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Summary

The starting point of this graduation project is noises that nurses experience in the ICU (Intensive Care Unit). There is a defined medical symptom which is called “alarm fatigue” that refers to numb auditory senses, stress, low job satisfaction as a result of being exposed to excessive noise for the extended period, and it often leads to low job performances in the end. One report reveals that 65% of significant ICU incidents occur by not responding to alarms appropriately (Cho, Kim, Lee & Cho, 2016). Since the alarm is not a single source of noises in the ICU, this report first defines which sound group will be seen as a culprit of “noise fatigue” as an expanded concept of alarm fatigue in this project.

The way this graduation deals with noise in the ICU is different from the conventional approach of providing a design intervention as a solution. In this project, the focus is rather how sound stimuli and stress level of nurses can be precisely captured from the perspective of nurses and be correlated altogether so that the new system can function as an investigation tool for further design intervention to improve the sound environment in the ICU.

In this regard, the sound classification method using machine learning, heart rate tracking as a means of an objective stress level assessment, and emotion report as a subjective stress evaluation tool was studied. Furthermore, beacons, an indoor positioning detection device, was investigated and combined with the project concept, so that Cacophony Mapper can function as a mobile data collection platform with dynamic data summary and analysis.

After the exploration of possible technology options for this project, the way of combining opted technologies was contemplated. As a result, two devices for heart rate tracking and sound collection, one mobile application for emotion report and data analysis, and a web platform which function as a hub for the data summary were developed as Cacophony Mapper prototype.

In the evaluation phase, the reliability of the sound filter was tested by directly putting the WAV sound data and a dataset collected through a user test, separately. Two datasets were compared to find the significant impact of the environmental factors so that the external impact can be minimized by further optimization. Also, the usability of the overall system and the application was evaluated. It has been done to see the possibility of implementing the Cacophony Mapper system in a medical environment and find which aspect of usability should be improved for further design development.
Introduction

Intensive care units are acoustically hostile environments with high-tech medical devices and constant monitoring. As clinicians and patients have different types of involvement in the ICU, the emotions they feel for the same environment vary drastically (Farrell et al., 2005). For clinicians, taking good care of their patients and providing appropriate treatment is a top priority, so they pull their weight to perform their tasks efficiently as professionals. In this sense, the focus of clinicians tends to be upon productivity while they are doing their job and they often become indifferent about the surroundings or even the noise that they are making.

The soundscape in the ICU is somewhat complicated because it involves many stakeholders, situations and various sound sources, such as machinery sounds in the background, noise from speech, incidental sounds, as well as alarm sounds. Therefore, the ICU sound environment is accepted as a cacophony which is defined as a loud, unpleasant mixture of sounds and it makes people recognize the sound experience in the ICU something unpleasant and unharmonious. As cacophony turns sounds into pure noise and de-familiarize the whole concept of each sound with overlapping noise (McKee, 2006), the individual sounds often turn into a loud noise, so it impossible to distinguish a culprit of the unpleasant sound experience.

When it comes to nurses, their sound experience in the ICU can be even more severe as they are always surrounded by all different kinds of alarms from various pieces of machinery. As a result, nurses tend to lose sensitivity in their auditory ability as time goes by due to the alarm fatigue, which can lead to nurses’ poor job performance in the end since alarms eventually fail to drag clinicians’ attention. Also, regardless of how nurses try to give the best care for their patients or how good work ethics they have, they are sometimes unintentionally loud due to symptoms from the sound fatigue, and they do not seem aware how it will be accepted from patients and how it will affect their patients’ recovery.

Therefore, a way of defining a noise fatigue, which is an expanded concept of an alarm fatigue, in the ICU has been contemplated and nurses has been chosen as a focus group for this graduation research as they have mobility, so the data collected by them is more interactive to the ICU surrounding, compared to static sensors attached to walls. Thus, this research project with the technical challenge will focus on collecting the sound data from surroundings from nurses’ perspective, who are proactive stakeholders for patient’s care with mobility for collecting sound data from all over the ICU, including ward, corridor as well as working stations for nurses.

Eventually, a platform for the sound analysis in ICU will be introduced to make a better awareness of overall sound produce in the ICU, which will enable further design interventions of noise care. It will not only help awareness of the criticality of the sound in the care unit but also trigger a further design development for behavior change, that leads to more pleasant sound experience in general. Therefore, a new culture of taking care of the noise in the ICU will be made, and it will contribute a lot for improving the quality of work environment for nurses as well as the general perception of patients and stakeholders about the ICU experience as a whole.
The main focus of the graduation project is to:
- Design a sound sensor that is attached to nurses with mobility so they can collect sound information from the ICU
- Design an interactive platform to show collected sound data from nurses
- Design an interactive sound map that shows summarized sound data with more intuitive visuals

Background
To achieve these goals, I went through broadly six steps in my graduation process. To specify the problem, desktop research has been done, and observations were conducted in Erasmus MC in the first phase for the background research.

Project direction
After defining the main topic of this graduation project, scientific research was conducted as well as defining the focus of further study. In this process, three different studies were planned to set the specific project direction, regarding stress detection, sound classification, and indoor positioning and how those technologies are going to be intertwined in the end.

Study
Within three studies, I developed a deeper understanding of technologies which could be used for my project. In this phase, scientific research was mostly done, and various data was collected through heart rate tracker, microphone. Analysis methods were contemplated for the data analysis. Also, various physical and psychological stress analysis methods were studied, and sound filtering technologies have been tested to find out sound properties for the sound classification filter generation. As a result, project direction was settled in this stage, and it led to the idea generation phase.

Idea generation
Through the idea generation process, the more robust concept of the project was figured out with a reliable product idea and a product & system architecture. Also, a system working scenario was created so I could have an overview of products and system which should be implemented and how they can be combined in one system.

Realization
In the realization phase, various forms and visuals have been created for the product and the application design. Also, an application structure map was created to have an overview of screens and various functions for the application implementation. When it comes to hardware, both aesthetic prototype and optimized prototype with better durability for testing were made. The second model was created with a rapid prototyping method, complimenting the shortage of 3D printing material and layered printing structure, which was found from the aesthetic prototype.

Evaluation
Finally, within the physical prototypes and an implemented application, test plans for reliability and usability of the system were made, and user tests have been conducted with students in the Industrial Design department, TU Delft. All in all, evaluation has been done, and it led to a discussion for further development of an overall system for Cacophony Mapper.
Inhabitants in the ICU

There are broadly three groups of inhabitants, clinicians, patients, and visitors, in the ICU. As the level of involvements, situational contexts and emotions that they face are different, those three groups of people happen to have all different perspectives and understanding about the same situation, and it goes for sound experiences in the ICU, too.

Clinicians
For clinicians, giving the best care for patients is their top priority. While they are working in the ICU, clinicians professionally perform tasks with rational mindsets, and they see situations with rather less emotional involvement than patients or patients’ family members since clinicians cannot perceive patients’ serious health condition as something tragic because those events happen for them on a daily basis while they perform their job.

Patients
For patients or visitors, being in the ICU is something traumatizing and desperate, as patients are hospitalized in the ICU when they are in their most inferior health conditions. Patients in the ICU often situated in their wards with severe injuries from significant incidents, after a big incident or a surgery. Often, patients in the ICU are even in a coma without any consciousness. Therefore, the priority of them is getting back to a healthy and normal life after receiving quality care, so their focus is taking a good rest with medical help and professional care of clinicians.

Visitors
ICU visitors find their experience in the ICU sad and desperate since they are usually family members or good friends of patients. Thus, they are sensitive about patients’ physical conditions, and they put considerable importance on quality care. However, their access to patients or medical information is rather limited because the ICU aims for private and intensive care.

Figure 2. Major stakeholders in the ICU: patients, clinicians, and visitors
In this graduation project, the focus group has been chosen as nurses than other clinicians, patients, and visitors. In many cases, various design interventions were made from the perspective of patients, since they are the ones who are in the receiving end of the medical service.

However, as nurses are proactive inhabitants in the ICU environment with great involvement and significant impacts on the quality care of patients eventually, it is vital to form nurses’ perspective. Since nurses pose a significant impact on the hospital atmosphere, the better sound experience of nurses can eventually form a positive loop of all inhabitants’ experience, that lead to pleasant ICU experience as a whole.

Also, nurses are captive audiences of all sound stimuli in the unit since they work for there. They happen to be situated in a poor sound environment for an extended period than other inhabitants and they still need to perform their tasks as professionals while they are exposed to various noises coming from multiple sound sources, such as machinery, alarms, people’s conversation, footsteps, door slam, or even a careless metal gadget dropping sound.

In this sense, this project will see the sound experience and their responses from the perspective of nurses. Since they are the ones with the most mobility in the unit, this approach will enable more conative sound analysis than conventional static sound collection methods. As nurses can collect flooding information while covering various situations in the unit, more valid information will be collected so that the collected data can be used for further design intervention for sound improvement.
There are two different types of the ICU, one with a private care unit which has nursing stations outside of each ward, and one with a shared room so nurses take care of all patients suffer from various symptoms all together (Konkani, Oakley & Penprase, 2014). The trend of ICU layout is changing from the latter type into the former type as private unit enables more personalized care with one on one care from clinicians with more privacy. Since the general ward is a big open area, everyone is exposed to all different stimulus from other patients, which vary from constant coughing or grunting noise to severe symptoms from patients with critical health conditions. Therefore, the healing of patients in the open type of ward can be highly dependent on each other’s status.

In this project, the ICU in Erasmus MC has been observed, which has individual care units with nursing stations in the corridor. This type of layout has been introduced to Erasmus MC recently through the ICU renovation in 2017, due to increasing needs of individualized and private care. Also, it is expected that other big hospitals will follow through this global trend due to its effective operations and increasing needs of more private care. Therefore, this project will be done under the assumption that the individualized care unit will be widespread in the near future.
The ICU was introduced to Erasmus MC in the 60s, and Erasmus MC has been playing an essential role as a primary medical provider in the Netherlands. Before the emergence of the ICU, the distribution of patients was decided by patients’ symptoms without consideration of their criticality level. However, with the introduction of the ICU, patients started to be hospitalized all together in the same room, which required clinicians to have a much broader knowledge of the medical area. Erasmus MC started to categorize patients by their symptoms so that nurses can give more specialized care afterward.

After the layout renovation in 2017, personal wards have been aligned in a row in the ICU, with nursing stations right outside in the corridor, which has been designated to two nurses in a pair. The aim of the new layout is giving one on one care to patients who are in critical conditions. Therefore, nurses stay in nursing stations, looking at patient monitors, which consistently indicate the patient’s status. Also, nurses always carry a beeper, which gives continuous updates about the patient’s needs and medical status.
As defined as unharmonious, unpleasant noise, cacophony is a mixture of noises from various sound sources. The soundscape of the ICU is often shown as a pure cacophony since people in the ICU easily feel unpleasant about the sound surroundings due to machinery noise in the background, conversations, alarms, and incidental sounds.

It is not easy to define the primary culprit of the cacophony since it is impossible to distinguish one sound source from others as the whole sound is absorbed as a chunk (Alain, 2007). In this sense, individual sound sources in the ICU has been studied, and they are categorized into four different groups, as shown in the figure above.

As one of the purposes of this graduation project is to understand sound surroundings in the ICU and give a reliable visual indication about it, the soundscape has been observed as a whole. A summary of various sound sources in the ICU and the sound categorization idea will be elaborated in chapter 2.2 and 3.9.
Various alarms in the ICU

According to a research done by Drew et al. in 2014, alarms consist of three different sorts of beeps, which is composed of patient status arrhythmia alarms, patient status parameter limit violation alarms, and system status technical alarms (Drew et al., 2014).

Patient status arrhythmia alarms
Patient status arrhythmia alarms make three beeps continuously when there is a warning. It indicates that the patient is in a crisis level while the machine makes two beeps for advisory and one beep for the message or inaudible text. For example, asystole, ventricular fibrillation, ventricular tachycardia, and ventricular bradycardia is considered as crises. Accelerated ventricular rhythm, pause, gives off a warning sign, while bigeminy and trigeminy deliver messages of patients’ parameters with one beep.

Patient status parameter limit violation alarm goes off selectively, and it indicates there is a warning. In this status, an advisory message will be given with two beeps while one beep shows a message or inaudible text. Those alarms include heart rate, invasive arterial pressure, and respiratory rate abnormality, while both noninvasive blood pressure and peripheral oxygen saturation gives advisory alerts.

System status technical alarms
System status technical alarms give a warning, a message, or an inaudible text selectively. Those alarms include warning sound, which indicates ECG leads fail, respiratory leads fail, arrhythmia suspend, invasive pressure sensor fails, failures or excessive pressure of noninvasive blood, while message alarms are given for various artifacts and lead fail with continuous foghorn tone.

Figure 8. Various alarms from diverse warning situation
Alarm fatigue

What is alarm fatigue?
The alarm fatigue is one of the primary starting points of this project as it poses one of the most significant occupational hazards that clinicians in the ICU suffer from. One report showed that 46% of nurses responded that alarm fatigue is the major struggles in the ICU environment in terms of their job performances (Konkani, Oakley & Penprase, 2014). Alarm fatigue is a symptom that nurses and clinicians become numb about the sound stimuli, mainly because of numerous alarms from various medical machinery in the unit. Even though the alarm is designed to give off beeping noises for nurses to be aware of the medical indication of their patients, nurses tend not to pay that much attention to them as much they should do in real situations.

What are the leading causes of alarm fatigue?
One of the main reasons for increasing alarm fatigue is that the number and sorts of alarms from devices have been increased. The sort of the alarm increased from 6 in 1983 to 40 in 2011, so the frequency of the alerts is significantly higher than that past 20 years (Cho, Kim, Lee & Cho, 2016). Not only because of an increased number of beeping sounds, but many studies have also shown that alarms are often considered as something minor from clinicians because of the high rate of false alarms, which brings cry wolf effect (Cho, Kim, Lee & Cho, 2016). After showing attention to alarms several times and realize beeping was nothing crucial, perceived priority level of alarms decreases, so they are not keen on answering to them later on. Also, a study shows that excessive amount of non-actionable alarms makes nurses lose concentration on sound alerts, so nurses are desensitized due to sensory overload (Salous et al., 2017) on their auditory ability, which results in ignoring or delaying in responses to alarms. All in all, it is shown that the increase in the number of alarms, false alarms, and non-actionable alarms are the leading three causes of alarm fatigue in the ICU.

