergelijken(13,welke)=aveTAMSATtot(i,j,k);
    welke=welke+1;
  end
  Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageTRIPLe(i,j,k);
end
Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageRFEtot(i,j,k);
Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageTAMSATtot(i,j,k);
if TF==0;
  for p=1:size(Vergelijken,2);
    if Vergelijken(1,p)==0;
      Vergelijken(1,p)=NaN;
    end
  end
  return
end
if TF==0;
  yore=averageGrid(i,j,k);
  Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageTRIPLe(i,j,k);
  Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageRFEtot(i,j,k);
  Vergelijken(:,welke)=averageTAMSATtot(i,j,k);
end
TF=isempty(Vergelijken);
```
Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper

The results are maps for each product which shows the correlation, RMSE, and the bias of the product over the Nile Basin. For RFE the following map is obtained.
5.2 Variable averaging

This technique has a variable grid size, but every grid consists of 5 ground measurements. The maximum grid size is 5 degrees. The grid gets the mean of the 5 ground measurements as rainfall amount.

5.2.1 Yearly variable averaging
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for find all grid possibilities with the amount of ground stations in it

x1=Meting(v).Lon;
y1=Meting(v).Lat;
Meting(62).Lat=Meting(61).Lat=Meting(60).Lat=Meting(59).Lat=Meting(58).Lat=0.405;
Meting(56).Lon=35.224;
Meting(55).Lat=1.016;
Meting(55).Lon=35;
Meting(55).Name='Kitale';
Meting(52).Lat=11.083;
Meting(52).Lon=37.867;
Meting(52).Name='Tori';
Meting(49).Lat=10.02;
Meting(49).Lon=34.32;
Meting(49).Name='Begi';
Meting(48).Lat=7.73;
Meting(48).Lon=35.48;
Meting(48).Name='Assosa';
Meting(47).Lat=7.2;
Meting(47).Lon=35.42;
Meting(47).Name='Finote';
Meting(46).Lat=11.383;
Meting(46).Lon=36.870;
Meting(46).Name='AbaySheleko';
Meting(40).Lat=10.892;
Meting(40).Lon=37.43;
Meting(40).Name='Kigali';
Meting(39).Lat=11.383;
Meting(39).Lon=36.870;
Meting(39).Name='Nyiragongo';
Meting(38).Lat=10.950;
Meting(38).Lon=37.070;
Meting(38).Name='Bukoba';
Meting(37).Lat=11.000;
Meting(37).Lon=37.000;
Meting(37).Name='Vugyakwa';
Meting(36).Lat=11.550;
Meting(36).Lon=37.380;
Meting(36).Name='Debremarcos';
Meting(35).Lat=12.367;
Meting(35).Lon=37.550;
Meting(35).Name='Yaabibo';
Meting(34).Lat=12.183;
Meting(34).Lon=37.683;
Meting(34).Name='Kidmaja';
Meting(33).Lat=12.250;
Meting(33).Lon=37.317;
Meting(33).Name='Masha';
Meting(32).Lat=12.533;
Meting(32).Lon=37.050;
Meting(32).Name='Wau';
Meting(31).Lat=12.117;
Meting(31).Lon=37.867;
Meting(31).Name='Maksegnit';
Meting(30).Lat=11.883;
Meting(30).Lon=38.033;
Meting(30).Name='Kidmaja';
Meting(29).Lat=12.267;
Meting(29).Lon=37.467;
Meting(29).Name='Assosa';
Meting(28).Lat=12.533;
Meting(28).Lon=37.000;
Meting(28).Name='Arder';
Meting(27).Lat=11.000;
Meting(27).Lon=36.800;
Meting(27).Name='Kisumu';
Meting(26).Lat=11.333;
Meting(26).Lon=31.817;
Meting(26).Name='Enjibara';
Meting(25).Lat=11.883;
Meting(25).Lon=29.63;
Meting(25).Name='Mwanza';
Meting(24).Lat=11.583;
Meting(24).Lon=36.870;
Meting(24).Name='Bukoba';
Meting(23).Lat=11.333;
Meting(23).Lon=36.856;
Meting(23).Name='Begi';
Meting(22).Lat=11.000;
Meting(22).Lon=37.000;
Meting(22).Name='Debretabor';
Meting(21).Lat=10.892;
Meting(21).Lon=37.43;
Meting(21).Name='Masha';
Meting(20).Lat=10.699;
Meting(20).Lon=37.43;
Meting(20).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(19).Lat=10.596;
Meting(19).Lon=37.13;
Meting(19).Name='Mukahwa';
Meting(18).Lat=10.596;
Meting(18).Lon=37.070;
Meting(18).Name='Kimbaba';
Meting(17).Lat=10.483;
Meting(17).Lon=37.070;
Meting(17).Name='Zege';
Meting(16).Lat=10.380;
Meting(16).Lon=37.380;
Meting(16).Name='AbaySheleko';
Meting(15).Lat=10.21;
Meting(15).Lon=37.43;
Meting(15).Name='Debremarcos';
Meting(14).Lat=10.117;
Meting(14).Lon=37.683;
Meting(14).Name='Nyiragongo';
Meting(13).Lat=10.016;
Meting(13).Lon=37.317;
Meting(13).Name='Vugyakwa';
Meting(12).Lat=9.88;
Meting(12).Lon=39.38;
Meting(12).Name='Kigali';
Meting(11).Lat=9.583;
Meting(11).Lon=36.800;
Meting(11).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(10).Lat=9.2;
Meting(10).Lon=39.38;
Meting(10).Name='Nyiragongo';
Meting(9).Lat=8.983;
Meting(9).Lon=36.870;
Meting(9).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(8).Lat=8.683;
Meting(8).Lon=36.800;
Meting(8).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(7).Lat=8.380;
Meting(7).Lon=36.800;
Meting(7).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(6).Lat=8.083;
Meting(6).Lon=36.800;
Meting(6).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(5).Lat=7.783;
Meting(5).Lon=36.800;
Meting(5).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(4).Lat=7.483;
Meting(4).Lon=36.800;
Meting(4).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(3).Lat=7.183;
Meting(3).Lon=36.800;
Meting(3).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(2).Lat=6.883;
Meting(2).Lon=36.800;
Meting(2).Name='Wetetabay';
Meting(1).Lat=6.583;
Meting(1).Lon=36.800;
Meting(1).Name='Wetetabay';
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% find all grid possibilities with the amount of ground stations in it
for j=0:10;
  hoewel=aantal(k)-1;
punten=doen(k,1:hoeveel);
  welke=1;
  for p1=punten;
    x1=Meting(p1).Lon;
    y1=Meting(p1).Lat;
    for p2=punten;
      y2=Meting(p2).Lat;
      x2=Meting(p2).Lon;
      if p1==p2;
        Conclusie(welke,1,k)=1;
        Conclusie(welke,2,k)=1;
        Conclusie(welke,3,k)=1;
        Conclusie(welke,4,k)=1;
        if y2<y1;
          yas1=floor(y2/0.25)*0.25;
        elseif y1<y2;
          yas2=floor(y2/0.25)*0.25;
          if x2-x1==afstandsgroot;
            xas2=xas1+afstandsgroot;
            xas1=ceil(x1/0.25)*0.25;
          else
            xas2=ceil(x1/0.25)*0.25;
            xas1=cei
        end
        Conclusie(welke,5,k)=yas1;
        Conclusie(welke,6,k)=yas2;
        Conclusie(welke,7,k)=xas1;
        Conclusie(welke,8,k)=xas2;
        Conclusie(welke,9,k)=aantalpunten;
        if y3>yas1 && y3>yas2 && x3==xas1 && x3==xas2;
          aantalpunten=1+aantalpunten;
        end
      end
    end
  end
end

Conclusie(welke,8,k)=Conclusie(welke,8,k)+1;
Matlab m-files: m-files used for the scientific paper

