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Abstract— Passive imaging cameras at sub-millimeter wavelengths with large format focal plane arrays are being developed as the next generation of security screening systems. In this contribution, a dual-band focal plane array (FPA) for security imagers at submillimeter wave frequencies is presented. The detectors are based on bolometric superconducting kinetic inductance resonators, which allows the development of large FPAs at medium cooled temperatures. Two frequency selective absorber (FSA) sets coupled to superconductive resonator lines are designed to implement a dual color security imager. The performance of the dual band imager is evaluated using spectral analysis approach that combines Fourier optics with a Floquet mode field representation. The geometry of the unit cells is based on a Jerusalem cross configuration and the designed FSAs show a stable angular response and a rejection 1 to 3 of the undesired bandwidth. The detectors in the dual band FPA are distributed over a hexagonal grid to maximize their physical size and then improve their sensitivity. The effective point spread function of the imager coupled to a black body point source over a wide frequency band (1:6) was demonstrated experimentally with excellent agreement to the one estimated by using the proposed spectral technique.

Index Terms— Sub-mm wavelengths, focal plane array, frequency selective absorber, incoherent source.

I. INTRODUCTION

The next generation of THz imagers for stand-off detection of concealed weapons, [1]–[4], will require the acquisition of images as large as a human body, over 100000 pixels, at quasi-video rate, higher than 10 Hz. The architecture of such imagers is typically composed of a quasi-optical system, a focal plane array (FPA) and a mechanical scanner. A FPA with a large number of elements would dramatically reduce the need for a fast-mechanical repointing of the optics, and, consequently, allows a much longer integration time for the detectors (comparable in this case to the frame rate), thus relaxing the required detector sensitivity. However, the design of large FPAs at sub-millimeter wavelengths remains a significant challenge and, in practice, the state-of-the-art passive imagers are implemented with a limited number of detectors (< 200) [2], [4]–[6].

Promising solutions for development of sub-millimeter wavelength FPAs in the order of several thousands of detectors are based on kinetic inductance detectors (KIDs) [7]–[9]. The latter are incoherent superconductor based detectors and many of them can be coupled to a single low-frequency read-out line, decreasing significantly the complexity of large FPA architectures. The coupling of the KIDs to the read-out line is based on low frequency superconductive resonators with high quality factor. The radiation at submillimeter wavelengths can be absorbed either via antennas coupled to resistive transmission lines [8] or bare absorbers [10]. The power absorption corresponds to a shift of the resonant frequency and a decrease of the quality factor.

KIDs can operate in two different regions. The non-equilibrium mode relies on the finite lifetime of the quasiparticle excitations [8]. Here we concentrate on the kinetic inductance bolometer (KIB) mode in which the superconductor is in a local thermal equilibrium with its surroundings, and the detection is based on the temperature dependence of the inductance [11].

![Fig. 1. (a) The dual band FPA with 8208 kinetic inductance bolometers. (b) A microphotograph illustrating the two FSA sets. (c) A zoom-up showing a piece of detector membrane and the structures on it. The kinetic inductance thermometer $L$ is emphasized in blue for clarity. (d) Electrical equivalent circuit of a piece of multiplexed readout line. (e) The readout band transmission spectrum within a readout channel with each spike corresponding to an individual readout frequency of a detector.](image-url)
The noise equivalent power (NEP) of KIDs operating in non-equilibrium mode is in the order of $10^{-20}$ W/Hz$^{1/2}$ [8] for operation temperature below 1 K. This configuration was used for security imagers in [5]. The main drawback of this solution is the high cost of the required top-performance cryostat.

In the thermal region, KIBs measure the temperature change of the THz radiation absorbed over a thermally isolated volume. Similar to non-equilibrium mode, the variation in the inductance of the structure can be read-out via superconductive resonators. However, in this region, the requirement for the operating temperature is relaxed to about 5 to 10 K, allowing the use of low-cost cryogenic coolers. The NEP of these detectors is in the order of $10^{-15}$ W/Hz$^{1/2}$ [11], higher than the non-equilibrium mode, but sufficient for security applications. Therefore, KIBs provide the possibility of having large FPAs with medium cooled temperature and a reasonable cost for passive imaging [11].