Criticality of alarm fatigue in the ICU
The impact of alarm fatigue is severe because the ICU is a place for patients who are in critical health conditions. Even though the majority of alarms are false alarms and non-actionable alarms, there are still calls for patient’s critical health status. However, there are many hazardous cases reported as a result of alarm fatigue. A study states that 65 percent of major medical incidents in the ICU in 2002, was triggered because clinicians did not respond to alarms appropriately, which resulted in the severe burn, brain damage and deaths in extreme cases (Cho, Kim, Lee & Cho, 2016). It is because alarm signals can be hardly distinguishable from each other as only 31% of nurses reported that they could distinguish one alarm from others (Cho, Kim, Lee & Cho, 2016). Therefore, clinicians often misunderstand important cues as something trivial, so they ignore critical signals from the medical device.

Also, alarm fatigue is one of the significant reasons that nurses have lower attention to alarms. Being exposed to excessive alarms and sounds for the extensive period, clinicians happen to have poor physical and psychological health, which lead low job performance and low engagements to their job, forming negative loops in quality of the medical service.
Physical and psychological impacts of alarm fatigue

Symptoms of alarm fatigue

Physical and psychological impacts of alarm fatigue were studied by Cho et al. in 2016. Through the questionnaire in the research, responses have been collected from 77 nurses with 5-point scale answers. Nurses’ perspective about clinical alarms, mostly related to emotional fatigue, was the main focus of this questionnaire and nurses were asked to scale eight sentences from 1 to 5, corresponding to their feelings about alarms.

As a result, “feeling bothered in everything by clinical alarms” rated 3.9, with 0.8 of standard deviation. Also, “feeling anxiety” rated 3.7 while “feeling out of my mind” recorded 3.6, rating 0.8 and 0.9 each for SD, respectively. “Having trouble paying attention” was the following symptom, rating 3.3 points and “being forgetful” recorded 3.2 with each 0.8 of SD. Furthermore, “feeling bad” and “having headaches” gained scored 3.1 of each, showing the various psychological and emotional impact of alarm fatigue that nurses are fighting against (Cho, Kim, Lee & Cho, 2016).
Most previous studies regarding sound level in the ICU have been focusing on defining the sound level in the ICU and find the solution to reduce the level. Therefore, diverse methods, which vary from introducing the device to making a systematic change, have been implemented (Konkani, Oakley & Penprase, 2014).

- introducing lighting device for excessive noise
- switching alarm mechanism
- closing door campaign, using earplug and earmuff
- introducing individualized alarms
- introducing new building materials
- changing ceiling structure and shape

Even though various ideas have been tried and tested, it has been proven that the impact of the change is trivial, or the validity of the impact was disappeared in a certain period after the design intervention. Also, some solutions were not feasible to implement due to the high budget requirements and practicality in the real environment. Additionally, since most research has been done from the perspective of patients, it is hard to apply the same design for clinicians as a design intervention for them.
Why is noise in the ICU problematic?

According to a previous study, the noise level of hospital, in general, has been increased from the past 50 years (Stafford, Haverland & Bridges, 2014), which exceeds the healthy sound criteria of WHO. Even though WHO, which is an organization to give international guidance regarding health and global medical approaches, recommends daytime sound level in the hospital as 45dB and night hour level as 35dB (Stafford, Haverland & Bridges, 2014), the sound level in the ICU is reported to exceed 80dB (Cho, Kim, Lee & Cho, 2016). The value is almost the twice the recommended figure and ICU sound environment is even more severe than the hospital in general (Khademi et al., 2011).

It poses physical and psychologocial threat to inhabitants in the ICU with tremendous impacts. When it comes to patients, they suffer from sleep deprivation due to the noise in the ICU with their immobile physical condition (Stafford, Haverland & Bridges, 2014), which lead to depression and post-traumatic syndromes even after the recovery from their primary symptoms. For nurses, the influence can be even more significant as the ICU is their work environment, so they happen to be exposed to the noise for a more extended period, which often lead to chronic physical and psychological symptoms. The severe sound experience in the ICU can lead to less job satisfaction, hearing loss and even pose health threat to clinicians (Drew et al., 2014).

Figure 13. Noise in the ICU: threats for both nurses and patients
Noise categorization

Primary noise sources in the ICU have been defined as four sound categories, which is machinery noise in the background, alarms, conversational noise, and incidental noise.

Machinery noise in the background
As ICU is filled with a lot of medical pieces of machinery, it is not too rare to listen to the sound of the electronic ventilator as background noise, continuously pumping air to patients that rely on their breathing. Also, the sound of air-conditioning, the sound of a coffee machine can also be a part of this category. As the sound is not too loud and something constant like a piece of background music, people tend to be indifferent about the sound. It is the same as when we become almost numb about white noises that we encounter every day because we only accept it as our surroundings and do not deeply engage in the sound.

Alarms
An alarm is one of the major noises sources in the ICU. Sudden beeping sound often makes people get annoyed as it startles people, even though the sound was designed to make a warning. It often triggers annoyance because some nurses respond to alarm slow, so it often takes some time to go off. Also, the sound level and consistency often do not meet the importance that alarms are carrying. Therefore, people do not find alarms not “alarming” any longer in many cases, and people even often feel fatigues out of them (Otenio et al., 2007). This can lead to serious ramification because many patients’ health is dependent on those machines, while people become less sensitive about the alarm it produces because of the alarm fatigue.

Conversational noise
Speech is usually made among clinicians as an ICU environment is highly protective and private. Also, the accessibility to the unit is relatively limited, so visitors cannot be in the unit except for the official visiting hours. To make sure patients’ medical status, nurses always need to stand by in front of the patient rooms, sitting in front of the private unit as a pair, while looking at the check-up monitor. In most cases, nurses try to keep themselves silent, but one of the problems is that the sound production in the unit is highly dependent on themselves since no one would dare to point out someone is loud. As a quiet environment is expected in the ICU, patients get annoyance once the noise from speech occurs, and they often suffer from the speech that their peers make.

Incidental noise
ICU can be either relatively silent or noisy, as the situation inside of ICU can vary wildly. When a patient with a severe condition comes to the unit, the sound environment can also be very hectic. In this case, the general sound level will go high up with the patient’s rolling bed carelessly coming into the room, noises from many clinicians’ running, as well as careless door slams. Since each event is hardly expected and the pattern of the incidental sounds is irregular, it is usually considered as a one-time event even though inhabitants in the ICU are irritated by the sound.
Project focus: Noise fatigue

The main focus of the study has been decided as noise fatigue in the ICU, which is a broadened concept of alarm fatigue. The difference of two is that the subject of the noise fatigue covers overall sound in the ICU while that of alarm fatigue is only confined to alarm noises. Even though there has been a lot studied which defines alarm fatigue of nurses, an alarm is not only a severe sound factor which contributes to extreme sound experiences of nurses in the ICU. In this sense, this study will provide a solid foundation to study noise fatigue for further design interventions by providing a sound sensing technology and the data analysis platform. Also, by using the Cacophony Mapper system, nurses will be able to aware of the sound environment that they are exposed to and start to think about the sound that they produce by themselves. It means that this project can contribute to forming a pleasant sound culture in the ICU.

Figure 15. Physical and psychological symptoms of alarm fatigue
Monitoring fatigue

As one of the primary goals of this research is to find a correlation between hectic auditory environment and the noise fatigue that nurses experience in the ICU, it is vital to find a measure to check the fatigue level of nurses which can be linked to the sound level fluctuation in the environment. To measure the fatigue level of nurses, subjective and objective measures which are widely used to check the fatigue level will be studied and interrelation between nurses’ fatigue swings and acoustic flow in the unit will be assessed in the later phases.

The first part of the study will deal with how fatigue can be quantified so the stress data can be correlated to the sound analysis in the end. Thus, the first part of the study was planned as a study for objective and subjective stress level assessment separately, and the study process and insights will be available from chapter 3.1 to 3.7.

Secondly, sound properties will be analyzed, and a sound classification filter will be created with the gained knowledge about the ICU sound. This filter will eventually enable the sound categorization, and the whole study and filter development process will be available from chapter 3.8 to 3.13.

Finally, there will be a study of indoor positioning, which will enable sound flow analysis in line with the previous two studies. This technology will enable real-time sound heat map function, and the concept will be available from chapter 3.14 to 3.16.
Design vision and program of requirements

To set up the clear project goal and to plan what should be studied through this graduation project, design vision, and program of requirements have been contemplated and was made into a list.

**Design vision**
- Creating a tool which can validate noise fatigue in the ICU
- Creating a sound and stress level tracking sensor
- Creating a system which clearly shows the correlation between sound stimuli and stress
- Creating a platform with intuitive visual which nurses can quickly learn to use
- Creating a system which makes nurses think of the sound the environment in the ICU

**Program of requirements**
- The system collects sound and stress information from the perspective of nurses
- The system collects dynamic information through a device attached to nurses, and collected dataset should function as an integrated information
- The system should be able to classify the sound category
- The system should be able to detect nurses’ stress level
- The system should not hinder nurses’ work routine
- The way of using the system should be intuitive to understand
- The system should be easy to learn
- The design of the system should follow the hospital regulation
- The design of the system should show a clear relation with the medical environment
- The system should be used continuously for a long time
Objective assessment of stress

Various objective stress level assessment tools were investigated to find the method which can be used for Cacophony Mapper system development.

VAS (Visual analog scales)
Visual analog scales measure the fatigue level by marking the accuracy level of respondents’ answers to see the changes in mood and activation level of test participants (Monk, 1989). Respondents are asked to mark the length of the figure, which is given each time differently, and the correct level will be traced over the time to see the flow of the concentration level. As this is a straightforward method for both questioner and respondents, this test is easy to be taken and also the further processing for the data does not take too long because the scale for the analysis is already given in numbers.

Resting heart rate
Collecting the resting heart rate (RHR) is an excellent way to keep track of fatigue level of nurses because there is an intimate connection between heart and human brain (Thayer et al., 2012). After collecting average pulses from neck, chin, or wrist three times every day, collected numbers are compared. To collect the precise resting heart rate, morning hour is usually ideal for getting reliable data for the comparison. If resting heart rate shows 7 or more beats differences than the average per minute, it means that the test participant did not fully recover from the previous fatigue. As pulse rate collection can be done very fast and easy, it is a quick and easy way of collecting fatigue level. Also, as it indicates a physical fatigue level with numbers, processing the dataset can be quickly done.

Measuring eye movements
Measuring eye movements is meaningful in the way that it shows constant data change about the fatigue and concentration level. Many studies show that eye blinking patterns differ depending on the fatigue level. For example, if a person focuses on one object or stares one place for an extended period than the average, it means that the concentration level is low (Caffier et al., 2003). Even though tracking eye movements look simple in experiments, it can be tricky to use this technique, combining with a daily routine. It is because the camera should be around participants’ eye area during the whole day for consistent measurement, so the appliance of the hardware is confined to glasses or headgears.

Saliva measures
Saliva measure can be a beneficial tool because it is quick, easy, and painless to use. This method is based on Hyperion’s study in 2012, and it gives a precise chemical data related to the stress levels, such as cortisol, dehydroepiandrosterone, testosterone, chromogranin A, 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylglycol, alpha-amylase, and secretory immunoglobulin A (IZAWA et al., 2007). However, even though it gives a precise dataset, it is not easy to use saliva measures as a tool for constant stress tracking, because the usage of it is not common and the measure is hard to be positioned in users’ daily rituals.

After the investigation, heart rate measurement was chosen as an objective stress level assessment tool. Since I did not want to have a questionnaire as an objective stress level assessment tool so the measurement method can be differentiated than subjective stress level assessment tool, VAS was excluded from the option. When considering the practicality, wearing a big measurement device for EEG or an eye movement tracker did not seem to be feasible in the ICU environment since it can hinder nurses’ daily activities. Also, taking saliva samples several times a day was against the program of requirements on the previous page that the system should not hinder nurses’ work routine. All in all, heart rate tracker was selected as a measurement tool, and further study of heart rate detection will be available from the next page.
Heart rate detection tools

Heart rate tracker: an objective fatigue measurement tool

Heart rate tracker was chosen as a tool for objective stress assessment because of its easy accessibility and high applicability for the system. When looking at its role in the market, heart rate sensors have been widely adopted in many smartwatches and health trackers. In terms of heart rate detection mechanism, they follow two different methods, one for using LED lights and the other for using electrical current.

Photoplethysmography (PPG)

Photoplethysmography (PPG) is the most common measurement for the heart rate tracking using LED sensor, which has also been adopted in Apple watch and Fitbit, that gives more accurate test results than Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) method and enables continuous measurement with incessant physical movements. The basic principle is emitting green and red lights through the wrist band and check the number of each light reflected or absorbed on the skin. As blood, which has a red color, reflects red lights while it absorbs green lights, the amount of absorbed green light is checked hundreds of times for one second, so it shows user’s heartbeat per minute by detecting the expansion and contraction of the blood vessels. As this method uses light emission and absorbance as its primary mechanism, tattoo or any disturbance for light can affect the quality of the sensing. Also, severe movements can affect the test quality, too.

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA)

Unlike Apple watch and Fitbit, Jawbone uses electrical current as its heartbeat measurement mechanism. BIA is more commonly used for measuring body composition than heart rate since it only collects sitting heart rate unlike PPG, so it is not appropriate for measurement with a lot of activities. Even though BIA is a widespread method in the medical field and it has its benefit of efficient battery use, Kyle points out in his previous research that BIA still lacks standardized method and quality control procedures (Kyle, 2004).

Fitbit Alta HR

After the literature review, a PPG sensor was chosen for heart rate detection for Cacophony Mapper. Fitbit Alta HR, a sports watch which has launched geared toward heart rate detection, has been chosen for further testing. As using a wrist band is banned for nurses while they are at work because of the possibility of cross-infection, different placement scenarios for Fitbit were made, and pros and cons of positioning the tracker on each spot were compared.
Placement of heartrate tracker

1. wrist
2. upper arm
3. leg
4. ear
5. chest
6. neck
7. pants pocket

Figure 21. Heart rate tracker placement ideas
The wrist is a common area for putting health trackers because it is an ideal place for positioning an interface and collect the heart rate data at the same time, using the same device. Apple watch, Samsung Galaxy watch, Fitbit, Shaoimi Mi band are significant players in the digital health tracker market, and they have designated applications for the heart rate fluctuation analysis so that users can keep track of their activities, as well as sleep quality tracking and steps tracking function. However, wearing a wrist band as a nurse in the ICU is against the hospital regulation because of the possible cross-infection among patients. Additionally, the wrist is not the most accurate place for detection, since the area involves many activities from constant hand movements. Also, when a device covers the wrist too tight, then it can hinder bloodstreams, which contradicts the accuracy of the sensing.

In many cases, the upper arm is considered as a body part which can substitute the use of wrist, giving almost the same usability with better accuracy. Since wearing a device on the upper arm area does not hinder user activity as much as other areas, the upper arm provides precise tracking result. Because of its perks, it is easy to find sports armbands that are launched geared toward especially the upper arm area.

