Magnetic topology of Co-based inverse opal-like structures
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The magnetic and structural properties of a cobalt inverse opal-like crystal have been studied by a combination of complementary techniques ranging from polarized neutron scattering and superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometry to x-ray diffraction. Microradian small-angle x-ray diffraction shows that the inverse opal-like structure (OLS) synthesized by the electrochemical method fully duplicates the three-dimensional net of voids of the template artificial opal. The inverse OLS has a face-centered cubic (fcc) structure with a lattice constant of 640 ± 10 nm and with a clear tendency to a random hexagonal close-packed structure along the [111] axes. Wide-angle x-ray powder diffraction shows that the atomic cobalt structure is described by coexistence of 95% hexagonal close-packed and 5% fcc phases. The SQUID measurements demonstrate that the inverse OLS film possesses easy-plane magnetization geometry with a coercive field of 14.0 ± 0.5 mT at room temperature. The detailed picture of the transformation of the magnetic structure under an in-plane applied field was detected with the help of small-angle diffraction of polarized neutrons. In the demagnetized state the magnetic system consists of randomly oriented magnetic domains. A complex magnetic structure appears upon application of the magnetic field, with nonhomogeneous distribution of magnetization density within the unit element of the OLS. This distribution is determined by the combined effect of the easy-plane geometry of the film and the crystallographic geometry of the opal-like structure with respect to the applied field direction.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Photonic crystals based on artificial opals, the dielectric three-dimensional large-scale periodic structures formed by spherical submicrometer-sized colloidal particles, have attracted much attention in the past decade for their ability to manipulate light and for their promising potential applications. To enhance their interaction with light, the voids of a colloidal crystal are filled with other materials—polymers, liquids, inorganic oxides, carbon, semiconductors, superconductors, and metals. Then the original template can be removed, if necessary. This generates inverse opal-like structures (OLSs) whose size and chemical composition are tunable by varying the size of the colloids and the infiltration materials, respectively.

The applications of inverse opal structures are much wider than those involving only confinement and control of light (optical sensors, circuits, waveguides, etc.). OLSs can be additionally used as eliminators of unwanted heat from thermal emission sources, in the conventional lighting field for increasing efficiency and obtaining improved thermophotovoltaic devices, piezoelectric transducers, solar cells, phosphors, short-wavelength light emitters, gas sensors, and so on. The general interest in the study of nanoscale magnetic structures based on OLSs is related to their wide application in spintronic devices, hard disks, and high-density storage devices. Therefore, in order to design magnetic materials for specific applications, it is important to understand how macroscopic properties arise from the interplay of microscopic parameters such as nanoparticle size, interparticle exchange coupling, nanoparticle shape anisotropy, and structural anisotropy of the original template. It is well known that the magnetic properties of nanostructured materials depend strongly on the size of the particles. As was shown in Ref. 31, the coercivity increases upon decrease of particle size; it is maximal at a size of typically some tens of nanometers and decreases drastically for smaller sizes. The magnetic properties are determined not solely by particle size but also by the exchange interactions between adjacent particles. Wernsdorfer and coauthors have investigated nanostructured magnets consisting of densely packed grains. They concluded that such nanomagnets are often magnetically soft as a result of the interactions between grains, in contrast to the isolated nanoparticles, which are magnetically hard with a large coercive field.

The magnetic correlations in nanostructured Fe, Co, and Ni samples made from consolidated nanoparticles have also been studied by small-angle neutron scattering. It was shown that the smallest correlation lengths of the order of the grain size were found in Co particles, down to around 20 nm. The magnetic correlations are extended over many grains in Fe,
where they exceed 70 nm, and for nanostructured Ni, where the correlation length was equal to 150 nm. The authors concluded that the magnetic moments in domains extending over several grains are tilted out of the external magnetic field direction in intermediate fields and the magnetization process does not proceed via domain-wall motion.

The investigations described in Refs. 32–34 were performed with spatially disordered systems. One can expect some similarity in the magnetic properties between spatially ordered and disordered nanosystems. Still, the structure can impose spatial periodicity and a preferred magnetization direction along certain crystallographic directions. In this paper the investigation of the magnetic properties of cobalt inverse opal-like structures is presented. One can consider an inverse OLS as an assembly of small metallic particles duplicating the shape of the voids and connected to each other via thin (several tens of nanometers) and long (several hundreds of nanometers) crosspieces. These voids are created by polystyrene spheres typically packed in a face-centered cubic structure, wherein each sphere contacts 12 others (six in the same layer, three in the layer above, and three in the layer below). Hence, the voids of the artificial opal have quasicubic and quasitetrahedral forms with concave sides, which are connected to each other by vertices. After filling in the voids a lacy free-standing opal-like structure appears.

In our previous work35 polarized small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) was used to detect the transformation of the magnetic structure of three-dimensional Ni inverse OLSs under the applied field. Different contributions to the neutron scattering have been analyzed: the nonmagnetic one, the pure magnetic one, and the nuclear-magnetic interference. The patterns of the hysteresis loops of the magnetic field applied along two axes of the Ni OLSs: along the [121] and [111] axes. This is related to the geometrical shape of the inverse OLSs. In general, the experiment demonstrated that the three-dimensional (3D) geometrical shape of the structure led to a complex distribution of the magnetization in the sample, which is far from being simply uniform.

We have now performed a similar study of the Co inverse opal-like photonic crystal using a combination of polarized SANS and complementary techniques. The neutron scattering across sections of materials made of Ni and Co show a valuable difference from each other. For Ni the nuclear scattering amplitude $A_n$ is much larger than the magnetic scattering amplitude $A_m$. This means that the magnetic scattering is hardly distinguishable because it is superimposed on the highly intense reflections from the nuclear structure.35 Luckily, for Co the nuclear scattering amplitude $A_n$ is twice as small as the magnetic scattering amplitude $A_m$. In this case the magnetic contribution is much more intense while the nuclear contribution is very weak. This has allowed us to detect the magnetic transformations in the Co-based OLS in more detail than was possible for the Ni OLS.