Exploiting this technology, in this work, a FPA suited for future security applications is designed as shown in Fig. 1(a). The outer diameter of the FPA has been set to $\varnothing = 24$ cm, corresponding to the maximum useful window of the cryostat. The basic structure of the focal plane and the detectors is depicted in the microphotographs of Figs. 1(b) and (c). The total number of the detectors is 8208, organized on six detector tiles with 80 mm X 75 mm active area consisting of 1368 detectors. The thermal isolation is obtained via through-wafer released membrane which is perforated to optimize the thermal coupling to the substrate, and to minimize the heat capacity. For readout, the thermometer is tuned into an individual RF readout frequency with an off-membrane tuning capacitor $C_t$ and matched to a 50 Ω readout line with a coupling capacitor $C_c$, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1(d). Here $i$ refers to the detector index within a readout channel consisting of 114 detectors per channel in this case. The total number of readout channels is 72. The readout band transmission within one readout channel is plotted in Fig. 1(e). Similar data was acquired from 15 readout channels indicating good detector yield (> 95%) in line with the data of [11]. Moreover, in [12], concealed object detection has been demonstrated with the imaging system which is described in this work, i.e. the same optical system together with the designed dual band FPA.

Security applications demand high probability of detection, which can be achieved by using passive images taken at different frequency bands [6], i.e. multi-band images. To this end, we propose here to modify the absorber design in [9] to be frequency selective [13] around specific frequency bands. The FPA will then consist of frequency selective absorbers (FSAs) for the lower bandwidth centered at 250 GHz; interleaved with the ones for the higher bandwidth centered at 500 GHz; these detectors are referred to as FSA; and FSA; respectively, in Fig. 1(b). The proposed architecture can be operated in combination with a linear scanner to generate fully sampled images in the two bands. Each FSA detector set has been designed to absorb in its corresponding frequency band of operation and reject the radiation from the other band with a factor of 1 to 3. The use of a single frequency selective layer has been chosen as a compromise between the cost and the rejection factor. The quasi-optical system of the imager is a dual-lens architecture described in [14], which allows full body imaging. The f-number, $f_n$, (ratio between the focal distance and diameter of the optics), is set to 2. Obtaining a two-dimensional image of the full human body is required from the application point of view. To achieve this requirement, a sparse two-dimensional absorber-based array is placed at the focal plane of the dual lens system. By combining this configuration with a one-dimensional mechanical scanner, the FoV is fully imaged in two dimensions.

In order to analyze the performance of an imager based on a FPA made of bare absorbers, the spectral Fourier optics (FO) technique described in [15] is used. This technique couples the spectrum of the optical system with a Floquet mode representation in the surrounding of the periodic absorber. This technique provides an accurate methodology to derive the point spread function (PSF) and efficiency of the imager to monochromatic point sources. The effective PSF of the imager coupled to a black body point source over a wide frequency band (1:6) was estimated theoretically and demonstrated experimentally. Finally, the performance of the imager coupled to a distributed incoherent source in terms of the sensitivity is evaluated.

The paper is structured as follows. Section II describes the design of the FSAs for the two operational bandwidths. The architecture of the dual-band focal plane array is discussed in Sec. III. In Section IV, the experimental validation of the performance of the imager in terms of the effective PSF is presented. Concluding remarks are reported in Sec. V.

II. FSA Based KIBs

In this section, the geometry of the proposed detectors is presented and analyzed. The selected architecture and the design considerations used to optimize the frequency, angular and polarization responses are discussed. Moreover, the optimization of the FSA including the KIB resonator lines along with its performance is reported.

A. Design Considerations

The geometry of the KIBs is introduced in [11] is shown in the inset of Fig. 2. The thermal confinement is achieved by placing the absorber and kinetic inductance thermometer on a 200 nm thick SiN membrane. The on-membrane structure is composed of two layers: a resistive mesh grid with a surface resistance of $R_g = 5 \, \Omega/\square$, and a superconducting meander strip acting as the thermometer below the grid. The superconductor material is NbN with the nominal critical temperature of 11 K as estimated from the calibration measurements of films deposited with similar parameters. The surface impedance of the superconductive material, in the submillimeter wavelengths, is shown in Fig. 2 as estimated from the theory of Mattis and Bardeen [16] [16]. The layers are separated by a thin SiO$_2$ layer with the thickness of 150 nm and dielectric constant $\varepsilon_r \approx 5$. The mesh grid absorbs the incoming radiation, and heats the elements on the membrane, which in the operating temperature range of 5 – 10 K are essentially in thermal equilibrium. The thermal conductivities from the membrane to the substrate of the membranes are estimated from the geometry and experimentally recorded material parameters in [9] to be 190 nW/K and 140 nW/K for the FSA; and FSA; detectors, respectively. The temperature dependence of the
superconducting kinetic inductance is then used to read-out the temperature change.

