Diffusion of monochromatic classical waves

Sijmen Gerritsen and Gerrit E. W. Bauer
Kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology, Lorentzweg 1, 2628CJ Delft, The Netherlands
(Received 27 July 2005; published 26 January 2006)

We study the diffusion of monochromatic classical waves in a disordered acoustic medium by scattering theory. In order to avoid artifacts associated with mathematical point scatterers, we model the randomness by small but finite insertions. We derive expressions for the configuration-averaged energy flux, energy density, and intensity for one-, two-, and three-dimensional (3D) systems with an embedded monochromatic source using the ladder approximation to the Bethe-Salpeter equation. We study the transition from ballistic to diffusive wave propagation and obtain results for the frequency dependence of the medium properties such as mean free path and diffusion coefficient as a function of the scattering parameters. We discover characteristic differences of the diffusion in 2D as compared to the conventional 3D case, such as an explicit dependence of the energy flux on the mean free path and quite different expressions for the effective transport velocity.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.73.016618

PACS number(s): 42.25.Dd, 05.60.–k, 43.35.+d

I. INTRODUCTION

The ongoing interest in the field of classical waves in complex media is caused by the importance of detection and imaging techniques based on wave propagation and scattering. This ranges from electromagnetic waves in optical and near infrared tomography [1] and microwave radars [2] to acoustic waves in ultrasonics [3] and geophysics [4]. Complexity is often associated with inhomogeneities that cause scattering which considerably complicates most imaging processes.

We study the diffusion of monochromatic classical waves in a disordered medium at sufficiently large distances from the bounded by a source and detected by a receiver, both embedded in scalar waves in a disordered bulk material. A signal is emitted for the determination of the scattering properties of the medium at both small but finite insertions. We derive expressions for the configuration-averaged energy flux, energy density, and intensity for one-, two-, and three-dimensional (3D) systems with an embedded monochromatic source using the ladder approximation to the Bethe-Salpeter equation. We study the transition from ballistic to diffusive wave propagation and obtain results for the frequency dependence of the medium properties such as mean free path and diffusion coefficient as a function of the scattering parameters. We discover characteristic differences of the diffusion in 2D as compared to the conventional 3D case, such as an explicit dependence of the energy flux on the mean free path and quite different expressions for the effective transport velocity.

An analytic theory of wave propagation in disordered media necessarily relies on simple model scatterers, for which point scatterers, i.e., (regularized) \( \delta \) functions in real space, are often chosen [11,12]. Unfortunately, the scattering response of a single point scatterer can become noncausal, a pathological behavior that can not be solved by a simple momentum cutoff [13]. Especially for the study of the frequency dependence over a wider range it is therefore necessary to use more realistic model scatterers.

In this paper we study a simple but not unrealistic experiment for the determination of the scattering properties of scalar waves in a disordered bulk material. A signal is emitted by a source and detected by a receiver, both embedded in the medium at sufficiently large distances from the bounded. Ultimately, we are interested in the detector signal caused by a pulsed (broadband) signal emitted by the source. After a first arrival we then expect the so-called coda that arrives at later times due to multiple scattering at the random scatterers [14]. However, combining both the effects of multiple scattering and the full frequency dependence of the scattering processes renders an analytical treatment difficult without additional approximations, such as a complete neglect of the frequency dependence of the scattering amplitudes when fitting the diffusive halo. In order to understand how to justify certain approximations and eventually find better ones, we have carried out a study of the frequency dependence of the scattering properties of random media.

We concentrate on the steady state in the presence of strictly monochromatic sources, which distinguishes the present work from related studies of the propagation of narrow band pulses [15,16]. As main results we obtain the frequency dependence of macroscopic effective medium properties such as the mean free path and the diffusion constant that depend on the microscopic parameters of the random scatterers.

When the ratio between source-receiver distance and mean free path is small, wave propagation is predominantly ballistic. When this ratio is large, energy and intensity propagation is governed by the diffusion equation [12,15]. Both these regimes are well understood. However, many imaging applications operate on length scales where the mean free path and the source-receiver distance are comparable. This is especially the case in geophysics where mean free paths range from a few hundred meters up to tens of kilometers [17]. The behavior at this crossover regime between ballistic and diffuse wave (intensity) propagation is of considerable interest [9] and also the subject of the present study.

Here we present an analytical formalism on monochromatic wave intensity and energy propagation in one-dimensional, two-dimensional, and three-dimensional (3D) homogeneously disordered media using realistic model scatterers. We develop our formalism in real space, using a point source assumption, instead of incoming plane waves, an approach that is more natural for acoustic waves, but not often used in this field [18]. We determine the relative contributions of diffusively and coherently propagated waves as the...
source-receiver distance increases. We did not find many theoretical studies of wave propagation in two-dimensional random media in the literature [6,19], although several experiments on quasi-2D systems have been carried out [15,20]. Another possible test for our 2D theory is comparison with numerical studies, which for very large systems are much cheaper than in the 3D case.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Secs. II–IV we start by defining our model system and the basic equations, addressing the scattering matrices of single scatterers and discussing the average amplitude propagators in the frequency domain. The intensity, energy flux, and energy density are discussed in Sec. V. Results on the frequency dependence of the diffusion constant and its dependence on the model parameters are discussed in Sec. VI. Generally, the results for 1D systems are easily obtained, whereas our results for 3D systems agree with findings previously reported by others. The mathematics in the 2D case is not trivial, however, and the derivations are summarized in the Appendix. We end with the conclusions.

