Microchannel Thermal Management System with Two-Phase Flow for Power Electronics over 500 W/cm² Heat Dissipation
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Abstract - In this paper, a microchannel thermal management system (MTMS) with the two-phase flow using the refrigerant R1234yf with low global warming potential is presented. The thermal test vehicles (TTVs) were made of either single or multiple thermal test chips embedded in the substrates, which were then attached to the MTMS. The system included two identical aluminum microchannel heat sinks (MHSs) connected in series in the cooling loop, which also consisted of a gas flowmeter, a miniature compressor, a condenser, a throttling device, and accessory measurement components. The experimental results showed that the thermal management system could dissipate a heat flux of 526 W/cm² while maintaining the junction temperature below 120 °C. For SiC MOSFET with a higher junction temperature, e.g., 175 °C, the current system is expected to dissipate a heat flux as high as about 750 W/cm². The effects of the rotational speed of the compressor, the opening of the throttling device, TTV layout on MHS, and a downstream heater on the cooling performance of the system were analyzed in detail. The study shows that the present thermal management with a two-phase flow system is promising cooling technology for the high heat flux SiC devices.

I. INTRODUCTION

The heat flux of the next-generation silicon insulated gate bipolar transistor (Si IGBT) used in pure and hybrid electric vehicles applications would be as high as 500 W/cm², more than three times of heat flux in comparison with the current level of 100-150 W/cm² [1]-[3]. As a promising wide bandgap device, silicon carbide metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor (SiC MOSFET) has many advantages such as higher breakdown voltage, higher switching frequency, and lower switching loss [4]. As its form factor tends to be smaller, its heat flux can be even higher in comparison with Si IGBT with the same voltage blocking capability, even higher heat flux is anticipated [4]-[6].

Such high heat fluxes cannot be addressed by using conventional cooling solutions such as vapor chamber [7]-[8], and single-phase liquid cooling [9]-[12]. Vapor chamber in combination with forced convection could dissipate heat flux no more than 100 W/cm² [13], while single-phase liquid cooling could reach 350 W/cm² [14]-[16]. Recently, immersion pool boiling and liquid metal cooling schemes have been reported for high heat flux electronics [13], [18]-[20]. The immersion pool boiling, which requires dielectric fluid to be in contact with the ICs directly, is usually limited by the critical heat flux of dielectric fluid and thus has insufficient cooling capacity. The liquid metal has much better thermophysical properties using eutectic alloys of different materials such as gallium, indium, and tin [20], but it may not be compatible with the metal piping and fittings. The cost of liquid metal is also much higher in comparison with other coolants [21].

Two-phase flow boiling regimes have received extensive attention in power electronics applications in recent years. Taking advantage of the latent heat of liquid refrigerant during its boiling process, it can provide higher heat transfer coefficients, lower flow rates, more uniform surface temperatures, and lower pumping power than a single-phase cooling scheme [1], [22]-[24]. However, the refrigerant boiling requires a wise control of the pressure and temperature of the refrigerant in the evaporator, so that the flow boiling can be reached for isothermal heat absorption.

Much research effort has been devoted to the two-phase flow boiling in the microchannel thermal management system (MTMS) for electronics cooling [25]-[28]. R134a is a commonly used refrigerant. In our previous study, an experimental study of a compact two-phase cooling system using R134a as a refrigerant was performed for high heat flux electronic packages. The experimental results showed the evaporator system could dissipate over 380 W/cm² for the thermal test vehicle (TTV) while maintaining its temperature at about 90 °C [28].

Although R134a is a chlorine-free and non-ozone-depleting hydrofluorocarbon refrigerant, it has relatively high global warming potential (GWP) of 1430, which are several orders of magnitude greater than CO₂, and has been banned in Europe for new mobile air conditioner [29]. According to the latest EU F-gas Regulation, all F-gases with GWP of more than 150 will be banned as the refrigerant or foam blowing agent in any hermetically sealed system from 2022 [30].
On the other hand, R1234yf has a GWP of only 4, which is much lower than R134a and has been adopted in Europe and is being proposed to replace R134a in some countries [30]-[32]. There are some reports on experimental studies of R1234yf as a drop-in replacement for R134a in an MTMS [29]-[32]. Nonetheless, there is little work reported on two-phase flow boiling heat transfer of R1234yf in a microchannel heat sink (MHS) for high-heat-flux power electronics cooling.