In this paper, the goal is to design the detector’s geometry as two different FSA layouts each with a limited bandwidth around 250 GHz and 500 GHz, respectively, with a nearly flat angular response up to 30°. This requirement is due to the geometrical size of the FPA with respect to the dual lens system. At the worst case, i.e. for detectors at the edge of the FPA, the rays arriving from the dual lens system illuminate the detector with a maximum incident angle of 30°.

For broadside plane wave incidence, a resistive square mesh, Fig. 3(a), can be modelled using an equivalent circuit model, Fig. 3(b), with a resistance and an inductance in parallel to a transmission line representing the fundamental Floquet modes. The lumped elements values can be calculated analytically [17], and are reported in Table 1, where $R$, $L$ and $C$ parameters represent the lumped resistance, inductance and capacitance, respectively. In order to introduce a frequency selectivity to the resistive layer, the mesh grid can be loaded with a distributed capacitance using the geometry of Fig. 3(c), typically referred to as a Jerusalem Cross (JC) [18]. The corresponding equivalent circuit is shown in Fig. 3(d), and it presents an additional capacitance compared to the mesh grid, that allows a resonant behavior. The geometrical parameters of the designed sets of FSAs are reported in Table 2 (columns 2 and 4), where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are the wavelengths at 250 GHz and 500 GHz, respectively, whereas the corresponding lumped components, derived using CST MWS [19] simulation, are listed in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 4(a) (solid lines), the FSAs introduce a frequency selectivity not present in the original mesh grid.

The absorption rate and out of band rejection of a free-standing resistive layer can be improved by using a back short. The use of a quarter wavelength back short for the FSA1 will introduce a significant rejection in the 500 GHz band. The FSA2 is instead designed with a much closer back short, to short circuit the lower part of the frequency band. The capacitive behavior in the FSA2 compensates the inductance introduced by the back short, allowing a reasonable impedance match to the free space impedance. The back short distance, $h_{bs}$, for the two FSA sets are reported in Table 2, and the described frequency response is shown in Fig. 4(b). The frequency selectivity could be further enhanced if the detectors were fabricated using a multi-layer periodic structure, similar to [20] and. However, one should take into account that in this work a reflect/absorb frequency selective structure is desired instead of a transmit/absorb one.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mesh Grid</th>
<th>JC FSA1</th>
<th>JC FSA2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>417 $\Omega$</td>
<td>420 $\Omega$</td>
<td>270 $\Omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>$1.015 \times 10^{-10}$ $H$</td>
<td>$2.45 \times 10^{-10}$ $H$</td>
<td>$1.07 \times 10^{-10}$ $H$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$16.5 \times 10^{-16}$ $F$</td>
<td>$6.25 \times 10^{-16}$ $F$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Proposed Unit Cell Implementation**

The KIB detection mechanism requires additional superconducting thermometer lines underneath the FSA separated by a dielectric membrane as mentioned in Sec. II.A. These superconductive lines can be modeled, as two thin horizontal strip lines with the sheet impedance as estimated from the theory of Mattis and Bardeen [16], as shown in Fig. 2. Due to the presence of the superconducting lines, the absorption rate of the FSAs, in terms of frequency and polarization response, is significantly altered. These effects, however, can be compensated for by modifying the geometry of the JC FSAs differently for vertical and horizontal polarizations. The proposed FSA unit cells combined with the resonators are shown in Fig. 5, and their geometrical parameters reported in Table 2 (columns 3 and 5). In Fig. 6, the simulated absorption rates for both optimized FSA sets are shown. The frequency variation is comparable to the one of the free-standing design. Moreover, no significant variation was observed for incidence angles up to 30° for both polarizations.
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Fig. 6. The absorption rate, simulated with CST MWS, of the optimized design including the resonator layer compared to the free-standing design: (a) FSA$_1$, (b) FSA$_2$. The response for the horizontal and vertical polarizations are referred to as H and V, respectively.