Even though the mass of the leg consists of the majority of our body, it is not an ideal place for the heart rate detection since the blood perfusion is lower in leg comparing to other body parts. It is because of the distance from the heart and its dense muscle tissue composition in the thigh and calf area (Wearable, 2018). Also, since the area involves lots of movements, it can hinder accurate detection. All in all, leg area has been excluded from the user scenario because of expected low acceptance level since the placement of the device reminds users of trackers for convicts, and it would form negative responses to the overall project.

Because of its intense bloodstream, ears are considered as the best part for the heart rate tracking. On ears, arterioles are located between the antitragus and concha, so it enables more intensified heart rate detection (J. A. C. Patterson et al., 2009). Also, if the sound collection could be taken at the same spot as the user’s ear, then collected sound data reflects the same amount of sound interference in the real environment, enabling higher data validity. However, ear detection is not commonly used because of various size and shapes of ears, so one device cannot fit ideally for everyone perfectly. Also, the biggest problem is that earbuds or ear clip can act as artifacts when a person need to speak or listen to something or someone, so the device should be designed in the way it does not harm users’ daily use. As nurses’ daily work routine involves communication with other people, this option has been excluded from the final option because of the feasibility.

The chest is considered as a good body part for the accurate heart rate detection as it is physically near to heart. However, as PPG sensor needs to be attached to the body, with tight chest band inside of clothing, it did not fit the criteria of easy usability. As it is not sure if the detection above clothing area gives accurate data as much as attaching the sensor to the bare body, the user test involves detection in two different spots, on the bare chest and chest pocket to find out the best placement option.

According to previous researches, forehead also works perfectly in accuracy wise because it has steady bloodstream and there is no hindrance for continuous measurement comparing to other body parts. The downside of it is that the usability is not as good as using other parts, such as using a wrist band, because users are not used to putting something on their above-head areas. In this regard, the neck was considered to substitute for forehead since it has a large vessel and stable bloodstream in it so that it can collect a precise dataset. Therefore, introducing a necklace type of device has been contemplated for the user scenario. To prove the usability of the product idea and the accuracy of the detection, user test with Fitbit Alta HR will be done in the next chapter.

Putting the heart rate detection device to pants pocket has been considered as one of the user scenarios because of its easy usability. However, there is a doubt about the accuracy level of detection in this case. Since the device can only contact users through a layer of cloth when users put it on or put it inside of a pants pocket, which leads to doubt of expected accuracy level reduction. Furthermore, the way of attaching the device on or inside of the pants pocket was one thing that should be taken into account because bending, sitting posture, or walking can affect the overall detection quality too.

Additionally, study for the upper inner arm and palm side of the wrist area was planned as a part of the placement test. All in all, upper outer arm, upper inner arm, ear, chest pocket, pants pocket, bare chest, and the palm side of the wrist area were chosen for the heart rate detection in comparison with wrist area, which will be conducted with Fitbit Alta HR device.
Placement of heartrate tracker testing

- **wrist**
  - Test date: 14.02.19 11:50-18:05
  - Average 1 beat difference

- **upper outer arm**
  - Test date: 15.02.19 7:40-15:10
  - Average 7 beats difference

- **neck**
  - Test date: 16.02.19 15:55-22:05
  - Average 3 beats difference

- **ear**
  - Test date: 17.02.19 13:15-18:40
  - Average 36 beats difference

- **pants pocket**
  - Test date: 21.02.19 12:05-17:30
  - Average 11 beats difference

- **ankle**
  - Test date: 22.02.19 11:50-20:30
  - Average 3 beats difference
Placement validity test

Placement of the device has been contemplated as a part of an embodiment. Accuracy, usability, and user acceptance have been considered as three main subjects of this user test. Fitbit Altra HR was chosen as a testing device because of its reliability, which was contemplated as a heart rate detection method in the previous chapter.

For the testing, two Fitbit devices were purchased in different colors, and one of them was put on a wrist, while the other was attached to nine different spots for the data comparison. The test was conducted on the upper outer arm, upper inner arm, ear, chest, neck, chest pocket, pants pocket, ankle, and palm side of the wrist area in comparison to the wrist area, and heart rate data were collected from two spots simultaneously.

As Fitbit Altra HR is aimed to be put on the user’s wrist area, the number measured from the wrist was used as a reference point. I compared numbers collected from the other spots, so the accuracy or the measurement from other body parts can be assessed by seeing the difference than the wrist. Each test was taken on different days, for more than a minimum of 5 hours a day. During the test, test participants were asked to perform their daily routine using a laptop, and dynamic physical movement was refrained from during the test because it does not reflect nurses’ work routine.

Test result

During each experiment, the heart rate records were marked every 5 minutes. The average heart rate difference (Average heart rate difference = Average (value from wrist-value from variable)) was calculated, and the whole dataset is available in Appendix A.

As a result, upper outer arm area showed the smallest gap than the wrist, while palm side of the wrist area marked the second smallest number with 2.25 beats difference, which was followed by ear and upper inner arm which had three beats difference each. The neck showed seven beats difference than the average heart rate collected from the wrist while chest recorded nine beats gap. The number collected from the pants pocket and chest pocket had a more significant gap than other areas, having 11 and 36 beats gaps respectively, with the lowest accordance among all tested areas. It is because of the fact Fitbit device did not touch the flesh all the time which is required for PPG sensing.

Even though ear has been considered for the implementation at first, it was excluded from the option because of physical discomfort during the test. Also, wearing something could hinder conversation, which is a considerable part of the nurses’ work routine. Also, both chest and pants pocket area was regarded as ideal spots because of high applicability, but the test result showed the least accuracy in those two areas because of the cotton layer between the skin and the tracker. All in all, the outer upper arm has been chosen as a place for heart rate tracker because of its high accuracy, usability, and acceptance.
Subjective assessment of stress

Self reporting: subjective fatigue assessment tool

While heart rate tracking is used as a means of objective stress level assessment tool, various self-reporting tools were studied to be applied as a subjective fatigue assessment tool. After the collection of the sound and heart rate, those data set will be compared with emotion self-reports from users, so researchers can understand which sound triggers which stress responses; in the end, to validate noise fatigue as a result.

Wellness questionnaires

Wellness questionnaires is a measure which is often used to look into the fatigue level of athletes to measure the perceived physical fatigue level. Wellness questionnaire is beneficial in the way it covers external factors than physical symptoms, such as sleep status from the previous night and personal emotional status (Martin Buchheit, 2015). However, the questions are highly inclined to report physical symptoms than emotional symptoms. Thus, it has a limitation that it cannot cover the psychological aspect of noise fatigue.

Rating of a fatigue scale

Rating of fatigue scale has been investigated as an effective way to record the subjective feelings of respondents regarding their fatigue levels. As people tend to have diverse personal factors, it can be hard for users to pick a single number which stands for their fatigue level as a whole. Also, since the perceived level of fatigue differs depending on individuals and situational interpretation, it is hard to combine multiple users’ responses and make it into a valid dataset for further analysis.

Emotion report

Emotion report was studied because previous questionnaires were more oriented to physical fatigue assessment. Also, they asked respondents to generalize their fatigue level and mark it into a flat answer as one number, so it could not fully reflect users’ subjective responses. However, the noise fatigue that I tried to look into through subjective assessment was more inclined to mental fatigue since physical fatigue assessment will be taken care of by using heart rate tracker. Therefore, PrEmo and Circumplex of affect model, which focuses more on users’ emotion, were reviewed additionally.

Figure 23. Wellness questionnaire from Martin Buchheit (2015)

Figure 24. Rating of fatigue scale from Micklewright et al. (2017)

Figure 25. Various emotions
Emotion report tools

Product Emotion Measurement Tool (PrEmo)
PrEmo was developed as a non-verbal self-reporting tool by SUSAGROUP and has been widely used for user perception and emotion assessment in various industries. The major perk of using PrEmo is coming from the fact that it uses visuals for various emotions, so people can easily access to the testing with a little literacy about the test. Also, as a facial expression is something international, and it can be perceived intuitively without further descriptions, it can be used for many test participants from various backgrounds, covering various age groups, educational levels, ethnicity, and geographical locations. However, this questionnaire was excluded from the implementation because this assessment tool is mainly focusing on the evaluation of physical products than the environment or situations.

Circumplex of affect model
The circumplex model of affect was studied for emotion report function development of the Cacophony mapper system. The model has positive and negative emotion as its polar opposite in X-axis while having the level of arousal in its Y axis. I especially focused on unpleasant-intense quadrant than other three areas because the purpose of the emotion report is to define the noise fatigue of nurses in the ICU. Since the focus should be finding negative emotion triggered by sound stimuli in the medical surroundings, I decided to develop this quadrant as a emotion report interface on the application. Also, mild emotions were excluded from the focus area because emotions given in this area are far from emotions that nurses would feel about the sound experience in the ICU.
Emotion report: circumplex model of affect

Circumplex model of affect has been chosen as a tool for an emotion report. Only emotions in the negative-intense area in the model have been chosen for more clarity of the reporting process as the rest of the emotions in the model was not relevant to ICU sound environment in general. Also, the quadrant of the model was used because the purpose of this project is to create a system which can validate noise fatigue in the ICU, which involves the collection of noise stimuli in the unit and negative responses toward it.

In the unpleasant-intense emotion zone, five emotions that are relevant to the ICU sound was selected, and those emotions are alarmed, tense, frustrated, annoyed, and distressing emotions.

To make the interface of the reporting function more clear, chosen emotions were re-distributed to each extreme, so emotions have clearer gaps with each other, and users can easily differentiate one from the others. Also, indication lines for each angle were deleted for clarity of the overall layout.

Finally, emotion icons were added right next to words, so users quickly get what the emotion is about as an added description. Emoji icon that is commonly used in messenger applications had been considered to be used at first but excluded from the choice in the end, because many people use the same emoji for various situations, and it means they can be read the same emoji differently depending on their prior experiences with those icons.

Figure 28. Appliance of Circumplex of affect to the interface
Before working on the sound classification, basic sound knowledge and sound properties in the ICU were studied.

**dB (Decibel)**

Decibel refers to the quantified amount of sound which is translated into a comparative unit. As human beings cannot perceive the sound level proportionally even if the sound level has been changed on a regular scale, the concept of dB was created. Since we cannot perceive ten times amplified version of sound as ten times bigger than the original sound, dB takes other scales into accounts, such as voltage ratio, wavelength, power, and amplitude ratio so that we can refer to sound in a more readable and understandable manner. In this study, dB will be additionally used to figure out the noise component in the ICU. Later, dB information will be combined with other sound datasets so that the sensor can capture more precise sound information from the ICU surroundings.

**Frequency**

Frequency refers to the number of reoccurrences of the sound wave per one minute, so the pitch of each sound can be quantified, having its unit as Hz (Hertz) or rpm (revolutions per minute). As sound is a result of constant vibration through a medium from sound sources, each sound has its unique frequency depending on the sound source and the environment, showing various patterns of different range and waveforms.

As mentioned in a previous research of Busch-Vishniac, each sound in the ICU can be differentiated by analyzing waveforms of their own. For example, a wave pattern with low-frequency level can be expected from sound sources, such as blown winds, air conditioning, and ventilator sound in the ICU environment. Also, as mentioned in the same research, human speech is concentrated on 300 to 3000 Hz frequency range with irregular patterns comparing to regular machinery noise (Busch-Vishniac, 2006). In this regard, if we look into frequency properties of each sound group, it means that creating a sound classifier which can differentiate each sound category is possible.

**General sound range in the ICU**

According to research done in Johns Hopkins in 2005, the sound spectrum of the ICU was positioned between 63 Hz to 1000 Hz, and the sound level always exceeded 45dB. Also, more than half of the entire exploration showed that the sound level exceeded 52-59dB (Busch-Vishniac et al., 2005). Also, the sound level of the ICU showed more extreme record than the average hospital sound, marking more than 85dB at all sites, scoring 51dB as its overnight average after evening shift (Darbyshire & Young, 2013).

To find essential sound properties of each sound group which can be used for the sound categorization, in the end, frequency range, waveform, sound spectrum, and amplitude range have been studied, so those acoustic features can be used to filter each group from a mixture of various sound sources.

Previous research indicated that the sound which has a frequency range of 63 to 1000 Hz could be found on the octave band of 50 to 60 dB (Busch-Vishniac et al., 2005). The same study indicated that sound which has more amplitude than 60 dB has a lower frequency than 63Hz, while the frequency between 1000 to 16000Hz is corresponding to the sound group which has lower sound dB than 50dB (Busch-Vishniac et al., 2005). Within this knowledge, the sound information in the ICU, mostly the amplitude range, from various research papers could have been translated into the frequency range, so I could get to know the general the band range of each sound.

**Sound data confidentiality**

As the project is highly dependent on information gained through data logging, confidentiality, and privacy of the recorded data have been contemplated as a vital part of this project. For the acceptance of the system and the project itself, several ways of improving trustworthiness in the data confidentiality have been considered.

Therefore, it was necessary for users to make sure that the data accessibility will be confined only to academic and research purpose, and the data will be accessed only in a distorted format so that no one can listen to the original recording. At first, deleting data right after each analysis was considered, but the data can be used for a longer time for making a more extended period of data comparison. So, I decided to use a filter and save the sound as non-human-readable, and non-reversible form, so sound researchers gain only sound information that they need to achieve through the experiment, not the complete sound recording itself.
How to categorize sounds in the ICU?

Methodology: which sound properties should be taken into account for the sound evaluation?

For the sound analysis, the basic concept of sound, such as frequency and decibel, and relevant sound information in the hospital environment was studied to get the basic concept and idea of the project. A further step is to collect sound samples from the ICU and analyze them with various sound filters, such as sound wave, sound spectrum, and FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) filters to figure out each sound categories’ unique sound properties to enable the categorization.

The first step is the collection of ICU sound samples. To create a sound filter, a total of 43 sound files which were recorded in the ICU from BBC radio station were reviewed. After listening to them, I divided them into eight categories, which is background sound, ventilator sound, conversational sound, alarms, footsteps, door slam, trolley dragging sound, and object clashing sounds. I labeled them into 200 different sound samples, so each groups’ sound properties can be studied. A more detailed process is available in chapter 3.10 and 3.11.

After the labeling, various sound filters were applied to find the sound properties of each group. After finding frequency peaks and power peaks by applying sound samples in FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) filter, a collected dataset was applied into classification filter in Matlab to find out the best algorithm which is best to enable sound classification with the highest accuracy. A description of sound classification filter generation is available in chapter 3.12.

Succeedingly, sound classification filter was optimized in chapter 3.13 by enlarging sample size and improving the sound quality of the sample to get better accuracy of the filter. As a result of three stages of optimization, a sound classification filter which has 71.4% of accuracy was created, and the whole process is available in the next chapter.
To make a filter for the sound categorization, 43 sound samples recorded in the ICU by BBC radio station were reviewed and were chopped into 200 different samples, which contains 25 samples for every eight categories. The category has background, ventilator, alarms, conversation, trolley, door slam, objects crashing and footstep sound, in it. 200 samples with eight labels were analyzed in Matlab by Sample number-Amplitude and Frequency-Power. The relevant sound analysis result can be found in chapter 3.11 and 3.12.