In this paper, an MTMS with the two-phase flow for power electronics is presented. Si-based TTVs were designed and fabricated to evaluate the cooling performance of the proposed thermal management system. Environment-friendly refrigerant R1234yf with low boiling points was selected to fill to the system. The system mainly consisted of two identical aluminum MHSs, a miniature compressor, a condenser, a throttling device, and accessory measurement components. The cooling performance of the system with one single-chip TTV was first analyzed. Then, the effects of the rotational speed of the compressor, the opening of the throttling device, TTV layout on MHS, and a downstream heater on the cooling performance of the system were analyzed sequentially. Lastly, the performance potential of the thermal management system was also discussed.

II. DESIGN OF THERMAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM WITH TWO-PHASE FLOW

A. MHS for SiC MOSFET Power Module

Fig. 1 shows the schematic of a SiC power module assembled on one MHS with flow boiling bubbles. Thermal interface material (TIM) is used between the power module and the MHS to reduce the contact resistance. The heat generated by SiC MOSFETs can be absorbed by taking full advantage of the latent heat of liquid R1234yf when it reaches boiling. Therefore, an MTMS is required to realize the phase-change and heat absorption in the MHS.

![Fig. 1. Schematic of the SiC power module assembled on the MHS with two-phase flow boiling](image)

B. MTMS Design

Fig. 2(a) and (b) illustrates the experimental measurement platform and schematic diagram of the designed MTMS. The system mainly consists of MHS1 and MHS2 connected in series, a gas flowmeter, a miniature compressor, a condenser, a throttling device, and accessory measurement components. All the components were connected through copper tubes and fittings.

In the system, the compressor was used to increase the pressure of the refrigerant, while the throttling device was to reduce the pressure of the refrigerant and control the flow. The system was divided into a high-pressure (HP) region and a low-pressure (LP) region by the two components. The two MHSs and the gas flowmeter were in the LP region, and the condenser lay in the HP region.

The temperatures at the connection tubes of the LP region could be reduced to -10~20 °C when the system was operating. To avoid the effect of heat loss on the cooling performance of the system due to the large temperature difference between the connection tubes and the ambient, the connection tubes in the LP region were protected with rubber foam tubes, as shown in Fig. 2(a).

![Fig. 2. MTMS: (a) Experimental measurement platform; (b) Schematic diagram](image)

The MHS is a highly compact and lightweight aluminum plate fin evaporator. It has one inlet and one outlet tubes. The detailed size of MHS is shown in TABLE I. In the following III (A–D), MHS1 was used. In III (E), MHS1 and MHS2 were used.

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHS</td>
<td>50x50x9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base plate</td>
<td>50x50x1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover plate</td>
<td>50x50x1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber</td>
<td>30x30x7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate fin</td>
<td>20x0.5x6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel spacing</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner diameter of tube</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter of tube</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. TTVs Design

Currently, thermal test chip (TTC) using SiC is not available, to evaluate the cooling performance of the MTMS system, Si-based TTC from Thermal Engineering Associates Inc. was used to evaluate the cooling performance of MTMS. The size of a single TTC was 2.5×2.5×0.625 mm³, which had two resistors in the same layer and four diodes in the other layer. The two resistors covered more than 85% of the chip area. The electric resistance of each resistor was 7.6 Ω ±10%.

Two TTVs based on substrate embedded packaging technology were designed and fabricated [28]. The detailed process of packaging of TTV1 with one chip and assembly on the MHS is shown in Fig. 3. A TTC was picked and placed in the center cavity of a substrate with the almost same thickness as the TTC, and temporarily bonded onto a carrier through a layer of temporary bond film (TBF). The two resistors of TTC in the TTV1 were connected in series and then bonded onto one edge of the substrate through gold wires. The total electric resistance of the TTV1 reached about 15.2 Ω. A thermocouple (TC) was directly attached to the top surface of TTC so that the junction temperature could be precisely monitored in real-time when it was operating. The TTC and TC were then encapsulated by ultraviolet (UV) adhesive to protect from damage. After that, the TTV1 was debonded from the carrier.

The TTV was directly attached to the bottom center of MHS through a layer of TIM. The TIM (TC-5888) from Dow Corning was used, which combined advantages of high thermal conductivity (5.2 W/mK) and thin bond line thickness of approximately 20 μm, which yielded a low specific thermal resistance of 0.05 °C·cm²/W.