```matlab
else
    ya2=floor(y2/0.25)*0.25;
yas1=ceil(y2/0.25)*0.25;
    afstandygroo=yas1-yas2;
    x1=x2;
yas1=floor(x1/0.25)*0.25;
yas2=xas1+afstandygroo;
else
    xas2=ceil(x1/0.25)*0.25;
yas1=xas2-afstandygroo;
end
Conclusie(welke,2,k)=yas1;
Conclusie(welke,3,k)=yas2;
Conclusie(welke,4,k)=xas1;
Conclusie(welke,5,k)=xas2;
    aantalpunten=1;
    for p3=[punten];
        if p3=p1;
            y3=Meting(p3).Lat;
x3=Meting(p3).Lon;
            if y3==yas1 && y3==yas2 && x3>=xas1 && x3<=xas2;
                aantalpunten=1+aantalpunten;
            end
        end
end
    Conclusie(welke,6,k)=aantalpunten;
Conclusie(welke,7,k)=yas1-yas2;
Conclusie(welke,8,k)=xas2-xas1;
Conclusie(welke,9,k)=Conclusie(welke,8,k)-Conclusie(welke,7,k);
end
else
    p1=p2;
    Conclusie(welke,1,k)=3;
    if x1=x2;
        xas2=ceil(x1/0.25)*0.25;
yas1=floor(x2/0.25)*0.25;
    end
    if y1<y2;
        yas2=floor(y1/0.25)*0.25;
yas1=yas2+afstandxgroo;
    else
        yas1=ceil(y1/0.25)*0.25;
yas2=yas1-afstandxgroo;
    end
Conclusie(welke,2,k)=yas1;
Conclusie(welke,3,k)=yas2;
Conclusie(welke,4,k)=xas1;
Conclusie(welke,5,k)=xas2;
    aantalpunten=1;
    for p3=[punten];
        if p3=p1;
            y3=Meting(p3).Lat;
x3=Meting(p3).Lon;
            if y3==yas1 && y3==yas2 && x3>=xas1 && x3<=xas2;
                aantalpunten=1+aantalpunten;
            end
        end
end
    Conclusie(welke,6,k)=aantalpunten;
Conclusie(welke,7,k)=yas1-yas2;
Conclusie(welke,8,k)=xas2-xas1;
Conclusie(welke,9,k)=Conclusie(welke,8,k)-Conclusie(welke,7,k);
else
    xas1=floor(x1/0.25)*0.25;
xas2=ceil(x2/0.25)*0.25;
    afstandxgroo=xas2-xas1;
    if y1<y2;
        yas2=floor(y1/0.25)*0.25;
yas1=yas2+afstandxgroo;
    else
        yas1=ceil(y1/0.25)*0.25;
yas2=yas1-afstandxgroo;
    end
Conclusie(welke,2,k)=yas1;
Conclusie(welke,3,k)=yas2;
Conclusie(welke,4,k)=xas1;
Conclusie(welke,5,k)=xas2;
    aantalpunten=1;
    for p3=[punten];
        if p3=p1;
            y3=Meting(p3).Lat;
x3=Meting(p3).Lon;
            if y3==yas1 && y3==yas2 && x3>=xas1 && x3<=xas2;
                aantalpunten=1+aantalpunten;
            end
        end
end
    Conclusie(welke,6,k)=aantalpunten;
Conclusie(welke,7,k)=yas1-yas2;
Conclusie(welke,8,k)=xas2-xas1;
Conclusie(welke,9,k)=Conclusie(welke,8,k)-Conclusie(welke,7,k);
end
welke=welke+1;
end
end
end
```

%% setting some requirements: 5 ground measurements and maximum of 5 degrees

for k=1:11;
    welke=1;
    for i=1:size(Conclusie,1);
        if Conclusie(i,6,k)==5;
            Conclusie5(welke,:,k)=Conclusie(i,:,k);
            welke=welke+1;
        end
    end
end
for k=1:11;
    welke=1;
    for i=1:size(Conclusie5,1);
        if Conclusie5(i,7,k)<=5;
            Conclusie55(welke,:,k)=Conclusie5(i,:,k);
            welke=welke+1;
        end
    end
end
for k=1:11;
    hoeveel=aantal(k)-1;
punten=doen(k,1:hoeveel);
for punt=1:size(Conclusie55,1);
    for p3=punten;
        if p3==p1;
            y3=Meting(p3).Lat;
            x3=Meting(p3).Lon;
            if y3>=Conclusie55(punt,2,k) && y3<=Conclusie55(punt,3,k) && x3>=Conclusie55(punt,4,k) && x3<=Conclusie55(punt,5,k);
                gempunt(punt,welke,k)=p3;
                gempunt(punt,6,k)=Conclusie55(punt,2,k);
                gempunt(punt,7,k)=Conclusie55(punt,4,k);
                gempunt(punt,8,k)=Conclusie55(punt,7,k);
                welke=1+welke;
            end
        end
    end
end
for k=1:size(gempunt,3);
    A=gempunt(:,:,k);
    A1=gempunt(:,1:5,k);
    B=unique(A1,'rows');
    for b=2:size(B,1);
        for a=1:size(A,1);
            if B(b,1)==A(a,1) && B(b,2)==A(a,2) && B(b,3)==A(a,3) && B(b,4)==A(a,4) && B(b,5)==A(a,5);
                C(b-1,1:5,k)=B(b,1:5);
                C(b-1,6:8,k)=A(a,6:8);
            end
        end
    end
end
for k=1:size(gempunt,3);
    j=1;
    for i=1:size(C,1);
        if C(i,3,k)==0;
            D(j,:,k)=C(i,:,k);
            j=j+1;
        end
    end
for k=1:size(gempunt,3);
    for i=1:size(D,1);
        if D(i,2,k)==0.1;
            for v=0:0.1:5.5;
                P(v)=round(jaar(0,v));
                n=1+n;
            end
            gemiddeld(1,k)=mean(P);
            gemiddeld(2:4,k)=D(i,6:8,k);
            P=0;
            else gemiddeld(1,k)=0;
            gemiddeld(2:4,k)=0 0 0 0;
            P=0;
            end
        end
    end
end

%% find the rainfall values of the products for the formed grids

TAMSAT=[1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1]; % TAMSAT
GSMaP=[0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1]; % GSMaP
CMORPH=[0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1]; % CMORPH
PERSIANN=[0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1]; % PERSIANN
RFE 2.0=[0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1]; % RFE 2.0
h=waitbar(0,'Please wait...');
for p=1:size(gempunt,3);
    waitbar(p/11,h);
for m=1:12;
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```matlab
% creating yearly values
for i=1:11;
    aveTRMM=nanmean(T1(i,:,:));
    aveGPC=nanmean(T2(i,:,:));
    aveGPC10=nanmean(T3(i,:,:));
    aveCRU=nanmean(T4(i,:,:));
    aveCMORPH=nanmean(T5(i,:,:));
    aveGSMaP=nanmean(T6(i,:,:));
    aveTAMSAT=nanmean(T7(i,:,:));
    avePERSIANN=nanmean(T8(i,:,:));
    aveCMORPH=nanmean(T9(i,:,:));
    aveGPCC=nanmean(T10(i,:,:));
    aveTRIPLE=nanmean(T11(i,:,:));
end

% result m-files:
files:
aveTRMM%03d.mat
aveGPC%03d.mat
aveGPC10%03d.mat
aveCRU%03d.mat
aveCMORPH%03d.mat
aveGSMaP%03d.mat
aveTAMSAT%03d.mat
avePERSIANN%03d.mat
aveCMORPH%03d.mat
aveGPCC%03d.mat

% making yearly values
for i=1:11;
    aveTRMM=nanmean(T1(i,:,:));
    aveGPC=nanmean(T2(i,:,:));
    aveGPC10=nanmean(T3(i,:,:));
    aveCRU=nanmean(T4(i,:,:));
    aveCMORPH=nanmean(T5(i,:,:));
    aveGSMaP=nanmean(T6(i,:,:));
    aveTAMSAT=nanmean(T7(i,:,:));
    avePERSIANN=nanmean(T8(i,:,:));
    aveCMORPH=nanmean(T9(i,:,:));
    aveGPCC=nanmean(T10(i,:,:));
end
```

Some raw extracted text:)
for
end

aveCHIRPS=nanmean(T7(1+(j
aveCRU=nanmean(T4(1+(j
aveGPC=nanmean(T2(1+(j
aveCRU=nanmean(T4(1+(j
aveCMORPH=nanmean(T5(1+(j
aveGSMaP=nanmean(T6(1+(j
aveTAMSAT=nanmean(T7(1+(j
aveCMORPH=nanmean(T5(1+(j
avePERSIANN=nanmean(T8(1+(j
```
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```matlab
Vergelijk(5,:,:)=[CRU];
ECMWF=nanmean(nanmean(aveECMWF(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(6,:,:)=[ECMWF];
ARC=nanmean(nanmean(aveARC(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(7,:,:)=[ARC];
CHIRPS=nanmean(nanmean(aveCHIRPS(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(8,:,:)=[CHIRPS];
if T(5,j)==1;
  RFE=nanmean(nanmean(aveRFE(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
  Vergelijk(9,:,:)=[RFE];
else
  Vergelijk(9,:,:)=[NaN];
end
if T(4,j)==1;
PERSIANN=nanmean(nanmean(avePERSIANN(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(10,:,:)=[PERSIANN];
else
  Vergelijk(10,:,:)=[NaN];
end
if T(3,j)==1;
CMORPH=nanmean(nanmean(aveCMORPH(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(11,:,:)=[CMORPH];
else
  Vergelijk(11,:,:)=[NaN];
end
if T(2,j)==1;
GSMaP=nanmean(nanmean(aveGSMaP(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(12,:,:)=[GSMaP];
else
  Vergelijk(12,:,:)=[NaN];
end
if T(1,j)==1;
TAMSAT=nanmean(nanmean(aveTAMSAT(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(13,:,:)=[TAMSAT];
else
  Vergelijk(13,:,:)=[NaN];
end
GPCC=nanmean(nanmean(aveGPCC(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(14,:,:)=[GPCC];
else
  Vergelijk(14,:,:)=[NaN];
end
TRIPLE=nanmean(nanmean(aveTRIPLE(1,yas:yas+aan,xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(15,:,:)=[TRIPLE];
else
  Vergelijk(15,:,:)=[NaN];
end
end
end
%%
j=1;
for k=1:size(gempunt,3);
  for i=1:size(Vergelijk,1);
    if Vergelijk(i,1,k)==0;
      Vergelijksamen(j,:)=Vergelijk(i,:,k);
      j=j+1;
    end
  end
end
%% make scatter figure
tekst3=['TRMM ', 'GPCP ', 'GPCP1DD ', 'CRU ', 'ECMWF ', 'ARC ', 'CHIRPS ', 'RFE ', 'PERSIANN ', 'CMORPH ', 'GSMaP ', 'TAMSAT ', 'GPCC ', 'TRIPLE '];
letters=[4 4 7 3 5 3 6 3 8 6 5 6 4 6];
Vergelijksamen=Vergelijksamen';
for welkproduct=1:14;
  Vergelijktwee=[ ];
  Vergelijktwee(1,:)=Vergelijksamen(1,:);
  Vergelijktwee(2,:)=Vergelijksamen((welkproduct+1),:);
  for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
    if Vergelijktwee(1,i)<=0;
      Vergelijktwee(1,i)=NaN;
    end
  end
  for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
    if Vergelijktwee(2,i)<=0;
      Vergelijktwee(2,i)=NaN;
    end
  end
  Vergelijktwee=Vergelijktwee(all(~isnan(Vergelijktwee)));
  for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
    if Vergelijktwee(i,1)<=0;
      Vergelijktwee(i,1)=NaN;
    end
  end
  Grond=Vergelijktwee(1,:);
  Product=Vergelijktwee(2,:);
  gemGrond=nanmean(Grond);
  gemProduct=nanmean(Product);
  sumGrond=sum(Grond);
  sumProduct=sum(Product);
  boven=sum((Grond-gemGrond).*(Product-gemProduct));
  onder=sqrt(sum((Grond-gemGrond).^2))*sqrt(sum((Product-gemProduct).^2));
  Corr(welkproduct)=boven/onder;
  Verschil=Product-Grond;
  Bias1=mean(Verschil(length(Vergelijktwee)));
  Bias2=mean(Verschil(sumGrond));
  RMSE1=100*sqrt(nanmean(Verschil.^2))/gemGrond;
end
```
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### 5.2.1 Monthly variable averaging

```matlab
load('Metingenmat.mat', 'grond'); % load m-file 26 for p=0.10; for m=1:12; k=m+12*j; aantal(k)=1; for v=1:62; bi=(isanon(grond(k,v))); if bi==0; doen(k,aantal(k))=v; aantal(k)=aantal(k)+1; end end Meting(10).Name='Kadiouf'; Meting(11).Name='Alada'; Meting(12).Name='Gafsa'; Meting(13).Name='Fasrer'; Meting(14).Name='Dunit'; Meting(15).Name='Damasine'; Meting(16).Name='Banousarri'; Meting(17).Name='Gondor'; Meting(18).Name='Assawar'; Meting(19).Name='Caro'; Meting(20).Name='Lucez'; Meting(21).Name='Jana'; Meting(22).Name='Anynd'; Meting(23).Name='Elimny'; Meting(24).Name='Dangla'; Meting(25).Name='Drebetabour'; Meting(26).Name='Addisabemen'; Meting(27).Name='Aykaa'; Meting(28).Name='Adet'; Meting(29).Name='Sekela'; Meting(30).Name='Enjibara'; Meting(31).Name='Enfraz'; Meting(32).Name='Warata'; Meting(33).Name='Ziege'; Meting(34).Name='Makkesgnf'; Meting(35).Name='Vidamaja'; Meting(36).Name='Kimbab'; Meting(37).Name='Wetatal'; Meting(38).Name='Gadif'; Meting(39).Name='ObayShelke'; Meting(40).Name='Unaya'; Meting(41).Name='Wadi'; Meting(42).Name='Malakaf'; Meting(43).Name='Juba'; Meting(44).Name='Yetam'; Meting(45).Name='Shind'; Meting(46).Name='Dabremansos'; Meting(47).Name='Tappi'; Meting(48).Name='Masha'; Meting(49).Name='Assia'; Meting(50).Name='Bej9'; Meting(51).Name='Sekela'; Meting(52).Name='Xtoba'; Meting(53).Name='Finda'; Meting(54).Name='Gobya'; Meting(55).Name='Kitaile'; Meting(56).Name='Elkore'; Meting(57).Name='Kisuma'; Meting(58).Name='Bukoba'; Meting(59).Name='Musana'; Meting(60).Name='Mvand'; Meting(61).Name='Kigoma'; Meting(62).Name='Rigal';
```

