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Abstract—The dynamic translinear principle can be regarded as an extension of the conventional, i.e., static, translinear principle. The dynamic translinear principle cannot only be used to realize linear filters, but also constitutes an interesting approach to the implementation of nonlinear differential equations. In this brief, as an example, a dynamic translinear realization of the nonlinear differential equation describing the rms-dc conversion function is designed, yielding a circuit with a high functional density. Measurement results of a prototype semicustom realization of the rms-dc converter show a good accuracy for crest factors up to ten and a cutoff frequency beyond 5 MHz for all input signal levels.
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I. INTRODUCTION

TRANS_LINEAR filters [1] (which are also called log-domain filters [2], companding current-mode filters [3], or exponential state-space filters [4]) are receiving increasing interest in literature, mainly due to their suitability for low-voltage applications. Translinear filters are based explicitly on the exponential behavior of the bipolar transistor or the MOS transistor operating in the subthreshold region. This class of circuits is instantaneously companding. The voltages in a translinear filter are logarithmically related to the currents, the principal carriers of information, which is advantageous in a low-voltage environment.

Translinear filters can be regarded as a generalization of the conventional, i.e., static, translinear principle [5]. By judiciously adding capacitances to the translinear loops, all kinds of frequency-dependent linear transfer functions can be realized. Since the dynamic translinear principle is an extension of the static translinear principle, translinear filters inherit the advantages of conventional translinear circuits. The main advantages are, first of all, a high functional density, which explains the extensive application of translinear circuits in neural networks, e.g., [6], and second, translinear circuits are theoretically process and temperature independent.

Next to these general characteristics of translinear circuits, translinear filters have some additional advantages with respect to other filter implementation techniques. First, only transistors and capacitors are required to realize a filter function. Especially in ultra low-power applications, where resistance values become too large for on-chip integration, this is a very important advantage.

Second, translinear filters can be implemented very elegantly in class AB. Hence, the currents swings can be much larger than the quiescent currents. This increases the dynamic range without increasing the average power consumption.

Last, translinear filters are excellently tunable. Not just the cutoff frequency and the $Q$, but any parameter can be made (linearly) current controllable [7], which makes these filters attractive as programmable building blocks.

In literature, the dynamic translinear principle has been used mainly to implement filters, i.e., linear differential equations. However, conventional static translinear circuits are well-known for the wide variety of nonlinear functions they can implement. Obviously, the dynamic translinear principle can be applied just as well to the implementation of nonlinear differential equations, thus extending the applicability of the dynamic translinear principle.

A simple example of a nonlinear frequency-dependent transfer function is rms-dc conversion, which is a basic function used in many signal processing applications [8]. The rms-dc function is used in this brief to demonstrate the applicability of the dynamic translinear principle to the implementation of nonlinear differential equations.

Some other examples of functions described by nonlinear differential equations are mixer-filter combinations [9], oscillators [10], phase-locked loops (PLL’s) [11], syllabic companding filters [12], and possibly even chaos.

In Section II, the dynamic translinear principle is explained from a current-mode point of view. The design of an rms-dc converter based on this principle is treated in Section III. Measurement results of a semicustom IC realization of the rms-dc converter are presented in Section IV.

II. DYNAMIC TRANSLINEAR PRINCIPLE

Both the conventional translinear principle and the dynamic translinear principle are based on the exponential law describing the large signal behavior of the bipolar transistor or the MOS transistor operating in the weak inversion region. The collector current $I_C$ of a bipolar transistor is described by

$$I_C = I_L e^{V_{BE}/U_T} \tag{1}$$

where all symbols have their usual meaning.

This simple exponential model is accurate across a wide range of currents. A measurement of $g_m U_T / I_C$, where $g_m$ is the small-signal transconductance, of an integrated minimum-sized NPN transistor is shown in Fig. 1. This dimensionless quantity $g_m U_T / I_C$ represents the slope of $V_{BE}$, normalized with respect to $U_T$, versus the logarithm of $I_C$, which should ideally equal one. The figure shows that the exponential model, (1), is valid across approximately seven decades of current. Thus, the translinear principle has a very solid foundation.
The exponential model is less accurate for MOS transistors in weak inversion, which behave exponentially across approximately four decades of drain current.