Figure 30. ICU Sound sampling process
Sound processing: Sample number-Amplitude

In the Sample number-Amplitude analysis, the time scale in the data is compressed by 10 to raise the pitch and make the sound more clearly audible. 200 sound samples from 8 categories have been applied to this filter, and each pattern has been analyzed in this chapter.

Alarms

Alarms have the most apparent patterns compared to other sound sources because of its regular phasing of the peaks and the amplitude range, which lies between -0.8 and 0.8. The graph shows more obvious patterns when there is less noise in the recording, while added environmental sounds to alarms form a thicker orange horizontal area in the middle, overlapping with the pattern of alarms.

Background

Background sample shows more amplitude fluctuation with more significant and fuzzier orange area in the middle compared to other categories. It shows more severe fluctuations because of the frequent amplitude level change when the recording has more noise in it. On the other hand, when the recording is more clear, the height of the orange area is narrower with smaller amplitude range. Even though quiet surroundings were cropped as samples to show the general tranquil ICU atmosphere in the background, various sound sources that are included in the recording still have impacts on the general shape of the sound pattern. It is because background noise is a combination of various sounds from other categories with diverse patterns and the dominancy of each component matters to the pattern of background sound recording.

Conversation

The conversation shows irregular patterns both in the sample number range and the amplitude range. The range of the amplitude varies depending on samples, as the dominance of the conversation in the recording is an essential factor. While dominant background sound in conversation sample forms a big fat orange area in the middle, metal-clashing sounds in conversation sample make prominent peaks. Therefore, it is crucial to include pure conversation sound among conversation sample group as well as sound samples from real surroundings so that the created classification algorithm can reflect both patterns.

Footsteps

Footsteps make regular patterns in sample number axis, while amplitude shows various values even in the same sample. The peak range of the amplitude varies because people walk in different types of shoes with different sole materials with their own steps. When high heel shows more extreme peaks in a graph, light footsteps with soft rubber sole shows smaller fluctuation in the amplitude range. The pattern forms a narrower orange area in the horizontal center line when the recording has less noise in it, while more noises form a bigger orange zone.
Objects clashing

Objects clashing sound usually forms triangular patterns with sudden peaks with high amplitude values, and it narrows down drastically. The number of triangular pattern in the recording shows the number of clashes and how hard objects bumps into each other. Also, the clarity of the pattern is dependent on the material of objects since dull material tends to make one clear sound with very sharp borderline in a triangular pattern while metal clashing often makes small vibration follows to the main clashing, which leads to a fuzzy outline in a triangular shape.

Ventilator

Ventilator sound forms recurring diamond-shaped patterns with following next peaks with small triangular shape with narrow end on the right-hand side. This pattern is made because of the sequence of the pumping mechanism. The pattern of the ventilator is comparably noticeable than other sample groups because it generates recurring patterns as alarms do.

Trolley

The trolley shows an irregular pattern with severe amplitude fluctuations. The overall pattern sometimes increases and decreases depending on the changing distance of the trolley to the microphone for the recording. If there is more rattling sound from the recording, the graph shows higher peaks, while the dominance of the general noise in the recording leads to the more significant orange area in the middle area.

Door slam

Door slam makes a triangular pattern which has a sudden peak with an immediate decrease. Sometimes one more triangular form is made right next to the bigger triangle on the left. It is because the door makes the small fricative sound when it hits the door frame first and makes a more prominent sound at the moment that the door is completely shut. As can be seen from other recordings in various categories, the general noise level in the recording affects the thickness of the orange area, and more noises cause bolder thickness in the middle zone in the graph.
Sound processing: 
Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)

FFT (Fast Fourier Transform)

Fast Fourier transformation has been studied and applied to sound samples since it is a concept which helps decomposing combined frequencies from a synthesized sound. As every sound has different notes with various air pressures, each sound has its unique patterns of their own as well as their frequency ranges. However, if multiple sounds occur at once, they add up altogether and the sound is recognized as a sum. When two higher pitched-notes adds up together, the wave shows spiked high frequency, while lower pitched-note and higher pitched-note cancel out each other and makes a different pattern than those two individual sounds (Bracewell, 1989). Therefore, Fourier transformation was considered as a means of finding pure sound sources for further sound categorization.
By applying 200 sound samples into FFT filter in Matlab, each three of frequency peaks and power peaks were came up with. As a result, ventilator showed the highest average frequency peak of 350.859, followed by footsteps which have average frequency peak of 106.8879 and 104.411 of object clashing. Door slam sound showed the least average frequency peak of 12.70465 and alarms and trolley dragging sound showed the second and the third smallest average frequency peak. In terms of the maximum frequency value, background, footsteps, and ventilator sound recorded the highest value. As the highest value can show the biased number due to the use of the same sample source, each frequency peak and power peak values were listed from the highest to the third highest value.
Sound classification filter creation: with 8 categories

Sound classification with Matlab (1st attempt)
Top three power peaks of 200 samples were listed on Excel and exported to Matlab to create a sound classification filter. By using the classification learner, various algorithms with different accuracy were created with machine learning feature in Matlab. In this process, various options were reviewed whether samples should be divided into eight groups or four groups and whether using variables of Frequency-Frequency, Frequency-Power, or Power-Power combination will make a filter with better accuracy as a result. The exploration process is available in Figure 41 to 45.

Using 8 sample categories
With 8 sample categorization, three peak values of background, ventilator, alarms, conversation, trolley, door slam, objects clashing and footstep sound from each 25 samples were used in machine learning train process. As a result, using Frequency-Power as a variable showed the best accuracy of 44.5%, which was followed by the accuracy of the combination of Frequency-Frequency which marked 39.5%. Lastly, using Power-Power variables for classification showed the lowest accuracy of 31%.
Figure 48. Sound classification filter with frequency peaks 1, 2 and 8 sound categories

Figure 49. Sound classification filter with power peaks 1, 2 and 8 sound categories
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Using 4 sample categories

To improve the accuracy of the overall classification, 8 categories were combined into 4 categories of background, alarms, conversation, and incidental sounds. After using 4 sample group categorization, the background has 50 samples while alarms and conversation have 25 of each and sound samples of trolley dragging noise, door slam, objects clashing, and footsteps were merged into an incidental sound group with 100 samples. All in all, using Frequency-Frequency variables in training process showed a dominant accuracy of 63.5%, followed by Frequency-Power of 62% and Power-Power combination which rated 55.5% of accuracy. All in all, I decided to use 4 sample categorization than 8 sample categorization because of its better accuracy.
Figure 51. Sound classification filter with frequency and power peaks and 4 sound categories.

Model 3.1: Trained
Results:
- Accuracy: 54.3%
- Precision per sound category:
  - Alarms: 61.4%
  - Background: 25.0%
  - Conversation: 33.3%
  - Incidental: 58.9%
- Training time: 0.04955 s

Model Type:
- Method: Linear Discrimination
- Predictor: Linear Discrimination
- Feature Selection: All features used in the model, before PCA
- PCA: not used.

Data set: soundFilterCategories
Observations: 250
Predictors: 6
Response labels: 4
Validation: 5-fold cross-validation

Figure 52. Sound classification filter with power peaks 1, 2 and 4 sound categories.

Model 2.1: Trained
Results:
- Accuracy: 55.5%
- Precision per sound category:
  - Alarms: 55.5%
  - Background: 55.5%
  - Conversation: 55.5%
  - Incidental: 55.5%
- Training time: 0.05727 s

Model Type:
- Method: Linear Discrimination
- Predictor: Linear Discrimination
- Feature Selection: All features used in the model, before PCA
- PCA: not used.

Data set: soundFilterCategories
Observations: 250
Predictors: 6
Response labels: 4
Validation: 5-fold cross-validation
Optimization for improving the filter accuracy

To improve the reliability of the algorithm in the classification system, classification filter optimization was done. In this process, I decided to use 4 sample categorization instead of 8 sample categorization because the elaborated classification was not needed and 4 sample categorization showed the better overall accuracy, so I used it as a starting point of the optimization process.

Optimization was conducted by substituting poor quality sample with better ones, which well represents the group. Also, the sample size was enlarged in some groups so that the sensor can reflect the sound group better.

---

Sound classification filter optimization

1st attempt

- 63.5% accuracy with 25 samples
- 5 more clear samples for conversation

2nd attempt

- 66.8% accuracy with 30 samples
- 5 more clear samples for conversation
- Getting rid of 9 samples with a lot of noise

3rd attempt

- 64.3% accuracy with 35 samples
- Getting rid of 9 samples with a lot of noise
- Getting rid of 6 samples with a different peak range

4th attempt

- 67.7% accuracy with 26 samples
- 63.7% accuracy with 29 samples
- Switching 7 poor sample with better samples

5th attempt

- 66.8% accuracy with 25 samples
- 71.4% accuracy with 25 samples

---
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Sample investigation and re-sampling

The first optimization process was resampling sound samples by trimming parts of recordings that includes noises from other sound sources. By looking at audio signal analysis results from Matlab, outlier samples were discovered, and unnecessary parts were gotten rid of from recordings so that the system can have a more accurate filter for the sound classification result.
Enlarging sample size by adding more clear sounds for each category

As sound samples were originally collected under the criteria of 8 sample categorization, each sample number varied from 25 to 100 because samples were grouped into four sample categorization again later. As both conversation and alarm have 25 samples of each while the background has 50 and incidental sound has 100, the incidental sound group was excluded from the sample number enlargement process. Also, because alarms have a more regular pattern in its sound than other sound groups, there were more needs for sample improvements for other groups than alarms. As a result, the conversation has been chosen as the main target of the sound filter optimization because of its irregular sound patterns.

As an optimization procedure, five clear sound samples were added to filters for two times, and nine sound samples with noises and six sound samples in the outlier range were gotten rid of from samples. Finally, by switching seven poor sound quality sample with better ones, the result showed better accuracy value. All the process of re-sampling and new accuracy value is available from Figure 53-55.
Indoor positioning methods

In this chapter, indoor positioning will be introduced as a part of the project scope. First, GPS technology was looked into, but it turned out that GPS is a position detection technology based on satellite signals so that GPS cannot be used indoors as the satellite signals cannot penetrate walls of buildings. Also, the accuracy range of GPS is not suitable for indoor use. Therefore, various indoor positioning solutions were studied to find the best option for Cacophony Mapper.

Visual markers
Using a visual marker was considered as an indoor positioning method in the first stage. A method using visual markers uses a camera recording of surroundings for indoor positioning analysis. The camera detects specific visual markers in the environment and determines the user location based on the database from previous recordings. It is cost efficient as it only needs a functioning camera which will be attached to nurses for position detection. However, the hospital is an environment where people are sensitive about confidentiality and privacy; therefore, the option was excluded from the user scenario. Using a blur or a distortion filter was considered to apply to the camera, but acceptance of clinicians and patients remained as the biggest problem.

Beacon installments
Installing beacons in the ICU was contemplated because of its easy deployment and quick connection with Bluetooth. Also, many beacons adopt different combinations of built-in sensors, such as accelerometer, temperature sensor, ambient light sensor, magnetometer, and pressure sensor. They could be combined with the further concept of Cacophony Mapper. Also, since most beacons provide area sectioning function, it is possible to define each zone in the ICU with beacons. By combining sound data and position data, it is possible to create a sound heat map as a form of sound analysis. Also, because beacon's various analysis options, such as the name of the visitor, the number of visits, and duration of stay, there is room to combine more information proactively with the Cacophony Mapper concept later.

Magnetic positioning
When it goes for magnetic positioning, the most significant benefit is that there is no need to install any hardware in the environment as every place has its unique magnetic fingerprint. Also, it has 1 to 2 meters of accuracy, and it is exact and cost-effective method at the same time. However, the downside of it is that the hospital has various metallic equipment, that can hinder detection based on a magnetic field. Also, since many pieces of machinery emit electromagnetic waves in the ICU, it can be crucial to the accuracy of the magnetic positioning method, so magnetic positioning was excluded from the implementation option, too.

Wi-fi (wireless fidelity) signals
The Wi-fi signals can be used as an indoor positioning method. However, it was excluded from the implementation option because of its limited proximity range of 5-15 meters, which exceeds the width of the ICU corridor.

Li-fi (light fidelity)
Li-fi uses the signals from LED lighting positioned on ceilings, so the data transmission through light emission can be changed into a form which is non-visible for human eyesight. Since it does not transmit with electromagnetic waves, Li-fi is often used for aircraft cabins and hospital environment. However, Li-fi was excluded from the embodiment scenario because B2B service providers mainly provide the Li-fi technology in more significant installation volume. Also, those service providers provide not only the Li-fi devices but also the installment plan as a package, so it was not an available option for this graduation project.
How inner positioning can be used?

From the previous technological research, beacons were introduced to Cacophony Mapper concept to find the indoor position information of ICU nurses. Since beacons offer sectioning function, they detect nurses’ movement and provide fluid position information of their flow. Sound decibel and sound category will also be continuously collected through the sound sensor with a Cacophony Mapper device, while position information is collected. Thus, the heat map will be created with multiple data that nurses send out real-time.

Figure 56. Idea to combine inner positioning and sound data

Sound heat map
From the previous technological research, beacons were introduced to Cacophony Mapper concept to find the indoor position information of ICU nurses. Since beacons offer sectioning function, they detect nurses’ movement and provide fluid position information of their flow. Sound decibel and sound category will also be continuously collected through the sound sensor with a Cacophony Mapper device, while position information is collected. Thus, the heat map will be created with multiple data that nurses send out real-time.
How beacons can be distributed in the ICU?

Distribution of the beacons was contemplated because the proximity range of them could be set up differently for each device. Therefore, the range of the sectioning was contemplated by looking at the layout of ICU units. As can be seen from Figure 57, one floor has several different departments (which will be referred to as units in this report). In this chapter, the distribution of beacons was planned based on the unit layout of Erasmus MC. After calculating the total length of each unit’s corridor and the distance between desks, which is basically a one working station, the range of the area has a width of 4.8m and height of 3.3m. In this scenario, if someone enters into Zone A from Zone B, beacons will detect this movement, and those positioning information will be sent to the system. As sound and stress level detection will be done in the meantime, all collected information will be integrated into the system, and a heat map will be provided as one of data analysis.
Cacophony Mapper concept involves mainly five different sub-components in the system, a microphone for the sound collection, a heart rate tracker for the objective stress level assessment, beacons for indoor positioning, a mobile phone for emotion report function as subjective stress assessment tool and data analysis, and Firebase for data summary and further data analysis.