D. Other Components

A miniature rotary compressor (14-24-00000X) from Aspen was used in the system, which is the smallest and lightest compressor available in the market as far as we know. Its suction volume was 1.4 cm³, and the maximum rotational speed was up to 6500 PRM, which can be adjusted by a variable resistor. The pressure and flow rate of refrigerant thus could be adjusted through the variable resistor.

A throttling device played a dual role in regulating the pressure drop across the throttling device and the flow rate and preventing large pressure drop oscillations across the test module.

For the condenser, an aluminum mini-channel heat exchanger was adopted, which was fabricated based on the mini-end milling process. To improve the convective heat transfer coefficient, a fan was applied to the condenser.

To accurately evaluate the cooling performance of the thermal management system, temperature, pressure, and other measurement components were connected to the system.

Six high precision Type K TCs (T1-T6) from OMEGA were used to monitor the temperatures at different locations of the system. One of the attached locations is shown in Fig. 2(b). The positive and negative leads of the six TCs were connected to a high-resolution data acquisition device from National Instruments. We could read real-time temperatures via LabVIEW software. Before testing, the signals of TCs were calibrated and the reference temperature was set to 20 °C.

Two voltage output pressure transducers (PX309-200 G5V) from OMEGA were adopted to detect the pressures of the HP region and LP region, respectively. The absolute pressure range of the transducer was 0–14 bar, and the driver voltage/current was 5 V/0.1 A. The pressure changes in the evaporators were negligible compared to those in the throttling device and miniature compressor [34], so the two pressure transducers could provide the important data needed to assess the system performance. The pressures could be read in real-time in the form of voltages by a digit multimeter from KEYSIGHT. The calibration curve of the pressure $P_{PT}$ (bar) and the tested voltage $U_{PT}$ (V) is expressed in (1) [28].

$$P_{PT}=2.32\times U_{PT}+0.565 \tag{1}$$

We could also indirectly acquire the rotational speed of the compressor via the digit multimeter. The voltage of the variable resistor $U_{VR}$ (V) could be read when the compressor was operating. The rotational speed of compressor $n$ (PRM) then can be calculated as follow.

$$\begin{align*}
n & = \begin{cases} 
0 & (4.3V \leq U_{VR} < 5V) \\
7078.93 - 1157.89U_{VR} & (0.5V \leq U_{VR} \leq 4.3V) \\
6500 & (U_{VR} < 0.5V)
\end{cases} \tag{2}
\end{align*}$$

Fig. 3. Packaging of TTV1 and assembly process on MHS

For TTV2 with multi-chips, it used four TTCs in a 2×2 array without extra spacing. The eight resistors in the four TTCs were connected in series and then bonded onto one edge of the substrate through gold wires. Compared with the TTV1, the effective area and the total electric resistance of the TTV2 increased by 4 times, which were 0.25 cm², and 60.8 Ω, respectively. The TTV1 and TTV2 samples are shown in Fig. 4(a) and (b), respectively.
The gas flowmeter (FMA-4312) from OMEGA was used to monitor the vapor flowrate of refrigerant, which could be read in the electronic display. The driver voltage/current was 5 V/0.09 A. The flowrate range was 0~10 L/min.

The temperatures at different positions, the pressures of HP and LP regions, the flowrate of refrigerant, and the rotational speed of compressor could be recorded in real-time. Only were these parameters properly controlled, did the system have a better cooling performance.

E. Thermodynamic Analysis of R1234yf Refrigerant

R1234yf from Honeywell was selected as the refrigerant and filled into the system. Fig. 5 shows the variation of pressure with the saturation temperature of R1234yf. The fit function is expressed as (3).

\[ P_s = \exp \left( 1.14119 + 0.03326 T_s - 9.33109 \times 10^{-5} T_s^2 \right), \]

where \( T_s \) is the saturation temperature of R1234yf, and \( P_s \) is the corresponding pressure. As seen in the figure, the pressure of the refrigerant rises nearly quadratically with the saturation temperature. Under the same temperature, reducing the pressure can make the refrigerant change from liquid to vapor, and thus absorb a large amount of heat. Likewise, increasing the pressure can make the refrigerant change from vapor to liquid, and thus release a lot of heat.