In Fig. 2, a basic four-transistor translinear loop is depicted. The conventional translinear principle states that this circuit can best be described in terms of the collector currents through \( I_1 \). The translinear loop is thus described by a very simple equation

\[
I_1 I_3 = I_2 I_4.
\]  
(2)

The dynamic extension of the translinear principle will be explained based on the generic subcircuit shown in Fig. 3. Just like the conventional translinear principle, the dynamic translinear principle can be explained best in terms of currents. By using a current-mode approach, no logarithmic functions, or other transcendental functions [4], have to be used to describe the circuits, thus increasing insight.

Another important advantage of a current-mode approach is the emphasis on the close relation between conventional and dynamic translinear circuits. As a consequence, existing theory regarding both analysis and synthesis of static translinear circuits, see e.g., [13], can be applied directly to analysis and synthesis of dynamic translinear circuits [1], [7].

Using the current-mode approach, the subcircuit shown in Fig. 3 is described in terms of the current \( I_{\text{cap}} \) flowing through the capacitance \( C \). Note that the dc voltage source \( V_{\text{const}} \) does not affect \( I_{\text{cap}} \). An expression for \( I_{\text{cap}} \) can be derived from the time derivative of (1). This yields an expression for the derivative \( V_{\text{BE}} \), where the dot represents differentiation with respect to time, of the base-emitter voltage. By applying the constitutive law of the capacitance, a current-mode expression for \( I_{\text{cap}} \) in terms of \( I_C \) is obtained

\[
I_{\text{cap}} = C U_T \frac{d I_C}{d t}.
\]  
(3)

This equation shows that \( I_{\text{cap}} \) is a nonlinear function of \( I_C \) and its time derivative \( \frac{d I_C}{d t} \).

A better insight in (3) is obtained by slightly rewriting it. Multiplying (3) by the (strictly positive) denominator \( I_C \) yields

\[
C U_T \frac{d I_C}{d t} = I_C I_{\text{cap}}.
\]  
(4)

This equation directly states the dynamic translinear principle: A derivative of a current is equivalent to the product of that current and a capacitance current. At this point, the conventional translinear principle comes into play, for, the product of currents on the right-hand side of (4) can be realized very elegantly by means of this principle. Thus, the implementation of (part of) a differential equation becomes equivalent to the implementation of a product of currents.

Equation (4) reveals another characteristic of dynamic translinear circuits. In general, translinear loops can be described by current-mode polynomials. The relation between these current-mode polynomials and the differential equations describing the transfer functions of dynamic translinear circuits is given by equations like (4). The right-hand side of (4) is implemented by (part of) a translinear loop. The left-hand side is part of the differential equation describing the transfer function to be realized. This mapping between a time derivative and a product of currents implies that the transfer function, i.e., the differential equation, becomes temperature dependent through \( U_T \). Fortunately, this temperature dependence can be canceled easily by making (some of) the currents in the dynamic translinear circuit proportional to absolute temperature (PTAT) [2], [3].

### III. Dynamic Translinear RMS-DC Conversion

The translinear principle already plays a key role in conventional implementations of rms-dc converters [8], [14]. A well-known block schematic of an rms-dc converter is shown in Fig. 4. The system consists of two separate functions: a squarer-divider and a low-pass filter. The core of the implementation of the squarer-divider is a second-order translinear loop. This loop calculates the current \( I_{\text{in}}^2/I_{\text{out}} \), where \( I_{\text{in}} \) and \( I_{\text{out}} \) are the input and output current of the rms-dc converter, respectively. The output current \( I_{\text{out}} \) equals the mean value of \( I_{\text{in}}^2/I_{\text{out}} \)

\[
I_{\text{out}} = \langle I_{\text{in}}^2/I_{\text{out}} \rangle
\]  
(5)

where \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) represents the averaging operation, i.e., the low-pass filter shown in Fig. 4. By dividing \( I_{\text{in}}^2 \) by \( I_{\text{out}} \), instead...
of applying a square root function to the mean of \( I_{in}^2 \), the requirements on the offsets in the system are relaxed [8], [15].