II. DEFINITIONS AND BASIC EQUATIONS

A. Microscopic equations

We describe the propagation of (scalar) acoustic waves in a microscopic model system. Specifically, we consider a 1D, 2D, or 3D acoustic medium with wave velocity $c_0$ and a mass density $\rho_0$. The medium contains $n$ randomly distributed scatterers per unit length, area, or volume and we treat the dilute limit in which the average distance between scatterers is much larger than their radius $a$. The internal wave velocity of a scatterer is $c_{\text{int}}$ and, for simplicity, the difference in mass density with the surrounding medium is disregarded. The waves are emitted by a monochromatic point source oscillating at frequency $\omega$ positioned at the origin. The wave amplitude $\psi_0$ related to the pressure by $p_0 = \rho_0 \psi_0$ and to local particle velocity by $v_0 = -\rho_0 Q \nabla \psi_0$, then obeys the wave equation

$$ [\nabla^2 - c^{-2}(r) \delta^2] \psi_0(r,t) = -Q \rho_0 \delta^d(r) \cos(\omega t). \quad (1) $$

The source term chosen here corresponds to a volume injection term, with $\delta^d$ the Dirac delta function and $d$ the dimension. The source emits plane waves for 1D, cylindrical waves for 2D and spherical waves for 3D media. In all cases $Q$ is in units of length per unit time. The wave velocity profile of the entire medium $c(r)$ contains the information of the positions of the scatterers (in 1D $r=x$).

The Green function of the Helmholtz equation (1) in the real space and frequency domain reads

$$ [\nabla^2 + k_0^2 - V(r;\omega)] G(r,r';\omega) = \delta^d(r-r'), \quad (2) $$

where $k_0 = \omega/c_0$, the length of the wave vector in the homogeneous medium. $V(r;\omega)$ is the scattering or impurity potential, a sum over all individual scattering potentials

$$ V(r;\omega) = k_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Theta(a - |r - r_i|). \quad (3) $$

$\Theta$ is the Heaviside step function, with $\Theta(x)=0$ when $x<0$ and 1 otherwise. The velocity contrast is defined as $\gamma = c_{\text{int}}/c_0$, so that the single scatterer potential is “attractive” when $\gamma<1$ and “repulsive” when $\gamma>1$. Equation (3) describes a spherical potential, however, the precise shape is not relevant when the scatterers are sufficiently small compared to the wavelength.

The amplitude of the wave field is related to the Green function

$$ \psi_\omega(r;t) = -Q \rho_0 \text{Re}[e^{-i\omega t} G(r,r'=0;\omega)]. \quad (4) $$

The intensity $I_\omega(r;t)$ is the square of this expression. Related physical properties are the energy flux

$$ F_\omega(r;t) = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \partial_t \psi_\omega(r;t) \nabla \psi_\omega(r;t), \quad (5) $$

and the energy density

$$ W_\omega(r;t) = \frac{1}{2\rho_0} [\nabla \psi_\omega(r;t)]^2 + c^{-2}(r)[\partial_t \psi_\omega(r;t)]^2, \quad (6) $$

recognized as the sum of the potential and kinetic energy contributions, respectively. For a monochromatic source with frequency $\omega$ these observables contain a time independent contribution and a second term oscillating with frequency $2\omega$. We concentrate on the constant part by time averaging over one period. Expressed in terms of the Green function this yields

$$ I_\omega(r) = \frac{Q^2 \rho_0^2}{2} |G(r,r'=0;\omega)|^2, \quad (7) $$

$$ F_\omega(r) = -\frac{Q^2 \rho_0 \omega}{2} \text{Im}[G(r,r'=0;\omega) \nabla G^*(r',r'=0;\omega)], \quad (8) $$

$$ W_\omega(r) = \frac{Q^2 \rho_0}{4} \left[ |\nabla G(r,r'=0;\omega)|^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2(r)} |G(r,r'=0;\omega)|^2 \right]. \quad (9) $$

B. Macroscopic equations

The properties of the wave field depend, via the Green function, on the exact configuration of scatterers. However, in large systems, different realizations of the ensemble give similar responses (ergodicity). The similarities in the response can be studied by calculating the configurational average. This average is the connection between the microscopic description and the macroscopic (effective) medium properties.

The macroscopic (diffusively scattered) intensity of pulsed sources is sometimes described by the diffusion equation
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(I(r,t)) = D \nabla^2 (I(r,t)), \] (10)

where the brackets denote the configuration average and \( D \) is the diffusion constant. In spite of neglecting the frequency dependence of the diffusion constant in this case, this approximation is known to work well in cases where the source-receiver distance is much larger than the mean free path and the incoming pulse is a narrowband signal [12,15].

In the case of a narrowband pulse, one can also write a transport equation for a wave packet with some inner and outer frequencies. In this way the frequency dependence of \( D \) can be derived [16].

In order to obtain the steady-state diffuse intensity of a monochromatic wave field, the diffusion equation (10) is insufficient. The energy density (and not the intensity) of the wave field is the conserved property. Equation (10) is therefore only valid if the intensity is strictly proportional to the energy density. In general, the averaged energy transport is governed by Fick’s first law

\[ \langle F_\omega(r) \rangle = -D(\omega) \nabla (W_\omega(r)), \] (11)

accounting for the frequency dependence of the diffusion constant. In the steady-state problem and outside the monochromatic source the proper Laplace problem is

\[ \nabla^2 (W_\omega(r)) = 0. \] (12)

III. SCATTERING MATRICES

Here we discuss the properties of a single model scatterer in the system [\( N=1 \) in Eq. (3)]. The response of a system containing a monochromatic source (in the origin), a receiver (at \( r \)) and a single “s-wave” scatterer (at \( r_s \)) can be expressed in terms of Green functions of the homogeneous system (\( V=0 \)) [21]:

\[ G(r,r';\omega) = G_0(r';\omega) + G_0(|r-r_s|;\omega)t_0(\omega)G_0(r;\omega). \] (13)

This expression is valid in the far field limit (\( r,r_s \gg \lambda \)) and when scattering is isotropic (\( \lambda \gg a \)), where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength.