% find all possible grids and the amount of rainfall measurements inside that grid
for p=0.10; for m=1:12; k=m+12*j; aantal(k)=1; punten(doer(k,aantal(k)-1),punten).x=1; end end
```
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```matlab
y1=Meting(p1).Lat;
x1=Meting(p1).Lon;
for p2=punten;
y2=Meting(p2).Lat;
x2=Meting(p2).Lon;
if p1==p2;
Conclusie(welke,1,k)=1;
Conclusie(welke,2,k)=y1;
Conclusie(welke,3,k)=x1;
Conclusie(welke,4,k)=x1;
Conclusie(welke,5,k)=1;
Conclusie(welke,7,k)=0;
Conclusie(welke,8,k)=0;
Conclusie(welke,9,k)=Conclusie(welke,8,k)-Conclusie(welke,7,k);
welke=welke+1;
else if p1>p2;
Conclusie(welke,1,k)=2;
if y1>y2;
yas1=ceil(y1/0.25)*0.25;
yas2=floor(y2/0.25)*0.25;
afstandygroot=yas1-yas2;
if x1==x2;
xas1=floor(x1/0.25)*0.25;
xas2=xas1+afstandygroot;
else xas2=ceil(x1/0.25)*0.25;
xas1=xas2-afstandygroot;
end
Conclusie(welke,2,k)=yas1;
Conclusie(welke,3,k)=yas2;
Conclusie(welke,4,k)=xas1;
Conclusie(welke,5,k)=xas2;
aantalpunten=1;
for p3=punten;
if p3~=p1;
y3=Meting(p3).Lat;
x3=Meting(p3).Lon;
if y3<=yas1 && y3>=yas2 && x3>=xas1 && x3<=xas2;
aantalpunten=1+aantalpunten;
end
end
Conclusie(welke,6,k)=aantalpunten;
Conclusie(welke,7,k)=yas1-yas2;
Conclusie(welke,8,k)=xas2-xas1;
Conclusie(welke,9,k)=Conclusie(welke,8,k)-Conclusie(welke,7,k);
welke=welke+1;
else yas2=floor(y1/0.25)*0.25;
yas1=ceil(y2/0.25)*0.25;
afstandygroot=yas1-yas2;
if x1==x2;
xas1=floor(x1/0.25)*0.25;
xas2=xas1+afstandygroot;
else xas2=ceil(x1/0.25)*0.25;
xas1=xas2-afstandygroot;
end
Conclusie(welke,2,k)=yas1;
Conclusie(welke,3,k)=yas2;
Conclusie(welke,4,k)=xas1;
Conclusie(welke,5,k)=xas2;
aantalpunten=1;
for p3=punten;
if p3~=p1;
y3=Meting(p3).Lat;
x3=Meting(p3).Lon;
if y3<=yas1 && y3>=yas2 && x3>=xas1 && x3<=xas2;
aantalpunten=1+aantalpunten;
end
end
Conclusie(welke,6,k)=aantalpunten;
Conclusie(welke,7,k)=yas1-yas2;
Conclusie(welke,8,k)=xas2-xas1;
Conclusie(welke,9,k)=Conclusie(welke,8,k)-Conclusie(welke,7,k);
welke=welke+1;
else if p1<p2;
Conclusie(welke,1,k)=3;
if x1==x2;
xas1=floor(x1/0.25)*0.25;
xas2=xas1+afstandxgroot;
if y1==y2;
yas1=floor(y1/0.25)*0.25;
yas2=yas1+afstandxgroot;
else yas1=ceil(y1/0.25)*0.25;
yas2=yas1-afstandxgroot;
end
Conclusie(welke,2,k)=yas1;
Conclusie(welke,3,k)=yas2;
Conclusie(welke,4,k)=xas1;
Conclusie(welke,5,k)=xas2;
aantalpunten=1;
for p3=punten;
if p3~=p1;
```
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% setting the requirements: 5 ground measurements and maximum of 5 degrees

```matlab
for k=1:132;
    welke=1;
    for i=1:size(Conclusie,1);
        if Conclusie(i,6,k)==5;
            Conclusie5(welke,:,k)=Conclusie(i,:,k);
            welke=welke+1;
        end
    end
end

for k=1:132;
    welke=1;
    for i=1:size(Conclusie5,1);
        if Conclusie5(i,7,k)<=5;
            Conclusie55(welke,:,k)=Conclusie5(i,:,k);
            welke=welke+1;
        end
    end
end
```

```matlab
for k=1:size(gempunt,3);
    for p3=[punten];
        if p3~=p1;
            y3=Meting(p3).Lat;
            x3=Meting(p3).Lon;
            if y3<=Conclusie55(punt,2,k) && y3>=Conclusie55(punt,3,k) && x3>=Conclusie55(punt,4,k) && x3<=Conclusie55(punt,5,k);
                gempunt(punt,welke,k)=p3;
                gempunt(punt,6,k)=Conclusie55(punt,2,k);
                gempunt(punt,7,k)=Conclusie55(punt,4,k);
                gempunt(punt,8,k)=Conclusie55(punt,7,k);
                welke=welke+1;
            end
        end
    end
end
```

```matlab
for k=1:size(gempunt,3);
    for p3=[punten];
        if p3~=p1;
            y3=Meting(p3).Lat;
            x3=Meting(p3).Lon;
            if y3<=yas1 && y3>=yas2 && x3>=xas1 && x3<=xas2;
                aantelpunten=1+aantelpunten;
            end
        end
    end
end
Conclusie(welke,6,k)=aantelpunten;
Conclusie(welke,7,k)=yas1-yas2;
Conclusie(welke,8,k)=xas2-xas1;
Conclusie(welke,9,k)=Conclusie(welke,8,k)-Conclusie(welke,7,k);
welke=welke+1;
else
    xas1=floor(x1/0.25)*0.25;
    xas2=ceil(x2/0.25)*0.25;
    afstandxgroot=xas2-xas1;
    if y1<=y2;
        yas2=floor(y1/0.25)*0.25;
        yas1=yas2+afstandxgroot;
    else
        yas1=ceil(y1/0.25)*0.25;
        yas2=yas1-afstandxgroot;
    end
    Conclusie(welke,2,k)=yas1;
    Conclusie(welke,3,k)=yas2;
    Conclusie(welke,4,k)=xas1;
    Conclusie(welke,5,k)=xas2;
    aantelpunten=1;
    for p3=[punten];
        if p3~=p1;
            y3=Meting(p3).Lat;
            x3=Meting(p3).Lon;
            if y3<=yas1 && y3>=yas2 && x3>=xas1 && x3<=xas2;
                aantelpunten=1+aantelpunten;
            end
        end
    end
end
Conclusie(welke,6,k)=aantelpunten;
Conclusie(welke,7,k)=yas1-yas2;
Conclusie(welke,8,k)=xas2-xas1;
Conclusie(welke,9,k)=Conclusie(welke,8,k)-Conclusie(welke,7,k);
welke=welke+1;
end
end
end
end
end
end
end
end
end
end
end
%% setting the requirements: 5 gr
```

```matlab
for k=1:132;
    welke=1;
    for i=1:size(Conclusie,1);
        if Conclusie(i,6,k)==5;
            Conclusie5(welke,:,k)=Conclusie(i,:,k);
            welke=welke+1;
        end
    end
end
for k=1:132;
    welke=1;
    for i=1:size(Conclusie5,1);
        if Conclusie5(i,7,k)<=5;
            Conclusie55(welke,:,k)=Conclusie5(i,:,k);
            welke=welke+1;
        end
    end
end
```
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```matlab
A = gempunt(:,:,k);
A1 = gempunt(:,1:5,k);
B = unique(A1,'rows');
for b = 2:size(B,1);
    for a = 1:size(A,1);
        if B(b,1)==A(a,1) && B(b,2)==A(a,2) && B(b,3)==A(a,3) && B(b,4)==A(a,4) && B(b,5)==A(a,5);
            C(b-1,1:5,k) = B(b,1:5);
            C(b-1,6:8,k) = A(a,6:8);
        end
    end
end

for k = 1:size(gempunt,3);
    j = 1;
    for i = 1:size(C,1);
        if C(i,5,k)~=0;
            D(j,:,k) = C(i,:,k);
            j = j + 1;
        end
    end
end