The paper is organized in the following way. Sections II and III describe the synthesis and structural properties of the cobalt inverse OLS on the microscopic and atomic levels performed with use of microradian x-ray diffraction and wide-angle powder diffraction methods. The magnetic properties investigated by superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometry and polarized SANS are presented in Sec. IV. The interpretation of the results and discussion of the magnetic transformation in such spatially ordered three-dimensional systems under an applied field are presented in Sec. V. Section VI gives short concluding remarks.

II. SAMPLE

The inverse Co OLS films were prepared using a templating technique. Colloidal crystal films (template) with an area of $10 \times 20 \text{ mm}^2$ and a thickness of 10 $\mu\text{m}$ were grown on conductive substrates by the vertical deposition method from an aqueous suspension of monodisperse polystyrene microspheres with a diameter of $450 \pm 20 \text{ nm}$ at 60°C.36 Electrochemical crystallization of cobalt in the voids between the spheres was carried out in a three-electrode cell at room temperature. The counter-electrode was a Pt wire and the reference was a saturated Ag/AgCl electrode connected to the cell via a Luggin capillary. A solution of 0.2$M$ CoSO$_4$, 0.3$M$ H$_3$BO$_3$, and 3.5$M$ C$_2$H$_5$OH was used for potentiostatic Co deposition at $E_d = 0.9 \text{ V}$ versus the reference electrode. In order to obtain a free-standing Co structure on the substrate, the polystyrene microspheres were dissolved in toluene for 3 h. An example of a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the sample is shown in Fig. 1. The image shown in Fig. 1 can be clearly attributed to either the (111) plane of the fcc structure or the base plane of the random hexagonal close-packed (rhcp) structure.

Typically the vertical deposition method produces a thin OLS consisting of 10–40 layers on the substrate. The geometry of synthesis directly determines the geometry of the growing film crystal. The direction perpendicular to the substrate typically coincides with the [111] axis of the fcc structure. The vertical axis (axis of aqueous suspension drying or meniscus movement) corresponds to the [002] crystallographic axis. Thus, one is able to have a clear understanding of the orientation of the crystal already at the stage of synthesis. The question remaining unsolved is always about the quality of the crystals obtained, which can degrade at each step of the...
FIG. 2. (Color online) Schematic drawing of the diffraction experiment with the use of synchrotron radiation.

synthesis: (1) synthesis of the template, (2) synthesis of the inverse structure, and (3) solution of the template.

III. STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES

A. Microradian x-ray diffraction

Microradian x-ray diffraction experiments were performed at the DUBBLE BM26 line at the European Synchrotron radiation facility (ESRF), France (Fig. 2). We used a monochromatic x-ray beam of $\lambda = 0.097$ nm, a wavelength spread of $\Delta \lambda / \lambda = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, and size at the sample of $0.5 \times 0.5$ mm$^2$. Scattering was registered at 8 m distance by a two-dimensional CCD detector (Potonic Science, 4008 pixels of 22 $\times$ 22 $\mu$m$^2$). The angular resolution of the setup was reduced to 10 $\mu$rad due to the system of focusing beryllium compound refractive lenses installed just before the sample. Because of this resolution combined with the high brilliance of the synchrotron source, one is able to study large-scale periodic structures with period up to 5 $\mu$m. The typical exposure time was 1 s. The sample was rotated around the [202] crystallographic axis in the range of $\omega \in [-65^\circ - +65^\circ]$, where $\omega = 0$ corresponds to the geometry of the film surface perpendicular to the beam axis (Fig. 2). The results of the experiment with the inverse Co OLS are shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

Figures 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d) show the diffraction patterns for the reciprocal lattice of the fcc structure cut by the [111], [202], [220], and [111] planes, respectively. Most of the Bragg peaks correspond to the fcc structure (indexed reflections) with the lattice constant of 640 $\pm$ 10 nm. Additional reflections can arise due to the stacking faults of the A, B, and C close-packed hexagonal layers along the growth axis of the template (the [111] direction of the fcc structure).

Figure 4 demonstrates the $\omega$ dependence of integral intensity for the reflections [111], [202], [220], and [111]. The presence of the symmetrical maxima at $\omega = \pm 19^\circ$ and $\pm 55^\circ$ gives evidence for the coexistence of the twinning planes. The $\omega$ scans for all reflections, shown in Fig. 4, have full widths at half Maximum of order which implies strong orientational disorder within the crystal. Moreover, for the [111] reflection an additional contribution to the rocking curve width can originate from the stacking disorder present between the close-packed (111) planes.

In conclusion, these observations confirm that the electrochemical method of synthesis indeed allows one to duplicate the structure of artificial opals and to obtain the inverse OLS ordering in twin fcc structures with the lattice constant of 640 $\pm$ 10 nm but also with a clear tendency to the random hexagonal close-packed structure along the [111] axes.