The transition (\( t_0 \)) matrix elements for s-wave scattering are related to the scattering matrix elements by

\[ t_0(\omega) = \begin{cases} 2i\kappa_0 R(\omega) & (1D), \\ 2i\tilde{S}_0(\omega) - 1 & (2D), \\ 2\pi i\kappa_0^{-1}[\tilde{S}_0(\omega) - 1] & (3D). \end{cases} \] (14)

In 1D, the s-wave scattering condition corresponds to equivalence of \( t_0 \) for either reflection or transmission. \( R(\omega) \) is the reflection coefficient at a step discontinuity, and can be obtained by imposing flux conservation across the scatterer boundary. This gives [22]

\[ R(\omega) = e^{-i\pi \kappa_0 a} R_0(1 - e^{i\pi \kappa_0 a/\gamma}) 1 - R_0^2 e^{i\pi \kappa_0 a/\gamma}, \] (15)

where \( R_0 = (\gamma - 1)/(\gamma + 1) \). By imposing the same condition we can derive an expression for the scattering matrix element of the s-wave channel \( S_0 \) [related to the scattering phase shift \( \delta_0 \) by \( S_0 = \exp(2i\delta_0) \)]. In 2D [23]

\[ S_0(\omega) = \frac{2\kappa_0 \gamma^2}{\kappa_0^2 - \gamma^2} \left( J_1(\kappa_0 \gamma) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_0 \gamma) - J_1(\kappa_0 \gamma) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_0 \gamma) \right). \] (16)

In 3D the Bessel (\( J \)) and Hankel (\( H \)) functions are replaced by the spherical Bessel (\( j \)) and Hankel (\( h \)) functions. The scattering matrix element then simplifies to [22]

\[ S_0(\omega) = e^{-i\pi \kappa_0 a} \left( \frac{\cot(\kappa_0 \gamma) + i\gamma}{\cot(\kappa_0 \gamma) - i\gamma} \right). \] (17)

In this calculation of the scattering matrices, the difference in mass density is disregarded. However, including this does not fundamentally alter the calculation (the scattering matrix is still calculated from flux conservation). Furthermore, the scattering matrices calculated here describe acoustic wave scattering where only acoustic modes are allowed inside the scatterers. When solid scatterers are considered extra mode conversions from acoustic waves to shear waves back to acoustic waves occur which considerably complicates the calculation. In principle, this calculation can be done [24] and it is known that the extra mode conversions cause extra resonances in scattering properties of the scattering object [25].

IV. THE CONFIGURATION-AVERAGED PROPAGATOR

Now we switch to the case of multiple scattering at the proposed model scatterers. The wave propagator in a disordered medium after configuration averaging is dressed with a self-energy \( \Sigma \). In reciprocal space it reads [12]

\[ \langle G(k,k';\omega) \rangle = \frac{1}{\kappa_0^2 - k^2 - \Sigma(k,\omega)} (2\pi)^d \delta^d(k - k'). \] (18)

When \( n \), the density of scatterers, is low, interference between multiply scattered waves by different sites may be disregarded. In this “single site approximation” the self-energy does not depend on \( k \) and it is simply given by [12]

\[ \Sigma(\omega) = nt_0(\omega). \] (19)

This approximation does not restrict the scattering strength since \( t_0 \) is the full scattering matrix of the single scatterer. Interference effects from multiple scattering at different scatterers cause localization known to be important in 1D (where the localization length is of the order of the mean free path) and in 2D media (where the localization length is a transcendental function of the mean free path). In 3D, localization can be disregarded except for very strong scattering media [7]. Here we restrict ourselves to purely nonlocalized transport phenomena, bearing in mind that we can always find a region where this type of transport is dominant.

Fourier transforming Eq. (18) with self-energy given by Eq. (19) to real space gives the averaged Green function that depends only on the source-receiver distance.
[\(G(r; \omega) = (G(r, r' = 0; \omega))\)]. In 1D the amplitude propagators are exponentially damped plane waves

\[
G(|x|; \omega) = \frac{1}{2i\kappa_\omega(|x|)},
\]

(20)
in 2D they are cylindrical:

\[
G(r; \omega) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{-i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_\omega r) & \text{if } \omega > 0, \\
\frac{i}{4} H_0^{(2)}(-\kappa_\omega r) & \text{if } \omega < 0,
\end{cases}
\]

(21)

and in the 3D spherical

\[
G(r; \omega) = -\frac{1}{4\pi r} e^{i\kappa_\omega r}
\]

(22)

In Eqs. (20)–(22) \(\kappa_\omega\) is the “renormalized” effective wave vector

\[
\kappa_\omega(\omega) = \sqrt{k_0^2 - n^2_0} = \text{sgn}(\omega) \kappa_\omega + i \frac{1}{2l}(\omega).
\]

(23)

\(\kappa_\omega(\omega) = |\text{Re}\{\kappa_\omega(\omega)\}|\) and \(\Gamma^{-1}(\omega) = 2 |\text{Im}\{\kappa_\omega(\omega)\}|\), the mean free path. We retrieve the Green functions for the homogeneous systems \(G_0\) by letting \(n\) or \(G_0\) go to zero. Properties of the averaged response to a pulsed signal can be studied by calculating the Fourier transform to the time domain, as was done in Refs. [13,26].

V. THE CONFIGURATION-AVERAGED INTENSITY END ENERGY

We derive here the configuration averaged intensity, energy flux, and energy density in the frequency domain.