In a fully sampled FPA, the integration time is inversely proportional to the frame rate $T_i = 1/f_r$. Here, instead, the available detectors on the focal plane are divided between the two bands of interest and a linear scanner is needed to generate fully sampled images. Therefore, the integration time per pixel in (1), $T_i = \frac{1}{f_r N_s}$, is reduced by the scanning penalty ratio, $N_s$, which in turn leads to a sensitivity degradation. This penalty can be compensated by increasing the power received by the detectors with a larger physical area and more bandwidth.

For non-fully sampled FPAs, the optimum configuration in terms of sensitivity typically leads to a distribution of the detectors over a hexagonal grid combined with a two-dimensional jiggling mechanism, [24], [25], since this allows for the largest physical dimensions of the detectors. However, a FPA based on bare absorbers suffers from a significant penalty in angular resolution for large dimensions as described in [15]. Moreover, a two-dimensional jiggling mechanism requires a scanner operating at much higher speed than the frame rate [24]. Instead, here, the FPA configuration has been designed to be compatible with a single axis scanning mechanism. The proposed focal plane array is a sparse two-dimensional array of detectors placed in a hexagonal grid. This configuration is combined with a one-dimensional mechanical scanner to fully fill the field of view. The layout of a portion of the FPA is shown in Fig. 7(a). Moreover, the arrow shown in the figure indicates the direction of the scanning mechanism.

A passive image requires a sampling of the focal plane field at $0.5 \lambda_0 f_w$ [25], when a square grid FPA is considered. Instead, in the current design, a $45^\circ$ grid FPA is used, allowing the spacing between the FPA elements to be enlarged to $0.61 \lambda_0 f_w$ [24]. Since the number of sampling points is frequency dependent, the number of FSA$_2$ detectors will be twice the number of FSA$_1$ detectors. The location of the lower bandwidth detectors is shown in Fig. 7(b) with filled black circles. They are located in linear vertical arrays with a spacing of approximately 2 mm and the horizontal distance between them is 6.2 mm. The mechanical scanner will operate in the $45^\circ$ plane and will acquire $N_{s,1} = 6$ image pixels (non-filled circles in Fig. 7(b)) per detector. The detectors at the higher band are instead distributed in interleaved tilted arrays as shown in Fig. 7(c). As a result, the scanning time penalty will be $N_{s,2} = 12$. This layout has been chosen for three reasons: i) to maximize the physical area for the higher frequency pixels, ii) to use the same scanning mechanism for both frequencies, and iii) to optimize the silicon wafer use, considering the fabrication tolerances. The physical dimensions of the two detector sets are fixed to $w_1 = 0.625 \lambda_0 f_w$ and $w_2 = 0.75 \lambda_0 f_w$, respectively. These values lead to a comparable utilization of the optical aperture to the one of an antenna based FPA [15].

Fig. 7. (a) A portion of the dual band FPA. The arrow indicates the direction of the axis of the mechanical scanner. Schematic representation of the FPA configuration including the position of the actual detectors (black) and the scanned pixels (white) for (b) FSA$_1$, (c) FSA$_2$. The arrow indicates the scanning direction. Detector layouts are shown (d) for FSA$_1$, and (e) for FSA$_2$. 
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Finite array: FSA + resonator

![Graphs and diagrams illustrating the absorption rate and detector layouts for different configurations of the FPA.](image)
B. Detector Layout

Once the FPA configuration is fixed and the resonator lines are designed, the layout of the detectors can be defined. FSA1 and FSA2 sets consist of arrays of 5x5, Fig. 7(d), and 6x6 elements, Fig. 7(e), respectively. The layout of the FPA and a micrographic photo of the two FSA sets are shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b), respectively. Because of the fabrication process, the cross-section of the detectors will be as schematically reported in Fig. 8.

