

Mokum & Maritiem Shanks, 2016

DELIVERING THE FUTURE OF URBAN FREIGHT

Towards a *strategic framework* for multimodal consolidation in the MRDH

Student: Marcel de Groot Mentors: Ulf Hackauf & John Baggen P5 presentation 29 october 2020

Mokum & Maritiem Shanks, 2016





van Duivenbode, 2014

introduction





top: author; Evofenedex, 2019; Google Maps, 2019

bottom: Google Maps, 2019; author; Jesper Neleman, 2017

introduction | 4/73





"In cities we just expect things to be there: a cup of coffee in the morning, blood in the hospital if we need it"

"But probably **90 percent** of everything we consume, live in and wear was delivered over the road."

lan Wainwright, freight manager London, Olympic Games 2012



top: author; Evofenedex, 2019; Google Maps, 2019

bottom: Google Maps, 2019; author; Jesper Neleman, 2017



introduction | 5/73

NO₂ pollution

(source: Atlas Leefomgeving, 2019)

Soot pollution

(source: Atlas Leefomgeving, 2019)



25% of the street traffic in typical cities and **16-50%** of the air pollution (dependent on the pollutant)

utant) (Lindholm, 2012)

introduction



Household density per km² in the Netherlands

(source: CBS, 2018a; Retrieved from QGIS)



In 2025, **93%** of the Dutch population will live in urban areas (Van Duin, 2005)

Urban growth prognosis 2035 in the Netherlands

(source: CBS, 2019a)



introduction 7/73



Road transport is the **most popular mode** in the Netherlands

Roads are filling up with more trucks through the growth of freight

introduction | 8 / 73

Share CO₂ emission per motive

(source: MRDH, 2018)

CO₂ ambitions MRDH with reduction path

(source: MRDH, 2018)



Urban freight has a share of **17%** in the CO2 emission

Personal mobility is at the moment still the most polluting

introduction | 9/73

Increasing stake of GHG emissions due trucks

(source: Transport Online, 2015)





Greenhouse gas emissions is growing at **a faster rate** than passenger vehicle emissions

introduction | 10/73

Dynamics between different stakeholders

(source: Smart Freight Centre, 2017)



Who is **responsible** for the problems?

Stakeholders are willing to change but they have different expectations on taking initatives

introduction | 11 / 73

Influence, involvement and interest diagram

(source: adapted from Van Den Bossche et al., 2017)



There is a **discrepancy** in involvement and interest among stakeholder groups

Authorities have the power to trigger changes in urban freight with policy and incentivation

+



introduction 12/73





automobile vehicles make intensive use of depletable resources of energy

economic and technological trends exacerbate impacts and are responsbile for more transport flows and distances



unsustainable growing amount of road transport without multimodal consideration



urban freight policies are insufficient to tackle increasing negative externalities due to lack of knowledge and awareness

problem statement | 13 / 73





testing area:

Rotterdam-The Hague Metropolitan Area Metropoolregio Rotterdam-Den Haag (MRDH)





Zero Emission Stadslogistiek

- waste logistics
- smart regulations
- fresh food
- vehicle technology
- parcel and packages
- catering industry
- facility flows
- hanging transport
- renovation and demolishment



location initiatives

Municipality	Low emission zone	Vehicle restrictions	Time windows
Gemeente Rotterdam	- Low emission zone in the city centre and Maasvlakte	Engine: min EURO IV (city centre) min EURO VI (Maasvlakte)	Mo - Su 05.00 - 10.30 Additonal
	Restriction based on engine	Complete truck ban 's-Gravendijkwal	exemption zero emission vehicles: Mo - Th 18:00 - 20:00
VREDE EN RECHT Den Haag	- Low emission zone in the city centre	Engine: min EURO IV Age: max 13 years	Mo - Fr 5.00 - 11.30 Sa - Su 6.00 - 11.30
	Restrictions based on engine and age		Around Central Station instead: Mo-Fr 5.00 - 7.00, 10.00-11.30
Gemeente Delft	- Logistic zone in city centre - Low emission zone in south	Weight: max 3.5 ton Length: max 10 m Width: max 2.20 m	Mo - Fr 7.00 - 12.00 Sa 7.00 - 11.00
	Restrictions based on size		Official Holidays 10.00 -12.00

ANP via AD, 2019

H.