Fig. 6 shows a representative experimental working cycle of R1234yf in the system. An ideal pressure-enthalpy diagram of R1234yf was dotted in the figure, which was divided into the subcooled liquid, the liquid/vapor mixture, and the superheated vapor regions by a saturated liquid curve and a saturated vapor curve. The points 1~4 in the figure correspond to the system locations 1, 2, 3, and 4, shown in Figure 2.

As shown in Fig. 6, in the process 1-2, most of the heat generated by the TTV is absorbed by the liquid/vapor mixtures of R1234yf due to the vaporization of liquid refrigerant. The bubble grows and departs at a high frequency, forming bubbly flow and then slug flow in the microchannels between the plate fins of the MHS. The liquid/vapor mixtures fully change to the superheated vapor after heating. Then, the superheated vapor enters a gas flowmeter. Then, the superheated vapor goes to a compressor and is compressed to a higher pressure vapor, resulting in a higher temperature in the process 2-3. Next, the compressed vapor R1234yf flows into a condenser, the superheated vapor changes to the subcooled liquid. The heat accumulated in the previous processes (1-3) is released to the ambient due to the latent heat of condensation of vapor refrigerant in the process 3-4. Lastly, the subcooled liquid refrigerant returns into the MHS1 through a throttling device, the subcooled liquid refrigerant changes into the liquid/vapor mixtures again, and the pressure drops drastically to a low level (process 4-1).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Cooling Performance Evaluation with TTV1

In this section, the cooling performance of the microchannel thermal management system was evaluated using TTV1. The measured electrical resistance of the TTV1 was 13.76 Ω through digit multimeter. A 200 V / 60 A DC power supply was adopted to drive the TTV1. The heat fluxes rising from 55 W/cm² to 526 W/cm² were tested by increasing the current from 0.5 A to 1.5 A.

Fig. 7 displays the junction temperature of TTV1 and the pressure of the LP region dependent on the heat flux of TTV1. As seen in the figure, the junction temperature of TTV1 increased almost linearly with the heat flux of TTV1. Particularly, when the heat flux of TTV1 increased from 55 W/cm² to 124 W/cm² (seen from insert), the junction temperature of TTV1 rose from 8 °C to 24.3 °C, which was below the ambient temperature of 25 °C. As shown in the figure, the pressure of the LP region remained about 1.8 bar. Combining (3) with Fig. 5, the saturation temperature of R1234yf at 1.8 bar was estimated to be -16 °C. This means the junction temperature of TTV1 could be lower than the ambient temperature of 25 °C even when the heat flux of TTV1 reached 124 W/cm². On the other hand, when the heat flux of TTV1 increased to 526 W/cm², the junction temperature of TTV1 approached to 119 °C, which was near the allowed highest junction temperature 125 °C. For SiC devices with a higher junction temperature, e.g., 175 °C [33], the heat dissipation of the present system could go up to 750 W/cm² based on the same level of thermal resistance. Therefore, the thermal management system could dissipate a heat flux of 526 W/cm² while maintaining the junction temperature below 120 °C.
C. Effect of the Opening of the Throttling Device

In this section, the effects of changing the opening of the throttling device at the beginning and the testing process on the cooling performance were analyzed, respectively.

For changing the opening at the beginning, by applying the current from 0.5 A to 1.4 A, the heat flux of the TTV1 increased from 55 W/cm² to 449 W/cm² in the conditions of a small opening and a large opening, respectively. Fig. 9 shows the pressure drops across the throttling device and the junction temperatures dependent on the heat flux of TTV1 under both the small and large openings of the throttling device. As seen in the figure, the pressure drop across the throttling device was affected by the opening of the throttling device. A smaller opening led to a higher pressure drop. The pressure drops were about 4 bar and 3.1 bar under the small and large opening, respectively. Therefore, the opening of the throttling device can be quantified by its pressure drop.

As shown in Fig. 9, when the heat flux of the TTV1 increased from 55 W/cm² to 353 W/cm², the junction temperatures of the TTV1 under the pressure drop of 4 bar were lower than that under the pressure drop of 3.1 bar. When continuing increasing the heat flux of the TTV1, the junction temperature of the TTV1 under the pressure drop of 4 bar surpassed that under the pressure drop of 3.1 bar. Because only a small portion of refrigerants flew into the MHS1 under the pressure drop of 4 bar, complete phase-change of the fluid refrigerant could occur, the system showed better cooling performance at lower heat flux but deteriorated at high heat flux. This could be due to the lower flowrate in the MHS1, which could approach the dry-out limit at higher heat flux. As such, increasing the opening of the throttling device could increase the flowrate and reduce the junction temperature of the TTV1 at high heat flux, thus improving the cooling performance of the thermal management system.