for k = 1:size(gempunt,3);
    for i = 1:size(D,1);
        n = 1;
        if D(i,2,k) >= 0.1;
            for v = [D(i,1:5,k)];
                P(n) = grond(k,v);
                n = n + 1;
            end
            gemiddeld(i,1,k) = mean(P);
            gemiddeld(i,2:4,k) = D(i,6:8,k);
            P = 0;
        else
            gemiddeld(i,1,k) = 0;
            gemiddeld(i,2:4,k) = [0 0 0];
            P = 0;
        end
    end
end

for k = 1:size(gempunt,3);
    T(1,:) = [ones(1,80) 0; ones(1,51)];
    TAMSAT
    T(2,:) = [0 0 0 0 ones(1,127) 0];
    GSMaP
    T(3,:) = [zeros(1,36) ones(1,96)];
    CMORPH
    T(4,:) = [0 0 ones(1,130)];
    PERSIANN
    T(5,:) = [zeros(1,12) ones(1,120)];
    RFE 2.0
    h = waitbar(0,'Please wait...');
    for k = 1:size(gempunt,3);
        waitbar(k/132,h)
        openen = sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\TRMM\%03d.mat',k);
        load(openen,'aveTRMM');
        openen = sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\GPM\%03d.mat',k);
        load(openen,'aveGPM');
        openen = sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\ECMWF\%03d.mat',k);
        load(openen,'aveECMWF');
        openen = sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\CHIRPS\%03d.mat',k);
        load(openen,'aveCHIRPS');
        if T(5,k) == 1;
            openen = sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\RFE\%03d.mat',k);
            load(openen,'aveRFE');
        end
        if T(4,k) == 1;
            openen = sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\PERSIANN\%03d.mat',k);
            load(openen,'avePERSIANN');
        end
        if T(3,k) == 1;
            openen = sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\CMORPH\%03d.mat',k);
            load(openen,'aveCMORPH');
        end
        if T(2,k) == 1;
            openen = sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\GSMaP\%03d.mat',k);
            load(openen,'aveGSMaP');
        end
        if T(1,k) == 1;
            openen = sprintf('GridBlock\Triplekaarten\TAMSAT\%03d.mat',k);
            load(openen,'aveTAMSAT');
        end
    end
end

for i = 1:size(gemiddeld,1);
    yas = (40 - gemiddeld(i,2))/0.25;
    xas = (gemiddeld(i,3) - 20)/0.25;
end
```
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aan = gemiddeld(i, 4, k)/0.25-1;
Vergelijk(i, 1, k) = gemiddeld(i, 4, k)/0.25-1;
TRMM = nanmean(nanmean(aveTRMM(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 2, k) = TRMM;
GPCP = nanmean(nanmean(aveGPCP(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 3, k) = GPCP;
GPCP1DD = nanmean(nanmean(aveGPCP1DD(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 4, k) = GPCP1DD;
CRU = nanmean(nanmean(aveCRU(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 5, k) = CRU;
ECMWF = nanmean(nanmean(aveECMWF(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 6, k) = ECMWF;
ARC = nanmean(nanmean(aveARC(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 7, k) = ARC;
CHIRPS = nanmean(nanmean(aveCHIRPS(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 8, k) = CHIRPS;
if T(5, k) == 1;
RFE = nanmean(nanmean(aveRFE(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 9, k) = RFE;
else
Vergelijk(i, 9, k) = NaN;
end
if T(4, k) == 1;
PERSIANN = nanmean(nanmean(avePERSIANN(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 10, k) = PERSIANN;
else
Vergelijk(i, 10, k) = NaN;
end
if T(3, k) == 1;
CMORPH = nanmean(nanmean(aveCMORPH(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 11, k) = CMORPH;
else
Vergelijk(i, 11, k) = NaN;
end
if T(2, k) == 1;
GSMaP = nanmean(nanmean(aveGSMaP(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 12, k) = GSMaP;
else
Vergelijk(i, 12, k) = NaN;
end
if T(1, k) == 1;
TAMSAT = nanmean(nanmean(aveTAMSAT(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 13, k) = TAMSAT;
else
Vergelijk(i, 13, k) = NaN;
end
TRIPLE = nanmean(nanmean(aveTRIPLE(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 15, k) = TRIPLE;
GPCC = nanmean(nanmean(aveGPCC(yas:ys+aan, xas:xas+aan)));
Vergelijk(i, 14, k) = GPCC;
else
Vergelijk(i, 14, k) = NaN;
end
end
end
tekst3 = ['TRMM '; 'GPCP '; 'GPCP1DD '; 'CRU '; 'ECMWF '; 'ARC '; 'CHIRPS '; 'RFE '; 'PERSIANN '; 'CMORPH '; 'GSMaP '; 'TAMSAT '; 'GPCC '; 'TRIPLE '];
letters = [4 4 7 3 5 3 6 3 8 6 5 6 4 6];
for welkproduct = 1:14;
for k = 1: size(gempunt, 3);
for i = 1:size(Vergelijk, 1);
   Vergelijk(k, k) = 0;
   Vergelijk(:, k) = Vergelijk(:, k) - Vergelijk(i, k);
end
end
Vergelijk = Vergelijk';
for k = 1:length(Vergelijk);
   Vergelijk(k, k) = 0;
   Vergelijk(k, :) = Vergelijk(k, :)/nanmean(Vergelijk(k, :));
end
if i == 1:length(Vergelijk);
   Vergelijk(1, :) = NaN;
else
   Vergelijk(1, :) = Vergelijk(1, :)/nanmean(Vergelijk(1, :));
end
if i == 1:length(Vergelijk);
   Vergelijk(2, :) = NaN;
else
   Vergelijk(2, :) = Vergelijk(2, :)/nanmean(Vergelijk(2, :));
end
Grond = Vergelijk(1, :); % Alle waarden worden in Grond opgeslagen.
Product = Vergelijk(2, :); % Alle waarden worden in Product opgeslagen.
sumGrond = sum(Grond);
sumProduct = sum(Product);
boven = sum(Grond.*Product)/sum(Grond.*Product); % boven = sum(Grond.*Product)/sum(Product.*Product);
onder = sqrt(sum(Grond.*Grond).*(Product.*Product)); % onder = sqrt(sum(Grond.*Grond).*(Product.*Product));
if onder~=0;
   Bias = boven/onder;
else
   Bias = NaN;
end

5.3 Block kriging

The last method is the block kriging method. This method fits First semivariograms through the observed ground measurement data. Then those variograms are used to interpolate the rainfall of the observed ground measurement with the use of block kriging.

5.3.1 Fit semivariograms

An Gaussian, spherical and exponential curve are fitted to the observed variograms, the curve with the lowest RMSE is used as semivariogram for the further calculations.

This method fit First semivariograms through the observed ground measurement data. Then those variograms are used to interpolate the rainfall of the observed ground measurement with the use of block kriging.

5.3.2 Block kriging

The last method is the block kriging method. This method fits First semivariograms through the observed ground measurement data. Then those variograms are used to interpolate the rainfall of the observed ground measurement with the use of block kriging.
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```matlab
% Meting(50).Lat=9.35; Meting(50).Lon=34.53; Meting(50).Name='Bapi';
Meting(51).Lat=9.35; Meting(51).Lon=34.53; Meting(51).Name='Bapi';

Meting(52).Lat=11.083; Meting(52).Lon=37.867; Meting(52).Name='Motta';
Meting(53).Lat=11.083; Meting(53).Lon=37.867; Meting(53).Name='Motta';
Meting(54).Lat=11; Meting(54).Lon=37.13; Meting(54).Name='Sekela';
Meting(55).Lat=11; Meting(55).Lon=37.13; Meting(55).Name='Sekela';
Meting(56).Lat=10.41; Meting(56).Lon=37.16; Meting(56).Name='Fode';
Meting(57).Lat=10.41; Meting(57).Lon=37.16; Meting(57).Name='Fode';
Meting(58).Lat=1.016; Meting(58).Lon=35; Meting(58).Name='Kitale';
Meting(58).Lat=1.016; Meting(58).Lon=35; Meting(58).Name='Kitale';
Meting(59).Lat=0.405; Meting(59).Lon=35.224; Meting(59).Name='Bp';
Meting(59).Lat=0.405; Meting(59).Lon=35.224; Meting(59).Name='Bp';
Meting(60).Lat=-0.1; Meting(60).Lon=34.75; Meting(60).Name='Kisumu';
Meting(60).Lat=-0.1; Meting(60).Lon=34.75; Meting(60).Name='Kisumu';
Meting(61).Lat=-1.333; Meting(61).Lon=31.817; Meting(61).Name='Bukoba';
Meting(61).Lat=-1.333; Meting(61).Lon=31.817; Meting(61).Name='Bukoba';
Meting(62).Lat=-1.5; Meting(62).Lon=33.8; Meting(62).Name='Musoma';
Meting(62).Lat=-1.5; Meting(62).Lon=33.8; Meting(62).Name='Musoma';
Meting(63).Lat=-2.467; Meting(63).Lon=32.917; Meting(63).Name='Mwanza';
Meting(63).Lat=-2.467; Meting(63).Lon=32.917; Meting(63).Name='Mwanza';
Meting(64).Lat=-4.88; Meting(64).Lon=29.63; Meting(64).Name='Kigoma';
Meting(64).Lat=-4.88; Meting(64).Lon=29.63; Meting(64).Name='Kigoma';
Meting(65).Lat=-1.96; Meting(65).Lon=30.13; Meting(65).Name='Kigali';
Meting(65).Lat=-1.96; Meting(65).Lon=30.13; Meting(65).Name='Kigali';

% calculate the distance between 2 measurements
load('O:\Metingenmat', 'grond'); % result m-file

B=A(1:11,2:13);
B=B';
C=reshape(B,1,132);
D(:,:,t)=C;
end

%% calculate the distance between 2 measurements
for m=1:12; % months
    x0=Meting(x).Lon;
    y0=Meting(x).Lat;
    stappen=0.25; % step size of the intervals
    for y=1:62;
        if x==y;
            r(y)=0;
        else
            afy=abs(Meting(x).Lat-Meting(y).Lat);
            afx=abs(Meting(x).Lon-Meting(y).Lon);
            r(y)=sqrt(afx^2+afy^2);
        end
    end
    doen=zeros(30,10);
    for i=1:30;
        r1=(i-1)*0.25;
        r2=i*0.25;
        if r(1,y)<=r2 && r(1,y)>=r1;
            doen(i,welke)=y;
            welke=welke+1;
        end
    end
    for i=1:30;
        r1=(i-1)*0.25;
        gebruiken=[];
        gebruiken=doen(i,:);
        welke=1;
        for j=1:10;
            if gebruiken(j)>=0.1;
                gebruiken(j)=gebruiken(j);
                welke=welke+1;
            end
        end
    end
    TF=isempty(gebruiken);
    j=[0:10];
    maanden=[j(i)+j(12)];
    if TF==1;
        for [gebruiken];
            P(:,welke)=0;maanden,y);
            welke=welke+1;
        end
    else
        Vario(1,1)=0.5*Semivario;
        Afstand(1,1)=1+stappen/2;
        else
            Vario(1,1)=1+stappen/2; Afstand(1,1)=1+stappen/2;
            else
                Vario(1,1)=MaNa;
                Afstand(1,1)=MaNa;
                else
                    Vario(1,1)=MaNa;
                    Afstand(1,1)=MaNa;
                    end
        E=[ ]; C=[ ];
    end
    for q=1:length(Afstand);
        if Afstand(q,1)==0;
            Afstand(q,1)=NaN;
        end
    end
    R(1,:)=Afstand;
    R(2,:)=Vario;
```
%R=R(:,all(~isnan(R)));            
Distance=R(1,:);                   
TF=isempty(R);                      
if TF~=1;                           
    Variogram=R(2,:);               
else                                
    Variogram=0(2,:);               
end                                