How product and system will be intertwined?
As can be seen from Figure 58 and 59 for product and system architecture, there will be a heart rate tracker, which will be attached to the nurses’ outer upper arm. For heart rate collection, PPG heart sensor will be used in a tracker, and collected data will be delivered to the system through Bluetooth. Also, there will be a microphone component which will be clipped to nurses’ chest pocket, which uses Bluetooth for the data delivery. Also, there will be a mobile phone for the nurses’ emotion report, which gives data analysis at the same time. Furthermore, beacons will be attached to ICU walls, providing indoor position sectioning. Finally, Sound, heart rate, emotion report, and indoor positioning data set will be available from Firebase altogether, which functions as a platform for collected data set for Cacophony Mapper. Further data analysis will be done within the data set collected from Firebase. Data analysis screens for mobile were designed differently for nurse mode, and researcher mode and further explanation is available in chapter 5.9 and 5.10.
Product & system architecture

Platform
- wearable device
- mobile application
- web platform

Product
- heart rate sensor (PPG)
- microphone
- emotion report

Function
- nurses
- researchers

Envisioned concept
- collected data
- personal data analysis
- heatmap
- data visualization

Figure 59. Product & system architecture of Cacophony mapper
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In this chapter, the distribution of the sound sensor and the heart rate collection component will be introduced as a part of hardware properties. For the quality recording for a precise sound classification, a microphone should be located outside of nurses’ clothing while heart rate can only be accurately collected when a device touches users’ skin. To overcome this structural challenge, multiple user scenarios have been considered and various versions of sketches were made.

As a result, I decided to separate the heart rate tracker and the sound collection part, so the quality sound and the precise heart rate data can be collected. For the compact storage and the harmonious look, an idea of designing a stackable set was chosen as a hardware design concept, and magnets were embedded to complete the idea.

For easy use, heart rate tracker part has a look of a sports armband with velcro, so users can easily pick up how to wear it without further instruction. Also, an interview (see Appendix E) was conducted with a nurse with 3D-printed draft hardware, and many insights were gained through that interview, and further ideation based on this interview process is available in chapter 4.4.
For the heart rate collection, the armband format has been chosen based on its excellent acceptance from its familiar usability. In this chapter, the positioning of the sound collection part will be mainly discussed.

As an idea, applying the sound device on the sleeve over the heart rate detection device within magnets, was considered. However, this idea was excluded from the option after having an interview with a nurse, which can be found in Appendix F. According to the interview, wearing something over the sleeve is forbidden for nurses in the hospital because of the possibility of cross-infection, even though the chest pocket area can be freely used, even over the clothing area.

Therefore, I came up with the second scenario of clipping the sound device on the nurses’ chest pocket. Even in this option, applying magnet in two different parts, and clicking together will stay as a part of the concept. This is mainly because having three different devices, including a mobile phone, for one system can be complicated for users and embedding magnets inside and storing devices together can be one right solution for a storing issue.

Clipping the sound device on the pants pocket was considered as an option too, but it was soon excluded from the user scenario because of its poor recording quality from bustling sound from the walk. Also, if users need to sit or bend, there is a high chance that the clipping part will be damaged in the long run. Furthermore, the far distance from users’ ear area was one reason that this option was excluded from the user scenario because sound analysis from the sound collection system and nurses’ emotion report would show more different tendency if they are physically far, which can be a crucial factor for misleading research result.
Twelve forms were modeled and printed before deciding on shapes of the final prototype. Shapes around the range of 15*25*50mm for sound sensor part and 18*25*60mm for heart rate detection part were modeled and printed. In this process, the size and distribution of inner components were considered, as well as the width of the elastic armband and the size of coin magnets. Rounded shapes were mainly studied because the device should touch users’ skin for a long time.

Also, the aesthetical harmony of sound sensor part and the heart rate detection component was one of the essential points in terms of form giving, because those two parts should look like a pair working for one system. Therefore, Yin-and-Yang was selected as a visual concept for hardware appearances, complementing each others’ curves, making spontaneous and natural contact surface for the magnet mechanism.
3D models were created in Rhino Ceros program within the scale criteria, as mentioned in chapter 5.1.

First, the mass for inner components was created for fastening inner components effectively, so existing inner components can be fit into the model without too much or too tight gaps. After successfully testing holding parts for inner components by several trial and errors, outer shells were created, and the inner fastening part was subtracted from the outer shell so that it can function as a product casing. In this phase, spaces for magnets were modeled as well as the space for armband belting part and an on/off button for the sound sensor. Also, products were divided into several pieces that they can be easily 3d printed and assembled later.
3D models were made, mainly focusing on two parts for sound collection, and heart rate detection can be stackable with a minimal structure. As there should be inner components for electronics and magnets, tolerance gaps were applied to holding structures for inner components. The inner curve for sound collection part and outer curve for heart rate detection part were designed in line with each other, so they can be clicked together without any interfering surface, allowing a more harmonious look. Also, soft curves were applied to edges for those devices, so that users would not feel any discomfort because of angles on the edges.
In this project, making a more precise system in a limited time frame of 20 weeks had more priority than building up all internal electronics from scratch by myself. Thus, existing devices were implemented in my product, Fitbit Alta HR for the heartrate collection and Nolan Bluetooth microphone for the sound collection. Additionally, six magnets were embedded to click two separate parts, and neoprene elastic armband with velcro was implemented in the prototype.
Sound sensor

Sound sensor casing was 3d printed in broadly four different parts including a button. As inner components, there are a PCB board with a Bluetooth, a microphone, a lithium battery, and a USB slot for charging. Additionally, there are three coin magnets implemented inside which has 10mm as its diameter and 1mm at its height.

Heart rate sensor

Heart rate sensor casing was printed into three pieces, two for the main body and one for belting component. Fitbit Alta HR with PPG sensor was implemented as a central component. Also, neoprene material armband was introduced for fastening heartbeat to users’ upper arm. Three coin magnets were embedded, and a armband hook was bolted to the main body later.
Figure 69: 3D-printed parts and inner components

A armband hook
B sound sensor inner holding part
C form mock-up: sound sensor
D Fitbit Alta HR (Heart rate sensor)
E Heart rate sensor inner holding part
F sound sensor lid part
G heart rate sensor outer shell
H microphone
I form mock-up: heart rate sensor
Final Design

Cacophony Mapper

Taking care of the sound level of intensive care

Figure 70. Cacophony mapper final design
Prototyping process

- Modeling
- 3D printing
- Sanding
- Painting
- Assembling

Figure 71. Prototyping process
There are broadly five steps in the prototyping process. First, 3D modeling was finished using Rhino Ceros program for the sound sensor part and the microphone part separately, having inner spaces for components and gaps for the button assembly. After the modeling, the rendered file was 3D printed within PLA material with Cura Ultramaker 2 and various revisions were made until I got the final model which suits all dimensions of the internal parts as well as a functioning button. After 3D printing, sanding was done with sandpaper with #180 to get rid of bumps on the surface and #320 for the final touch. Primer was applied to the prototype, and it was re-sanded to get a smooth surface and finally, white spray paint was applied as a final coat. After then, all the inner components were filled into the casing, and a final assembly attachment was made within bolting parts and glue.
Aesthetical prototype

The aesthetical prototype was created with PLA material. Because of the fragile nature of the PLA material and the layered structure from the 3D printer, there was a limitation, especially in terms of the durability of the model. When it comes to clipping part, and the belting hook for an elastic band, layered structure with thin shape showed its limitation in strength. Therefore, I had to work on the second prototyping, mainly focusing on more firm structure in the model for the user test.
Rapid-prototyping for testing

To complement the durability issue of the clipping part and the hook part shown in the aesthetic prototype, another model was 3D-printed with stronger structure and minimal shape. An existing clip was implemented with a rapid-prototyping method to get rid of an extra hassle of creating a 3D model for a functioning clipping part from scratch. Also, the hook part was designed as a part of the body structure, so it does not need to be an added structure, improving the fragility shown in the previous aesthetic prototype.
Nurse mode

To use Cacophony Mapper, please allow functionalities listed below.

- Bluetooth
- Position tracking
- Microphone
- Camera
- Notification

According to our system, now you are not in the unit or your schedule is off. If you are here and properly connected to the Bluetooth already, please retry.

 Authorities

6.0 Realization

For the first time users, the app will ask users to sign up. In the sign up page, users need to select their hospital unit and name which is already registered on the system. Then, users can complete their sign up process by filling in their email address and password. There are two different modes for the app, one for nurses with reporting function and the other for sound researchers mainly with analysis function. In this project, only functions for nurses were embodied for the testing and analysis functions were covered as envisioned design.
Reporting

If users start Cacophony Mapper app with a nurse mode, nurses are asked to select the time of their work slot, so the system can recognize when to start and stop the recording and sending out notifications every hour. The time setting is divided into quarters, which is the same as the way nurses divide their time table; The morning work slot starts from 7 am to 15:30 pm and the afternoon work shift starts from 14:45 to 23:15, while night work shift starts at 22:45 pm to 7:15 am. Once users finish setting up their work slot and click the start button below, then they will go immediately to the emotion reporting page.

In emotion report page, users can select which negative emotions were triggered by sound events in the past 1 hour. This immediate report page designed was developed based on a paper about momentary reporting tool guidance, and it emphasizes that immediate reporting is effective than retrospective assessment because responses can reflect respondents' less-biased experiences in natural surroundings (Stone & Shiffman, 2002). Therefore, the reporting page was designed in one single layer with five emotion buttons, so nurses can tell immediately how they felt about their sound experience. If users tab into a specific emotion button, a report will be stacked in the top column, and users can easily delete their accidental report by clicking the trash bin button on the right-hand side.

In the menu button on the top right, users can find finish today button to quit their reporting in the middle. Also, users can export the data, or they can log out from their account.

Notification

For quantified research, the way of increasing the number of emotion reports from users needed to be contemplated. Sending out notifications and deciding its interval was the main issue. Thus, whether if sending out alerts on time base, or event base makes better reporting rates was contemplated. As a result, time-based notification was chosen because sound-based alerts cannot collect users' immediate responses based on sound events. Even though users try to tab the button right away, there is a time gap between the sound event and the time of the report. Instead, the system sends a notification every hour saying, "Share your cacophony with us!". If users tab into it, then users can get into an emotion report page without the app extra hassle of running the app.
You had 25% more overall noises than your peers.
You had 15% less incidental noise and
You had 25% less alarms and
You had 25% more conversation sound and
You had 25% less background sound today.
The analysis which will be given to nurses and sound researchers are different. For nurses, I found that giving an overview of their own sound experience is vital than giving statistical facts in terms of motivation of using Cacophony Mapper application. Self-preoccupation is an essential factor for self-reporting since reports about their personality or reflection about themselves lead to a quality response with more frequent and diligent answers (Handbook of research methods in personality psychology, 2007). To keep users’ motivation for regular reporting, I found that having personal feedbacks about their own experiences is essential for users. Therefore, on this page, written comments about the sound experience were given instead of statistical analysis. Also, a comparison among users’ data and others’ data in terms of the sound exposure was made with percentile information, saying, “You were 25% more exposed to an incidental sound than your peers today.”.

Also, data is available in a daily, weekly, and monthly basis and the total number of reports are collected as well as sound category, decibel, and heart rate. In this way, the correlation among sound, subjective, and objective assessment of stress level can be compared. It will let nurses know how excessive sound stimuli is affecting their stress level in the work environment, which can lead to better awareness of the sound in the ICU environment.

Analysis for nurses
Feedback for nurses is primarily geared toward improving their motivation to report more frequently. Therefore, by looking at a pentagon visual overview of users’ sound experiences, users can easily get to know how their sound experience was different from others. The three levels of indication of more/average/less sound are given through the pentagon graphic as well as the written text below, talking about the significance of your sound experience today.

Figure 68. Pentagon of comparing sound experience with other users

If users click on the crossing arrows button on the top left in the blue box, it shows the statistical analysis with bar charts, illustrating sound fluctuation. In each bar, four sound categories are divided into four colors with numbers written in tags on the right-hand side. Also, the blue chart on the bottom shows the number of emotion reports from nurses through the day, week, or a month. By making a comparison of two bar charts’ fluctuations, nurses get to know how sound can affect overall emotional responses of themselves while working in the ICU.

If a user clicks the smile button on the right-hand side in the blue box, the screen changes into an emotion report record page of the day, week, or a month. Listed emotions follow the order of the most reports to the least reports, and users can check which sound was dominant while they report their negative emotions. By doing so, nurses can think about the sound that they produce by themselves, that it can eventually lead to a better sound awareness and more pleasant sound environment in the ICU.
Researcher mode: envisioned concept

The data sets given to researchers are different from the data which is provided to nurses'. For researchers, making a good comparison of sound environment depending on dates, days, units, and individuals is essential. By investigating the organized dataset, finding general rules about the sound events in the ICU can be one of their priorities. Also, assumingly, sound researchers check those data on a daily or regular basis; thus, I made daily, weekly, and monthly buttons as the application’s upper layer and made tabs for overall, by unit, and by a nurse as its sub-category so that researchers can compare the data more efficiently.
Daily analysis

Under the “overall” tab, users can find the sound and the emotion report data they want to look into. Average sound level is given in decibel, and the number of the emotion report is shown right next to it, so researchers can easily find the correlation between sound level and the number of nurses’ complaints toward it. The graph shown on the left-hand side shows changing sound level in a 24-hour-time-frame as well as nurses’ sound reports. On the right-hand side, there are sound heat maps for various units. Therefore, depending on where users put a white toggle button on the timeline, they can easily see the sound flow of the overall unit on the heat map. Additionally, the flow by the time can be automatically shown by clicking the play button on the top of the heat map.

Under “by unit” tab, a sound heat map of a specific unit is available, and researchers can swipe the screen to see the next unit’s data set.

Under “by nurse” tab, researchers can choose the unit that they would like to investigate, and they can search for a dataset of individuals by swiping the screen.

Sound heat map

The sound heat map will be created by combining indoor position information and collected sound data. If users change the position of the toggle on the graph on the left-hand side, it will show the visualized sound analysis in different hours. Based on which data set researchers are looking into, the interface will show a group of the heat map, that shows the overall sound flow of all units, whereas clicking “by unit” tab will enable researchers to look at the sound flow of a specific unit. The graphic shows more shades of red color when there are more noises in the unit while a calm sound environment will show the more transparent color effect on the heat map.
User test: System reliability test (test 1, 2)

Introduction (test 1, 2, 3)

The purpose of developing Cacophony Mapper system is to provide a platform which can find a correlation between sound stimuli and nurses’ stress level as a response to the negative sound environment. Therefore, data collection for both sound and stress level is essential for the whole project. Thus, the data reliability is a crucial part of this project since the dataset will be a foundation which will enable validating noise fatigue as a result. Therefore, there were two aspects that I tried to test in this evaluation phase; the reliability of the sound classification system and the general usability of the system.