ROTTERDAM

THE HAGUE + RIJSWIJK

DELFT



location regulations 16/73



well-working freight system?

A (A) (A) (A)

HLN, 2017



urbanism | 17 / 73

LIVEABILITY OF THE CITY urban freight

Can we eliminate unnessary trips over the land to reduce negative externalities ?

Can we use other, cleaner transportation modes?

Why is public transport limited to the transport of people?

Can we combine freight systems of retail supply and waste collection?

How can we accomodate future demand of urban freight?



urbanism | 18/73

- research aim: develop a **strategic framework** for **local authorities**
 - accomodate the increasing logistic demand of retailers
 - reduce the negative externalities

- respond to national and international climate agreements

research methodology 19/73

- research aim: develop a strategic framework for local authorities - accomodate the increasing logistic demand of retailers - reduce the **negative externalities**
 - respond to **national** and **international climate agreements**

research question

How can a strategic framework of urban freight transportation in the **MRDH** accommodate the **increasing logistic demand** of retailers in a sustainable and liveable way?

research methodology

20/73



current situation | 21 / 73

urban freight



current situation | 22 / 73

CONCEPTUALISATION

intermediate slide | 23 / 73

urban consolidation

urban multimodality

top: Amsterdam Logistic City Hub, 2019

bottom: Cityhub Utrecht, n.d.



conceptualisation

urban freight

located close to city entrances and on highway infrastructure

[2]

proportional distance to retail locations

perferably located at industrial areas

conceptualisation | 25/73

urban freight

•

→ſor

[3]

located close to city entrances and on highway infrastructure

[2]

proportional distance to retail locations

perferably located at industrial areas

conceptualisation | 26 / 73

without consolidation centre

with consolidation centre

conceptualisation 27/73

Distribution ways for urban freight (types of goods and waste)

(source: Kin et al., 2018)

conceptualisation | 28 / 73

Distribution ways for urban freight (types of goods and waste)

(source: Kin et al., 2018)

APPLICABLE GOODS:

small supermarkets and food specialists, drugsstore,

conceptualisation 29/73

top: NL Architects, 2009 bottom: Bestfact, 2015

conceptualisation | 30 / 73

urban freight

conceptualisation | 31 / 73

strategy components: nodes 33/73

urban consolidation centres (UCC)

> entry point of system

strategy components: urban consolidation centre | 34 / 73

two principles | 35 / 73

urban freight

principle #1: waste synergy | 36 / 73
urban freight



principle #1: waste synergy | 37 / 73

urban freight



principle #2: nearby water corridor | 38 / 73



principle #2: nearby water corridor | 39 / 73



transhipment centres (TC)

intermediate point of system

strategy components: transhipment centre | 40 / 73





multifunctional transhipment centre | 41 / 73



located at the proximity (<6 km) of drop-off points / retail areas



drop-off points (DoP)



strategy components: drop-off point | 42 / 73



tram drop-off point | 43 / 73





water drop-off point | 44 / 73

STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT

intermediate slide | 45/73



2. Identify transportation methods from UCCs, eventually via transhipment centres to the city / shopping entities

important to map: available infrastructures, such as rail and water connections between the edge of the city and city centre

3. Identify possible locations for drop-off point, taking into account the post transport (dependent on available infrastructure

important to map: location of retail entities, presence and determination degree of fine-grainess of local infrastructures and stops for rail, water and road modes



strategy development 46 / 73













[3] 's Gravenlandsepolder (north)