% fit a Gaussian, spherical and exponential curve  
myfittype1=fittype(’c*(1-exp((-x^2)/(2*b^2))))’);   
myfittype2=fittype(’(c*(((3/2)*(x/b)-0.5*(x^3/b^3))))’);  
myfittype3=fittype(’(c*(1-exp((-x^2)/(2*b^2))))’);   
[myfit1,gof1]=fit(Distance’,Variogram’,myfittype1);   
[myfit2,gof2]=fit(Distance’,Variogram’,myfittype2);   
if m==5 && x==39 || m==6 && x==40 || m==10 && x==40;  
    % some exceptions, was not possible to fit this curve  
    myfit3.b=NaN;  
    myfit3.c=NaN;  
    gof3.rmse=NaN;  
else  
    [myfit3,gof3]=fit(Distance’,Variogram’,myfittype3);  
end  
figure;  
fh1=@(x) (myfit1.c-myfit1.c*exp((-x^2)/(myfit1.b^2))));  
fh2=@(x) (myfit2.c*((3/2)*(x/myfit2.b)-0.5*(x^3/myfit2.b^3))));  
fh3=@(x) (myfit3.c*(1-exp((-x/(myfit3.b)^2))));  
fplot(fh1,[0 20]);  
hold on;  
fplot(fh2,[0 20],’y’);  
fplot(fh3,[0 20],’c’);  
hs=scatter(Distance,Variogram,’c’);  
A(x,1,m)=myfit1.b;  
A(x,2,m)=myfit1.c;  
A(x,3,m)=max(R(2,:));  
A(x,4,m)=gof1.rmse;  
A(x,5,m)=myfit2.b;  
A(x,6,m)=myfit2.c;  
A(x,7,m)=max(R(2,:));  
A(x,8,m)=gof2.rmse;  
A(x,9,m)=myfit3.b;  
A(x,10,m)=myfit3.c;  
A(x,11,m)=max(R(2,:));  
rmse(x,1:3)=A(x,[4 8 12],m);  
if min(rmse(x,:))==A(x,4,m)  
    F(x,1,m)=A(x,4,m);  
    F(x,2,m)=1;  
    F(x,3,m)=A(x,1,m);  
    F(x,4,m)=A(x,2,m);  
elseif min(rmse(x,:))==A(x,8,m)  
    F(x,1,m)=A(x,8,m);  
    F(x,2,m)=2;  
    F(x,3,m)=A(x,5,m);  
    F(x,4,m)=A(x,6,m);  
elseif min(rmse(x,:))==A(x,12,m)  
    F(x,1,m)=A(x,12,m);  
    F(x,2,m)=3;  
    F(x,3,m)=A(x,9,m);  
    F(x,4,m)=A(x,10,m);  
end  
F(x,5,m)=max(R(2,:));  
else  
    F(x,1:5,m)=NaN;  
end  
clearvars -except A F rmse m Meting D


5.3.2 Interpolate ground measurements using block kriging

Now this variogram is used in the block kriging method.

m-file 42
clear all
close all
dc
for p=0:0.1:
    for m=1:12;
        size=25; % Grid size 2.5
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```
%size block kringing
sizeblockarea=2.5% area which is taken into account
for xas=1:38:size block
% grid kolom
for yas=1:50:size block/4 grid
beginlon2=xas-11*sizeblockarea;
eindlon2=xas+11*sizeblockarea;
beginlatpunt2=yas-10*sizeblockarea;
eindlatpunt2=yas+10*sizeblockarea;
beginpointpunt2=beginlon2+10.4*sizeblockarea;
eindpointpunt2=eindlon2-10.4*sizeblockarea;
x2=inspace(beginlon2,eindlon2,2,erase-sizeblock2,2,erase/block);
y2=inspace(beginlatpunt2,eindlatpunt2,2,erase-sizeblock2,2,erase/block);
hoewel=1;
hoewel punt=1;
for meting=1:55:ground measurements
Meting(1).Lat=33.37; Meting(1).Name='Sennar';
Meting(2).Lat=31.03; Meting(2).Name='Reinhard';
Meting(3).Lat=30.14; Meting(3).Name='Obied';
Meting(4).Lat=24.53; Meting(4).Name='Nyal');
Meting(5).Lat=28.26; Meting(5).Name='Nyabom';
Meting(6).Lat=33.29; Meting(6).Name='Madi';
Meting(7).Lat=32.40; Meting(7).Name='Kestf';
Meting(8).Lat=32.549; Meting(8).Name='Sennar';
Meting(9).Lat=36.24; Meting(9).Name='Kasal');
Meting(10).Lat=29.43; Meting(10).Name='Kadug';
Meting(11).Lat=35.58; Meting(11).Name='Atbara';
Meting(12).Lat=35.24; Meting(12).Name='Sadatf';
Meting(13).Lat=25.20; Meting(13).Name='Fatotel';
Meting(14).Lat=32.20; Meting(14).Name='Duem';
Meting(15).Lat=34.23; Meting(15).Name='Damazin);'
Meting(16).Lat=27.49; Meting(16).Name='Bahamu');
Meting(17).Lat=37.26; Meting(17).Name='Gondor');
Meting(18).Lat=32.47; Meting(18).Name='Assaw');
Meting(19).Lat=31.24; Meting(19).Name='Cairo');
Meting(20).Lat=32.42; Meting(20).Name='Lus');
Meting(21).Lat=32.75; Meting(21).Name='Qned');
Meting(22).Lat=31.01; Meting(22).Name='Dabel');
Meting(23).Lat=30.44; Meting(23).Name='Elmin');
Meting(24).Lat=36.417; Meting(24).Name='Dang');
Meting(25).Lat=38.033; Meting(25).Name='Dabetabor');
Meting(26).Lat=37.867; Meting(26).Name='Addiszamen');
Meting(27).Lat=37.050; Meting(27).Name='Obek');
Meting(28).Lat=37.647; Meting(28).Name='Adel');
Meting(29).Lat=37.300; Meting(29).Name='Gongor');
Meting(30).Lat=37.000; Meting(30).Name='Enjibara');
Meting(31).Lat=37.68; Meting(31).Name='Emzar');
Meting(32).Lat=37.68; Meting(32).Name='Wabenh');
Meting(33).Lat=37.317; Meting(33).Name='Dage');
Meting(34).Lat=37.550; Meting(34).Name='Magk');
Meting(35).Lat=36.80; Meting(35).Name='Kolduz');
Meting(36).Lat=37.380; Meting(36).Name='Kamik');
Meting(37).Lat=37.050; Meting(37).Name='Wetetabay');
Meting(38).Lat=37.070; Meting(38).Name='Gundu');
Meting(39).Lat=36.870; Meting(39).Name='Alshaield');
Meting(40).Lat=36.856; Meting(40).Name='Uran');
Meting(41).Lat=38.01; Meting(41).Name='Wai');
Meting(42).Lat=31.39; Meting(42).Name='Malak');
Meting(43).Lat=31.36; Meting(43).Name='Jeka');
Meting(44).Lat=38.13; Meting(44).Name='Penten');
Meting(45).Lat=36.57; Meting(45).Name='Skendi');
Meting(46).Lat=37.43; Meting(46).Name='Dibemmar');
Meting(47).Lat=35.42; Meting(47).Name='Nyag');
Meting(48).Lat=35.38; Meting(48).Name='Mache');
Meting(49).Lat=34.12; Meting(49).Name='Awa');
Meting(50).Lat=34.51; Meting(50).Name='Seg');
Meting(51).Lat=37.13; Meting(51).Name='Seke');
Meting(52).Lat=37.867; Meting(52).Name='Motte');
Meting(53).Lat=37.66; Meting(53).Name='Motta');
Meting(54).Lat=39.38; Meting(54).Name='Gebeya');
Meting(55).Lat=30; Meting(55).Name='Kakte');
Meting(56).Lat=35.224; Meting(56).Name='Vedore');
Meting(57).Lat=34.75; Meting(57).Name='Kisumu');
Meting(58).Lat=31.817; Meting(58).Name='Buoba');
Meting(59).Lat=33.8; Meting(59).Name='Musoma');
Meting(60).Lat=32.917; Meting(60).Name='Mwan');
Meting(61).Lat=29.63; Meting(61).Name='Kigoma');
Meting(62).Lat=30.13; Meting(62).Name='Kigal');
```
```
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```
INpunt(1:3,hoeveelpunt)=[Meting(meting).Lat Meting(meting).Lon meting];
hoeveelpunt=hoeveelpunt+1;
end
end
if hoeveel>1
    Arboraal:length(x1);
Coord=[];
if hoeveel>1;
i=1;
for x=1:length(x1);
    for y=1:length(y1);
        Coord(1,2)=(x1(x) y1(y));
i=i+1;
end
end
if i>1;
for x=1;i;
    for y=1;i;
        if x==y;
            A(x,y)=0;
        else
            x1=abs(Coord(x,1)-Coord(y,1));
y1=abs(Coord(x,2)-Coord(y,2));
A(x,y)=sqrt(x1^2+y1^2);
        end
end
end
else
    break
end
M=INpunt(3,:);
for x=1:length(M);
    open=sprintf('Rainfall data ground\%s',teksttwee(M(x),:));
data=xlsread(open);
data=data(1:11,2:13);
data=data';
data=reshape(data,1,132);
Data(xl,1)=data(l);
Data(xl,2)=IN(3,xl);
Data(xl,3)=IN(1,xl);
Data(xl,4)=IN(2,xl);
Data1=Data';
Data2=Data1(:,all(~isnan(Data1)));
end
gelijkvar=Data2(2,:);
clear Data Data1
M=INpunt(3,:);
for x=1:length(M);
    open=sprintf('Rainfall data ground\%s',teksttwee(M(x),:));
data=xlsread(open);
data=data(1:11,2:13);
data=data';
data=reshape(data,1,132);
Data(xl,1)=data(l);
Data(xl,2)=IN(3,xl);
Data(xl,3)=IN(1,xl);
Data(xl,4)=IN(2,xl);
Data1=Data';
Data3=Data1(:,all(~isnan(Data1)));
end
gelijkvar=Data3(2,:);
clear Data Data1
if (sum(isnan(Dataadoor)))/length(Dataadoor);
p=gelijkvar;
lon=(Meting(p).lon);
lat=(Meting(p).lat);
x=1;
end
if length(lon)>=1;
for x=1:length(lon);
    for y=1:length(lat);
        D(x,y)=0;
    else
        x1=abs(lon(x)-lon(y));
y1=abs(lat(x)-lat(y));
D(x,y)=sqrt(x1^2+y1^2);
    end
end
end
x=1;
y=1;
for y=1:length(D);
    for x=1:length(D);
        x1=abs(Coord(1,1)-lon(y));
y1=abs(Coord(2,2)-lat(y));
R(x,y)=sqrt(x1^2+y1^2);
    end
end
C=E=[A B C D];
clear A B C D
```

5.3.3 Comparison between Block kriging and products

This m-file makes for every pixel a .mat file which consists of all the values of all the products and the ground measurements interpolated with block kriging. The m-file below is for the 0.25 degrees resolution, almost the same format is used for the 2.5 degrees resolution.
grid=0.25;
B=zeros(50/grid,30/grid);
for y=0:10;
  for m=1:12;
    k=y*12+m;
    if P(k,1)==1;
      open=sprintf('GridBlock\Meetpunten025\2025meet25grid.mat');
      load(open, 'ResultZ(Kolom,Rij,k)');
      if isnan(ResultZ(Kolom,Rij,k));
        P(k,1)=NaN;
      else
        E=ResultZ(Kolom,Rij,k);
        T(1,:)=ones(1,80) 0 ones(1,51)]; % TAMSAT
        T(2,:)=zeros(1,127) 0 ones(1,127); % GSMaP
        T(3,:)=zeros(1,126) ones(1,126); % CMORPH
        T(4,:)=zeros(1,130) 0 ones(1,130); % PERSIANN
        T(5,:)=zeros(1,129) ones(1,129); % RFE 2.0
      end
    else
      open=sprintf('GridBlock\Meetpunten025\2025meet25grid.mat');
      load(open, 'ResultZ(Kolom,Rij,k)');
      if isnan(ResultZ(Kolom,Rij,k));
        P(k,1)=NaN;
      else
        E=ResultZ(Kolom,Rij,k);
        T(1,:)=ones(1,80) 0 ones(1,51)]; % TAMSAT
        T(2,:)=zeros(1,127) 0 ones(1,127); % GSMaP
        T(3,:)=zeros(1,126) ones(1,126); % CMORPH
        T(4,:)=zeros(1,130) 0 ones(1,130); % PERSIANN
        T(5,:)=zeros(1,129) ones(1,129); % RFE 2.0
      end
    end
  end
end
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5.3.4 Validation using the block kriging method
The last m-file calculates the correlation, bias, and the RMSE of the products. This is done for the monthly and yearly rainfall values. Different areas can be calculated by using different pixel selection maps.

Yearly:

```matlab
% CMORPH 11
k=y*12+m;
if T(3,t)==1;
    openen=sprintf('%s\(\text{CMORPH}\%03d.mat\)',k); % result m-file 31
    D=aveCMORPH(Rij,Kolom);
k=y*12+m;
P(k,11)=D;
else
    P(k,11)=NaN;
end

% GSMaP 12
k=y*12+m;
if T(2,t)==1;
    openen=sprintf('%s\(\text{GSMaP}\%03d.mat\)',k); % result m-file 31
    D=aveGSMaP(Rij,Kolom);
k=y*12+m;
P(k,12)=D;
else
    P(k,12)=NaN;
end

% TAMSAT
k=y*12+m;
if T(1,t)==1;
    openen=sprintf('%s\(\text{TAMSAT}\%03d.mat\)',k); % result m-file 31
    D=aveTAMSAT(Rij,Kolom);
k=y*12+m;
P(k,13)=D;
else
    P(k,13)=NaN;
end

% GPCC
k=y*12+m;
openen=sprintf('%s\(\text{GPCC}\%03d.mat\)',k); % result m-file 31
D=aveGPCC(Rij,Kolom);
P(k,14)=D;

% Triple
k=y*12+m;
openen=sprintf('%s\(\text{TRIPLE}\%03d.mat\)',k); % result m-file 33
D=aveTRIPLE(Rij,Kolom);
k=y*12+m;
P(k,15)=D;
else
    P(k,1:13)=NaN;
end

% validation using the block kriging method
clc;
tekst3=['TRMM    ' 'GPCP    ' 'GPCP1DD ' 'CRU     ' 'ECMWF   ' 'ARC     ' 'CHIRPS ' 'RFE     ' 'PERSIANN' 'CMORPH ' 'GSMaP ' 'TAMSAT ';
letters=[4 4 7 3 5 3 6 3 8 6 5 6];
grid=2.5;
getal=25;
load('GridBlock\Pixels25blue Nile.mat');% pixel selection P for whole basin
PK=0.05 for whole basin
load('GridBlock\Pixels25blue Nile.mat');% pixel selection P for whole basin
for welkproduct=1:14;
    Vergelijkin='';
aspectratime=14;
for x=1:1.30/grid;
    for y=1.50/grid;
        % file names
        teks=[...
```
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```matlab
for j=0:10;
    for m=1:12;
        l=m+12*j;
        if K(x,y,l)==1;
            openen=sprintf('GridBlock\%d\%dx%dy%02d.mat',get(a,l));
            % result m-file
            load(openen,'P(m,p)');
            P(n,m)=P.,(n,wellproduct+m)*12;
            Vergelijkg(1,aantal)=mean(P)*12;
            Vergelijkg(2,aantal)=mean(P)*12;
            Vergelijkg(3,aantal)=x;
            Vergelijkg(4,aantal)=y;
            aantal=aantal+1;
        end
    end
end
end

for i=1:length(Vergelijkg);
    if Vergelijkg(1,i)==0;
        Vergelijkg(1,i)=NaN;
    end
end
for i=1:length(Vergelijkg);
    if Vergelijkg(2,i)==0;
        Vergelijkg(2,i)=NaN;
    end
end
Vergelijkg=Vergelijkg(:,all(~isnan(Vergelijkg)));
for i=1:length(Vergelijkg);
    if Vergelijkg(1,i)==0;
        Vergelijkg(1,i)=NaN;
    end
end
Grond=Vergelijkg(1,:);
Product=Vergelijkg(2,:);
Vergelijktwee(1,:)=Vergelijkg(1,:);
Vergelijktwee(2,:)=Vergelijkg(2,:);
gemGrond=nanmean(Grond);
gemProduct=nanmean(Product);
sumGrond=sum(Grond);
sunProduct=sum(Product);
boveen=sum(Grond)*gemGrond.*Product-gemProduct;
onder=sum(Grond)*sumProduct-Product)*gemGrond.*Product-gemProduct);
Corr=boveen/onder;

Bias=nanmean(verschil);
RMSE=sqrt(nanmean(verschil.^2));

Corr(welkproduct)=boveen/onder;

verschil=Product-Grond;
Bias2(welkproduct)=sum(Product)/sum(Grond);
RMSE(welkproduct)=100*sqrt(nanmean(verschil.^2))/gemGrond;
end

%% for scatter plot
figure(1);
plot(1:6,1:6);
hold on;
plot(1:6,1:6);
hold off;

for j=0:10;
end
```
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tekst3=['TRMM  GPCP  GPCP1DD  CRU  ECMWF  ARC  CHIRPS  RFE  PERSIANN CMORPH  GSMaP  TAMSAT  GCPC  TRIPLE '];
letters=[4 4 7 3 5 3 6 3 8 6 5 6 4 6];
grid=0.25; % If 2.5 degrees than grid = 2.5
geta=25;
load('GridBlockPixels025.mat',P); % Pixel selection
PX for subbasin % result m-file 34
if P
PX for subbasin P=0.5 P for subbasin
for welkproduct=1:14;
aantal=1;
Vergelijk(:,1)=0;
Vergelijk(1,:)=P(welkproduct+1);
X=x.
Y=y.
L=l.
if K(L,X,Y)==1;
openen=sprintf('GridBlock\%0d\%0d\%0d.mat',geta,X,Y);
load(openen,P);
Vergelijk(1,aantal)=P(L,1);
Vergelijk(2,aantal)=P(L,welkproduct+1);
aantal=aantal+1;
end
end
end
for i=length(Vergelijk);
if Vergelijk(1,i)==0;
Vergelijk(1,i)=NaN;
end
for i=length(Vergelijk);
if Vergelijk(2,i)==0;
Vergelijk(2,i)=NaN;
end
Vergelijktwee(1,:)=Vergelijk(1,:);
Vergelijktwee(2,:)=Vergelijk(2,:);
Grond=Vergelijk(1,:);
Product=Vergelijk(2,:);
Corr=nanmean(Grond);
Bias=nanmean(Product);
RMSE=nanmean(Vergelijktwee);
for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
if Vergelijktwee(i,1)<=0;
Corr(i)=NaN;
end
for i=1:length(Vergelijktwee);
if Vergelijktwee(i,2)<=0;
Bias(i)=NaN;
end
Corr(Grond)=Corr(Grond);
Bias(Product)=Bias(Product);
end
Corr=Corr(:,false);
Bias=Bias(:,false);
end
%% for scatter plot
welkproduct=6; % fill in the product 1=TRMM 2=GPCP 3=GPCP1DD 4=CRU 5=ECMWF 6=ARC 7=CHIRPS 8=RFE 9=PERSIANN 10=CMORPH 11=GSMaP 12=TAMSAT 13=GCPC 14=TRIPLE
Vergelijktwee(1,:)=Vergelijk(1,:);
Vergelijktwee(2,:)=Vergelijk(2,:);
figure(1);
plot(1:10,1:10);
hold on
plot(1:6,1:6);
% legend('test 1','test2 1','Location','NorthWest')
handle = get(h);
divide(handle)
Grond=Vergelijktwee(1,:);
Product=Vergelijktwee(2,:);
figure(2);
plot(Grond,Product,'.');
6. Pictures in paper

6.1 Figure 1:
Made with QGIS. (A) is the DEM map clipped for the Nile Basin. The river is found by using r.watershed on the lake is made by using r.lake. The editing is done in the editor of QGIS.
(B) averaging the yearly rainfall from the TRMM product which was calculated in m-file 24. This averaging is done in QGIS. Also the editing is done in QGIS.
(C) plotting the subbasins shapefiles in QGIS and edited in the editor of QGIS.
The picture is made in adobe illustrator.