Since sound categorization has been mainly covered as a study subject for this project, I decided to put the sound classification system reliability as a top priority for the testing. Also, the system usability was chosen as a next test subject since Cacophony Mapper system is first introduced through this project, so how the system will be used and accepted by users is something needs to be investigated for further discussion. Thus, I divided the test plan into three parts, a system reliability test with a sound file, a system reliability test with a sound recording collected from test participants, and general usability test with test participants.

Participants (test 2, 3)

For test 1, only WAV sound recording will be tested, so the participant is not needed. For test 2 and 3, there will be 11 test participants from Industrial design department, TU Delft.

Method (test 1, 2)

As sound classification is one of the core functions of the Cacophony Mapper system, conducting a reliability test seemed to be the first necessary step to check for further development.

First of all, the system reliability will be tested by directly putting the WAV sound file to Matlab to see the accuracy level of the filter, getting rid of all impacts of external factors, such as the quality of the testing device or unexpected inputs to the system during the experiment. As Matlab classification learner showed 71.4% as its final accuracy level as a result of optimization in chapter 3.13, the accuracy of the filter will be tested by putting sound data to the sound classifier to see if the test result meet the accuracy level that system aims for. This process will be evaluated using a format shown in Figure 78.

Also, the system reliability will be tested with experiments with students at the Industrial design department, TU Delft, using the product and mobile application as its apparatus. To see the accuracy of the implemented sound classifier, sound recording will be done using the mobile application, and the classification will be conducted to see if the system can be successfully done with external factors too. Figure 79 will be filled in through this test, and the result will be compared with test result 1 to see to find on which level environmental factors, such as recording quality and noise level of the test environment, will affect the overall sound classification result (Figure 80).

What will be tested?

Test 1: System reliability (using WAV)
- What is the overall sound classification success rate?
- What is the sound classification success rate of each group?

Test 2: System reliability (with students)
- What is the overall sound classification success rate?
- What is the sound classification success rate of each group?
- How the result different when using WAV file and sound recorded within the app?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant X</th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
<th>Phase 3</th>
<th>Phase 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success rate</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 78. Test 1 format: classification success rate in WAV file test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant X</th>
<th>Overall classification success rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incidental noise classification success rate</td>
<td>Conversation noise classification success rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alarm noise classification success rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 79. Test 2 format: classification success rate in user test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Accuracy</th>
<th>WAV file</th>
<th>App recording</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alarms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 80. Test result comparison: test1, test2
**User test: System usability test (test 3)**

**Method (test 3)**
First of all, the general product and system usability will be validated through this experiment before the further test is conducted in the real hospital environment with nurses. The test will be conducted in two steps, and the first part, it will be investigated to see if test participants can easily pick up what they should do to see the overall system usability. The process will be evaluated using the form in Figure 82, which is a test instruction paper with a scaling system. Also, through an after-test survey in Figure 83, the application will be assessed whether it was manageable to learn, clearly structured, easy to use, straightforward to use, and practical to use, which was formulated using word pairs from Attrakdiff scale shown in Figure 81.

**What will be tested?**

**Test 3: System usability test (with students)**
Through this system usability test, the following two questions will be answered.
- How users evaluate the overall usability of the Cacophony Mapper system (product & application)?
- How users evaluate the usability of Cacophony Mapper application?

---

**Figure 81. Attrakdiff scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-/2.0</td>
<td>-/2.0</td>
<td>-/2.0</td>
<td>-/2.0</td>
<td>-/2.0</td>
<td>-/2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 82. Usability test format1: Overall system usability assessment**

**Figure 83. Usability test format2: Application usability assessment**
User test plan: test 2, 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduction</th>
<th>Testing</th>
<th>Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 mins</td>
<td>12 mins</td>
<td>5 mins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Machinery (12 mins)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidental sound</th>
<th>Conversation</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Alarms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Door slam 1 (6 sec)</td>
<td>Conversation 1 (53 sec)</td>
<td>Alarm 1 (59 sec)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolley + Footsteps (19 sec)</td>
<td>Conversation 2 (59 sec)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door slam 2 (6 sec)</td>
<td>Conversation 3 (36 sec)</td>
<td>Alarm 2 (25 sec)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footsteps (22 sec)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door slam 3 (8 sec)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolley (29 sec)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ventilation (36 sec), 2

Testing file (12 mins)

Figure 84. User test sound file
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User test plan: test 2, 3

Test plan

The duration of the test was designed for 20 minutes in total, having 3 minutes of introduction, 12 minutes of the testing, and 5 minutes for the after-test-survey.

For instruction for the test procedure, a printed A4 paper with graphics in the next two pages will be given to participants to give clear guidance of what they will expect through the experiment. Also, the after-test-survey is mainly based on Attrakkdiff scale in Figure 81, which is a design usability assessment tool using word pairs.

Also, in the testing stage, a sound recording, which has a duration of 12 minutes will be played (recording file available: Figure 84) and test participants will be asked to work on their daily work, using a laptop. In the meantime, they are going to be exposed to the sound recording. There will be notifications every 3 minutes, asking participants’ emotional responses to their own sound experiences for the past 3 minutes. The guidance in the instruction paper explains six steps that the participants need to follow during the test, and participants fulfill six tasks, one by one and score the usability of each process.

The recording is composed with 11 sound samples, one with the background with dominant ventilator sound, a trolley, and footsteps, a trolley dragging sound, a footstep sound, three times of door slams, three different conversations, and two different alarms. In the meantime, the ventilator sound of the ICU will be played for 12 minutes as background noise.

In the first phase of the recording, three times of door slams, a combination of trolley dragging sound and footsteps, a recording of footsteps, and trolley dragging sound will be played for the first 3 minutes to stand for an incidental sound group. After 3 minutes, three different conversational noise will be played for the next 3 minutes. There will be only ventilator sound in the background played for 3 minutes in the third phase of the recording, and there will be 3 minutes of 2 different alarms played in the last phase. After each segment of 3 minutes, users will be asked to report their emotions toward their previous sound experiences through an application.

The test will be done by completing two sheets of a questionnaire, which has step-by-step instruction on the first page and general assessment of the application usability on the next page (available in Figure 85, 86). The questionnaire on the first page is giving guidance how the heart rate tracker and sound sensor should be worn, how to log in, how to set up the time for the detection, how to get access to report page by swiping notification, and how emotion report can be done. In the next page, the usability of the application will be assessed by asking whether the app was manageable to use, clearly structured, complicated to use, straightforward to use, or it would be practical to use it in the medical environment while working.
**User test plan**: test 3 questionnaire 1/2

**Cacophony Mapper**: Defining Noise Fatigue in the ICU

The purpose of this project is to find how sound stimuli can affect nurses’ stress level and emotional responses in the ICU. Thus, you are going to be asked to wear a heart rate detection device and a sound collector during the test. Sound data will be distorted using a filter while recording, so no one can listen or restore the original sound that you don’t need to worry about the privacy issue. The data will be only used for academic purpose. Please follow the instruction below and rate your usability of each process. The questionnaire on the next page should be filled in after the test. The duration of the recording will be 12 minutes and you do not need to try to remember the sound from the recording. Thank you for your participation and enjoy!

**Name:**
**Gender:**
**Age:**
**Score:** `/ 12`

---

1. Please fasten the armband on your outer side of the bare upper arm for the heart rate collection.

   Easy: 2  Okay: 1  Difficult: 0

2. Please wear the clip on the microphone device on your chest area.

   Easy: 2  Okay: 1  Difficult: 0

3. Please Sign in to Cacophony Mapper application, using Email and password written below:
   Email: designer.yoon.lee@gmail.com
   Password: dldbs

   Easy: 2  Okay: 1  Difficult: 0

4. Please start HB button to connect the device to the Bluetooth. Assign the time slot from now to 12 minutes later and press start rec button and enjoy your work! :)

   Easy: 2  Okay: 1  Difficult: 0

5. When there is a notification, please start the application by swiping the notification from the left to the right and report your emotion.

   Easy: 2  Okay: 1  Difficult: 0

6. Please press the emotion button which was relevant to your emotion related to your recent sound experience.

   Easy: 2  Okay: 1  Difficult: 0
### The SUS (System Usability Scale) Survey for Cacophony Mapper application

1. Cacophony Mapper application was manageable to learn.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
   Why do you think so?  

2. Cacophony Mapper application was clearly structured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
   Why do you think so?  

3. Cacophony Mapper application was complicated to use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
   Why do you think so?  

4. Cacophony Mapper interface was straightforward to use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
   Why do you think so?  

5. I think it will be practical to use Cacophony Mapper during work in a medical environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
   Why do you think so?  

Comments?
System reliability test process: test 1

Test 1
- What is the overall sound classification success rate?
- What is the sound classification success rate of each group?

First, the sound for the testing has four different parts, that stand for incidental noise, conversation, machinery noise in the background, and alarms, respectively in sequence. The whole recording is 12 minutes, and every four segments take 3 minutes. Since each segment was included in four different categories, the recording could not go straightly to the sound classifier because the system will detect the four segments as a chunk so that it will give only one classification result. To avoid that, I divided one sound file into four segments so the classifier can detect each sound group more clearly.

Four sound files and 11 sound sources which compose the soundtrack were put into sample number-amplitude filter in Matlab audio toolbox for sound analysis first. Also, these files were applied to FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) filter to draw three frequency peaks and power peaks, for further sound investigation.

The first phase of the soundtrack was applied to sample number-amplitude filter, with its sound elements of machinery noise in the background, trolley passing sound, trolley passing and footsteps, and two different door slam sounds, separately. The figure above shows the sound patterns that each sound makes. Even though six sound sources were combined into one sound, the pattern does not reflect the combination of 6 sound waveforms. It is because sound data of each sound source offsets each other, so the waveform of the combined soundtrack has its own pattern. The combined waveform showed the general shape of the incidental sound waveform, while separated files showed the typical pattern of its sub-categories, such as trolley dragging, door slam, and footsteps, which was investigated in chapter 3.11.
The second phase of the soundtrack was applied to sample number-amplitude filter, with sound elements of machinery noise in the background, and three different conversation sound. As can be seen from the figure above, visual significance was not found in a combined file.

The waveform shows the repetitive pattern of ventilator sound. The significant point is that the waveform shows a difference when the source is collected from a synthesized file and from the original file. It seems that the sound synthesis process affected the quality of the recording even though it was also done in WAV form. The duration of the sound file could affect the shape of the waveform since the original file has around 30 seconds as its duration while the whole recording is 12 minutes long.

The alarms show a unique pattern in the waveform. Even though the sound offset was made in the sound synthesis process, the pattern shows a distinct pattern with a rigid shape. When comparing the pattern of original sound sources and the pattern of a synthesized file, the compiled file contains the pattern of original files in a more condensed way. The pattern looks artificial comparing to other sound sources, which show more angular and artificial shape in the sound pattern.
Secondly, the same sound sources were applied to FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) filter to extract three frequency peaks and three power peaks. Succeedingly, those sound peaks were applied sound classifier, which was created in chapter 3.12 to find the sound classification result.