A. The Bethe-Salpeter equation

Ensemble averaging the intensity (7) gives us

\[
\langle I_\omega(r) \rangle = \frac{Q^2 \rho_0}{2} \Pi(r; \omega),
\]

(24)

where \(\Pi(r; \omega) = \langle |G(r, r' = 0; \omega)|^2 \rangle\) is the average of the squared Green function propagator. It is given by

\[
\Pi(r; \omega) = \Pi_0(r; \omega) + \int d^4 r_1 d^4 r_2 d^4 r_3 d^4 r_4 (G(r, r_1; \omega)) \times (G(r_2, r_3; \omega)) \Gamma(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4; \omega) \times (G(r_3, r_4; 0; \omega)) G^*(r_4, r; 0; \omega).
\]

(25)

This is the Bethe-Salpeter equation in position space, where \(\Pi_0\) is the coherent intensity \(\langle |G|^2 \rangle\) and \(\Gamma\) is the irreducible vertex function. The lowest order approximation that still accounts for multiple scattering is

\[
\Gamma(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4; \omega) = n \Gamma(\omega) \delta^0(r_1 - r_3) \delta^0(r_1 - r_2) \delta^0(r_3 - r_4),
\]

(26)

reducing the Bethe-Salpeter equation to

\[
\Pi(r; \omega) = \Pi_0(r; \omega) + n \Gamma(\omega) \int d^4 r_1 \Pi_0(|r - r_1|; \omega) \Pi(r_1; \omega).
\]

(27)

In reciprocal space this integral equation becomes a geometric series that can be summed as

\[
\Pi(k; \omega) = \frac{\Pi_0(k; \omega)}{1 - n \Gamma(\omega) \Pi_0(k; \omega)}.
\]

(28)

In order to be able to calculate the Fourier transform of \(\Pi(k; \omega)\), an expression for \(\Pi_0(k; \omega)\) is needed. It is calculated as the Fourier transform of the coherent intensity and this results in 1D in

\[
\Pi_0(k; \omega) = \frac{2\lambda}{[(2\kappa l)^2 + 1] [(k l)^2 - 1]}.
\]

(29)

in 2D in

\[
\Pi_0(k; \omega) = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \frac{\arcsin \left( \frac{(2\kappa l)^2 - (kl)^2}{\sqrt{1 + (2\kappa l)^2}} \right)}{\sqrt{1 + (2\kappa l)^2}}.
\]

(30)

and in 3D in [12]

\[
\Pi_0(k; \omega) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{kl}{\lambda} \right).
\]

(31)

The calculation of the vertex function \(\Gamma\) is discussed in the next subsection.

B. Energy conservation and the Ward identity

It is well known that for a given approximation for the self-energy, the vertex correction cannot be freely chosen. Here we take advantage of the flux conservation constraint to obtain \(\Gamma\) without additional microscopic calculations. The energy flux from the monochromatic source (on average) points outwards. In the steady-state case the following condition must hold for the averaged flux in direction \(\mathbf{n}\):

\[
\langle \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{F}_\omega(r) \rangle = \frac{1}{\rho^{-1}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}.
\]

(32)

where \(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\) is the unit vector in the radial direction. In 1D this condition reads

\[
\langle F_\omega(x) \rangle = \text{sgn}(x).
\]

(33)

The microscopic expression for the average energy flux is

\[
\langle \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{F}_\omega(r) \rangle = -\frac{Q^2 \rho_0 \omega}{2} \text{Im}\{\langle G(r, r' = 0; \omega) \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla G^*(r, r' = 0; \omega) \rangle\}
\]

(34)

which defines the function \(\hat{\Pi}^n\). The vertex function is the same as for the intensity, so we can express \(\hat{\Pi}^n\) in reciprocal space as
\begin{align}
\hat{I}^n_0(k;\omega) = \frac{\hat{I}^n_0(k;\omega)}{1-n\Gamma(\omega)\Pi_0(k;\omega)}. \quad \text{(35)}
\end{align}

\(\hat{I}^n_0(k;\omega)\) is the coherent energy flux in direction \(n\) that is given by the Fourier transform of
\begin{align}
\hat{I}^n_0(r;\omega) = G(r;\omega)n \cdot \nabla G^*(r;\omega). \quad \text{(36)}
\end{align}

In 2D and 3D the averaged microscopic expression for the energy flux should match the macroscopic condition
\begin{align}
\text{Im}\{\hat{I}^n_0(r;\omega)\} = -\frac{C}{\rho - \nabla} n \cdot \hat{F}, \quad \text{(37)}
\end{align}

which in reciprocal space reads
\begin{align}
\text{Re}\{\hat{I}^n_0(k;\omega)\} = - (n \cdot \hat{k}) 2^{d-1} \pi \frac{C}{k},
\end{align}

where \(C\) is real and depends on frequency and the model parameters. \(\Pi_0(k;\omega)\) is an even function of \(k\). We know how \(\hat{I}^n_0(k;\omega)\) depends on \(k\), as the Fourier transform in 2D reads
\begin{align}
\hat{I}^n_0(k;\omega) = - (n \cdot \hat{k}) 2\pi i \int_0^\infty dr J_1(kr) r G(r;\omega) \partial r G^*(r;\omega),
\end{align}

and in 3D
\begin{align}
\hat{I}^n_0(k;\omega) = - (n \cdot \hat{k}) 4\pi i \int_0^\infty dr J_1(kr) r^2 G(r;\omega) \partial r G^*(r;\omega).
\end{align}

The Taylor series of \(\hat{I}^n_0(k;\omega)\) around \(k=0\) only contains odd terms. So, in the limit that \(k \to 0\), condition (38) can only be fulfilled by Eq. (35) when
\begin{align}
n\Gamma(\omega) = \Pi_0^{-1}(k=0;\omega).
\end{align}

In 1D showing that condition (33) can only be fulfilled when Eq. (41) is fulfilled as well is straightforward. The Ward identities are relations between self-energy and vertex corrections. We can identify Eq. (41) as the Ward identity for our problem. We now have all the ingredients to calculate the Fourier transform of Eqs. (28) and (35) to calculate the averaged intensity and energy flux respectively.