For changing the opening at the testing process, by applying the current from 0.8 A to 1 A and then from 1 A to 1.1 A, the heat flux of TTV2 increased from 152 W/cm² to 244 W/cm² and then from 242 W/cm² to 297 W/cm². Fig. 10 shows the effect of the opening of the throttling device on the junction temperature of TTV2, the pressure drop across the throttling device. When the heat flux of TTV2 increased from 152 W/cm² to 244 W/cm², the junction temperature of TTV2 rose from 59.5
to the reduced flow distribution at the lateral channels instead of centerline channels. Therefore, for two TTVs with the same heat flux, it is suggested to allocate the TTVs at the two lateral positions; for multiple TTVs with different heat flux, it is recommended to put the TTVs at the centerline in order of decreasing heat fluxes, with the highest one allocated at the upstream.

![Diagram showing heat flux and junction temperature relationships](image)

Fig. 10. Effect of opening of the throttling device on junction temperature of TTV2 and pressure drop across the throttling device

D. Effect of TTV Layout on MHS1

In this section, the junction temperature distributions of two TTV2 layouts on MHS1 were studied.

In the first layout, two TTV2s were arranged at the upstream and downstream along the centerline of MHS1, and their heat fluxes were both increased from 59 W/cm² to 202 W/cm². Fig. 11(a) shows the junction temperatures of the two TTV2s. As can be seen from the figure, when the heat flux of TTV2 reached 202 W/cm², the junction temperature of TTV2 at the upstream was 11 °C lower than at the downstream. The heat generated by TTV2 was conducted through chip, TIM and MHS1 base to the chamber, making the liquid refrigerant between plate fins to vaporize. The phase-change could provide higher heat convection coefficients. The lower junction temperature of TTV2 at the upstream was due to the better cooling at the upstream with the fresh cold refrigerant, whereas the refrigerant was preheated to vaporize to some degree due to heat spreading effect, unfavorably affecting the cooling performance at the downstream.

In the second layout, two TTV2s were arranged at the lateral midstream, symmetrically to the centerline of the MHS1, and the heat fluxes were both increased from 59 W/cm² to 136 W/cm². Fig. 11(b) illustrates the junction temperatures of the two TTV2s, which were nearly the same. The junction temperature differential for the two lateral positions was only 3.3 °C, even at the heat flux of 136 W/cm². However, compared with the cases arranged at the upstream and downstream, the heat dissipation capacity of the system with TTV2s arranged at the lateral midstream was greatly reduced, which was attributed

![Diagram showing junction temperatures of two TTV2s layouts on MHS1](image)

Fig. 11. Junction temperatures of two TTV2s layouts on MHS1: (a) Upstream and downstream; (b) Lateral midstream

E. Effect of a Downstream TTV1 on MHS2

In this section, MHS1 and MHS2 were used. A downstream TTV1 on MHS2 was introduced to study its effect on the pressure drop across the throttling device and junction temperature of the upstream TTV1 on MHS1. The downstream TTV1 could be viewed as either a regular heat-dissipating device or a super-heating device. The liquid R1234yf was expected to vaporize more completely in MHS2 upon absorbing heat from the downstream TTV1, thus increasing the enthalpy change of R1234yf before flowing into the condenser.

In the experiment, two 72 V / 1.2 A DC power supplies were used to drive the two TTV1s, respectively. By applying the current from 0.5 A to 1.15 A, the heat fluxes of both TTV1s were increased from 55 W/cm² to 297 W/cm².

Fig. 12(a) shows the pressure drops across the throttling device dependent on the heat flux of upstream TTV1 when a downstream TTV1 is used as a heat-dissipating device and a super-heating device, respectively. As seen from the figure, the pressure drop across the throttling device in the system with a downstream super-heating TTV1 was higher than that with a downstream heat-dissipating TTV1. The pressure differential increased slightly with the heat flux of the upstream TTV1. As discussed in Section III (C), a smaller opening of the throttling
device led to a higher pressure drop. Therefore, the downstream TTV1 could be a heat-dissipating device or super-heating device, which was determined by the pressure drop across the throttling device.