6.2 Figure 2:
This picture is made with QGIS, with the shapefiles for the point measurements made in Matlab, see m-file 27 by saving the Meting parameter as a shapefile. The editing part is done in adobe illustrator.

6.3 Figure 3:
The maps are made in matlab with m-file 31. The editing is done in QGIS and adobe illustrator.

6.4 Figure 4:
Is made with the following m-file:

```matlab
m-file 46
clear all;
close all;
cic;
% load('Balkplaatje.mat'); % made in excel with the use of the results of m-file 20
Jaar=zeros(14,11);
for y=0:10;
    for m=1:14;
        Jaar(m,y+1)=sum(T(m,y*12+1:y*12+12));
    end
end
for m=1:14;
    gem(m)=nanmean(Jaar(m,4:10));
    max(m)=nanmean(Jaar(m,8:10));
    min(m)=nanmean(Jaar(m,5:7));
end
figure(1);

% fn=bar(gem,0.9,'k');
set(gca,'Box','off');
set(gca,'XTickLabel','PERSIANN','CMORPH','GSMaP','TRMM','RFE 2.0','TRIPLE');
set(gca,'FontSize',7);
set(gca,'Xticklabel_rotate',14,90, {0,0,38,20});
set(gca,'XTickLabel','Precipitation in millimeters','Fontsize',14);
ylabel('Precipitation in millimeters','FontSize',9);
set(gcf,'color','white');
saveas(fh,'meanrainfallnilebasin.epsc');

% fn=bar(gem,0.9,'k');
set(gca,'Box','off');
set(gca,'XTickLabel','PERSIANN','CMORPH','GSMaP','TRMM','RFE 2.0','TRIPLE');
set(gca,'FontSize',7);
set(gca,'Xticklabel_rotate',14,90, {0,0,38,20});
set(gca,'XTickLabel','Precipitation in millimeters','Fontsize',14);
ylabel('Precipitation in millimeters','FontSize',9);
set(gcf,'color','white');
saveas(fh,'meanrainfallnilebasin.epsc');
```
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Some editing is done in adobe illustrator.

6.5 Figure 5:
The boxplots are made with the following m-file:

```matlab
% theboxplotsaremadewiththefollowingm-file:

%%
A=xlsread('nilebasinrainfall20032010.xlsx'); % yearly results for the nile calculated with m-file 20
Jaar=zeros(14,11);

for maand=1:12;
    for m=1:14;
        y=[0:7];
        maandwelke=[36+y*12+maand];
        maandmean(m,maand)=nanmean(A(m,maandwelke));
        end
    end

for m=1:14;
    for y=1:8;
        for maand=1:12;
            i=24+maand*y*12;
            maandannomalies(m,i-36)=100*(A(m,i)/maandmean(m,maand));
        end
    end
end

for m=1:14;
    for y=1:8;
        for maand=1:12;
            i=24+maand*y*12;
            maandannomalies2(m,i-36)=(A(m,i)-maandmean(m,maand));
        end
    end
end

for maand=6:9
    h=figure(maand);
    y=[0:7];
    box=maandannomalies(:,[y*12+maand]);
    box=box';
    for m=1:14;
        y=[0:7];
        h=plot(m,box(:,m),'b+');
        hold on;
        minima=min(box(:,m));
        maxima=max(box(:,m));
        h=plot(m,minima,'ko','linewidth',3);
        h=plot(m,maxima,'ko','linewidth',3);
        hold on;
        labels={'TRMM' 'GPCP' 'GPCP1DD' 'CRU' 'ECMWF' 'ARC' 'CHIRPS' 'RFE' 'PERSIANH' 'CMORPH' 'GSMaP' 'TAMSAT' 'GPCC' 'TRIPLE'};
        set(gca,'XTick',1:14,'XTickLabel',labels);
    end
end

for maand=6:9
    h=figure(maand);
    y=[0:7];
    box=maandannomalies2(:,[y*12+maand]);
    box=box';
    for m=1:14;
        y=[0:7];
        h=plot(m,box(:,m),'b+');
        hold on;
        minima=min(box(:,m));
        maxima=max(box(:,m));
        h=plot(m,minima,'ko','linewidth',3);
        h=plot(m,maxima,'ko','linewidth',3);
        hold on;
        labels={'TRMM' 'GPCP' 'GPCP1DD' 'CRU' 'ECMWF' 'ARC' 'CHIRPS' 'RFE' 'PERSIANH' 'CMORPH' 'GSMaP' 'TAMSAT' 'GPCC' 'TRIPLE'};
        set(gca,'XTick',1:14,'XTickLabel',labels);
    end
end

for maand=6:9
    h=figure(maand);
    y=[0:7];
    box=maandannomalies(:,[y*12+maand]);
    box=box';
    boxplot(box(:,1),{'TRMM','GPCP','GPCP1DD','CRU','ECMWF','ARC','CHIRPS','RFE','PERSIANH','CMORPH','GSMaP','TAMSAT','GPCC','TRIPLE'});
end
```
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Editing is done in adobe illustrator

6.6 Figure 6:
Is made with the following m-file:

m-file 48

```matlab
% clear all; close all; clc;
for t=1:16; which basin
    method=printif {'nearest'}; trimcubic nearest or bilinear
    basin={'Nilebasin.shp', 'albertnilebahraljabal.shp', 'bahrelphalshai.shp', 'baroakobosobat.shp', 'bluenile.shp', 'victorianile.shp', 'tekezzeatbara.shp', 'victorianile.shp'};
    gridDensity=10; [inGrid,InRefVec]=vec2mtx(inLat,inLon,gridDensity); Masker=zeros(500,300);
    B=flipud(Masker); if isnan(B(q,w));
    R2 = georasterref('Mainnile1', 300, 300); MaskerTAMSAT=Masker(21:end,:); MaskerCMORPH=Masker(11:end,:); MaskerECMWF=Masker(14:end,:);
    B=(B(:,:)./C(1,1)); C=max(C,[],2); C=max(B,[],1); totalcount=sum(count);
    count=sum(Masker==1); end
    B=B.*Masker; B=flipud(B);
    geoshow(Nilebasin.Lat,Nilebasin.Lon,'DisplayType','line','Color','k')
    geoshow(Masker,R2,'DisplayType','Texturemap');
end
```
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Substantive data

102
clear k = m U T(k)=Pgem; k=m+y*12;
PaveARC=sum(PARC)/totalcount;
PARC=ARC2.*Masker;
ECMWF2=resizem(ECMWF2,7.5,method,0);
PaveECMWF=sum(ECMWF);
ECMWF=ECMWF2.*MaskerECMWF;
PaveECMWF=sum(PaveECMWF)/totalcount;
k=m+y*12;
T(2,k)=Pgem;

clear k = m U T(k)=Pgem; k=m+y*12;
PaveCRU=sum(PCRU)/totalcount;
PCRU=CRU.*Masker;
CRU=resizem(CRU,5,method,0);
PaveCRU=sum(PaveCRU)/totalcount;
k=m+y*12;
T(4,k)=Pgem;

clear k = m U T(k)=Pgem; k=m+y*12;
PaveGPCP1DD=sum(PGPCP1DD)/totalcount;
PGPCP1DD=GPCP1DD.*Masker;
GPCP1DD=resizem(GPCP1DD,10,method,0);
PaveGPCP1DD=sum(PaveGPCP1DD)/totalcount;
k=m+y*12;
T(3,k)=Pgem;

clear k = m U T(k)=Pgem; k=m+y*12;
PaveGPCP=sum(PGPCP)/totalcount;
PGPCP=GPCP.*Masker;
GPCP=resizem(GPCP,25,method,0);
PaveGPCP=sum(PaveGPCP)/totalcount;
k=m+y*12;
T(1,k)=Pgem;

clear k = m U T(k)=Pgem; k=m+y*12;
PaveTRMM=sum(PTRMM)/totalcount;
PTRMM=TRMM.*Masker;
TRMM=resizem(TRMM,2.5,method,0);
PaveTRMM=sum(PaveTRMM)/totalcount;
k=m+y*12;
T(5,k)=Pgem;

if isnan(CRU(q,w));
CRU(q,w)=0;
end

for q=1:100
  ARC2(q,w)=(ARC(q,w)+ARC(q+1,w)+ARC(q,w+1)+ARC(q+1,w+1))/4;
  w=1:300
end

for q=1:65
  ECMWF2(q,w)=(ECMWF(q,w)+ECMWF(q+1,w)+ECMWF(q,w+1)+ECMWF(q+1,w+1))/4;
  w=1:40
end

for q=1:12
  GPCP1DDclip.tif'
  ECMWFclip.tif'
  CRUclip.tif'
  GPCPclip.tif'
  TRMMclip.tif'
  result m-file 18
for y=0:10
  CRU clip.tif
  ECMWF clip.tif
  GPCP clip.tif
  TRMM clip.tif
  result file 18
for m=1:12
  U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\201024\402A\%CRUclip.tif',y,m);
results

for m=1:12
  U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\201024\402A\%ECMWFclip.tif',y,m);
results

for m=1:12
  U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\201024\402A\%GPCPclip.tif',y,m);
results

for m=1:12
  U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\201024\402A\%TRMMclip.tif',y,m);
results

for m=1:12
  U=sprintf('C:\Users\Tim\Documents\TU Delft\Afstuderen\Data\201024\402A\%ARCclip.tif',y,m);
results
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```
for m=1:12
for y=0:10
    for m=1:12
        U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\\ARC\ARCclip.tif',y,m);
        ARC=geotiffread(U);
        ARC=arc(resizefread(U),0.5,method,0);
        for q=1:100
            if isnan(ARC(q,w));
                ARC(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
        ARC=arc(resizefread(ARC),0.5,method,0);
        for q=1:100
            if isnan(ARC(q,w));
                ARC(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
        T(7,k)=PaveARC/G
end
end

for i=1:132
    if P(6,i)==1
        y=floor(i/12.00001);
        m=i-(y*12);
        U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\\RFEclip.tif',y,m);
        RFE=geotiffread(U);
        RFE=resizefread(RFE,0.5,method,0);
        for q=1:100
            if isnan(RFE(q,w));
                RFE(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
        T(8,i)=PaveRFE/G
else
    T(8,i)=NaN;
end
end
```