### C. Flux

Using the Taylor expansions in the limit \(k \to 0\), we find an expression for \(C\) [from Eq. (37)] in 2D and 3D:
\begin{align}
C = \int_0^\infty dr \text{Im}\{G(r;\omega) \partial r G^*(r;\omega)\} r^{d-1}
\frac{1-\Pi_0^{-1}(k=0;\omega) \partial r \Pi_0(k;\omega)}{2 \Pi_0^{-1}(k=0;\omega) \partial r \Pi_0(k;\omega) |_{k=0}}, \quad \text{(42)}
\end{align}

The average flux in 1D is obtained by directly Fourier transforming Eq. (35):
\begin{align}
\langle F_{\omega}(x) \rangle = \frac{Q^2 \rho |\omega|}{8 \kappa_r^2 + 1/(2l_j)^2} \text{sgn}(x). \quad \text{(43)}
\end{align}

We show how to calculate \(C\) in 2D case in the Appendix. With the result, the projection of the average flux becomes
\begin{align}
\langle n \cdot F_{\omega}(r) \rangle = \frac{Q^2 \rho |\omega| \arctan(2\kappa_r l_j)}{8\pi r} n \cdot \hat{r}, \quad \text{(44)}
\end{align}

while in 3D calculating \(C\) from Eq. (42) is straightforward and the projection of the average flux then reads
\begin{align}
\langle n \cdot F_{\omega}(r) \rangle = \frac{Q^2 \rho |\omega|}{2(4\pi)^2 r^2} n \cdot \hat{r}. \quad \text{(45)}
\end{align}

Letting \(l_j \to \infty (\kappa_r \to |\kappa_0|)\) recovers the flux of a monochromatic source in an unperturbed medium.

It is interesting to see that, in contrast to the 3D case, in 1D and 2D the average flux depends on both the mean free path and the real part of the effective wave vector. So the scattering mean free path limits the energy flux in 1D and 2D, but not in 3D. In a strongly scattering 2D medium, in which the wave energy is not (yet) localized, the dependence on the arctangent should be observable.

### D. Intensity

The total average intensity is proportional to the propagator \(\Pi(r;\omega)\), which can be obtained by calculating the Fourier transform
\begin{align}
\Pi(r;\omega) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{i k \cdot r}}{\Pi_0^{-1}(k;\omega) - \Pi_0^{-1}(k=0;\omega)}.
\end{align}

\(\Pi_0(k)\) is given by Eq. (29) in 1D, Eq. (30) in 2D, and Eq. (31) in the 3D case. In 1D and 2D this integral diverges because in the steady state case with a monochromatic source, energy does not escape fast enough to infinity due to the scatterers. This is analogous to the fact that the Poisson equation (the diffusion equation in steady state with source term) for a line or planar source has no well-defined solution.

The gradient of the intensity exists in all cases. In 1D it is constant and the derivative of \(\Pi(x;\omega)\) is given by
\begin{align}
\partial_x \Pi(x;\omega) = - \text{sgn}(x) \frac{1}{4l_j \kappa_r^2 + 1/(2l_j)^2}.
\end{align}

The gradient of \(\Pi\) in 2D is expressed as an integral by
\begin{align}
\nabla \Pi(r;\omega) = - \hat{r} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{k^2 J_1(kr)}{\Pi_0^{-1}(k;\omega) - \Pi_0^{-1}(k=0;\omega)} = \hat{r} f(r;\omega),
\end{align}

which defines a function \(f(r;\omega)\), that represents the gradient in the \(\hat{r}\) direction. We split this up into a coherent (coh) and a “tally diffusive” (td) part and a crossover correction (cr)
\begin{align}
f(r;\omega) = f_{coh}(r;\omega) + f_{td}(r;\omega) + f_{cr}(r;\omega).
\end{align}

The coherent part is connected to the unscattered intensity, therefore
where the gradient first decays exponentially until the crossover contributions. It is the part that describes the intensity gradient when energy transport is completely governed coherently and totally diffusively propagated intensities, as in 3D the Fourier transform (46) converges and the intensity term vanishes for \( \frac{r}{l_f} \). Equation (55) consists of three terms (\( \Pi = \Pi_{coh} + \Pi_{id} + \Pi_{ct} \)). The first term is proportional to the coherent intensity, the second term is the algebraically decaying diffuse term (the only term that is not exponentially decaying). We plot the sum of the first and second term multiplied by \( r \) in units of 1/(16\( \pi^2 l_f^2 \)) in Fig. 1 as a function of \( r/l_f \) (on the right axis). The third term is again the crossover correction to the total intensity if we approximate \( \Pi \) by only the first two terms. A plot of the crossover correction divided by the sum of the first two terms is shown in Fig. 1 (left axis). The crossover term vanishes for \( r/l_f \rightarrow 0 \) or \( r/l_f \gg 1 \) and peaks at \( r/l_f = 0.3 \). It can thus be concluded that the intensity can very well be approximated by just the sum of coherently and totally diffusively propagated intensities, as the total intensity in 3D is never overestimated by more than 5% using this approximation.