In most implementations of the system shown in Fig. 4, a squarer-divider facilitating one-quadrant operation is used. In that case, the translinear loop is preceded by a full-wave rectifier.

The low-pass filter function is often just first-order. In most cases it is implemented by means of a simple RC section.

The key role of the translinear technique could be enlarged by realizing the low-pass filter by a translinear filter. However, as in that case both system functions are implemented in the translinear domain, there is actually no reason why these two functions have to be treated as separate system blocks. By merging the two functions in one system block, a higher functional density can be obtained. To this end, we start at a higher hierarchical level, describing the rms-dc function by means of a differential equation.

The current-mode description of a translinear first-order low-pass filter is given by [1], [2]

\[
CU_T \dot{I}_y + I_o I_y = I_o I_x
\]

where \( I_o \) is a dc bias current and the current \( I_y \) is the low-pass filtered version of \( I_x \). For low frequencies, the transfer of this filter equals one. The cutoff frequency \( \omega_c \) of the filter is given by

\[
\omega_c = \frac{I_o}{CU_T}.
\]

This equation demonstrates the linear tunability of translinear filters by means of one bias current \( I_o \). Note that \( \omega_c \) is inversely proportional to absolute temperature through \( U_T \), unless \( I_o \) is PTAT.

In the rms-dc conversion given by (5), the filtering operation is not performed on the input signal \( I_{in} \), but on the current \( I_{in}^2/I_{out} \). That is, the variable \( I_z \) in (6) equals \( I_{in}^2/I_{out} \). The output current \( I_y \) of the filter equals the output current \( I_{out} \) of the rms-dc converter. Applying these two substitutions to (6), the differential equation describing a first-order rms-dc conversion is found

\[
CU_T \dot{I}_{out} + I_o I_{out} = I_o I_{in}^2.
\]

Obviously, this is a nonlinear differential equation.

In Section II, we saw that the derivative of a current can be replaced by a product of currents. In other words, by introducing a capacitance current, the derivative \( I_{out} \) can be eliminated from (8). The capacitance current is introduced through the circuit shown in Fig. 5, which is a slightly modified version of the circuit shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 5, the capacitance \( C \) is connected in a loop with two base-emitter junctions. The two transistors have a collector current equal to \( I_{cap} \). The capacitance current shown in Fig. 5 is also described by (3), which can be used to eliminate \( I_{cap} \) from (8). The result is a current-mode polynomial

\[
(I_o + I_{cap})^2 = I_o I_{in}^2.
\]

In fact, the derivative is hidden in the capacitance current \( I_{cap} \). Current-mode polynomials, like (9), can be implemented by means of the conventional translinear principle [13]. Since all factors in (9) are positive, if the input current is full-wave rectified, which is common practice [8], they can be mapped directly on the collector currents of a third-order translinear loop, comprising six transistors.

A possible implementation is shown in Fig. 6. The translinear loop is formed by transistors \( Q_1 \) through \( Q_6 \). The quadratic factor \( I_{cap}^2 \) is implemented by \( Q_5 \) through \( Q_6 \). The structure \( C = Q_1 + Q_5 - Q_6 \) is identical to the subcircuit shown in Fig. 5, except for \( Q_4 \). Since \( Q_4 \) is biased by a dc current, it acts as a dc voltage source. Consequently, \( Q_4 \) does not change the capacitance current introduced in Fig. 5.

Dynamic translinear circuits not only inherit the advantages of conventional circuits, but also the disadvantages and error sources. An important error source is the finite current gain of the bipolar transistor. With respect to this problem, the most sensitive point of the circuit shown in Fig. 6 is the node connecting the bases of \( Q_2 \) and \( Q_3 \). The collector current \( (I_o + I_{cap}) \) of \( Q_3 \) can be much larger than \( |I_{in}| \). Using a diode connection to force \( |I_{in}| \) through \( Q_3 \) would result in significant errors, as the base current of \( Q_3 \) is not always negligible with
Fig. 7. Chip photograph.

Fig. 8. Measurement setup.

respect to $[I_q]$. The problem can be solved by using a buffer amplifier. In Fig. 6, $Q_7$ is a simple implementation of this buffer. The other CC stage, transistor $Q_8$, buffers the bases of $Q_4$ and $Q_5$.