```
for i=1:132
    if P(5,i)==1
        y=floor(i/12.00001);
        m=i-(y*12);
        U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\\PERSIANNclip.tif',y,m);
        PERSIANN=geotiffread(U);
        PERSIANN=resizefread(PERSIANN,2.5,method,0);
        for q=1:100
            if isnan(PERSIANN(q,w));
                PERSIANN(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
        T(9,i)=PavePERSIANN/G
else
    T(9,i)=NaN;
end
end
```

```
for i=1:132
    if P(4,i)==1
        y=floor(i/12.00001);
        m=i-(y*12);
        U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\\CMORPHclip.tif',y,m);
        CMORPH=geotiffread(U);
        CMORPH=resizefread(CMORPH,2.5,method,0);
        for q=1:100
            if isnan(CMORPH(q,w));
                CMORPH(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
        T(10,i)=PaveCMORPH/G
else
    T(10,i)=NaN;
end
end
```

```
for i=1:132
    if P(3,i)==1
        y=floor(i/12.00001);
        m=i-(y*12);
        U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\2002\\GSMaPclip.tif',y,m);
        GSMaP=geotiffread(U);
        GSMaP=resizefread(GSMaP,2.5,method,0);
        for q=1:100
            if isnan(GSMaP(q,w));
                GSMaP(q,w)=0;
            end
        end
        T(11,i)=PaveGSMaP/G
else
    T(11,i)=NaN;
end
end
```
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PGSMaP=PGSMaP.*Masker;
PGSMaP=PGSMaP.*B;
PavePGSMaP=sum(PGSMaP)/totalcount;

T(11,:)=PavePGSMaP;
else
T(11,:)=NaN;
end

clear

PGSMaP=PavePGSMaPPGSMaP i k m y

%% TAMSAT
for i=1:132
if P(2,i)==1
y=floor(i/12.00001);
m=i-(y*12);

U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\2002\W202\TAMSATclip.tif',y,m);

[TAMSAT F12]=geotiffread(U);

resizem(TAMSAT,0.375,1);

for q=1:480
for w=1:300
if isnan(TAMSAT(q,w));
TAMSAT(q,w)=0;
end
end
end
PTAMSAT=TAMSAT.*MaskerTAMSAT;
PTAMSAT=PTAMSAT.*BTAMSAT;
PaveTAMSAT=sum(PTAMSAT);
PaveTAMSAT=PaveTAMSAT/totalcount;

k=m+y*12;
T(12,k)=PaveTAMSAT;
else
T(12,i)=NaN;
end

clear

%% CRU
for y=0:10
for m=1:12
U=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\2002\W202\GPCCclip.tif',y,m);

[GPCC F12]=geotiffread(U);

for q=1:100
for w=1:60
if isnan(GPCC(q,w));
GPCC(q,w)=0;
end
end
end
GPCC=resizem(GPCC,5,1);
PaveGPCC=GPCC.*Masker;
PaveGPCC=GPCC.*B;
PaveGPCC=PaveGPCC/totalcount;

k=m+y*12;
T(13,k)=PaveGPCC;
end

clear

%% for saving automatically:
% for i=1:11
% h=figure(i);
data=totaal(i,:);
set(gca,'XTickLabel',{'TRMM','GPCP','GPCP1DD','CRU','ECMWF','ARC 2.0','CHIRPS','RFE 2.0','PERSIANN','CMORPH','GSMaP','TAMSAT'},'FontSize',7);

% %title=sprintf('C:\\Users\\Tim\\Documents\\TU Delft\\Afstuderen\\Data\\Plaatjes\\Jaarbalken\\Victoria Nile %s',titel(:));
% %saveas(h,K,'png');
% end

clear

%% for plotting just 1 boxplot
for y=2
end

for y=2 %fill in the year you want 0= 2000 1= 2001
A(:,:,1,:)=Box(:,:,1,:);
h=figure;
set(gca,'XTickLabel',...
6.7 Figure 7:
The correlation, bias, and RMSE calculated with m-file 44. Are saved in a .mat file with the use of excel. This .mat file is used to make a picture with the following m-file:
6.8 Figure 8:
The Vergelijkentwee parameter which is calculated in m-file 44 is saved as a .mat file.

(A) Is the result of the scatter figure (last part of m-file 44) when the welkeproduct parameter is 6 and grid parameter is 0.25.
(B) Is the result of the scatter figure (last part of m-file 44) when the welkeproduct parameter is 8 and grid parameter is 0.25.
(C) Is the result of the scatter figure (last part of m-file 44) when the welkeproduct parameter is 5 and grid parameter is 0.25.
(D) Is the result of the scatter figure (last part of m-file 44) when the welkeproduct parameter is 7 and grid parameter is 0.25.

Hereafter, the following m-file is used to make these graphs and saved as a .eps format. Those .eps files were edited in adobe illustrator.

```
mfile 50
    clear all;
    close all;
    clear;
    welkeproduct=5;
    load('C:\\Users\\me\\Desktop\\grondproductECMWF.mat','grondproductECMWF');%result of m-file 44 (parameter Vergelijkentwee)
    kcal=1; %Vergelijkentwee (parameter Vergelijkentwee)
    for i=1:length(grondproduct);
        begin{i}=begin{i}*stappen;
        begin{i}=begin{i}*stappen;
        welke{i}=begin{i}*stappen;
        for p=1:length(grondproduct);%TAMSAT
            if grondproduct(1,p)>begin{i} && grondproduct(1,p)<begin{i}+stappen;
                gebruik{welke{i}}=grondproduct(2,p);
                welke{welke{i}}=welke{i}+1;
            end
            if grondproduct(2,p)==begin{i}+0.5*stappen;
                gebruik{welke{i}}=grondproduct(2,p);
                welke{welke{i}}=welke{i}+1;
            end
            if grondproduct(3,p)==begin{i}+0.5*stappen;
                gebruik{welke{i}}=grondproduct(3,p);
                welke{welke{i}}=welke{i}+1;
            end
        end
    end
```
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```matlab
helekaart(:,2:length(kaart))=kaart(:,1:end-1);

%%
Vergelijktwee(1,:)=grondproduct(1,:);
Vergelijktwee(2,:)=grondproduct(2,:);
figure(1);
plot(1:10,1:10); hold on;
plot(1:6,1:6);
legend('test1', 'test2', 'Location', 'NorthWest')
handle = get(h);
close(figure(1));

Grond=Vergelijktwee(1,:);
Product=Vergelijktwee(2,:);
g=figure(2)
p=figure('units', 'normalized', 'outerposition', [0 0 1 1]);
gemGrond=mean(Grond);
gemProduct=mean(Product);
boven=sum((Grond-gemGrond).* (Product-gemProduct));
onder=sum((Grond-gemGrond).^2).* sum((Product-gemProduct).^2);
Corr=boven/onder;

Verschil=Product-Grond;
Biais=sum(Verschil)/length(Vergelijktwee);
RMSE=sqrt(mean(Verschil.^2));

str={sprintf('Corr = %0.3f',Corr), sprintf('RMSE = %0.2f',RMSE), sprintf('Bias = %0.2f', Biais)};

[x,y]=fplot(@(x) x, [0 max(Product)], 'k--', 'LineWidth', 3);
set(gca,'xlim',xlim);
hold on;
for i=1:length(onder);
    if onder(i)<0
        onder(i)=0;
    end
plot(Grond,Product, 'o', 'MarkerSize', 2.5, 'MarkerEdgeColor', [0.4 0.4 0.4], 'MarkerFaceColor', [0.4 0.4 0.4]);
plot(helekaart(3,:),helekaart(1,:),'k-','LineWidth',2);
plot(helekaart(3,:),helekaart(2,:),'--');
x=helekaart(3,:);
a=helekaart(1,:)+helekaart(2,:);
b=onder(i);
patch([x; flipud(x)], [a; flipud(b)], [0.8 0.8 0.8]);

7. Webpages for downloading rainfall data

FTP servers:

TRMM: trmmopen.gsfc.nasa.gov

RFE: ftp.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov

ARC: ftp.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov

CHIRPS: chg-ftpout.geog.ucsb.edu

GPCP: rsd.gsfc.nasa.gov

GPCP1DD: rsd.gsfc.nasa.gov

PERSIANN: chrs.web.uci.edu

GSMaP: hokusai.eorc.jaxa.jp
Password required > make account on http://sharaku.eorc.jaxa.jp/GSMaP/index.htm
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Websites:

CRU:
https://badc.nerc.ac.uk/sso/wayf?r=aHR0cHM6Ly9iYWRjLm5lcM5lcmMuYWMudWsvYnJvd3NlL2JhZGMvY3J1
Account needed.
When you have an account you can download the data by following this path:
http://badc.nerc.ac.uk/browse/badc/cru/data/cru_ts/cru_ts_3.21/data/pre

TAMSAT:
http://www.met.reading.ac.uk/~tamsat/cgi-bin/data/rfe.cgi

GPCC:
Is also an ftp server: ftp-anon.dwd.de

ECMWF:
http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim_full_mnth/
Account needed

CMORPH:
http://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds502.0/#!/access
Account needed and you have to sent a request to download a certain dataset.
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