To complete this discussion we show the final results for the gradient of the average intensity in 1D and 2D:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} I_{\omega}(x) = - \frac{Q^2}{2} \frac{\Pi_0}{\Pi_0 \Pi_1} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 k_r^2 |\bar{\kappa}|^2}.
\]
The Fourier transform of $t_{\omega}(r)$ gives

$$\langle t_{\omega}(r) \rangle \approx \frac{Q^2 \rho_0^2}{2} [ f_{\text{coh}}(r; \omega) + f_{\text{id}}(r; \omega) ],$$

where $f_{\text{coh}}$ and $f_{\text{id}}$ are given by Eqs. (50) and (51), respectively. The average intensity in 3D is approximated well by

$$\langle I_{\omega}(r) \rangle \approx \frac{Q^2 \rho_0^2}{2} \frac{1}{16 \pi^2 r^2} \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{1}{r_{\text{diff}}} - 3 \right).$$

We obtain these expressions from our first-principles calculations that enable us to study not only the ballistic and diffusive limits, but also the crossover regime when $r/l_f \approx 1$. From this we observe that we can approximate the average intensity well by only the coherent and diffusive contributions. Furthermore, we saw that already at $r/l_f = 0.3$ the diffusive intensity is higher than the coherent intensity. This does not mean that when a pulsed source is used we should see signs of the crossover to the diffusive regime at this point because the diffusive peak is much broader than the coherent peak so this crossover point is at larger values of $r/l_f$ as was previously reported [9]. Obviously, our present model system has been assumed to be boundless. In a finite slab geometry boundary scattering, which is beyond the scope of this study, would of course affect the results.

E. Energy density

To derive a first-principles expression for the diffusion constant from Fick’s law (11), we still have to calculate the average energy density given by

$$\langle W_{\omega}(r) \rangle = \frac{Q^2 \rho_0}{4} \left( \langle |\nabla G(r, r') = 0; \omega| \rangle^2 \right)$$

$$+ \langle |\omega^2 c^{-2}(r) G(r, r') = 0; \omega| \rangle^2 \rangle).$$

The first term is the average potential energy density and the second term corresponds to the kinetic energy.

We start with the potential energy term in 2D and 3D. We define

$$\langle |\nabla G(r, r') = 0; \omega| \rangle^2 = \Pi(r; \omega).$$

The Fourier transform of $\Pi_0(=|\nabla G|)$ diverges, which means that we can not use the same procedure as we used for the intensity and the flux. According to the Bethe-Salpeter equation

$$\Pi(r; \omega) = \Pi_0(r; \omega) + \Pi_{\text{id}}(k = 0; \omega)$$

$$\times \int d^d r_1 \Pi_0(r_1; \omega) \Pi(|r - r_1|; \omega).$$

This integral diverges as well because of the strong singularities in $\Pi_0$ (also when the gradient is calculated in the 2D case). When averaging, scatterers are effectively moved around the medium, and for every configuration, the contribution to the total average response is calculated. However, because of the stronger singularities in $\Pi_0$ (as every scatterer becomes a new source of spherical waves) this is not possible when the receiver position coincides with a scatterer position. The reason for this is the point receiver assumption and the far field scattering approximation. We can circumvent this problem by omitting a small volume/area around $r_1$ with radius of approximately one wavelength. This slightly modifies the probability distribution function form “completely random” to “nonoverlapping” (with the receiver) in order to avoid the divergencies. We then find that $\Pi_0$ is given by:

$$\Pi(r; \omega) = |\kappa_\omega|^2 \Pi(r; \omega).$$

In principle, our original expression for $\Pi$ should now be multiplied by a factor $\exp(-r_0/l_f)$, where $r_0$ is the radius of omission so as long as the mean free path is longer than a few wavelengths omitting this small volume does not influence the results. Furthermore, even if scattering is strong and the mean free path is of the order of the wavelength, this factor is not important.

The second term of Eq. (60), the kinetic energy, can be split:

$$\langle \omega^2 c^{-2}(r)|G(r, r') = 0; \omega| \rangle^2 \rangle$$

$$= \kappa_\omega^2 \Pi(r; \omega) - \langle V(r; \omega)|G(r, r') = 0; \omega| \rangle^2 \rangle).$$

Now the condition that the scatterer position cannot coincide with the receiver position ensures that the second term vanishes, due to the step function in the potential (3). We can thus just disregard this term.

In 1D proving that

$$\Pi(|x|; \omega) = |\kappa_\omega|^2 \Pi(|x|; \omega),$$

always holds is straightforward. We have to impose the condition the receiver cannot coincide with a scatterer to ensure that

$$\langle \omega^2 c^{-2}(x)|G(x, x') = 0; \omega| \rangle^2 \rangle = \kappa_\omega^2 \Pi(|x|; \omega).$$

Only under the restrictions mentioned here, can the averaged energy density in 1D, 2D, and 3D be expressed as being proportional to the intensity

$$\langle W_{\omega}(r) \rangle = \frac{1}{2 \rho_0} \left( |\kappa_\omega|^2 + \kappa_\omega^2 \right) I_{\omega}(r)$$

and this thus means that only the gradient of the energy density is well defined in the 1D and 2D cases.