B. Wide-angle powder diffraction

The wide-angle powder x-ray diffraction experiment was performed at the MAR diffractometer at the BM01A beamline (SNBL at the ESRF) with wavelength $\lambda = 0.0717$ nm. The scattering pattern from the inverse Co OLS gives an example of typical powder diffraction, i.e., a system of concentric rings of equal intensity along a ring (Fig. 5, inset). The positions of reflections are well described by a superposition of the hcp and fcc atomic structures (Fig. 5). The content of these phases is 5% fcc and 95% hcp with lattice constants $a_0 = 3.55$ Å for the fcc structure and $a_0 = 2.51$ Å, $c_0 = 4.07$ Å.
FIG. 5. X-ray powder diffractogram for the inverse Co OLS sample. The black arrows indicate the positions of fcc reflections, the open arrows the positions of hcp reflections. The inset shows the powder diffraction pattern, i.e., the system of concentric rings.

for the hcp structure. It is well known that bulk Co exists in two ferromagnetic thermodynamically stable phases, at ambient pressure, possessing different magnetic properties: the hcp phase or $\alpha$-Co below 388°C, and the fcc phase or $\beta$-Co above 450°C. The coexistence of hcp and fcc phases at room temperature can be ascribed to the electrochemical synthesis of the OLS structure, which can lead to the mixture of phases during the formation of nanoparticles.

IV. MAGNETIC PROPERTIES

A. SQUID measurements

The magnetic measurements were carried out on the MPMS-5S SQUID magnetometer. The magnetic field was applied either in the film plane of the inverse OLS sample (in plane) $\omega = 0^\circ$, i.e., direction $\mathbf{H} \perp [111]$, or inclined to the film plane (out of plane) at the angle $\omega \neq 0^\circ$. Figure 6 shows the hysteresis loops of the magnetization for the inverse Co OLS measured at 300 K and taken at different orientations of the magnetic field with respect to the film plane. The magnetization is more or less fully saturated for the applied magnetic field $\mathbf{H} = 1$ T. The inset in Fig. 6 shows that the coercive field $H_C$ has a maximum at $\omega = 60^\circ$. In contrast, the remanent magnetization $M_R$ monotonically decreases with increase of the inclination angle $\omega$. The maximal value of $M_R$ at the angle $\omega = 0^\circ$ reaches 46% of the saturated magnetization $M_s$.

This is related to the anisotropic shape of the sample. Indeed, the thickness of the metallic layer (10 $\mu$m) is 10$^3$ times smaller than its length or width (10 $\times$ 20 mm$^2$). As a result, the film plane is the easy plane for magnetization with the hard axis perpendicular to it. It should be noticed that the measurements did not show a noticeable difference in the hysteresis loops of magnetization for the field applied in different directions within the sample plane.

B. Polarized SANS technique

Polarized SANS measurements were carried out with the instrument SANS-2 at the Geesthacht Neutron Facility (GeNF), Germany. A schematic drawing of the setup is shown in Fig. 7. The instrument is equipped with a polarizer and spin flipper to provide the possibility of studying the polarization-dependent neutron cross section. A polarized beam of neutrons with an initial polarization $P_0 = 0.95$, a neutron wavelength $\lambda = 1.2$ nm, a bandwidth $\Delta \lambda/\lambda = 0.1$, a divergence $\eta = 0.5$ mrad, and a size of $8 \times 8$ mm$^2$ was exploited. The scattered neutrons were detected by a position-sensitive detector with resolution of 256 $\times$ 256 pixels, each of $2.2 \times 2.2$ mm$^2$ size. The detector-sample distance was set at its maximum of 21.5 m that the $q$ range was covered from 0.005 to 0.07 nm$^{-1}$ with a step of 0.0005 nm$^{-1}$. An external magnetic field was applied perpendicular to the incident beam and ranged from $-200$ to $+200$ mT. The polarization followed the direction of the magnetic field, $\mathbf{P}_0 \parallel \mathbf{H}$. The data were taken at room temperature after the remagnetization process, i.e., after application of the opposite magnetic field of 200 mT. The typical counting time was of the order of 2 h.

The total cross section of polarized neutrons for a magnetic structure with a large period can be split into three contributions: $\Sigma_{tot} = \Sigma_N + \Sigma_M + \Sigma_I$, where $\Sigma_N$ and $\Sigma_M$ are the nuclear and magnetic scattering cross sections, respectively, which are independent of the neutron polarization $\mathbf{P}_0$, whereas $\Sigma_I$ describes the nuclear-magnetic interference and is proportional to $\mathbf{P}_0$. Each contribution for the magnetized sample

FIG. 6. (Color online) Hysteresis loops for the inverse Co OLS taken at different orientations of the magnetic field with respect to the film plane: the inclination angle $\phi = 0^\circ, 30^\circ, 60^\circ, 90^\circ$.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Schematic drawing of the polarized neutron diffraction experiment.
is proportional to the product of the square of the structure factor \( S(Q) \)—as a result of scattering from the structure—and the square of the form factor \( F(Q) \)—as a result of scattering from the particle that is the base element of this structure. The form factor of the elements building an inverted structure is identical to the form factor of a spherical particle, and it can be written as follows: \(^{42}\)

\[
F(Q) = \frac{\sin(QR) - QR \cos(QR)}{(QR)^3},
\]

where \( R \) is the radius of the cavities in the inverse artificial opal.

In the simplest case of a fully magnetized sample we have for the three contributions

\[
\Sigma_N = |A_n S(Q) F(Q)|^2, \quad \Sigma_M = |A_m m_{zQ} S(Q) F(Q)|^2, \quad \Sigma_I = 2|P_b(m)_{zQ}| A_n A_m |S(Q) F(Q)|^2,
\]

where \( m \) is the unit vector of the magnetization \( M \), and \( m_{zQ} = m - (Q m) Q / Q^2 \). The nuclear and magnetic contributions are determined by the amplitudes \( A_n = b_{Co} N_0 \) and \( A_m = p_{Co} N_0 \), respectively. For the given case \( b_{Co} = 0.25 \times 10^{-12} \) cm is the coherent scattering length of Co nuclei, \( p_{Co} = 0.47 \times 10^{-12} \) cm is the coherent magnetic scattering length of the Co atom in the small-angle range, and \( N_0 \) is the atom density.