[4] MP Hillegersberg- Schiebroek [5] MP Prins Alexander



WASTE TREATMENT PRINCIPLE (1)

[2] Van Brienenoord (east)

47/73 strategy map - UCC







[1] Merwevierhaven (R'dam N) [2] Willemsplein (R'dam N)





[4] Feijenoord (R'dam S)



strategy map - TC | 48 / 73



strategy map Rotterdam | 49 / 73



Amsterdam

The Hague

complete (historical, river) cities: having both water and tram infrastructures

Amsterdam, Rotterdam, The Hague, Utrecht

transferability to other cities 50/73



histroical (river) cities: having a canal network / river in the city, connected to industrial area by water corridor(s)

canal network: e.g. Alkmaar, Amersfoort, Groningen, Leiden, Leeuwarden, Delft, Schiedam, Zwolle; river: e.g. Arnhem, Maastricht, Nijmegen, (Delft)

transferability to other cities 51/73

STRATEGY ELABORATION AND DESIGN

intermediate slide | 52 / 73





UCC Vijfsluizen

UCC 's-Gravenlande

urban consolidation centres 54/73



TC Willemsplein

TC Willemsplein current view



TC Willemsplein analysis 55/73



TC Willemsplein design | 56 / 73



TC De Esch

TC Willemsplein current view



TC De Esch analysis 57/73



TC De Esch design | 58 / 73



city centre Rotterdam

DoP city centre Rotterdam 59/73



DoP Lijnbaan

DoP Eendrachtsplein

DoP Lijnbaan and Eendrachtsplein 60/73



DoP Lijnbaan design | 61 / 73



current impression

new impression



DoP Lijnbaan design | 62 / 73



DoP Eendrachtsplein design | 63 / 73



DoP city centre Rotterdam 64/73



DoP Taanbrug | 65 / 73



DoP Taanbrug design 66/73

CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNT

intermediate slide | 67 / 73

research question:

How can a strategic framework of urban freight transportation in the MRDH accommodate the increasing logistic demand of retailers in a sustainable and liveable way?

conclusions

Changing the spatial organisation of urban freight could reduce the amount of freight movements in the MRDH and thus negative externalities

- Every shipment that can find synergy with waste reduces the amount of freight movements
- Every shipment that can take place over water or rail reduces the amount of freight movements

Proposed interventions contribute to the liveability by removing the amount of trucks in 'vulnerable' areas

Using three different urban regions in the MRDH show the wide variety of possible strategies that can be appied by local authorities

conclusions 68/73

and a state





A well-considered allocation of urban consolidation centres and other proposed nodes can create several synergies and strengthen this potential



Relatively small interventions are required when mainly existing (infra)structures are (re-)used. Additional functions on nodes could contribute to spatial and social quality

Mokum & Maritiem Shanks, 2016

A well-considered allocation of urban consolidation centres and other proposed nodes can create several synergies and strengthen this potential

lessons learnt | 71 / 73

Relatively small interventions are required when mainly existing (infra)structures are (re-)used. Additional functions on nodes could contribute to spatial and social quality

> Besides policies, a spatial strategic framework for urban freight can be environmental, social en economical beneficial and improve liveability

Mokum & Maritiem Shanks, 2016

A well-considered allocation of urban consolidation centres and other proposed nodes can create several synergies and strengthen this potential

lessons learnt

72/73
There is a huge potential for urban multimodality in Dutch cities, especially on the water, when integrated into urban freight strategies in urban regions

> A well-considered allocation of urban consolidation centres and other proposed nodes can create several synergies and strengthen this potential

THANK YOU!

Relatively small interventions are required when mainly existing (infra)structures are (re-)used. Additional functions on nodes could contribute to spatial and social quality

> Besides policies, a spatial strategic framework for urban freight can be environmental, social en economical beneficial and improve liveability

um & Maritiem Shanks 2016



final slide 73/73