**Figure 97. Sound track phase 1, applied to FFT filter**

**Figure 98. Sound track phase 2, applied to FFT filter**

```matlab
>> yfit = trainedModel.predictFcn(segmentation1.X)

X=1
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS

X=2
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS

X=3
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS

X=4
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS

X=5
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS

X=6
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS

X=7
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS

>> yfit = trainedModel.predictFcn(segmentation2.X)

X=1
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS

X=2
fit =
    categorical
    CONVERSATION

X=3
fit =
    categorical
    CONVERSATION

X=4
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS

X=5
fit =
    categorical
    ALARMS

X=6
fit =
    categorical
    ALARMS

X=7
fit =
    categorical
    INCIDENTAL SOUNDS
Four synthesized sound crops of incidental sound, conversation, machinery, and alarm were applied to FFT filter to find the top three frequency peaks and power peaks. Dataset was applied to Matlab classifier using given code in figure 97 to 100, to find out the sound category. In the same way, 11 separate sound sources were put into the same classifier to find out the accuracy of the sound classifier.
The classification result shows that the accuracy of the filter when putting four synthesized sound source was 25%. The filter showed only one correct result in the first phase of the recording for incidental sound. All classification test result showed incidental sound as their classification results; it was because the sound category classifier recognizes 3 minutes of phases as one chunk. Since incidental sound filter has multiple sound groups, such as footsteps, object clashing sounds, trolley dragging sound, and door slams, it could have been possible that sound of 3 minutes has been recognized as incidental sound as a whole. For further investigation, I decided to run the classifier using 11 sound files which were used to make a synthesized sound recording for testing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recording</th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
<th>Phase 3</th>
<th>Phase 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success rate</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 101. Sound classification result: WAV

Classification result showed that 3 out of the five incidental sounds were recognized correctly, recording 60% of accuracy level. When it comes to conversation, 2 out of the three results were correct, showing 66.6% of accordance, while one long ventilator sound of 12 minutes was not recognized as a machinery sound. Furthermore, only one sort of alarms was recognized out of two recordings. Except for the ventilator recording, the duration of each sound file did not exceed a minute, so it did not seem the sound composition of the recording was too complicated.

All in all, the classification filter showed 54% of the accuracy level, which showed more than twice better the performance than when using a sound chunk. I thought the accuracy varies depending on the sound input mainly because the classifier has only 200 sound recordings for its machine learning, and some groups only had 25 samples for its classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recording</th>
<th>Incidental</th>
<th>Conversation</th>
<th>Machinery</th>
<th>Alarms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Trolley (29sec)</td>
<td>Trolley and footsteps (19sec)</td>
<td>Footsteps (22sec)</td>
<td>Door slam 1 (4sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success rate</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 102. Sound classification result: recordings from user test

Using synthesized sound chunks

Using 11 separate sound sources

Result 1.1

Using 11 separate sound sources
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Result 1.2

Two tests were conducted with new classifier with 66.6% of accuracy. The first test was conducted using four synthesized sound chunks for incidental, conversation, machinery, and alarms, and the overall result showed 25% of classification success rate. The classifier could only tell the incidental sounds like the same as the classification result before the sound filter optimization.

On the other hand, the test result with 11 separate sound sources showed a significant improvement in accuracy level, dramatically increasing to 90.9% from 54%. When looking at the result, all sound classification results were all correct except for one trial with incidental sound.

Since there was no significant improvement when using synthesized sound chunks, the way of improving the recognition quality of synthesized sound was contemplated. The main reason of the low job performance of the classifier seemed to be coming from the fact that there are so many sound events in 3 minutes of the recording, and the sound classifier recognizes the whole as one sound event, which leads to incorrect results.
Therefore, a way of dividing one sound chunk into every 10 seconds was devised in the sound processing phase to avoid the classifier detecting 3 minutes of a recording as one sound. Therefore, the total of 72 sound samples with 10 seconds of duration in each recording was made. An expected downside of this processing method for this test setup is that if there are gaps in between each sound event, there will be only machinery noise left in the background so the classifier will detect those sound gaps as background than the intended sound group, which lowers the classification success rate. Therefore, I decided to use the term sound dominancy than classification accuracy in this section. Since the whole recording is not filled with specific sound events, the most recognized sounds should be determined as the result of the classification than counting the overall classification success rate. Therefore, 72 sound samples were put into Matlab classifier, so the most recognized sound could be decided as each segment's dominant sound.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidental</th>
<th>0-10 sec</th>
<th>11-20 sec</th>
<th>21-30 sec</th>
<th>31-40 sec</th>
<th>41-50 sec</th>
<th>51-60 sec</th>
<th>61-70 sec</th>
<th>71-80 sec</th>
<th>81-90 sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidental</th>
<th>91-100 sec</th>
<th>101-110 sec</th>
<th>111-120 sec</th>
<th>121-130 sec</th>
<th>131-140 sec</th>
<th>141-150 sec</th>
<th>151-160 sec</th>
<th>161-170 sec</th>
<th>171-180 sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dominant sound**: Incidental : 66.6% dominancy (> Conversation : 11.1% > Background : 11.1% > Alarms : 5.5%)

Figure 106. Sound classification result: phase 1-Incidental

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversation</th>
<th>0-10 sec</th>
<th>11-20 sec</th>
<th>21-30 sec</th>
<th>31-40 sec</th>
<th>41-50 sec</th>
<th>51-60 sec</th>
<th>61-70 sec</th>
<th>71-80 sec</th>
<th>81-90 sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dominant sound**: Incidental : 61.1% dominancy (> Conversation : 27.7% > Background : 5.5% > Alarms : 5.5%)

Figure 107. Sound classification result: phase 2-Conversation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machinery</th>
<th>0-10 sec</th>
<th>11-20 sec</th>
<th>21-30 sec</th>
<th>31-40 sec</th>
<th>41-50 sec</th>
<th>51-60 sec</th>
<th>61-70 sec</th>
<th>71-80 sec</th>
<th>81-90 sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOMINANT sound**: Incidental : 61.1% dominancy (> Conversation : 27.7% > Background : 5.5% > Alarms : 5.5%)

Figure 108. Sound classification result: phase 3-Machinery
As a result, Incidental sound group showed the most dominancy of 66.6% in the first phase of the recording, which stands for the incidental sound group. Incidental sound showed the dominancy of each of 61.1% for conversation, machinery, alarm phase, which was different than what those phases stand for. Even though the second dominant sound reflected its original sound in conversation and alarm segment, the overall dominance of the right sound group recorded 30.5%, which was way lower than when using separate sound sources.

As an optimization, the accuracy of the classifier was developed by including sound data from sample files. 72 cropped sound samples of each 10 seconds were added into Matlab classifier and re-trained. The optimized filter showed 58.8% of expected accuracy after its training (see Figure 107), and the same files were tested with the new classifier to see if optimization helped better classification result.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidental</th>
<th>0-10 sec</th>
<th>11-20 sec</th>
<th>21-30 sec</th>
<th>31-40 sec</th>
<th>41-50 sec</th>
<th>51-60 sec</th>
<th>61-70 sec</th>
<th>71-80 sec</th>
<th>81-90 sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Success rate: Incidental : 83.3% ( > Background : 11.1% > Conversation : 5.5% > Alarms : 0%)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversation</th>
<th>0-10 sec</th>
<th>11-20 sec</th>
<th>21-30 sec</th>
<th>31-40 sec</th>
<th>41-50 sec</th>
<th>51-60 sec</th>
<th>61-70 sec</th>
<th>71-80 sec</th>
<th>81-90 sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Success rate: Conversation : 72.2% ( > Incidental : 11.1% > Alarms : 11.1% > Background : 5.5%)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machinery</th>
<th>0-10 sec</th>
<th>11-20 sec</th>
<th>21-30 sec</th>
<th>31-40 sec</th>
<th>41-50 sec</th>
<th>51-60 sec</th>
<th>61-70 sec</th>
<th>71-80 sec</th>
<th>81-90 sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing result</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Success rate: Background : 72.2% ( > Incidental : 22.2% > Alarms : 5.5% > Conversation : 0%)

---

Figure 108. Sound classification result: phase 1-Incidental

Figure 109. Sound classification result: phase 2-Conversation

Figure 110. Sound classification result: phase 3-Machinery
After the second filter optimization, the overall accuracy of the classification result has improved drastically, showing 68.0% of the accordance rate, which is more than double the of the previous testing which had 30.5% of accordance rate. When it comes to incidental sound segment, it showed 83.3% of the accordance rate while the conversation and the machinery group showed 72.2%, respectively. Also, the alarm segment showed 44.4% of the match.

All in all, the accuracy of sound classification result with synthesized sound has been increased starting from 25% and ended up with 68%. The result shows that the 10-seconds-segmenting process was, and more relevant sound samples for classifier training will enable better classification quality.
Test 1 was conducted in four steps to see if the sound classifier works properly as it has been designed. In this process, several problems have been defined, especially regarding sound processing methods, and the filter was optimized together with the new processing methods so that optimized classifier can be used in test 2.

In result 1.1, using 11 separate sound sources scored overall of 54% accuracy while using synthesized sound sources showed 25% of the classification success rate. As the classifier has a total sample size of 200 and some group has only 25 samples in it, I thought applying the testing sound data to the filter can develop the quality of the classification.

After the first optimization, the result 1.2 showed a significant improvement in the accuracy level of detection in 11 separate sound sources. However, there was no difference when using a synthesized sound source in the test, and the overall accuracy remained at 25%. I thought this difference occurs because the classifier uses only three frequency and three power peaks for the sound classification. Therefore, one recording of 3 minutes has too complicated sound components in one dataset. So, four sound segments of each 3 minutes were chopped into every 10 seconds, and 72 test samples were created.

After the second optimization, I focused on investigating synthesized sound dataset than separate sound sources since synthesized sound will be used in test 2 and the result will be compared to the result of test 1. The test result showed 30.5% of the accuracy, which showed 55% of the improvement than before the 10-second-sound-processing. The third optimization was done by including segmented dataset to the sound classifier so it can reflect the test dataset, complimenting the limitation of small sample groups.

The result 1.4 showed noticeable improvement after the third optimization, showing an overall accuracy of 68%. It showed significant improvements in each sound groups of incidental, conversation, and machinery sound too, except for alarms, which showed a plummeted classification success rate.
System reliability test process: test 2, 3

Test date: 14th May, 2019
Location: B-1-420, IDE, TU Delft

Test materials: Mobile phone (Huawei Mediapad T3 7.0), Sound record device, Heart rate tracker, Instruction paper/Questionnaire, laptop (Macbook Pro 2018) as a speaker

Test participants: Students (4)

Test date: 15th May, 2019
Location: D-1-900, IDE, TU Delft

The user test was conducted throughout two days, with 11 participants from the Industrial design department, TU Delft. The test was conducted with students in the faculty room, and there was a mobile phone (Huawei Mediapad T3 7.0), a sound record device, a heart rate tracking device, and a test sheet, which had instruction and questionnaire part, as test materials.

After 3 minutes of oral instruction, test devices, and a test sheet was given to participants. There were broadly six steps that test participants needed to follow, following guidance written on the instruction paper. After clicking the start recording button on the app, test participants were asked to refrain from talking or making big noises during the test to avoid additional impacts for the test result. The first part of the questionnaire was filled in while fulfilling six stages of tasks, and the second part of the questionnaire was finished after the test.
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System reliability test result: test 2

Test 2
- What is the overall sound classification success rate?
- What is the sound classification success rate of each group?
- How the result different when using WAV file and sound recorded within the app?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant1</th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>1/18</td>
<td>0/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant2</th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>0/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant3</th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>2/18</td>
<td>0/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall: 11.7%

Overall: 32.4%

Overall: 22.5%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant4</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phase 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>3/18</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phase 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>17/20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant5</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phase 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>4/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phase 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>10/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant6</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phase 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>3/18</td>
<td>1/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phase 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant</td>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>3/18</td>
<td>3/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>16/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall: 30.6%**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>2/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>16/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall: 25%**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>3/18</td>
<td>3/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>Alarms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct/total</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>13/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall: 22.2%**
The primary purpose of test 2 was to answer to following three questions listed below:

- What is the overall sound classification success rate?
- What is the sound classification success rate of each group?
- How the result different when using WAV file and sound recorded within the app?

With the sound classification filter, which was gained through three optimization process in test 1, the user test was conducted with participants. Full data collected through eleven tests is available in Appendix H.

As can be seen from the overall value of each test, the classification success rate is not as high as the result of test 1. The categorization result shows the classifier mostly worked for alarms, but not for other sound segments. Especially when it comes to machinery segment, there was no success case in this experiment, and the possible reasons for the classification failure will be discussed in Chapter 6.4 for further discussion.
As can be seen from the chart above, a total of 769 samples were collected through user test. If the test worked ideally, the total number of collected sample should have been 792, but there are some number difference because of the time setting function of the application. During the test, test participants were asked to set up the time from now to 12 minutes later, and it leads to the result the duration of the testing to be less than 12 minutes because when they press the start button, that is already after several seconds were passed from exact 0 second. All in all, the correct rate of the sound filter in test2 was 23.8%, which shows lower rate than the result of test 1. In test 2, the accordance rate of incidental sound was 11.6%, while that of conversation rated 7.6%. Alarms rated a significant correct rate of 84.1%, while machinery sound was not recognized at all during the test.

The results of test 1 and test 2 were compared to find how the external factors and test setups can affect the overall test quality. As can be seen from Figure 126, the overall sound categorization success rate shows a significant gap in test 1 result and test 2 result.

While the accordance rate when using WAV file (test1) shows 68%, the testing result with participants (test2) using an app shows 23.8%. One of the salient points is that alarm shows 44.4% of the accuracy level in test 1, which is comparatively lower accuracy level than other categories, while the alarm shows 84.1% of the accuracy in test 2 result.

All in all, the overall test result shows that using WAV file enabled better categorization result than using recordings made via test device. For further discussion regarding the test result, go to chapter 6.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>WAV file (Test 1)</th>
<th>App recording (Test 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversation</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alarms</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>84.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 125. Test result (test 2)

Figure 126. Test result comparison (test 1, 2)

Figure 128. Test result 1: WAV file

Figure 129. Test result 2: App recording
System usability test result: test3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>Step 2</th>
<th>Step 3</th>
<th>Step 4</th>
<th>Step 5</th>
<th>Step 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6/2.0</td>
<td>2.0/2.0</td>
<td>2.0/2.0</td>
<td>1.2/2.0</td>
<td>1.2/2.0</td>
<td>1.9/2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 129. Test result: Instruction & questionnaire for system usability assessment

Test 3: System usability test (with students)
- How users evaluate the overall usability of the Cacophony Mapper system (product & application)?
- How users evaluate the usability of Cacophony Mapper application?

The system usability of products and the application was evaluated through two different sections of a questionnaire. In the first section of the test, participants were asked to evaluate the product and the application usability by following six stages of tasks: putting heart rate tracker on their upper arm, clipping the sound collection device on their chest pocket, logging in to the application within given ID and password, setting up time for the report, getting access to the report page by swiping notification, and reporting emotions regarding their sound experience. Each step had 3 point scaling, starting from scale 0 which stands for difficult, 1 for okay, and 2 for easy. After going through each process, participants were asked to rate the usability of each step.

As a result, both tasks of clipping sound collection device and logging into application rated 2.0 out of 2.0. Users responded that attaching a device using a clip was easy for them. Also, all participants were used to login function since it is a standardized interface for web and app, so there was no extra learning step for this function.

Both time setting for the application and notification function rated 1.2 out of 2.0, which was the lowest among six steps. Some participants did not understand the meaning of the question saying, “please set the time slot from now to 12 minutes later from now on.” Also, some struggled to calculate the time until 12 minutes later. This problem revealed because the interface for the application was different from an aimed interface since the testing app had every minute while the aimed version only had 15, 30, 45, 00 minutes for time setting as nurses’ timetable ends and starts only with this time frame.

Also, the notification function got comments that it is not noticeable enough. Since Huawei Mediapad T3 7.0 has been released as a tablet then a mobile, the device did not have a function to give off a buzz when there is an alert, so there was just a pop-up when there was a notification. Also, 5 participants pointed out that deciding the interval of notification would be essential because too frequent notification can be a significant stress for nurses because multiple notifications during the test already felt like an additional burden for them.

When it comes to the step for wearing a heart rate tracker, 2 participants reported that it is physically hard to wear the device with only one hand. Also, some struggled to wear a device when they were wearing a long sleeve shirt, but it does not seem to happen in the real hospital scenario as one nurse interviewed that nurses usually wear a sleeveless top underneath their work gown or some only wear their underwear. Also, sometimes it needed to repeat that participants need to wear the armband on their skin since the sensor only functions when it touches flesh area, but participants had no idea about it and often skipped the guidance in the introduction paper.

When it comes to the emotion report interface, which is a central function of this testing application, got 1.9 out of 2.0. Some participants mentioned that they did not understand how the page works at first since it looked not too familiar, but it turned out to be very easy to use this page after trying to press buttons several times. 3 participant mentioned that the screen was very intuitively structured, so they knew immediately what they needed to do. Also, one participant mentioned that it is not clear what each axis means, while one told that he almost missed the neutral button on the right bottom side of the screen since it was positioned differently than other emotions.