The neutron scattering intensities \( I(Q, +P_0) \) and \( I(Q, -P_0) \) were measured in the experiments with a neutron beam with polarization parallel and antiparallel to the external magnetic field, respectively. The polarization-independent part of the scattering represents the sum of the nuclear and magnetic scattering.

\[
I(Q) = [I(Q, +P_0) + I(Q, -P_0)] / 2 \sim I_0 V_0 (\Sigma_N + \Sigma_M),
\]

where \( I_0 \) is the incident beam intensity and \( V_0 \) is the sample volume. The pure magnetic coherent part is proportional to the difference between the magnetic cross sections of the sample in two principally different states: partially magnetized in the finite field \( H \) and fully demagnetized at \( H \sim H_c \), where \( H_c \) is the coercive force:

\[
I_M(Q) = I(Q, m(H)) - I(Q, m(H_c)) \sim I_0 V_0 \Sigma_M. \quad (6)
\]

The polarization-dependent part of the scattering is determined as

\[
\Delta I(Q) = [I(Q, +P_0) - I(Q, -P_0)] / 2 \sim I_0 V_0 \Sigma_I. \quad (7)
\]

It is attributed to the magnetic- and nuclear-interference, indicating the correlation between the magnetic and nuclear structures. Both \( I_M(Q) \) and \( \Delta I(Q) \) are completely free of the nuclear contribution. In Eqs. (5)–(7) we neglect the occurrence of the small-angle diffuse scattering caused by structure imperfections and concentrate on the Bragg reflections stemming from the scattering on the large-scale structure.

C. Polarized SANS data

The neutron diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. 8. The observed reflections correspond to neutron scattering on the \{202\} planes, that is in good agreement with the microradian x-ray diffraction data [Fig. 3(a)]. We investigated the influence of the structural anisotropy on the behavior of the magnetization vector in the reversal magnetization process: the external magnetic field was applied in two different geometries: geometry I, when \( H \) is along the [121] axis [Fig. 8(a)] and geometry II, when \( H \) is along the [110] axis [Fig. 8(b)]. In order to appropriately estimate the magnetic contribution \( I_m(Q) \) and interference contribution \( \Delta I(Q) \), one has to analyze these intensities as a function of the azimuth angle \( \alpha \), where \( \alpha \) is the angle between the direction of the scattering vector \( Q \) and the field \( H \parallel P_0 \) direction, which points along the horizontal in the detector plane (Fig. 7).

FIG. 8. Neutron scattering map for inverse Co OLS sample for two different geometries with (a) \( H \parallel [121] \) (geometry I) and (b) \( H \parallel [110] \) (geometry II) at \( H = 200 \) mT.
The 20\bar{2} reflections for the external magnetic field and the lines in (b) and (d) represent schematically the applied along the [\bar{1}2\bar{1}] axis (a), (b) and along the [\bar{1}10] axis (c), (d). The intensity was averaged over the [202] axes. The intensity was averaged over the angle range of \Delta \alpha = 10^\circ. Figure 10 shows an example of the Q dependence of the neutron scattering intensity (a) for the polarization-independent part I(Q) [Eq. (5)], (b) for the magnetic-field-dependent part I_M(Q) [Eq. (6)], and (c) for the polarization-dependent part \Delta I(Q) [Eq. (7)] at \( H = 200 \text{ mT} \) and \( \alpha = 90^\circ \) taken for geometry I. The position of the reflection in Q space corresponds very well to the 20\bar{2} Bragg reflection of the fcc structure with \( a_0 = 640 \pm 10 \text{ nm} \), which is in good agreement with electron microscopy and microradian x-ray diffraction data.

The polarization-independent intensity I(Q) [Fig. 10(a)] contains four contributions: coherent nuclear and magnetic contributions, as well as incoherent (diffuse) nuclear and magnetic ones. The coherent contributions give rise to the Bragg reflection at \( Q = 0.024 \text{ nm}^{-1} \). The incoherent contributions give rise to the intensity at very small values of the momentum transfer \( Q \ll 0.018 \text{ nm}^{-1} \).

Figure 10(b) shows the magnetic scattering \( I_M(Q) \) obtained using Eq. (6). In Eq. (6) the component \( I(Q,m(H)) \) gives the coherent magnetic scattering at \( H = 200 \text{ mT} \). It can be described in the fit by a sum of Gaussians for every Bragg peak with the positions corresponding to the fcc structure. In Eq. (6) the component \( I(Q,m(H)) \) of the cross section at \( H \approx H_c \) is the diffuse scattering from the domain structure and is described by the squared Lorentzian \( I(Q) = A D / (Q^2 + \kappa^2)^2 \), where \( \kappa \) is the inverse correlation length, which does not depend on the magnetic field and is equal to 0.016 nm\(^{-1} \).

Thus, the magnetic scattering \( I_M(Q) \) is free of the nuclear contributions and consists of the positive contribution to the magnetic Bragg reflections and the negative contribution to the interference term is proportional to \( (P_0(\mathbf{m}) \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \). For the fully magnetized sample both contributions should follow a \( \sin^2 \alpha \) dependence. This is fulfilled for geometry II [Fig. 9(d) (solid curve)], but is clearly not the case for geometry I [Fig. 9(b)]. For the latter case the magnetic intensity is \( \alpha \) independent [Fig. 9(a)] while the simple \( |\sin \alpha| \) dependence plotted in Fig. 9(b) gives a better description than the theoretically predicted dependence. The ratio of interferences and the total intensities can be estimated for the fully magnetized sample at \( \alpha = 90^\circ \):

\[
\frac{\sum_I}{\sum_N + \sum_M} = 2 P_0 \frac{p_{Co} b_{Co}}{p_{Co} + b_{Co}} \approx 0.8 P_0.
\]

For geometry I the observed ratio \( \Delta I / (I - I_{bg}) \approx 0.5 \) is somewhat lower than we estimated using Eq. (8). This also suggests that the sample is not fully magnetized. As will be shown below, the system under study can be well described by the model, when different parts of the regular structure show different degrees of magnetic ordering with the magnetization pointing in different directions.