VI. THE DIFFUSION CONSTANT

Using the Bethe-Salpeter equation with the Ward identity we find expressions for the average energy flux (43)–(45), the (gradient of) the average intensity (57)–(59). The average energy density is just proportional to the average intensity (67). When $r/l_f \gg 1$ we expect Eq. (11) to hold and, as the gradient of the average energy density and the average flux are now known, we find an expression for the diffusion constant from Eq. (11). This means that the diffusion constant can be written as
so that the dimensionless property needed to obtain the diffusion constant $D_0 = \frac{2\kappa_0|\kappa_0|}{\kappa_0^2 + 1/(2a)^2 + \kappa_0^2}$, where in the 1D and 3D case

$$c_{\text{eff}}(\omega) = \frac{2\kappa_0|\kappa_0|}{\kappa_0^2 + 1/(2a)^2 + \kappa_0^2},$$

and in the 2D case

$$c_{\text{eff}}(\omega) = \frac{2\kappa_0|\kappa_0|}{\kappa_0^2 + 1/(2a)^2 + \kappa_0^2}g(2\kappa, a),$$

where $g(2\kappa, a)$ is given by Eq. (52). The effective transport velocity in 2D reduces to Eq. (69) in the weak scattering limit.

We can now investigate the frequency dependence of the diffusion constant for a medium with monodisperse scatterers. We relate the scatterer density $n$ to the average distance between scatterers $(d_s)$ so that $n=\langle d_s \rangle^{-1}$ in 1D, $n=4\pi^{-1}(d_s)^{-2}$ in 2D and $n=3(4\pi^{-1}(d_s)^{-3}$ in 3D. Let us focus on the diffusion constant of the 2D medium. We write

$$a\kappa_\epsilon(a\kappa_0) = \sqrt{(a\kappa_0)^2 - \frac{4}{\pi} \langle d_s \rangle^2 l_0(a\kappa_0)},$$

so that the dimensionless property $a\kappa_\epsilon$ depends on the dimensionless frequency $\kappa_\epsilon(a\omega/c_0)$ and two dimensionless model parameters, i.e., the velocity contrast $\gamma = c_{\text{med}}/c_0$ and the average distance between scatterers in number of scatterer radii $(d_s)/a$. The real and imaginary parts of $a\kappa_\epsilon$ are needed to obtain the diffusion constant

$$a\kappa_\epsilon(a\kappa_0) = |\text{Re}[a\kappa_\epsilon(a\kappa_0)]|,$$

$$l_0(a\kappa_0) = \frac{1}{2|\text{Im}[a\kappa_\epsilon(a\kappa_0)]|}$$

The diffusion constant for a 2D medium is plotted in Fig. 2. The relevant frequency range is from $\kappa_\epsilon(a\omega/c_0) = 0$ to $\kappa_\epsilon(a\omega/c_0) = \pi/2$, as for higher frequencies the isotropic scatterer assumption is no longer valid. For the plot, the density of scatterers was determined by setting $(d_s)/a = 10$, increasing this value shifts the curves up. The shape of the curves is predominantly determined by the mean free path. The effective transport velocity $c_{\text{eff}}$ only deviates considerably from $c_0$ when the scatterer velocity and the frequency are small and the scatterer density high. For the diffusion constants shown in the plot, this is only the case when $\gamma = 0.2$. This is also the only case that shows resonances in the relevant frequency range. Further lowering the internal velocity of the scatterers would “pull in” more resonances in the relevant frequency range. These resonances appear because of resonances in the mean free path. When the scatterer-medium velocity ratio is increased, the mean free path (and thus the diffusion constant) increases until the ratio is larger than unity and then it drops again. However, increasing $\gamma$ above 10 does not change the diffusion constant much in the frequency range we discuss.

The diffusion constants in 1D and 3D media show the same behavior. Of course, the resonances at low velocity, are caused by the fact that all scatterers are assumed to be of equal size. When solid scatterers in a fluid are considered even more resonances are expected to show up [25]. When scatterer sizes (or velocities) are allowed to vary, the resonances are averaged out.

When the scatterer velocity is zero we obtain an impenetrable model scatterer. This is not a useful model scatterer, as in the low frequency range the mean free path (and thus the diffusion constant) differ considerably from the penetrable scatterer case. The reason for this is that the limits for $\omega \to 0$ and $\gamma \to 0$ do not commute, as

$$\lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{\gamma \to 0} l_f = \text{const},$$

while

FIG. 2. Diffusion constant of the 2D disordered medium in units of $c_0\alpha$, as a function of the dimensionless frequency $\kappa_\epsilon(a\omega/c_0)$ for four different scatterer-medium velocity ratios ($\gamma$). The scatterer density is determined by setting $(d_s)/a = 10$. 
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The effect of this is that at the longer wavelengths \( l_f \) for the impenetrable scatterer is several orders of magnitude smaller than \( l_f \) for nonzero values of \( \gamma \).

**VII. CONCLUSIONS**

We have calculated the transport of energy and intensity in disordered 1D, 2D, and 3D (infinite) media emitted by a monochromatic source. Using the ladder approximation to the Bethe-Salpeter equation we explicitly show that the total intensity is well approximated by the sum of the coherent and the fully developed diffuse wave field for all source-receiver distances. The results for 3D disordered systems agree with findings previously reported, except for the expression for the intensity in the crossover regime, which has not been reported before. We have obtained more new results studying energy and intensity propagation in the 2D system in detail. When compared to the 3D case, the 2D disordered system shows interestingly different behavior: In 2D, the average energy flux depends on the mean free path and the effective transport velocity depends differently in terms of the scattering parameters. The (gradient of the) intensity as a function of the source-receiver distance, on the other hand, behaves similarly in the 2D and the 3D case. The monochromatic source enables us to investigate the frequency dependence of the macroscopic diffusion constant where we particularly focused on the influence of the finite size of the scatterers. For a monodisperse distribution of scatterers shape resonances show up in the relevant frequency range for low internal scatterer velocities (\( \gamma \) small). In this frequency range (where scattering is expected to be isotropic) the dependence of the scattering properties on frequency cannot be neglected. This means that descriptions of broadband pulse propagation through these media should in principle incorporate both frequency dependent and multiple scattering effects. The development of a workable Ward identity in this case remains a challenge, however. Finally, we want to point out that our model describes transport of scalar acoustic waves but results can be extended and many conclusions should also apply to vector wave fields random media.