The response of the finite FSA arrays with the actual fabricated ground plane dimensions and with finite resonator lines was evaluated using CST MWS. The corresponding results are shown in Fig. 5, red curves. The finite and relatively small ground plane, affects the rejection null of the higher band for FSA1 (see Fig. 5(a)). Instead, the actual size of the resonator of FSA2 is responsible of the appearance of a resonant behavior at low frequencies (see Fig. 5(b)). The reason is that the length of the resonators is approximately half wavelength at 190 GHz. As expected, since the resonators are placed horizontally, the resonance is only visible in the horizontal polarization. As discussed in the next section, the effect of this unwanted behavior on the imager performance is negligible.

Fig. 8. The cross-section view of the two sets of FSA KIDs.

IV. MEASURED PERFORMANCE

In this section, the experimental performance of the imager in terms of point spread function, effective PSF, and sensitivity is reported. The quasi-optical system of the imager consists of an inversely magnified dual-lens structure as shown in Fig. 9 and described in [14]. In order to limit the absorption of out-of-band radiation, two low-pass filter windows are placed in the optical path between the lenses and the FPA. The upper frequency limit of filter 1 and 2 (-3 dB frequency response), are 684GHz and 1000GHz, respectively. Since the thickness of these filters are small in terms of the wavelength, the insertion loss of the two filter stages are negligible.

The imager performance is first estimated using the spectral analysis technique presented in [15]. In the analysis, the implemented FSA KIDs (Fig. 5) are modeled as an admittance matrix, \( Y_{\text{abs}}(\rho_p) \), computed using CST MWS [19], and linked to the spectrum of the optical system (estimated here via physical optics simulations). The differences with the procedure described in [15] and the current configuration are detailed in the Appendix. The effective point spread function of the imaging system is then compared to the measurement results. The sensitivity of the system is also evaluated theoretically at the end of the section using the response to a distributed incoherent source.

A. Monochromatic Point-Source Response

In this sub-section, the response of the imager to a monochromatic point source is evaluated for two detectors (one per each frequency band) located at a distance of \( \rho_{\text{det}} = 31 \text{ mm} \) from the center of the FPA. These locations corresponding to pixels in the field of view (FoV) located 25.82 cm away from the center of the image plane (\( \rho_s \), Fig. 9). This particular configuration is the same one used in the measurements (see Sec. IV.B).

By using the methodology described in [15], one can obtain the spatial fields representing the response of the absorbers to the optical system, \( \tilde{e}_s(\rho_f, \rho_s), \tilde{h}_s(\rho_f, \rho_s) \). By integrating these fields, it is possible to calculate the power received by the imager as a function of the source position as given in (A1). By normalizing the power received at broadband by Poynting vector incident on the optical aperture, we can derive the effective area of the imager, (A2), and from that, the aperture efficiency as (A3). The aperture efficiencies for the two detectors are shown in Fig. 10. The maximum efficiency is approximately 20% in both bandwidths and the results for the two polarizations are comparable. This aperture efficiency includes the spillover associated to the diffracted fields in the focal plane, the ohmic and reflection losses of the dual-lens, the response of the two filter stages, and the frequency response of the FSAs. Moreover, a full wave simulation, similar to the one described in [15], is performed to validate this efficiency. Specifically, GRASP simulation software [22] was used to obtain the direct field generated by the optical system above the detector plane. This direct field is then used as the source in CST MSW to obtain the power dissipated in the absorbers similar to [15]. The efficiency obtained using the full wave simulation is also reported in Fig. 10. As mentioned in Sec. III.B, the resonant behavior at low frequencies found in the finite simulations for the horizontal polarization in FSA2 does not significantly affect the aperture efficiency. The reason is that the absorbed power in the lower portion of the band is dominated by a very low spillover efficiency.
The point spread function (PSF) of the imager represents the received power as a function of the position of the point source in the FoV, $\bar{\rho}_s + \Delta \rho_{\text{fov}}$, Fig. 9. The PSF is calculated as described in the Appendix, (A4) and (A5), for both FSA sets over the operational frequency band, 100 to 625 GHz. In Fig. 11, the two-dimensional PSF of both FSA sets is shown for several frequency points. It can be noticed that the received power outside the specific bandwidth of the two FSAs is low, confirming the predicted rejection. As described in [15], the PSF of an absorber-based imaging system widens rapidly as the absorber dimension enlarges. This effect can be seen by comparing the PSF of FSA2 at 500 GHz with the one at 625 GHz. Moreover, the maximum power received by FSA1 at 500 GHz is about 10 dB lower than the one received at 250 GHz. This result is agreement with the aperture efficiencies shown in Fig. 10. The one-dimensional cut of the PSFs are shown in Fig. 12 at 250 GHz and 500 GHz for FSA1 and FSA2, respectively. Since the PSF for both polarizations are similar, only the results for the vertical polarization are reported here. The PSF obtained using the full wave simulations (GRASP+CST) is also reported in the figure showing very good agreement.