### D. Polarized SANS: Q dependence

Our preliminary analysis in Sec. IV C shows that the polarization-dependent part of the scattering \( \Delta I(Q) \) follows the \( \sin^2 \alpha \) dependence for geometry II and \( |\sin \alpha| \) dependence for geometry I. In other words, the sample is not completely magnetized for geometry I and, probably, better magnetized for geometry II for the same value of the magnetic field of 200 mT. For a quantitative analysis the data were plotted as a function of the momentum transfer \( Q \) for certain \( Q \) directions along the [202] axes. The intensity was averaged over the angle range of \( \Delta \alpha = 10^\circ \).

FIG. 9. (Color online) \( \alpha \) dependence of the polarization-independent intensity I and polarization-dependent intensity \( \Delta I \) for the 20\bar{2} reflections for the external magnetic field \( H = 200 \text{ mT} \) applied along the [121] axis (a), (b) and along the [110] axis (c), (d). The lines in (b) and (d) represent schematically the \( |\sin \alpha| \) dependence and \( |\sin \alpha|^2 \) dependence, respectively.
FIG. 10. (Color online) Momentum transfer dependence of (a) the total intensity of the neutron scattering, \(I(Q)\), (b) the magnetic-field-dependent intensity \(I_m(Q)\), and (c) the interference intensity \(\Delta I(Q)\) at \(H = 200\) mT.

FIG. 11. (Color online) Field dependence of the ratios of interference contribution \(C_{\text{INT}}/C_N\) (a), magnetic contribution \(C_M/C_N\) (b), and magnetic diffuse contribution \(A_D\) (c), normalized to the nuclear contribution, for the 20\(\bar{2}\)-type reflections (\(\alpha = 90^\circ\)) for geometry I.

magnetic diffuse scattering coming from the magnetic large-scale domains and inhomogeneities. The solid line in Fig. 10(b) is the result of the fit, which gives the amplitude of the Bragg reflection \(C_M\) and the amplitude of the diffuse scattering \(A_D\). The obtained parameters are shown in Figs. 11(b), 11(c), 12(b), 12(c), 13(b), and 13(c) as a function of the external magnetic field for different type of reflections in different geometries.

The graph in Fig. 10(c) gives the interference contribution to the reflection at the same \(Q\) position as for the magnetic and nuclear scatterings. This shows that the nuclear structure is well correlated with the magnetic one in those parts of the OLS which are more or less magnetized. Although the graphs in Figs. 10(b) and 10(c) look quite similar, it is shown below that the purely magnetic and interference contributions give different and complementary information about the magnetic structure. The solid line in Fig. 10(c) is the result of the fit which gives the amplitude of the interference term \(C_{\text{INT}}\) at \(Q = 0.024\) nm\(^{-1}\).

All reflections of 202 type visible in Fig. 8(a) (geometry I) can be divided into subgroups: reflections 20\(\bar{2}\) and 20\(\bar{2}\) with the angle of 90° between \(Q\) and \(H\); and reflections 02\(\bar{2}\), 0\(\bar{2}\)2, 220, and 220 with the angle of 30° between \(Q\) and \(H\). To improve the statistics, the intensities of these magnetic reflections were averaged over the subgroup. A similar action was taken for geometry II [Fig. 8(b)]. The further analysis will be done in terms of the parameters obtained from the fit:
FIG. 12. (Color online) Field dependence of the ratios of interference contribution $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$ (a), magnetic contribution $C_M/C_N$ (b), and magnetic diffuse contribution $A_D$ (c), normalized to the nuclear contribution, for the 02¯2-type reflections ($\alpha = 30^\circ$) for geometry I.

FIG. 13. (Color online) Field dependence of the ratios of interference contribution $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$ (a), magnetic contribution $C_M/C_N$ (b), and magnetic diffuse contribution $A_D$ (c), normalized to the nuclear contribution, for the 02¯2-type reflections ($\alpha = 60^\circ$) for geometry II.

$C_M$ for the intensity of the magnetic Bragg reflection, $C_{\text{INT}}$ for the intensity of the interference reflection, and $A_D$ for the intensity of the diffuse scattering. The normalized parameters are plotted as a function of the field for the Bragg 202 and 022 reflections for geometry I (Figs. 11 and 12, respectively) and Bragg reflection 022 for geometry II (Fig. 13). In order to control the value for the magnetization on an absolute scale, the parameters obtained in the fit were normalized to the intensity $C_N$ of the nuclear Bragg reflection from the OLS.

E. Polarized SANS: Field dependence

As described in the theory [see Eqs. (6) and (7)] and realized in experiment [see Figs. 9(b) and 9(d)], the interference and magnetic contributions equal 0 at $\alpha = 0^\circ, 180^\circ$ in the saturating magnetic field $(\langle m \rangle_{Q})$. This means that the diffraction peak at $\alpha = 0^\circ, 180^\circ$ has only the nuclear contribution to the neutron scattering and its intensity can be used as the value for the normalization $C_N$. One estimates that for the ideal case of a fully magnetized sample the ratio $(C_M/C_N)$ cannot exceed the value $(p_{\text{Co}}/b_{\text{Co}})^2 = 3.53$ and the ratio $(C_{\text{INT}}/C_N)$ cannot be higher than the value of $2P_0(p_{\text{Co}}/b_{\text{Co}}) = 3.76P_0 \approx 3.57$. Thus, if the system is homogeneously magnetized we should have the following ratio between the peak intensities of both the pure magnetic and the interference contributions: $I(\alpha = 90^\circ):I(\alpha = 60^\circ):I(\alpha = 30^\circ):I(\alpha = 0^\circ) = 1:0.75:0.25:0$.