All in all, the first part of the usability test for the system of the product and the application marked 9.9 out of 12, which shows 82.5 in percentile.
Cacophony Mapper application usability evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cacophony Mapper app was manageable to learn.</th>
<th>Cacophony Mapper app was clearly structured.</th>
<th>Cacophony Mapper app was easy to use.</th>
<th>Cacophony Mapper app was straightforward to use.</th>
<th>I think it will be practical to use Cacophony Mapper app during work in a medical environment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5/5.0</td>
<td>4.0/5.0</td>
<td>4.4/5.0</td>
<td>4.4/5.0</td>
<td>3.1/5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.4/25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 130. Test result: Application usability assessment

The second part of the usability test was for examining the Cacophony Mapper application usability. Five questions were asked using the pragmatic quality from Attrakdiff word pairs for the usability assessment.

The majority of participants responded that Cacophony Mapper app was manageable to learn, showing 4.4 out of 5.0 scale. Many participants said that even though there were unfamiliar interfaces in Cacophony Mapper app than other applications, it was easy to learn how to operate it since the overall interface was well structured and intuitive enough to understand. One participant mentioned that it is easy to learn as there were only a few depths in the application.

Secondly, users responded that Cacophony Mapper app was easy to use, showing 4.4 out of 5.0 scale. Most participants naturally recognized that emotion report was the primary function since it was a page that they had to stay for the longest, and they performed most of their tasks on that page. However, some mentioned that sometimes they feel differently than emotion options, or felt there was no middle ground between emotions. Also, some were curious about why buttons are distributed that way, and one missed the existence of the neutral button at first and recognized it later.

The questionnaire “Cacophony Mapper app was easy to use” rated 4.4 out of 5.0. The only negative comment was that the participant did not know how to react to connection failure of the heart rate tracker since Bluetooth sometimes did not detect the device immediately. It is mainly because the heart rate device turns itself off when participants do not click the connection button on the application for the designated time.

Clarity of the app structure was rated as 4.0 out of 5.0. One participant mentioned that start HB button and start Rec button could have been combined or at least positioned differently, so users would know the sequence that they need to follow. Since those buttons are located in parallel, test participants sometimes did not understand where to start and how to go to the next task without instruction.

The practicality of introducing the system to the medical environment was the point that overall participants gave the lowest score, which is 3.1 out of 5.0. Majority of participants found that it is not pleasant to be disturbed by alerts multiple times while they were fulfilling their daily work routine, and they reported that it was not easy to keep their concentration. Three participants pointed out that nurses may not easily find time to report in the middle of the work. Also, 5 participants mentioned that the alert was not noticeable since it just showed the pop-up screen without any buzz. One participant mentioned that nurses would feel tired of reporting their emotions if they do not find it beneficial for them, so keeping motivation for continuous reporting was considered as an essential factor as it has been expected.
Discussion

test 1: System reliability (with WAV file)
Since the sample size of the sound classification filter was limited to 200, the result showed comparatively low accuracy level to the sound which was not been used for the classification training. The sound filter was not as responsive to the sound that it comes across for the first time, so three stages of the optimization process were needed for further testing. Like several times of classifier updates were made to overcome the limitation of limited sample size, optimizations will be needed for the testing in the real hospital environment, too. As the filter was created mainly with ICU sounds collected from BBC Radio station, sounds from the real environment should be added to the classifier for further system implementation. Once the classification filter has enough sample size with the quality samples that reflect hospital sound environment better, the error rate will be significantly decreased as can be seen from classification success rate difference before and after optimization process in test 1.

Overall test setting (relevant to test 2, 3)
The user test was conducted at the Industrial design department, TU Delft, with 11 students. Participants were aged between 21 to 31, and they are studying or finished studying the Industrial design department. One of the essential notes is that the test was conducted with a fairly limited number of participants with a limited scope with good educational level and good knowledge about operating new technologies. Since the test group tends to be more familiar with products with various concepts, they might have had a better understanding and high acceptance to the Cacophony Mapper concept, too. Also, since young people tend to easily learn how to operate various interfaces, it could have affected test participants’ general responses to the system.

Also, since the product and application system was designed geared toward nurses who work in the ICU environment, it is essential to do the testing and get useful comments from a real hospital environment. Students’ responses do not fully reflect nurses’ responses because they worked on different tasks than nurses’ during the test in a totally different environment. For example, students’ task was a sedentary job, mostly working on their laptop, while nurses’ daily obligation involves various physical activities, with different postures than a sitting posture.

Finally, the quality of the instruction paper was crucial especially for the first part of the usability test since more well-organized instruction paper could have functioned as a successful experiment tool, giving a better understanding of general system use and the purpose of the test. There could have been some added marks or arrows on the instruction graphics to help participants’ understanding of their task.

test 2: System reliability (with participants)
The test result showed a significant drop in accuracy rate in test 2 compare to the result of test 1. The main reason seems to be related to external factors from the test set, such as the quality of the testing device, the size, and material of the testing room, the specification range setting of the microphone. As can be seen from test 1, the function of the classifier has shown 68% of accuracy, so the problem of the significant success rate drop should be found from external factors than the quality of the classifier itself. For example, the power peaks of the sound can differ depending on the quality of compiled WAV sound. Also, specifications of a speaker or a microphone could have been the leading cause since power peaks can be collected differently depending on various test device settings or test environments. Therefore, further calibration of the test device should be done to match the condition of recording and the original sound environment, so that more meaningful test output can be derived.

Furthermore, there were often sound interruptions during the test since test participants tried to ask something in the middle of the test, or there were some cases that participants needed further instructions. Also, participants made some noises during the test, which could have recorded together with the sound sample, and eventually affected the classification result.

test 3: System usability
The general responses of the test participants toward the overall system usability were positive. Participants did not have significant difficulties using hardware, and they evaluated that the application structure and the interface was intuitive and easy to learn. Even though the interface did not look familiar for them, participants reported that they could pick up how to operate the app soon since the structure of the app is simple, and it does not have many layers of information in one page.

However, Huawei Mediapad T3 7.0, which is a small-sized tablet, was used as a device for the application usability test and it could have affected the test result in the way that it did not have a function to give off a buzz when sending a notification. Many test participants responded that they did not notice the notification because the screen only splashed, and the notification showed up on the screen for a few seconds and disappeared. Some mentioned they could not fully concentrate on their tasks because they felt like they needed to wait for the notification to come since there was no buzzing.

The test device could have affected the information delivery as well since it had a bigger screen size than usual mobile phones. Since there was no crammed information coming from a small screen, users’ perceived usability could have been different than using a regular smartphone in the experiment.

One important note that needs to be taken into consideration is that intervals of the notifications should be well distributed when Cacophony Mapper system is introduced in the real hospital setting. Many participants reported they were not sure if the system can naturally function in the real hospital environment while nurses are performing their work routines.

Furthermore, there was a doubt about how to make nurses’ responses more regular and spontaneous because one participant mentioned that she would not feel the motivation to report if there are no rewards. Since the analysis page has not been implemented, providing a personal sound experience analysis to nurses as a part of the reward was not tested. However, it is still crucial that nurses do not lose motivation to keep reporting, so the application can continuously collect the user responses toward sound stimuli.

Making a communication page among nurses about their sound experiences has been considered as one of the design interventions, but it has not been developed as a concept because developing a system for sound collection and analysis was more of a focus in this graduation project. In this regard, it will be great to include design interventions in the system in further system development, too.
Conclusion

Intensive care unit in the hospital is a hostile environment for everyone. The focus of my project was especially nurses who work for the ICU and I especially focused on their negative auditory experiences. Even though nurses are familiar with the hospital surroundings and working at the ICU on a daily basis, they can be more vulnerable to sounds than other inhabitants in the way that they are captive audiences of every sound stimuli in the ICU.

Both on an emotional level physical level, their auditory burden is excessive because of various sound sources that they are exposed to; such as machinery noise in the background, the conversation of peers and other inhabitants, alarms incessantly coming from various machines, and incidental sounds such as objects dropping sounds or door slams. They do not have much autonomy to those sounds, and there is a well-defined symptom which is called “alarm fatigue” which refers to a medical symptom that leads to less auditory ability, massive stress, less job satisfaction, and less job performance in the end as a result of constant exposure to excessive alarm noises. In fact, a research pointed out that 65% of the primary medical incident in the ICU happened by not responding to alarms appropriately.

To figure out this problem, what I focused on was not the design solution to reduce noises, but how noises can be captured and other sound sources than alarms can be defined as a source of stress too, so new system can function as a foundation to start design interventions. Therefore, what I aimed for was developing a sound tracker which can categorize the sound and detect the sound level from the surrounding, and combine the collected sound information with other indicators that show stress level of nurses. Thus, I decided to develop a mobile sensor which could be attached to nurses so it can collect more dynamic ICU information from the perspective of nurses.

Therefore, what needed to be done was developing a sound classification filter and connect it with hardware design. Also, the objective and subjective stress level were decided to be collected through heart rate tracker and emotion report of nurses, respectively. For the emotion report function, there should have been an application, and ways of improving the motivation for regular reporting was contemplated. There is a firebase server which functions as a hub to summarize all the data set, so collected information could be analyzed as a result. Because of the time limitation, the analysis pages of the app for the sound researchers were not implemented in the prototype, but screen design was introduced in the report for further development.

Through multiple rounds of the system reliability test, it has been concluded that enlarging the sample size using the sound files collected from the real hospital surroundings is needed. Because of the limitation of the sample size, the first round of the test result showed only 25% of the accuracy, but it soared up to 68% in the final round test.

The second test for the system reliability with students showed that external factors in the test set are essential, too. The quality and the setting of the test devices, such as a speaker and a microphone could immensely affect the test result since the accuracy level plummeted to 23.8% in the user test. It is strongly suspected that the power peaks produced through the microphone were affected by external factors, such as a specification of the microphone or the size and the material of the testing room. Also, there are other factors, such as test participants’ sound productions, sound play time gap, and recording time mismatch.

The usability test result showed that the Cacophony Mapper concept is not difficult to understand, and most participants could cope well with the application even though they were first-time users. The assessment of the usability of the overall system (product and application) rated 9.9 out of 12, while the assessment of the usability of the app scored 20.4 out of 25. The most reviews said the app was intuitive and easy to learn, but their concerns were mostly about how this system could be applied to the real hospital environment, which remains as a further assignment.

Even though the classification result of the second test was lower than I expected, I still believe that the classification success rate can be still improved with valid sample numbers increase, samples processing method development, and test device calibration. With an improved accuracy level of the sound classifier and contemplation over test methodology, I firmly believe that Cacophony Mapper can function as a tool to define noise fatigue in the ICU. It will not only function as a detection tool but also will combine with further design interventions, so eventually, it can contribute to forming a positive sound environment in the ICU.
Recommendations

Data visualization for the web
The original scope of this graduation was finding negative sound stimuli in the ICU and analyze them. Even though the categorization and user stress analysis has been done through this project and data visualization for the application is there, I think making an analytical visualization for the web is still needed to be done after this project since the web will be a primary form of getting access to the data than an application for sound researchers. Web visualization was excluded after the midterm meeting to focus on reporting function of the application and visual design for the mobile app. However, since there is no platform which gives a clear overview of sound data and stress detection altogether in web format, and that information is only available in fault tree analysis format, I think developing a data visualization for the web is necessary.

An embodiment of heat map
An embodiment of the heat map is still needed to be done for the application. Even though sound heat map idea has been suggested as a part of the envisioned concept in the report and there is a screen design for them, it has not been implemented in the application because of a limited graduation time frame of 20 weeks. Though the implementation for the application has been taken care of, the heat map function is one of the core functionalities in Cacophony Mapper concept.

Sound categorization filter accuracy development
Sound categorization filter was created by putting 200 sound samples in the classification model in Matlab. Even though the filter was optimized by putting quality sound samples and enlarging sample numbers, it showed its limitation in real test environment. As optimization has been mainly done in a conversation and background noise group, there is still a room for development within incidental sound group which contains 4 sub-categories of footsteps, door slam, objects clashing, and trolley dragging sound. Also, system reliability should be continuously developed by adding more samples collected from real hospital surroundings and optimize the processing rate of sound to get more accurate classification mechanism.

Testing sound filter in a real hospital environment
Furthermore, when creating a sound filter, it is essential that the sound filter works in a real-life situation, especially in the hospital environment. Even though the sound classifier reflects the sound of the hospital environment, the environment can still affect the sound classification success rate a lot, so it important to calibrate the device in the exact test environment and optimize the classifier several times in that environment together.

Electronics optimization
Due to the time limitation of the whole project, electronics were considered as the least priority, so electronics have been substituted with existing devices, such as Fitbit, Mio, and Nolan microphone. However, if the alignment of electronics can be changed or minimized, the structure of the whole product can be changed depending on the requirements of new settings. In this regards, electronics for the project can be looked into for the further hardware optimization.
Emotion report scope review
Throughout experiments, there were comments that emotion report options does not fully reflect the emotion that they feel about the sound environment. Even though circumplex of affect model has been simplified for easy reporting, it seems necessary to mainly look at other emotion expressions for the sound environment in the ICU. In fact, neutral button was included in interface for the user test since users would feel pressured to choose negative emotion because there were only five negative emotions.

Solutions for noise fatigue
Even though the purpose of this graduation project was developing a device which can used as a tool to validate noise fatigue, and sound categorization was mainly focused in implementation process, I think there still can be added functions to system than reporting. Three devices are already used for Cacophony Mapper system, including a mobile phone, and there can be a added value to the system if it can provide a design intervention for the pleasant sound environment in the ICU, too.

Consideration for the device material
As mass-production has not been considered for Cacophony mapper concept, the device has been 3D-printed through Ultramaker Cura 2. Therefore, there was a limitation of the material use as well as its layering structure. Since the structural limitation led to a delicate clipping part, other material or prototyping method can be considered for further development of the hardware.
Personal reflection

Quality of the work
In general, I am satisfied with the work that I have done within my graduation. Mainly, I am thrilled that I could organize various experiments myself to figure out my main focus “noise fatigue” from the perspective of a design researcher. Especially, heart rate placement test was one exciting thing in the way that I came up with several placement scenarios and look into the validity of my ideas myself. Also, sound classification filter creation was one significant progress that I made through my graduation. I am really proud that I could look into various sound properties and cluster them and used those features for the further creation of the sound classification filter. Now, I am confident enough to create a new classification system and optimize the classifier depending on my needs. I am satisfied that I made a practical system with pieces of knowledge that I gained through incessant scientific research.

Planning
I am also glad that I could finish my graduation project within designated 20 weeks. To some extent, the limited time frame was a shame because I had to narrow down the scope of the project and implementation area. Also, there were some topics which have not been covered while I think it is still important to look at, so I put them as recommendations for further development. However, I think I learned how I could organize my own schedule and resources, and I think this experience will help me a lot to practically organize my work as a design researcher in the future.

Personal ambition
While I was working on the research project in the previous semester for Critical Alarm Lab, I wanted to build up a deeper understanding of the sound analysis method in the medical environment. Through bountiful literature review related to the sound and experiments that I organized and conducted myself, I think I have achieved what I was aiming for within this graduation project. Also, I believe that the gained knowledge will be a great resource that I will be able to use for my further research as a design research engineer. Also, I could learn how to implement design methodologies into a practical design, and I think it is significant progress that I made as a design researcher.

Supervision
I am pleased that my supervision team has supported me in various directions and I love the fact that the area that I could get help from my chair and my mentor was different based on their specialties. It was such a great experience that I could get very constructive feedbacks in every stage of my design development, so I could actually apply that advice to my progress. There was always food for through after meetings with my supervision team, and I could overcome numerous difficulties throughout those consultations.

Project context
As this project had an Intensive care unit of the hospital as its context, there has always been confidentiality and privacy issues that were clashing with my design decisions. The boundary and limitations coming from the context “hospital” made a little detour in many decisions, but it is still fruitful in the way this process taught me to think more about priorities, limitations, and project scope.
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