B. Incoherent Point-Source Response

In the previous sub-section, the aperture efficiency and PSF were calculated per frequency points assuming monochromatic point sources. In the following, the response of the imager to an incoherent point source, radiating over the whole frequency band ($BW = 100$ to 625 GHz), is evaluated. The quality of an image is indeed dictated by the power received over the whole bandwidth. This power, in the Rayleigh Jean’s limit, for a small source with an average temperature of $T_s$, can be expressed as [26]:

$$\int_{BW} \int_{\Omega_s} \frac{k T_s}{\lambda^2 A_{\text{eff}}} P_{\text{DS}}^{VP/HP}(\Delta \Omega_{\text{fov}}) = \int_{BW} \int_{\Omega_s} \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda} A_{\text{eff}}^{VP/HP}(f) P_{\text{DS}}^{VP/HP}(f, \Delta \Omega_{\text{fov}}) d\Omega df \quad (2)$$

where $BW$ represents the bandwidth of the system, the superscripts $VP/HP$ represent the vertical and horizontal polarizations, respectively, $A_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective area of the optical system, $F(f, \Delta \Omega_{\text{fov}})$ is the point spread function of the optical system (or plane wave response), $\Delta \Omega_{\text{fov}}$ corresponds to the angular position of the displaced source with respect to the central position of the source as shown in Fig. A.1. (it can be related to the lateral displacement of the source by $\Delta \Omega_{\text{fov}} \approx \Delta \rho_{\text{fov}}/R$, and $\Omega_s$ is the solid angle of the source.)
For a point source placed at \( \tilde{\rho}_z + \Delta \rho_{fov} \), the solid angle of the source reduces to \( \Delta \Omega_z \), Fig. A1. Therefore, the angular integral in (2) can be simplified to \( \Delta \Omega_z \). By normalizing by the term \( k_B T_A \delta_{phy} \Delta \Omega_z \), one can then derive an expression for an effective point spread function, similar to what it presented in [23] for single mode antennas, as follows:

\[
EF(\Delta \Omega_{fov}) = \int_{\Delta \Omega_{fov}} \frac{\eta_{ap}(f)}{\lambda^2} F(f, \Delta \Omega_{fov}) df
\] (3)

This effective PSF was measured for two detectors (one per each band) at a distance from the center of the FPA, \( \rho_{det} = 31 \text{ mm} \) using the setup shown in Fig. 9. The black-body point source with the aperture of 2 mm is equivalent to the one used in [8]. The measurement was performed by laterally displacing the black body point source in the FoV. The results are shown in Fig. 13 for both FSA_1 and FSA_2 and compared to the theoretical computation based on the proposed spectral methodology. The theory and the experiments are in excellent agreement until \(-10 \text{ dB} \). Below this value, the noise due to the measurement setup is dominant. The pattern shows good symmetry, and its HPBW is reported in Table 3 and compared to the diffraction limited HPBW. The latter can be calculated as the HPBW of an Airy pattern corresponding to the optical aperture (\( \approx 16 \text{ cm} \)), at the central frequencies of FSA_1 and FSA_2. The HPBW of the designed imager is comparable to the diffraction limited case.