The field dependence of the interference contribution $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$, the magnetic contribution $C_M/C_N$, and the magnetic diffuse contribution $A_D$ for the 202 reflection for
geometry I ($\alpha = 90^\circ$) is shown in Fig. 11. The interference contribution $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$ is proportional to the average magnetization projected onto the field axis ($\mathbf{mH}$) [see Eq. (4) for details]. It is clear from Fig. 11(a) that the value of $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$ tends to saturate in magnetic fields of $H > 30$ mT and exhibits a magnetic hysteresis with the coercive force $H_c = 17 \pm 3$ mT that corresponds to the demagnetized state of the sample. This hysteresis curve is analogous to that taken by SQUID for the sample in this geometry I (Fig. 6). However, one can see that the shapes of these two curves are different: the squareness of the hysteresis loops $M_R/M_S$ for the SQUID curve is 0.36, while it is equal to 0.66 with respect to the possible maximum of $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N = 3.57$ for the 202 reflection [Fig. 11(a)]. The values of the coercive force $H_c$ are also different: 12 mT for SQUID and 17 mT for $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$. It is interesting to note that the magnetic contribution $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$ is 75% saturated in a field of 200 mT, similarly to the SQUID magnetization. The observed differences reflect the fact that SQUID gives the value of the magnetization integrated over the whole $Q$ space, while the method of polarized neutron diffraction gives a differentiated value at a well-defined point of the $Q$ space.

The magnetic contribution $C_M/C_N$ demonstrates a hysteresis behavior with a clearly established minimum at $H_c$, pointing out the demagnetized state of the OLS structure [Fig. 11(b)]. Both the hysteresis and the minimum in the magnetic coherent scattering $C_M/C_N$ are well correlated with the hysteresis and maximum of the diffuse contribution $A_D$ [Fig. 11(c)]. This gives additional credibility to the model used in the treatment of the data and described above. Indeed, the maximum of the diffuse scattering corresponds to the appearance of magnetic domains, while the minimum of the magnetic diffraction peak corresponds to the decay of the coherent magnetic structure. These two processes should obviously be well correlated. Since the magnetic structure is destroyed at $H_c$, there is no interference between magnetic and nuclear structures at this value of the magnetic field. The magnetic behavior of the three parameters ($C_{\text{INT}}, C_M, A_D$) is self-consistent. Additionally, the SQUID magnetization curve is 75% saturated in a field of 200 mT, while for the $C_M/C_N$ curve it seems to exceed 90% of its maximal value of 3.53.

Both the comparison to the SQUID measurements and the comparison to the expected values of the normalized neutron cross sections lead to the conclusion that the [202] planes and the magnetic structure as a whole are not homogeneously magnetized. In other words, the direction of the magnetization in this sample strongly deviates from the direction of the external magnetic field but these deviations are regular in space and determined by the symmetry axes of the OLS.

The same distribution of the magnetization in the sample for geometry I gives the scattering to the 022 Bragg reflection. However, both the field-dependent behavior of the scattered intensities and the values achieved at the maximal field of 200 mT are different. Figure 12 shows the field dependence of the ratios $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$, $C_M/C_N$, and $A_D$ for the 022-type reflections for geometry I ($\alpha = 30^\circ$). The interference contribution $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$ [Fig. 12(a)] tends to saturate in magnetic fields of $H > 50$ mT, although it exhibits a magnetic hysteresis over the whole range of measured fields up to its highest value of 200 mT. The coercive field $H_c = 15 \pm 3$ mT determines a fully demagnetized state. The interference curve ($C_{\text{INT}}/C_N \approx 1.5$) seems to be saturated and is twice as big as the possible maximum of $(C_{\text{INT}}/C_N) \sin^2 \alpha = 3.57/4 = 0.88$ for the 022 reflection [Fig. 12(a)].

The magnetic contribution $C_M/C_N$ also shows a hysteretic behavior [Fig. 12(b)] but a different type than for the 202 reflection [Fig. 11(b)]. The minimum intensity is observed in the whole range $H < H_c$ indicating the demagnetized state of the OLS. The intensity goes up as the magnetic field increases, showing no saturation up to the field of 200 mT. This is also correlated with the intensity of the diffuse scattering $A_D$ [Fig. 12(c)]. The diffuse intensity is maximal at $H = 0$ and decreases with increasing external magnetic field in order to disappear at $H \geq 200$ mT. The saturation is hardly observed in $C_M/C_N$ and $A_D$ for 022 reflections ($\alpha = 30^\circ$). This means that magnetic structure contributions to the 022 reflections are not magnetized up to the maximal field (200 mT).

A similar analysis of the data was conducted for geometry II (Fig. 8(b)). Figure 13 shows the field dependence of the ratios $C_{\text{INT}}/C_S$, $C_M/C_N$, and $A_D$ for the 022-type reflections for geometry II ($\alpha = 60^\circ$). The interference contribution $C_{\text{INT}}/C_N$ [Fig. 13(a)] is saturated in magnetic fields of $H > 30$ mT upon increase of the magnetic field and remains saturated upon its decrease down to $H = 0$. The coercive field $H_c = 16 \pm 3$ mT and the squareness of the hysteresis loops is equal to 0.75 with respect to the possible maximum of $(C_{\text{INT}}/C_N) \sin^2 \alpha = 3.57 \times (3/4) = 2.75$ for the Bragg reflections in geometry II. The magnetic contribution $C_M/C_N$ also shows a hysteretic behavior [Fig. 13(b)] correlated to the intensity of the diffuse scattering $A_D$ [Fig. 13(c)]. The saturation is clearly observed for $C_M/C_N$ above $H = 30$ mT. This means that magnetic structure contributions to the Bragg reflections are magnetized up to the maximal field (200 mT). The diffuse intensity is found maximal at $H \approx 10$ mT and decreases smoothly with increasing external magnetic field.