**Note added.** After submission of this paper, more theoretical and experimental work on the crossover regime between ballistic and diffusive wave propagation in 2D systems was published [Y. Lai, S. K. Cheung, and Z. Q. Zhang, Phys. Rev. E 72, 036606 (2005)]. This paper discusses wave propagation from pulsed planar sources in 2D systems and the results on the diffusive-ballistic transition in this case correspond to our findings for a monochromatic point source.
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**APPENDIX: ENERGY AND INTENSITY IN 2D**

In this appendix we derive the configuration-averaged intensity and energy flux in a disordered 2D medium. Starting point is the 2D Green function propagator

\[
G(r; \omega) = \begin{cases} 
  -\frac{i}{4}H_0^{(1)}((\kappa_r+i/l(2l_j))r) & \text{if } \omega > 0, \\
  \frac{i}{4}H_0^{(2)}((\kappa_r-i/l(2l_j))r) & \text{if } \omega < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(A1)

We use the properties

\[
H_0^{(2)}((\kappa_r-i/l(2l_j))r) = H_0^{(1)}((-\kappa_r+i/l(2l_j))r)
\]

and

\[
H_0^{(1)}((\pm \kappa_r+i/l(2l_j))r) = -\frac{2}{\pi}K_0[(-i\kappa_r+1/l(2l_j))r],
\]

(A2)

(A3)

to express the Hankel functions \((H_j^{(i)})\) in terms of modified Bessel function of the second kind \((K_0)\). The Fourier transform of the coherent intensity

\[
\Pi_0(k; \omega) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dr |G(r; \omega)|^2 f_0(kr)
\]

is then obtained from Ref. [27] and using properties of the associated Legendre polynomials [28] as

\[
\Pi_0(k; \omega) = \frac{l_f^2}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 + (2\kappa_f l_f^2)} P_{\frac{1}{2}}(u)
\]

(A5)

with

\[
u = 1 - \frac{(2\kappa_f l_f^2)^2}{1 + (2\kappa_f l_f^2)^2} + \frac{2kl_f}{1 + (2\kappa_f l_f^2)^2}.
\]

(A6)

This can be rewritten as

\[
\Pi_0(k; \omega) = \frac{l_f^2}{\pi} \frac{\text{arcsin} \left[ \frac{(2\kappa_l l_f^2 - (kl_f)^2)}{(1 + (2\kappa_f l_f^2)^2)} \right]}{\sqrt{((2\kappa_l l_f^2 - (kl_f)^2)^2 - (kl_f)^2)}}.
\]

(A7)

\(\Pi_0(k; \omega)\) is real, continuous, and differentiable for all \((\text{real}) k \neq 0\).

The flux in the 2D system is given by

\[
\langle n \cdot F_w(r) \rangle = \frac{Q^2 P_0 \omega C}{2r} n \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}.
\]

(A8)

where \(C\) is the constant to be calculated:

\[
C = \frac{\int_0^\infty dr \text{Im}[G(r; \omega) \delta \hat{r} G^*(r; \omega)]r^2}{\Pi_0(k = 0; \omega) \delta_l^2 \Pi_0(k; \omega)|_{k=0}}.
\]

(A9)

The term in the denominator is easily obtained.
The intensity is proportional to the propagator $\Pi(r;\omega)$, expressed in terms of $\Pi_0(k;\omega)$ by the Fourier transform (46). As only the gradient of the intensity is a well-defined property, we calculate

$$\nabla \Pi(r;\omega) = -\hat{\mathbf{f}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{k^2 J_1(kr)}{\Pi_0^{-1}(k;\omega) - \Pi_0^{-1}(k=0;\omega)}.$$  

(A14)

This contains both the coherent and the scattered intensity. As the coherent intensity is known, we focus on the scattered intensity by calculating

$$\nabla \Pi_s(k;\omega) = -\hat{\mathbf{f}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{J_1(kr)k^2 \Pi_s(k;\omega)}{\Pi_0^{-1}(k;\omega) - \Pi_0^{-1}(k=0;\omega)},$$

(A15)

with

$$\Pi_s(k;\omega) = \frac{\Pi_0^{-1}(k=0;\omega)\Pi_0(k;\omega)}{\Pi_0^{-1}(k;\omega) - \Pi_0^{-1}(k=0;\omega)}.$$  

(A16)

Equation (A15) is the integral to calculate numerically when we need to calculate the gradient of the multiply scattered intensity. $\Pi_s(k;\omega)$ is a monotonically decaying function with a maximum at $k=0$, that vanishes as $k \to \infty$. As the Bessel function is also decaying with $r$, we know that for $r/l_f \gg 1$

$$\nabla \Pi_{td}(r;\omega) = -\hat{\mathbf{f}} \frac{\Pi_s(k=0;\omega) - g^{-1}(2\kappa l_f)}{2\pi r}$$

(A17)

and

$$\nabla \Pi_{td}(r) = -\hat{\mathbf{f}} \frac{\text{arctan}(2\kappa l_f)}{\pi^2 2\kappa l_f} \frac{1}{r g^{-1}(2\kappa l_f)},$$

(A18)

where

$$g(2\kappa l_f) = 1 - \frac{1}{(2\kappa l_f)^2} + \frac{1}{2\kappa l_f \text{arctan}(2\kappa l_f)}.$$  

(A19)

d stands for “totally diffusive.”

---