RMS-DC converters of second-order or higher can be designed by choosing a higher-order low-pass filter, instead of (6). Then, two or more capacitance currents will have to be introduced to eliminate the derivatives from the differential equation.

IV. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The rms-dc converter was implemented on a semicustom IC in DIMES02, a 7-GHz bipolar process. A photograph of the circuit is shown in Fig. 7. The bias current sources $I_o$, shown in Fig. 6, are implemented by simple current mirrors.

Full-wave rectification and voltage-to-current conversion are accomplished by means of the setup shown in Fig. 8. An HP33120A Arbitrary Waveform Generator is programmed to supply a full-wave rectified output voltage, thus excluding the nonidealties of the alternative, an on-chip full-wave rectifier. The output voltage of the generator is 2 V. The figure illustrates the nonlinear relation between the capacitance current $I_{\text{cap}}$ and the output current $I_{\text{out}}$. Further, it shows that $I_{\text{cap}}$ can have much larger values than $I_{I_{\text{in}}}$ around the cutoff frequency.

For the next two measurements, a capacitance of 4.7 nF is used, which yields a cutoff frequency of 55 Hz.

Fig. 10 shows the measured relative error for a rectified sine wave, at a frequency of 100 kHz, as a function of the amplitude. In this figure, $V_{\text{in}}$ is the voltage supplied by the generator. For low values of $V_{\text{in}}$, the error curve is dominated by offsets in the measurement setup and the rms-dc converter, and by the limited bandwidth of the transistors at low current levels. For intermediate input levels, the curve shows a scaling error due to mismatches of the source and load resistors and of the transistors in the translinear loop. For values of $V_{\text{in}}$ above 4 V, the output transistor of the rms-dc converter starts to saturate.

The error of the output of the rms-dc converter as a function of the frequency is shown in Fig. 11 for various values of the input voltage $V_{\text{in}}$. The −3 dB cutoff frequency could not be measured due to the limited frequency range (5 MHz) of the signal generator.

The worst-case waveform for an rms measurement is a rectangular pulse train, where all energy is contained in the peaks. The collector current of $Q_3$ takes its maximum value $I_{Q_3,\text{max}}$ during the peaks of the input signal. The value of $I_{Q_3,\text{max}}$ can be derived from (9) and is given by

\[ I_{Q_3,\text{max}} = CF^2I_o \] (10)
where CF is the crest factor, the ratio between the peak value and the rms value of the input signal.

For high crest factors, \( I_{Q_{\text{max}}} \) becomes quite large, and as a consequence, transistor \( Q_3 \), which is minimum sized, no longer behaves exponentially during the peaks, due to parasitic resistances and \( \beta_F \) high-current roll-off. Therefore, to perform a measurement of the error as a function of the crest factor, the current \( I_o \) is scaled down to 850 nA. The input resistor, shown in Fig. 8, and the output resistor are scaled up by a factor 100. The input voltage \( V_{\text{in}} \) switches between a bias level of 0.15 V and a certain peak voltage \( V_{\text{peak}} \). The duty cycle and the peak voltage \( V_{\text{peak}} \) are varied to obtain different crest factors at a constant rms value of 0.4 V. The pulse width of the peak is constant and equals 200 \( \mu \)s. Fig. 12 shows the measured additional error as a function of the crest factor. The error remains below 1% for crest factors up to ten.

V. Conclusion

Translinear filters exploit the exponential V-I characteristic of the bipolar transistor both to implement multiplications of currents by means of the conventional translinear principle and to perform instantaneous companding. The dynamic translinear principle, a generalization of the conventional translinear principle, cannot only be used to realize linear filters, but can also be applied to implement nonlinear differential equations.

RMS-DC conversion is one example of an operation described by a nonlinear differential equation. The dynamic translinear principle was used to synthesize a completely translinear implementation.

To verify this new approach, the developed dynamic translinear rms-dc converter was realized on a semicustom IC. Measurements show a relative inaccuracy of several percent, mainly due to mismatch. The rms-dc converter has a bandwidth beyond 5 MHz and operates properly for crest factors up to ten.
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