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FSA_1</th>
<th>FSA_2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \rho_{fov} )</td>
<td>1.59 cm</td>
<td>1.11 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \rho_{phys} )</td>
<td>@ 250 GHz: 2 cm</td>
<td>@ 500 GHz: 1 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP</td>
<td>( 2.1 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{ W/Hz}^{1/2} )</td>
<td>( 1.7 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{ W/Hz}^{1/2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NETD</td>
<td>98 mK</td>
<td>156 mK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Distributed Incoherent Source Response

As shown in [15], the power received by an absorber under an optical system from a distributed source can be expressed as a spectral integral of the effective number of modes as follows:

\[
\rho_{DS}^{VP/HP} = k_B T_s \int_{BW} m_e^{VP/HP}(f) df
\] (4)

where \( m_e^{VP/HP}(f) = \eta_{ap}^{VP/HP}(f)/\eta_f^{VP/HP}(f) \) is the effective number of modes. The focusing efficiency, \( \eta_f^{VP/HP}(f) \), quantifies how much the imager solid angle enlarges with respect to the diffraction limited case.

For a dual band system, one can represent the power received from the incoherent distributed source as a summation of the in-band and out-band contributions:
TABLE 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation Band</th>
<th>FSA 1</th>
<th>FSA 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100GHz – 450GHz</td>
<td>(m_e)^{in} (HP/VP) = 16.8% / 15.7%</td>
<td>11.5% / 11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450GHz – 625GHz</td>
<td>(m_e)^{out} (HP/VP) = 3.5% / 3.6%</td>
<td>2.5% / 3.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ P_{DS}^{VP/HP} = k_B T_s B W_{tot} \left[ (m_e)^{VP/HP}_{\text{in}} + (m_e)^{VP/HP}_{\text{out}} \right] \]

where \( BW_{tot} \) is the total available bandwidth from 100 GHz to 625 GHz, \((m_e)^{in}\) and \((m_e)^{out}\) are the in-band and out-band effective number of modes, respectively. These number of modes can be calculated for each FSA sets as:

\[ (m_e)^{VP/HP}_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{BW_{tot}}} \int_{BW_{in}} \eta^{VP/HP}_p (f)/\eta_f^{VP/HP}(f) \, df \]

\[ (m_e)^{VP/HP}_{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{BW_{tot}}} \int_{BW_{out}} \eta^{VP/HP}_p (f)/\eta_f^{VP/HP}(f) \, df \]

where \( BW_{in} \) and \( BW_{out} \) are the in-band and out-band operation bandwidths, respectively, of each detector set as defined in Table 4. The same table reports the averaged in-band and out-band effective number of modes for both FSA sets and both horizontal and vertical (H/V) polarizations. The rejection is slightly larger than 1 to 3 (as required) for both FSA sets. The rejection would improve if a multi-layer FSA [18] was integrated in the KIBs.

By considering only the in-band contribution in (5) and using (1), and (4), the sensitivity, NETD, for the pixels associated to each of the bands (including the corresponding scanning penalties discussed in Sec. III.A) has been estimated. The values of sensitivity are reported in Table 3, together with the estimated NEPs measured similarly to the one described in [9]. The reported NETDs are sufficient for security applications. We note that the NETDs are a factor of 3 to 5 lower than those reported from calibrations using an extended blackbody source in [11]. The difference stems predominantly from lower optical losses in the optimized materials, and higher average effective number of modes over the in-band operation bandwidth. We note that a practical demonstration of concealed object detection demonstrating sufficient radiometric contrast in detection is reported in [12].

V. CONCLUSION

In this contribution, a dual-band focal plane array for security imaging at submillimeter wave frequencies has been presented. The detectors are based on kinetic inductance bolometers, which allow the development of large FPAs at medium cooled temperature. Two FSA sets coupled to superconductive resonator lines were designed to implement a dual color security imager. The geometry of the unit cells is based on a Jerusalem cross configuration and the designed FSAs show a stable angular response and a rejection 1 to 3 of the undesired bandwidth.

The performance of the dual band imager was evaluated using a Fourier optics technique coupled to a Floquet mode analysis. The effective PSF of the imager coupled to a black body point source over a wide frequency band (1:6) was estimated and successfully validated experimentally. The NETD of the imaging system is estimated to be below 200 mK for both frequency bands, and the HPBW of the effective pattern is comparable to the one of a diffraction limited quasi-optical system.