The magnetic and interference contributions do not appear for the reflection (220) in geometry II, i.e., when the field is applied parallel to the scattering vector $Q_{(220)}$. This is expected because both for magnetized and nonmagnetized samples these contributions must be absent. In general, the intensity of the magnetic reflections shows the complexity of the local magnetic structure caused by the anisotropy of the inverse OLS.

V. INTERPRETATION

To interpret the obtained results one should make two important assumptions.

(i) The sample of OLS demonstrates clearly easy-plane anisotropy (111) caused by the very fact that the sample is a film with a thickness of the order of 10 μm and an area of the order of 200 mm². This is confirmed by the SQUID measurements, where the in-plane applied field magnetizes the sample much more easily than the out-of-plane magnetic field (Fig. 6).

(ii) The inverse Co OLS dictates the structural anisotropy and imposes well-defined limitations on the distribution of the magnetization over the sample. The crystallographic structure of the inverse OLS is built from a unit element consisting of three parts: a quasitetrahedron, a quasicube, and another quasitetrahedron. They are connected by vertices along the
four [111]-type axes (Fig. 14). For an OLS with a period of 640 nm one can estimate that the central part has a "body" with a side \( a \approx 174 \text{ nm} \) and legs (eight for a cube and four for a tetrahedron) with a length of \( l \approx 87 \text{ nm} \). The tetrahedra are constituted by the [111] planes with their edges coinciding with [110], [011], and [101] axes. The cubic axes have the same orientation as the basis of the colloidal crystal template \((a^*, b^*, c^*)\). A schematic presentation of a layer of an inverse opal-like structure is shown in Fig. 15.

![Figure 14](image14.png)

**FIG. 14.** Unit element of the magnetic structure of the inverse OLS with two different orientations of the in-plane applied field: \( H \parallel [121] \) (a) and \( H \parallel [\overline{1}10] \) (b). The reflecting planes (02¯2) and (022) are shown on the picture by the shaded planes.

![Figure 15](image15.png)

**FIG. 15.** (Color online) Schematic presentation of a layer of the inverse opal-like structure: top view (a) and side view (b).

---

### A. Model for magnetization

We assume that the local magnetization is greatly influenced by the shape anisotropy of the structural units forming the structure. In particular, since at low and intermediate applied fields the magnetic field lines must be enclosed inside the ferromagnetic material of the OLS, the magnetization can only be directed along the pieces of magnetic material (legs) connecting the bodies. The magnetization passes through the unit element of the inverse OLS via the legs connecting quasitetrahedra and quasicubes. These leg connections constitute the easy axes for the magnetization inside the OLS sample, which are the four [111]-type axes. In reality, we should consider in the magnetization process with an in-plane applied field the three easy-magnetization axes only: [111], [111], and [\overline{1}11]. Note that the [111] axis is the hard axis for the macroscopically averaged sample magnetization for the film geometry of the sample.

Indeed, with the in-plane applied field, the legs connecting the samples in the [111] direction, perpendicular to the film plane, do not transmit any magnetization and, therefore, have random magnetization directions. Thus, on average they serve as "magnetically dead" elements. The sample, therefore, can be divided into a number of individual magnetic layers in the [111] direction, which are magnetized independently of each other. A schematic representative of the individual layer is shown in Figs. 15(a) (top view) and 15(b) (side view).

The layer decoupling gives the possibility of modeling in a simple way the magnetization process for an in-plane applied field. As shown in Fig. 15(b), the quasitetrahedra dislocate into two levels, the upper level with one leg pointing up and the lower level with one leg pointing down. These legs pointing up and down are parallel to the [111] axis of the OLS and as was mentioned above they can be considered as magnetically dead connections. The other quasitetrahedra realize links between the quasicubes via the other six vertices: three of them on the upper level and the other three on the lower level. For geometry I the distribution of magnetization inside the legs can be followed in Fig. 15. It is seen that one type of leg is almost parallel to the field, for example, in the [111] direction, while the other types of legs (with [\overline{1}11] and [1\overline{1}1] directions) are inclined at a large angle to the field axis. This leads to obvious consequences. The first type of leg connection is easily magnetized since the magnetic lines in them are almost parallel to the field. The second type is relatively difficult to magnetize and the magnetization in these legs deviates strongly from the field axis. For geometry II the legs with [\overline{1}11] and [111] directions are inclined at a sharp angle to the field, while the other two types of leg are perpendicular to the field axis. This leads again to obvious consequences. The first type of leg connection is magnetized while the second type is not magnetized at all.

### B. Model for scattered intensities

The neutron scattering cross section from an inverse Co OLS magnetized structure can be easily calculated from Eqs. (6) and (3) for the pure magnetic part and Eqs. (7) and (4) for the interference part on the assumption that the magnetization of different parts of the structural unit element can only be...
along the three low-index crystallographic directions (of the [001], [110], and [111] types). For geometry I, the distribution of magnetization inside the unit element is shown in Fig. 14(b) and can be written for the 20¯2 Bragg reflection as

\[
I_{\mathcal{M}}(20\bar{2}) \sim V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 + V_{[101]}m_{[101]}^2 + V_{[001]}m_{[001]}^2
+ V_{[100]}m_{[100]}^2 \cos 30^\circ + V_{[110]}m_{[110]}^2 \cos 30^\circ
+ V_{[010]}m_{[010]}^2 \cos 45^\circ + V_{[001]}m_{[001]}^2 \cos 45^\circ
+ V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 \cos 55^\circ + V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 \cos 55^\circ.
\]

(9)

and for the 02¯2 Bragg reflection peak as

\[
I_{\mathcal{M}}(02\bar{2}) \sim V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 + V_{[001]}m_{[001]}^2
+ V_{[100]}m_{[100]}^2 \cos 30^\circ + V_{[101]}m_{[101]}^2 \cos 30^\circ
+ V_{[010]}m_{[010]}^2 \cos 45^\circ + V_{[001]}m_{[001]}^2 \cos 45^\circ
+ V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 \cos 55^\circ + V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 \cos 55^\circ.
\]

(10)

Here and below \(V_{[hkl]}\) is the volume of the scattering element magnetized in the direction [hk].