Fig. 12(b) shows the effect of a downstream TTV1 on the junction temperatures of TTV1s. Compared with the upstream TTV1 followed by a regular heat-dissipating TTV1, the junction temperature of the upstream TTV1 followed by a super-heating TTV1 dropped by 8 ºC when their heat fluxes increased to 297 W/cm². Nonetheless, the junction temperature of the super-heating TTV1 increased evidently, which increased to 92 ºC. Because a few refrigerants flew into the MHSs under the high pressure-drop across the throttling device, the liquid R1234yf was vaporized more completely in MHS2 upon absorbing heat from the downstream TTV1, thus increasing the enthalpy change of R1234yf. Therefore, the upstream TTV1 followed by a super-heating TTV1 showed a lower junction temperature than that followed by a heat-dissipating TTV1. The refrigerant in the MHS2 was preheated to vaporize to some degree, unfavorably leading to the higher junction temperature of super-heating TTV1 than that of the heat-dissipating TTV1. The junction temperature of the heat-dissipating TTV1 was close to that of the upstream TTV1, but their temperatures were higher than that of the upstream TTV1 followed by a super-heating TTV1. Because enough refrigerant flew into the MHSs under the low pressure-drop across the throttling device, the phase-change rate was almost the same in the two MHSs. Therefore, the downstream TTV1 on MHS2 could function as a super-heating device while making the junction temperature of the upstream TTV1 on MHS1 at a lower value.

F. Discussion on Cooling Performance Enhancement

In this study, various effect factors were studied to improve the cooling performance of the thermal management system. However, there is still some room to improve.

- A super-heating device can also be called as a sacrificed device, which can help to improve the cooling performance of the system. The maximum cooling performance of the system will be investigated under the sacrificed device.
- In this experiment, we found that the filling amount of R1234yf affected the cooling performance of the MTMS. Either over-filled or under-filled refrigerants would cause deterioration in cooling performance. A more detailed study on the filling amount will be conducted.
- Compared with the air-cooled condenser, a liquid-cooled condenser could improve the heat transfer capability from the system to the ambient. To achieve much better cooling performance, liquid-cooled condenser will be used in the future.
- In this experiment, a throttling device with a wide range of openings was used. However, only in the last turn, did the adjustment of the opening could affect the cooling performance. In the future study, a thermal expansion valve will be used to achieve precise control of the pressure drop. The maximum operating pressure of the thermal expansion valve should be slightly larger than the highest pressure of the present system. To study the effect of the opening of the throttling device on the cooling performance, an electrically controlled valve with program control will replace the throttling device in the present system.
- Further study on the affecting factors will be performed. Microchannel structures and inlet and outlet of the MHS will be optimized via computational fluid dynamics simulation. The effect of the mass flow rate of refrigerant on the cooling performance of the system will be studied.
- Single-sided MHS with two-phase flow boiling has been proved to be an effective cooling solution for high heat-flux TTV. If double-sided cooling is adopted, much more heat can be absorbed.

With the above-mentioned improvement techniques, the cooling performance of the system can be further improved to address the more demanding heat dissipation level, such as 1000 W/cm², which can be extended to the SiC MOSFETs power module.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper, we developed a microchannel thermal management system with the two-phase flow. Single/multiple Si thermal test chips were packaged in the center cavity of a substrate. Thus, the thermal test chips in the thermal test vehicles (TTVs) could be directly attached to a microchannel heat sink (MHS) through a layer of TIM. To realize the two-phase flow in the system, low boiling-point R1234yf with environmental friendliness was selected to fill into the system. The system mainly consisted of two identical aluminum MHSs, a miniature compressor, a condenser, a throttling device, and temperature, pressure, and accessory measurement components. The cooling performance of the system with one single-chip
TTV1 was first analyzed. The experimental results showed the microchannel thermal management system can dissipate up to 526 W/cm² while maintaining the junction temperature of TTV1 below 120 °C. For SiC devices with a junction temperature, e.g., 175 °C, the current system is predicted to dissipate the heat flux as high as about 750 W/cm². Then, the effects of the rotational speed of the compressor, the opening of the throttling device, TTV layout on MHS1, and a downstream heater on MHS2 on the cooling performance of the system were analyzed sequentially. The study showed the opening of the throttling device had a great effect on cooling performance. For the multi-module system, the chip at the upstream had the best cooling performance. On the other hand, the downstream TTV on MHS2 could function as a super-heating device instead of a heat-dissipating device, making the junction temperature of upstream TTV at a low value.

REFERENCES