APPENDIX

In [15], a methodology to evaluate the power received by a distributed absorber under a parabolic reflector was presented. Here this methodology is applied to the combination of the proposed FSA KIBs and near field imaging optics described in [14]. In order to use the spectral analysis tool presented in [15] for this geometry, the plane wave spectrum of the dual lens optics is evaluated using the commercial software GRASP [22]. The dual-lens optics, without including the filter stages, was simulated with a monochromatic point source placed at the position of \( \hat{\theta}_s \), see Fig. 9, in the image plane. This location is chosen to be coincident with the maximum field at the location of the detector, \( \hat{\theta}_{det} \), for which the measurement is performed: i.e. \( \hat{\theta}_s = M \hat{\theta}_{det} \) with \( M \) being the optical magnification.

The field transmitted by the dual lens was then evaluated via GRASP simulations over an equivalent Fourier optics sphere [15] centered at the detector position, \( \hat{\theta}_{det} \). Fig. A1. By substituting these fields as the geometrical optics fields, \( \tilde{E}^{go}(\theta^0, \hat{\theta}_s) \), in equation (3) of [15], one can follow the same steps to obtain the spatial fields representing the response of the absorber to the optical system, \( \tilde{E}^t(\theta_f, \hat{\theta}_s) \) and \( \tilde{H}^t(\theta_f, \hat{\theta}_s) \), where the position of the source is explicitly indicated by \( \hat{\theta}_s \). Finally, the power absorbed by the FSA KIBs is evaluated as:

\[ P_{abs}(f, \hat{\theta}_s) = \frac{1}{2} Re \left\{ \int_{w/2}^{w/2} \left[ \tilde{E}^t(\theta_f, \hat{\theta}_s) \times \tilde{H}^t(\theta_f, \hat{\theta}_s) \right] \cdot 2d\hat{\theta} \right\} \]

where \( w \) is the side length of the FSA.

The effective area of the optical system can be evaluated directly from the power absorbed from the source location, \( P_{abs}(f, \hat{\theta}_s) \). The effective area relates to the amplitude of the
active Poynting vector of the source, $|S_{in}(\vec{\rho}_s)|$, at the aperture of the optical system as:

$$A_{eff}(f) = \frac{P_{abs}(f, \vec{\rho}_s)}{|S_{in}(\vec{\rho}_s)|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

Note this definition applies when the amplitude of the point source over the aperture is uniform. We can define an aperture efficiency, $\eta_{ap}(f)$, related to (A2) by dividing by the physical area of the optical system, $A_{ph}$. In the measurement setup, there are two filter stages which are not simulated in GRASP. Therefore, the aperture efficiency is approximated by:

$$\eta_{ap}(f) \approx \frac{\eta_{filt}(f)\eta^2_{filt}(f)}{\langle |S_{in}(\vec{\rho}_s)|A_{ph} \rangle}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

where, $\eta_{filt}$ and $\eta^2_{filt}$ are the frequency response of the two filter stages.

In order to derive the PSF of the detectors centered at the source location, $\vec{\rho}_s$, we can use the following approximation (described also in [15]), valid only for small displacements, $\Delta \vec{\rho}_{fov}$ (see Fig. 9), as follows:

$$P_{abs}(f, \vec{\rho}_s + \Delta \vec{\rho}_{fov}) \approx \frac{1}{2} Re\left\{\int_{w/2}^{w/2} \left[\vec{\phi}_t (\vec{\rho}_t - \Delta \vec{\rho}_t, \vec{\rho}_s) \times \vec{h}_t (\vec{\rho}_t - \Delta \vec{\rho}_t, \vec{\rho}_s)\right] \cdot \vec{d}\vec{d}\right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

where $\vec{\phi}_t (\vec{\rho}_t - \Delta \vec{\rho}_t, \vec{\rho}_s)$ and $\vec{h}_t (\vec{\rho}_t - \Delta \vec{\rho}_t, \vec{\rho}_s)$ are the spatial fields translated by $\Delta \vec{\rho}_t = -\Delta \vec{\rho}_{fov}/M$ (Fig. A1). The point spread function can then be expressed as follows:

$$F(f, \Delta \vec{\rho}_{fov}) = \frac{P_{abs}(f, \vec{\rho}_s + \Delta \vec{\rho}_{fov})}{P_{abs}(f, \vec{\rho}_s)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

where $\Delta \vec{\rho}_{fov}$ corresponds to the angular position of the displaced source as shown in Fig. A1.
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