One can simplify Eqs. (9) and (10) by taking into account first that the [100]- and [110]-type directions are not supported by the form factor of the unit element of the OLS structure. Therefore the model of the unit element magnetization which will be used here for interpretation of experimental data is based on the preferential distribution of magnetization along three selected crystallographic axes [111], [111], and [111]. Equations (9) and (10) are rewritten in this case as

\[
I_{\mathcal{M}}(20\bar{2}) \sim V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 + V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 \cos 55^\circ
+ V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 \cos 55^\circ, \quad (11)
\]

and

\[
I_{\mathcal{M}}(02\bar{2}) \sim V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 + V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 \cos 55^\circ
+ V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 \cos 55^\circ. \quad (12)
\]

A similar estimation of the interference intensity of the 202 and 022 reflections leads to the equations

\[
\Delta I(20\bar{2}) \sim m_{[111]} \cos 19^\circ + m_{[111]} \cos 55^\circ \cos 35^\circ
+m_{[111]} \cos 55^\circ \cos 35^\circ. \quad (13)
\]

and

\[
\Delta I(02\bar{2}) \sim m_{[111]} m_{[111]} \cos 70^\circ + V_{[111]}m_{[111]} \cos 55^\circ \cos 66^\circ
+ V_{[111]}m_{[111]} \cos 55^\circ \cos 66^\circ. \quad (14)
\]

Furthermore, accounting for the fact that the [111] legs are easily magnetized in geometry I and the other two legs along [111] and [111] are relatively difficult to magnetize, the hysteresis loop of the pure magnetic intensity of the 202 reflection [Fig. 11(a)] can be related to the magnetization of the legs parallel to the [111] axis. The small contributions coming from the other legs are noticeable at relatively large fields when the other two legs along [111] and [111] become magnetized. In turn, the hysteresis loop of the magnetic intensity of the 022 reflection [Fig. 12(a)] can be related mostly to the magnetization of the legs parallel to the [111] axis. The dominating contribution is imposed on the contribution of the legs parallel to the [111] axis. It is especially well seen in the range of small fields, where the legs along the [111] axis are not magnetized but the legs along the [111] axis show a high level of magnetization.

For geometry II, the distribution of magnetization inside the legs is shown in Fig. 14(c). As was considered for geometry I, the legs parallel to [111] are magnetically dead because this is the hard axis for the film and perpendicular to the applied field. In addition, one more type of leg along the [111] axis is perpendicular to the field as well, which allows one to consider them also as magnetically dead parts. The other two types of leg along the [111] and [111] axes are inclined at the angle of 35° to the field axis. This geometrical disposition leads to the following consequences.

(i) As in geometry I, the OLS film can be divided into individual layers because of the hard [111] axis.

(ii) Each individual layer can be divided into strips along the [11] (along the field) axis because of the second hard [111] axis.

(iii) The other two types of leg connection along the [111] and [111] axes are rather easily magnetized due to the relatively small angles between the field axis and the leg axes. As a result, one obtains after the simplifications discussed above for the pure magnetic intensity

\[
I_{\mathcal{M}}(02\bar{2}) \sim V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 + V_{[111]}m_{[111]}^2 \cos 55^\circ \quad (15)
\]

and for the interference contribution

\[
\Delta I(02\bar{2}) \sim V_{[111]}m_{[111]} \cos 55^\circ + V_{[111]}m_{[111]} \cos 55^\circ \cos 45^\circ. \quad (16)
\]

Thus, the hysteresis loop of the pure magnetic and interference intensities of the 022 reflection [Figs. 13(a) and 13(b)] can be related to the magnetization of the legs parallel to the [111] and [111] axes.

The estimation of the magnetic and interference intensities of the 220 reflection in geometry II [Fig. 8(b)] shows that it must be zero because the value of the magnetization (perpendicular to \(Q\) and averaged over the unit element) vanishes: \(m_{\perp} = 0\).
magnetic contribution and for the interference contribution. Thus, one comes to the expressions given above.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

(1) Ultrasmall-angle diffraction of the synchrotron radiation is shown to be a much more effective tool for studying the structure of opal-like structures due to its high brilliance and high resolution. Polarized neutron diffraction remains irreplaceable in the study of magnetic structures.

(2) The ultrasmall-angle diffraction of the synchrotron radiation allowed us to establish the crystallographic structure of the inverse opal-like structure, which is found to be close to face-centered cubic with the lattice constant of 640 nm.

(3) The polarized small-angle neutron diffraction demonstrated that the 3D geometrical shape of the structure leads to a complex distribution of the magnetization in the sample, which is far from being simply uniform. We determined the profile of the magnetization within the net structure of the OLS.

(4) The sample of OLS demonstrates clearly the easy-plane (111) anisotropy caused by the fact that the sample is a film. The inverse Co OLS dictates the structural anisotropy, as well, and in the magnetization process with an in-plane applied field we should consider three easy magnetization axes only: [111], [111], and [111]. The sample, therefore, is divided into a number of individual magnetic layers in the [111] direction, which are magnetized independently of each other. In geometry II, additionally, each individual layer is divided into strips along the [110] axis (which is the same as the field axis) because of the hard [111] axis.
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