There is no categorical metric continuum Non impeditus ab ulla scientia

K. P. Hart

Faculty EEMCS TU Delft

Prague, Toposym 2006, 14 august: 15:40-16:00



Outline

The main result

- The statement
- What it means

2 The Proof

- Main lemma
- Finishing up





The statement What it means

Outline



- 2 The Proof
 - Main lemma
 - Finishing up





<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The statement

Given a metric continuum \boldsymbol{X} there is another metric continuum \boldsymbol{Y} such that



The statement

Given a metric continuum X there is another metric continuum Y such that

• X and Y look the same (they have elementarily equivalent countable bases)



The statement

Given a metric continuum X there is another metric continuum Y such that

- X and Y look the same (they have elementarily equivalent countable bases)
- X and Y are not homeomorphic



The statement What it means

Outline



2 The Proof

- Main lemma
- Finishing up





(日)

Elementary equivalence

We consider bases that are closed under finite unions and intersections.

These are lattices and 'elementary equivalence' is with respect to the lattice structure.

Two lattices are 'elementarily equivalent' if they satisfy the same first-order sentences.



The statement What it means

Example: zero-dimensionality

Here is a first-order sentence, call it $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists u)(\exists v)\\ &((x \sqcap y = \mathbf{0}) \to ((x \leqslant u) \land (y \leqslant v) \land (u \sqcap v = \mathbf{0}) \land (u \sqcup v = \mathbf{1}))) \end{aligned}$$



The statement What it means

Example: zero-dimensionality

Here is a first-order sentence, call it ζ

$$\begin{aligned} &(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists u)(\exists v)\\ &((x \sqcap y = \mathbf{0}) \to ((x \leqslant u) \land (y \leqslant v) \land (u \sqcap v = \mathbf{0}) \land (u \sqcup v = \mathbf{1}))) \end{aligned}$$

In words: any two disjoint closed sets (x and y) can be separated by clopen sets (u and v).



Example: zero-dimensionality

Here is a first-order sentence, call it ζ

$$\begin{aligned} &(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists u)(\exists v)\\ &((x \sqcap y = \mathbf{0}) \to ((x \leqslant u) \land (y \leqslant v) \land (u \sqcap v = \mathbf{0}) \land (u \sqcup v = \mathbf{1}))) \end{aligned}$$

In words: any two disjoint closed sets (x and y) can be separated by clopen sets (u and v).

By *compactness*, if some base satisfies this sentence then the space is zero-dimensional.



The statement What it means

Example: no isolated points

Here is a another first-order sentence, call it $\boldsymbol{\pi}$

$(\forall x)(\exists y)((x < 1) \rightarrow ((x < y) \land (y < 1)))$



The statement What it means

Example: no isolated points

Here is a another first-order sentence, call it $\boldsymbol{\pi}$

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)((x < 1) \rightarrow ((x < y) \land (y < 1)))$$

In words: every closed proper subset (x) is properly contained in a closed proper subset (y);



The statement What it means

Example: no isolated points

Here is a another first-order sentence, call it $\boldsymbol{\pi}$

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)((x < 1) \rightarrow ((x < y) \land (y < 1)))$$

In words: every closed proper subset (x) is properly contained in a closed proper subset (y);

in fewer words: there are no isolated points.



Example: no isolated points

Here is a another first-order sentence, call it $\boldsymbol{\pi}$

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)((x < 1) \rightarrow ((x < y) \land (y < 1)))$$

In words: every closed proper subset (x) is properly contained in a closed proper subset (y);

in fewer words: there are no isolated points.

If some base satisfies this sentence then the space has no isolated points.



The statement What it means

Example: the Cantor set is categorical

Let X be compact metric with a countable base \mathcal{B} for the closed sets that satisfies ζ and π .



Let X be compact metric with a countable base $\mathcal B$ for the closed sets that satisfies ζ and π .

Then X is zero-dimensional and without isolated points.



Let X be compact metric with a countable base \mathcal{B} for the closed sets that satisfies ζ and π .

Then X is zero-dimensional and without isolated points.

So X is (homeomorphic to) the Cantor set C.



Let X be compact metric with a countable base \mathcal{B} for the closed sets that satisfies ζ and π . Then X is zero-dimensional and without isolated points. So X is (homeomorphic to) the Cantor set C.

Thus: if X looks like C then X is homeomorphic to C.



Let X be compact metric with a countable base \mathcal{B} for the closed sets that satisfies ζ and π . Then X is zero-dimensional and without isolated points. So X is (homeomorphic to) the Cantor set C.

Thus: if X looks like C then X is homeomorphic to C.

The Cantor set is categorical among compact metric spaces.



The statement What it means

What the main result says

Among metric continua there is no categorical space.



The statement What it means

What the main result says

Among metric continua there is no categorical space. No (in)finite list of first-order properties will characterize a single metric continuum.



The statement What it means

A case in point: the pseudoarc

The pseudoarc is the only metric continuum that is



The statement What it means

A case in point: the pseudoarc

The pseudoarc is the only metric continuum that is

• hereditarily indecomposable and



The statement What it means

A case in point: the pseudoarc

The pseudoarc is the only metric continuum that is

- hereditarily indecomposable and
- chainable



A case in point: the pseudoarc

The pseudoarc is the only metric continuum that is

- hereditarily indecomposable and
- chainable
- A two-item list but ...



A case in point: the pseudoarc

The pseudoarc is the only metric continuum that is

- hereditarily indecomposable and
- chainable

A two-item list but Chainability is *not* first-order.



A case in point: the pseudoarc

The pseudoarc is the only metric continuum that is

- hereditarily indecomposable and
- chainable

A two-item list but ... Chainability is *not* first-order. (Hereditary indecomposability is.)



Main lemma Finishing up

Outline

The main result

- The statement
- What it means







(ロ) (四) (三) (三)

An embedding lemma

Let X and Z be metric continua, with countable lattice bases, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , for their respective families of closed sets. Let u be a free ultrafilter on ω . There is an embedding of \mathcal{C} into the ultrapower of \mathcal{B} by u.



An embedding lemma

Let X and Z be metric continua, with countable lattice bases, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , for their respective families of closed sets. Let u be a free ultrafilter on ω . There is an embedding of \mathcal{C} into the ultrapower of \mathcal{B} by u.

Ultrapower \mathcal{B}_u : the power \mathcal{B}^ω modulo the equivalence relation " $\{n : B_n = C_n\} \in u$ ".



An embedding lemma

Let X and Z be metric continua, with countable lattice bases, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , for their respective families of closed sets. Let u be a free ultrafilter on ω . There is an embedding of \mathcal{C} into the ultrapower of \mathcal{B} by u.

Ultrapower \mathcal{B}_u : the power \mathcal{B}^{ω} modulo the equivalence relation " $\{n : B_n = C_n\} \in u$ ". Ultrapower theorem: \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_u are elementarily equivalent.



Let X and Z be metric continua, with countable lattice bases, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , for their respective families of closed sets.



Let X and Z be metric continua, with countable lattice bases, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , for their respective families of closed sets. Let u be a free ultrafilter on ω .



Let X and Z be metric continua, with countable lattice bases, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , for their respective families of closed sets. Let u be a free ultrafilter on ω . Let $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}_u$ be an embedding.



Let X and Z be metric continua, with countable lattice bases, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , for their respective families of closed sets. Let u be a free ultrafilter on ω . Let $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}_u$ be an embedding.

Apply the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem:



Let X and Z be metric continua, with countable lattice bases, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , for their respective families of closed sets. Let u be a free ultrafilter on ω . Let $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}_u$ be an embedding.

Apply the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem: Find a countable elementary sublattice \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{B}_u that contains $\varphi[\mathcal{C}]$.



Let X and Z be metric continua, with countable lattice bases, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , for their respective families of closed sets. Let u be a free ultrafilter on ω . Let $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}_u$ be an embedding.

Apply the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem: Find a countable elementary sublattice \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{B}_u that contains $\varphi[\mathcal{C}]$. Let Y be the Wallman space of \mathcal{D} .



Main lemma Finishing up

Properties of Y

• Y is compact metric (\mathcal{D} is countable).



<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Properties of Y

- Y is compact metric (\mathcal{D} is countable).
- \mathcal{D} is a base for the closed sets of Y (by Wallman's theorem).



Properties of Y

- Y is compact metric (\mathcal{D} is countable).
- \mathcal{D} is a base for the closed sets of Y (by Wallman's theorem).
- \mathcal{D} is elementarily equivalent to \mathcal{B}_u and hence to \mathcal{B} .



Properties of Y

- Y is compact metric (\mathcal{D} is countable).
- \mathcal{D} is a base for the closed sets of Y (by Wallman's theorem).
- \mathcal{D} is elementarily equivalent to \mathcal{B}_u and hence to \mathcal{B} .
- Y maps onto Z (because $\varphi[\mathcal{C}]$ is embedded into \mathcal{D}).



Main lemma Finishing up

Outline

The main result

- The statement
- What it means







<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Main lemma Finishing up

Getting a good Y

Let X be given, with a countable base \mathcal{B} for its closed sets.



Let X be given, with a countable base \mathcal{B} for its closed sets. There is a metric continuum Z that is not a continuous image of X (Waraszkiewicz).



Let X be given, with a countable base \mathcal{B} for its closed sets. There is a metric continuum Z that is not a continuous image of X (Waraszkiewicz).

Find Y with a base that is elementarily equivalent to $\mathcal B$ and



Let X be given, with a countable base \mathcal{B} for its closed sets. There is a metric continuum Z that is not a continuous image of X (Waraszkiewicz). Find Y with a base that is elementarily equivalent to \mathcal{B} and such that Y maps onto Z.



Let X be given, with a countable base \mathcal{B} for its closed sets. There is a metric continuum Z that is not a continuous image of X (Waraszkiewicz). Find Y with a base that is elementarily equivalent to \mathcal{B} and such that Y maps onto Z.

So: Y is not homeomorphic to X.



Light reading

Website: fa.its.tudelft.nl/~hart

- T. Banakh, P. Bankston, B. Raines and W. Ruitenburg. Chainability and Hemmingsen's theorem, http://www.mscs.mu.edu/~paulb/Paper/chainable.pdf
 - K. P. Hart.

There is no categorical metric continuum, to appear.

Z. Waraszkiewicz.

Sur un problème de M. H. Hahn, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **22** (1934) 180–205.

